Types for Information Flow Control: Labeling Granularity and Semantic Models (Technical appendix)

Vineet Rajani and Deepak Garg

Max Planck Institute for Software Systems

April 30, 2018

Contents

List of Figures

List of Theorems

1 Part I: Details of what's in the paper

1.1 Fine-grained IFC enforcement (FG)

1.1.1 FG type system

Syntax, types, constraints:

Expressions	e	::=	$x \mid \lambda x.e \mid e\ e \mid (e,e) \mid \mathsf{fst}(e) \mid \mathsf{snd}(e) \mid \mathsf{inl}(e) \mid \mathsf{inr}(e) \mid \mathsf{case}(e, x.e, x.e) \mid \mathsf{new}\ e \mid\ !e \mid e := e$
Labels	ℓ, pc	::=	$\bot \mid \top \mid l \mid \ell \sqcup \ell \mid \ell \sqcap \ell$
(Labeled) Types	τ	::=	A^{ℓ}
Unlabeled types	A	::=	$\mathsf{b} \mid \mathsf{unit} \mid \tau \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau \mid \tau \times \tau \mid \tau + \tau \mid \mathsf{ref}\ \tau$

Type system: $\boxed{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau}$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash_{pc} x : \tau}\ \text{FG-var} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \lambda x.e : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\bot}}\ \text{FG-lam}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell} \qquad \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_1 \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 \searrow \ell \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1\ e_2 : \tau_2}\ \text{FG-app}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : \tau_1 \qquad \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\bot}}\ \text{FG-prod}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \searrow \ell}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{fst}(e) : \tau_1}\ \text{FG-fst} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{inl}(e) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\bot}}\ \text{FG-inl}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\ell} \qquad \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{pc \sqcup \ell} e_1 : \tau \qquad \Gamma, y : \tau_2 \vdash_{pc \sqcup \ell} e_2 : \tau \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau \searrow \ell}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{case}(e, x.e_1, y.e_2) : \tau}\ \text{FG-case}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc'} e : \tau' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash pc \sqsubseteq pc' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau' <: \tau}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau}\ \text{FG-sub} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau \searrow pc}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{new}\ e : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\bot}}\ \text{FG-ref}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau' \searrow \ell}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} !e : \tau'}\ \text{FG-deref}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \qquad \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 := e_2 : \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{FG-assign} \qquad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} () : \mathsf{unit}^{\bot}}\ \text{FG-unitI}$$

Figure 1: Type system for FG

Lemma 1.1 (Reflexivity of subtyping)**.** *The following hold:*

1. *For all* τ*:* $\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau$
2. *For all* A*:* $\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{A}^{\ell} <: \mathsf{A}'^{\ell'}}\ \text{FGsub-label} \qquad \frac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{b} <: \mathsf{b}}\ \text{FGsub-base}$$

$$\frac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{ref}\ \tau <: \mathsf{ref}\ \tau}\ \text{FGsub-ref} \qquad \frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'}\ \text{FGsub-prod}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}\ \text{FGsub-sum}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1' <: \tau_1 \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell_e' \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'}\ \text{FGsub-arrow} \qquad \frac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{unit} <: \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{FGsub-unit}$$

Figure 2: FG subtyping.

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on τ and A.

Proof of statement (1)

Let $\tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell}$. Then, we have:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}}\ \text{IH(2)} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{A}^{\ell} <: \mathsf{A}^{\ell}}\ \text{FGsub-label}$$

Proof of statement (2)

We proceed by cases on A.

1. $\mathsf{A} = \mathsf{b}$:

$$\frac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{b} <: \mathsf{b}}\ \text{FGsub-base}$$

2. $\mathsf{A} = \mathsf{ref}\ \tau$:

$$\frac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{ref}\ \tau <: \mathsf{ref}\ \tau}\ \text{FGsub-ref}$$

3. $\mathsf{A} = \tau_1 \times \tau_2$:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 \qquad \dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_2}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1 \times \tau_2}$$

4. $\mathsf{A} = \tau_1 + \tau_2$:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 \qquad \dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_2}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1 + \tau_2}$$

5. $\mathsf{A} = \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2$:

$$\frac{\overline{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 \qquad \overline{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2}\ \text{IH(2) on } \tau_2 \qquad \overline{\mathcal{L} \vdash \ell_e \sqsubseteq \ell_e}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 <: \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2}$$

6. $\mathsf{A} = \mathsf{unit}$:

$$\overline{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{unit} <: \mathsf{unit}}$$

□

1.1.2 FG semantics

Judgement: $(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', v)$

The semantics are described in Figure 3

$$\frac{(H, e_1) \Downarrow_i (H', \lambda x.e_i) \qquad (H', e_2) \Downarrow_j (H'', v_2) \qquad (H'', e_i[v_2/x]) \Downarrow_k (H''', v_3)}{(H, e_1\ e_2) \Downarrow_{i+j+k+1} (H''', v_3)}\ \text{fg-app}$$

$$\frac{(H, e_1) \Downarrow_i (H', v_1) \qquad (H', e_2) \Downarrow_j (H'', v_2)}{(H, (e_1, e_2)) \Downarrow_{i+j+1} (H'', (v_1, v_2))}\ \text{fg-prod} \qquad \frac{(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', (v_1, v_2))}{(H, \mathsf{fst}(e)) \Downarrow_{i+1} (H', v_1)}\ \text{fg-fst}$$

$$\frac{(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', (v_1, v_2))}{(H, \mathsf{snd}(e)) \Downarrow_{i+1} (H', v_2)}\ \text{fg-snd} \qquad \frac{(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', v)}{(H, \mathsf{inl}(e)) \Downarrow_{i+1} (H', \mathsf{inl}(v))}\ \text{fg-inl}$$

$$\frac{(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', v)}{(H, \mathsf{inr}(e)) \Downarrow_{i+1} (H', \mathsf{inr}(v))}\ \text{fg-inr} \qquad \frac{(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', \mathsf{inl}\ v) \qquad (H', e_1[v/x]) \Downarrow_j (H'', v_1)}{(H, \mathsf{case}(e, x.e_1, y.e_2)) \Downarrow_{i+j+1} (H'', v_1)}\ \text{fg-case1}$$

$$\frac{(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', \mathsf{inr}\ v) \qquad (H', e_2[v/x]) \Downarrow_j (H'', v_2)}{(H, \mathsf{case}(e, x.e_1, y.e_2)) \Downarrow_{i+j+1} (H'', v_2)}\ \text{fg-case2}$$

$$\frac{(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', v) \qquad a \notin dom(H)}{(H, \mathsf{new}\ (e)) \Downarrow_{i+1} (H'[a \mapsto v], a)}\ \text{fg-ref} \qquad \frac{(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', a)}{(H, !e) \Downarrow_{i+1} (H', H(a))}\ \text{fg-deref}$$

$$\frac{(H, e_1) \Downarrow_i (H', a) \qquad (H', e_2) \Downarrow_j (H'', v)}{(H, e_1 := e_2) \Downarrow_{i+j+1} (H''[a \mapsto v], ())}\ \text{fg-assign} \qquad \frac{e \in \{x, \lambda y.-\}}{(H, e) \Downarrow_0 (H, e)}\ \text{fg-val}$$

Figure 3: FG semantics

1.1.3 Logical relation for FG

$W : ((Loc \mapsto Type) \times (Loc \mapsto Type) \times (Loc \leftrightarrow Loc))$

Definition 1.2 (θ_2 extends θ_1)**.** $\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_2 \triangleq$
$\forall a \in \theta_1.\theta_1(a) = \tau \implies \theta_2(a) = \tau$

Definition 1.3 (W_2 extends W_1)**.** $W_1 \sqsubseteq W_2 \triangleq$

1. $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\ W_1.\theta_i \sqsubseteq W_2.\theta_i$
2. $\forall p \in (W_1.\hat{\beta}).p \in (W_2.\hat{\beta})$

Definition 1.4 (Binary value relation)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\lceil \mathsf{b} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V & \triangleq & \{(W,n,v_1,v_2) \mid v_1 = v_2 \wedge \{v_1,v_2\} \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!]\} \\
\lceil \mathsf{unit} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V & \triangleq & \{(W,n,(),()) \mid () \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]\} \\
\lceil \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V & \triangleq & \{(W,n,(v_1,v_2),(v_1',v_2')) \mid (W,n,v_1,v_1') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \wedge (W,n,v_2,v_2') \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V\} \\
\lceil \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V & \triangleq & \{(W,n,\mathsf{inl}\ v,\mathsf{inl}\ v') \mid (W,n,v,v') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V\} \cup \\
 & & \{(W,n,\mathsf{inr}\ v,\mathsf{inr}\ v') \mid (W,n,v,v') \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V\} \\
\lceil \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V & \triangleq & \{(W,n,\lambda x.e_1,\lambda x.e_2) \mid \\
 & & \forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2. \\
 & & ((W',j,v_1,v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies (W',j,e_1[v_1/x],e_2[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E) \wedge \\
 & & \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j, v_c. \\
 & & ((\theta_l,j,v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l,j,e_1[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E) \wedge \\
 & & \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j, v_c. \\
 & & ((\theta_l,j,v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l,j,e_2[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E)\} \\
\lceil \mathsf{ref}\ \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V & \triangleq & \{(W,n,a_1,a_2) \mid \\
 & & (a_1,a_2) \in W.\hat{\beta} \wedge W.\theta_1(a_1) = W.\theta_2(a_2) = \tau\}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\lceil \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \triangleq \begin{cases} \{(W,n,v_1,v_2) \mid (W,n,v_1,v_2) \in \lceil \mathsf{A} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V\} & \ell' \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A} \\ \{(W,n,v_1,v_2) \mid \forall i \in \{1,2\}. \forall m. (W.\theta_i, m, v_i) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V\} & \ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A} \end{cases}
$$

Definition 1.5 (Binary expression relation)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E & \triangleq & \{(W,n,e_1,e_2) \mid \\
 & & \forall H_1, H_2, j < n. (n,H_1,H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \\
 & & (H_1,e_1) \Downarrow_j (H_1',v_1') \wedge (H_2,e_2) \Downarrow (H_2',v_2') \implies \\
 & & \exists W' \sqsupseteq W. (n-j,H_1',H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W',n-j,v_1',v_2') \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V\}
\end{array}
$$

Definition 1.6 (Unary value relation)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\lfloor \mathsf{b} \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta,m,v) \mid v \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!]\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta,m,v \mid v \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta,m,(v_1,v_2)) \mid (\theta,m,v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \wedge (\theta,m,v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta,m,\mathsf{inl}\ v) \mid (\theta,m,v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V\} \cup \{(\theta,m,\mathsf{inr}\ v) \mid (\theta,m,v) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta,m,\lambda x.e) \mid \forall \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j < m. \forall v. (\theta',j,v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies \\
 & & (\theta',j,e[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{ref}\ \tau \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta,m,a) \mid \theta(a) = \tau\}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\lfloor \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \rfloor_V \triangleq \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V
$$

Definition 1.7 (Unary expression relation)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\lfloor \tau \rfloor^{pc}_E & \triangleq & \{(\theta,n,e) \mid \forall H. (n,H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall j < n. (H,e) \Downarrow_j (H',v') \implies \\
 & & \exists \theta'. \theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-j,H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta',n-j,v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge \\
 & & (\forall a. H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. \theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge \\
 & & (\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta). \theta'(a) \searrow pc)\}
\end{array}
$$

Definition 1.8 (Unary heap well formedness)**.**

$$(n, H) \triangleright \theta \quad \triangleq \quad dom(\theta) \subseteq dom(H) \wedge \forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta(a) \rfloor_V$$

Definition 1.9 (Binary heap well formedness)**.**

$$\begin{array}{rcl}(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W & \triangleq & dom(W.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1) \wedge dom(W.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2) \wedge \\ & & (W.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W.\theta_1) \times dom(W.\theta_2)) \wedge \\ & & \forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W.\hat{\beta}).(W.\theta_1(a_1) = W.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge \\ & & (W, n-1, H_1(a_1), H_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge \\ & & \forall i \in \{1,2\}. \forall m. \forall a_i \in dom(W.\theta_i).(W.\theta_i, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V\end{array}$$

Definition 1.10 (Binary substitution)**.** $\gamma : Var \mapsto (Val, Val)$

Definition 1.11 (Unary substitution)**.** $\delta : Var \mapsto Val$

Definition 1.12 (Unary interpretation of Γ)**.**

$$\lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V \quad \triangleq \quad \{(\theta, n, \delta) \mid dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta, n, \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V\}$$

Definition 1.13 (Binary interpretation of Γ)**.**

$$\lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \quad \triangleq \quad \{(W, n, \gamma) \mid dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W, n, \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}\}$$

1.1.4 Soundness proof for FG

Lemma 1.14 (Binary value relation subsumes unary value relation)**.** $\forall W, v_1, v_2, \mathcal{A}, n.$
The following holds:

1. $\forall \mathsf{A}.$
   $(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \mathsf{A} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies \forall i \in \{1,2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, v_i) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V$
2. $\forall \tau.$
   $(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies \forall i \in \{1,2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on A and τ
Proof of statement (1)
We analyze the various cases of A in the last step:

1. Case $\mathsf{b}, \mathsf{unit}$:
   From Definition 1.6
2. Case $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$:
   Given: $(W, n, (v_{i1}, v_{i2}), (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lceil \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   To prove:
   $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, (v_{i1}, v_{i2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V \qquad$ (P01)
   and
   $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_2, m, (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V \qquad$ (P02)

   From Definition 1.4 we know that we are given

$(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W, n, v_{i2}, v_{j2}) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (P1)

IH1a: $\forall m_1.\ (W.\theta_1, m_1, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ and
IH1b: $\forall m_1.\ (W.\theta_2, m_1, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
IH2a: $\forall m_2.\ (W.\theta_1, m_2, v_{i2}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ and
IH2b: $\forall m_2.\ (W.\theta_2, m_2, v_{j2}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

From (P01) we know that given some m we need to prove
$(W.\theta_1, m, (v_{i1}, v_{i2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$
Similarly from (P02) we know that given some m we need to prove
$(W.\theta_2, m, (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

We instantiate IH1a and IH2a with the given m from (P01) to get
$(W.\theta_1, m, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_1, m, v_{i2}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$
Then from Definition 1.6, we get
$(W.\theta_1, m, (v_{i1}, v_{i2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

Similarly we instantiate IH1b and IH2b with the given m from (P02) to get
$(W.\theta_2, m, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, m, v_{j2}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$
Then from Definition 1.6, we get
$(W.\theta_2, m, (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

3. Case $\tau_1 + \tau_2$:

   2 cases arise:

   (a) $v_1 = \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1})$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{inl}(v_{j1})$
   Given: $(W, n, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1}), \mathsf{inl}(v_{j1})) \in \lceil \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   To prove:
   $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ (S01)
   and
   $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_2, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ (S02)

   From Definition 1.4 we know that we are given
   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (S0)
   IH1: $\forall m_1.\ (W.\theta_1, m_1, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ and
   IH2: $\forall m_2.\ (W.\theta_2, m_2, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

   From (S01) we know that given some m and we are required to prove:
   $(W.\theta_1, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$
   Also from (S02) we know that given some m and we are required to prove:
   $(W.\theta_2, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   We instantiate IH1 with m from (S01) to get
   $(W.\theta_1, m, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

Therefore from Definition 1.6, we get
$(W.\theta_1, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

We instantiate IH2 with m from (S02) to get
$(W.\theta_2, m, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
Therefore from Definition 1.6, we get
$(W.\theta_2, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{j1})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

(b) $v_1 = \mathsf{inr}(v_{i2})$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{inr}(v_{j2})$
Symmetric case as (a)

4. Case $\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2$:

Given: $(W, n, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means from Definition 1.4 we know that

$\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2.((W', j, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies (W', j, e_1[v_1/x], e_2[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$
$\wedge\ \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, i, v_c.((\theta_l, i, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, i, e_1[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E)$
$\wedge\ \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, v_c.((\theta_l, k, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, k, e_2[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E)$ (L0)

To prove:

(a) $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \lambda x.e_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rfloor_V$:
This means from Definition 1.6 we need to prove:
$\forall \theta'. W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j < m. \forall v.(\theta', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta', j, e_1[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E$
This further means that we have some θ', j and v s.t
$W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge j < m \wedge (\theta', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
And we need to prove: $(\theta', j, e_1[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E$

Instantiating θ_l, i and v_c in the second conjunct of L0 with θ', j and v respectively and since we know that $W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'$ and $(\theta', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

Therefore we get $(\theta', j, e_1[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E$

(b) $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_2, m, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rfloor_V$:
Similar reasoning with e_2

5. Case $\mathsf{ref}\ \tau$:

From Definition 1.4 and 1.6

Proof of statement (2)
Let $\tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell}$
2 cases arise:

1. $\ell \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

From IH (statement(1))

2. $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

Directly from Definition 1.4

□

Lemma 1.15 (Monotonicity Unary)**.** *The following holds:*
$\forall \theta, \theta', v, m, m'.$

1. $\forall \mathsf{A}.\ (\theta, m, v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V \wedge m' < m \wedge \theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \implies (\theta', m', v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V$
2. $\forall \tau.\ (\theta, m, v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge m' < m \wedge \theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \implies (\theta', m', v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on A and τ
Proof of statement (1)
We analyze the various cases of A in the last step:

1. case $\mathsf{b}, \mathsf{unit}$:
   Directly from Definition 1.6
2. case $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$:
   Given: $(\theta, m, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$
   To prove: $(\theta', m', (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$
   This means from Definition 1.6 we know that
   $(\theta, m, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \wedge (\theta, m, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$
   IH1 : $(\theta', m', v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
   IH2 : $(\theta', m', v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$
   We get the desired from IH1, IH2 and Definition 1.6
3. case $\tau_1 + \tau_2$:
   2 cases arise:
   (a) $v = \mathsf{inl}(v_1)$:
   Given: $(\theta, m, (\mathsf{inl}\ v_1)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$
   To prove: $(\theta', m', \mathsf{inl}\ v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$
   This means from Definition 1.6 we know that
   $(\theta, m, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
   IH : $(\theta', m', v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
   Therefore from IH and Definition 1.6 we get the desired
   (b) $v = \mathsf{inr}(v_2)$
   Symmetric case
4. case $\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2$:
   Given: $(\theta, m, (\lambda x.e_1)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rfloor_V$
   To prove: $(\theta', m', (\lambda x.e_1)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rfloor_V$
   This means from Definition 1.6 we know that

$$\forall \theta''.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'' \wedge \forall j < m. \forall v. (\theta'', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta'', j, e_1[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e} \tag{1}$$

Similarly from Definition 1.6 we know that we are required to prove
$\forall \theta'''.\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta''' \wedge \forall k < m'.\forall v_1.(\theta''', k, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta''', k, e_1[v_1/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

This means that given some θ''', k and v_1 such that $\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta''' \wedge k < m' \wedge (\theta''', k, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

And we are required to prove $(\theta''', k, e_1[v_1/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

Instantiating Equation 57 with θ''', k and v_1 and since we know that $\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta'''$ and $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'$ therefore we have $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'''$. Also, we know that $k < m' < m$ and $(\theta''', k, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

Therefore we get $(\theta''', k, e_1[v_1/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

5. case $\mathsf{ref}\ \tau$:

   From Definition 1.6 and Definition 1.2

Proof of statement (2)
Let $\tau = \mathsf{A}^\ell$
Since $\lfloor \mathsf{A}^\ell \rfloor_V = \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V$, therefore from IH (statement 1) □

Lemma 1.16 (Monotonicity binary)**.** *The following holds:*
$\forall W, W', v_1, v_2, \mathcal{A}, n, n'.$

1. $\forall \mathsf{A}.\ (W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \mathsf{A} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge n' < n \wedge W \sqsubseteq W' \implies (W', n', v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \mathsf{A} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

2. $\forall \tau.\ (W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge n' < n \wedge W \sqsubseteq W' \implies (W', n', v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on A and τ
Proof of statement (1)
We analyze the different cases of A in the last step:

1. Case $\mathsf{b}, \mathsf{unit}$:

   From Definition 1.4

2. Case $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$:

   Given: $(W, n, (v_{i1}, v_{i2}), (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lceil \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   To prove: $(W', n', (v_{i1}, v_{i2}), (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lceil \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   From Definition 1.4 we know that we are given
   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W, n, v_{i2}, v_{j2}) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   IH1 : $(W', n', v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   IH2 : $(W', n', v_{i2}, v_{j2}) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   From IH1, IH2 and Definition 1.4 we get the desired.

3. Case $\tau_1 + \tau_2$:

   2 cases arise:

(a) $v_1 = \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i1}$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i2}$:
Given: $(W, n, (\mathsf{inl}\ v_{i1}, \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i2})) \in \lceil \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
To prove: $(W', n', (\mathsf{inl}\ v_{i1}, \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i2})) \in \lceil \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

From Definition 1.4 we know that we are given
$(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
IH : $(W', n', v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
Therefore from Definition 1.4 we get
$(W', n', \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i1}, \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

(b) $v_1 = \mathsf{inr}(v_{12})$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{inr}(v_{22})$:
Symmetric case

4. Case $\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2$:

Given: $(W, n, (\lambda x.e_1), (\lambda x.e_2)) \in \lceil \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

To prove: $(\theta', n', (\lambda x.e_1), (\lambda x.e_1)) \in \lceil \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means from Definition 1.4 we know that the following holds

$\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2.((W', j, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies (W', j, e_1[v_1/x], e_2[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$ (BM-A0)

$\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j, v_c.((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_1[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E)$ (BM-A1)

$\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j, v_c.((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_2[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E)$ (BM-A2)

Similarly from Definition 1.4 we know that we are required to prove

(a) $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W', k < n', v_1', v_2'.((W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies (W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$:
This means that we are given some $W'' \sqsupseteq W'$, $k < n'$ and v_1', v_2' s.t
$(W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
And we a required to prove: $(W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

Instantiating BM-A0 with W'', k and v_1', v_2' we get
$(W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

(b) $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_1, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E)$:
This means that we are given some $\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_1$, k and v_c' s.t
$(\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
And we a required to prove: $(\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E$

Instantiating BM-A1 with θ_l', k and v_c' we get
$(\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E$

(c) $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_2[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E)$:
This means that we are given some $\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_2$, k and v_c' s.t
$(\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
And we a required to prove: $(\theta_l', k, e_2[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E$

Instantiating BM-A1 with θ_l', k and v_c' we get
$(\theta_l', k, e_2[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E$

5. Case ref τ:

   From Definition 1.4 and Definition 1.3

Proof of statement (2)
Let $\tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell}$
2 cases arise:

1. $\ell \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

   From IH (statement 1)

2. $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

   From Lemma 1.15 and Definition 1.4

□

Lemma 1.17 (Unary monotonicity for Γ)**.** $\forall \theta, \theta', \delta, \Gamma, n, n'.$
$(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V \wedge n' < n \wedge \theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \implies (\theta', n', \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Proof. Given: $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V \wedge n' < n \wedge \theta \sqsubseteq \theta'$
To prove: $(\theta', n', \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.12 it is given that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta, n, \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$

And again from Definition 1.12 we are required to prove that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta', n', \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$

- $dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta)$:

  Given

- $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta', n', \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$:

  Since we know that $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta, n, \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$ (given)

  Therefore from Lemma 1.15 we get

  $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta', n', \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$

□

Lemma 1.18 (Binary monotonicity for Γ)**.** $\forall W, W', \delta, \Gamma, n, n'.$
$(W, n, \gamma) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V \wedge n' < n \wedge W \sqsubseteq W' \implies (W', n', \gamma) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Proof. Given: $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V \wedge n' < n \wedge W \sqsubseteq W'$
To prove: $(W', n', \gamma) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.13 it is given that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W, n, \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

And again from Definition 1.12 we are required to prove that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W', n', \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

- $dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma)$:

  Given

- $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W', n', \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$:

  Since we know that $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W, n, \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (given)

  Therefore from Lemma 1.16 we get

  $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W', n', \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

□

Lemma 1.19 (Unary monotonicity for H)**.** $\forall \theta, H, n, n'.$
$(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge n' < n \implies (n', H) \triangleright \theta$

Proof. Given: $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge n' < n$
To prove: $(n', H) \triangleright \theta$

From Definition 1.8 it is given that
$dom(\theta) \subseteq dom(H) \wedge \forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta(a) \rfloor_V$

And again from Definition 1.12 we are required to prove that
$dom(\theta) \subseteq dom(H) \wedge \forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n'-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta'(a) \rfloor_V$

- $dom(\theta) \subseteq dom(H)$:

  Given

- $\forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n'-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta'(a) \rfloor_V$:

  Since we know that $\forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta(a) \rfloor_V$ (given)

  Therefore from Lemma 1.15 we get

  $\forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n'-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta'(a) \rfloor_V$

□

Lemma 1.20 (Binary monotonicity for heaps)**.** $\forall W, H_1, H_2, n, n'.$
$(n, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W \wedge n' < n \implies (n', H_1, H_2) \triangleright W$

Proof. Given: $(n, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W \wedge n' < n \wedge W \sqsubseteq W'$
To prove: $(n', H_1, H_2) \triangleright W$

From Definition 1.9 it is given that
$dom(W.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1) \wedge dom(W.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2) \wedge$
$(W.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W.\theta_1) \times dom(W.\theta_2)) \wedge$
$\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W.\hat{\beta}).(W.\theta_1(a_1) = W.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge$
$(W, n-1, H_1(a_1), H_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V) \wedge$
$\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i \in dom(W.\theta_i).(W.\theta_i, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$

And again from Definition 1.9 we are required to prove:

- $dom(W.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1) \wedge dom(W.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2)$:

  Given

- $(W.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W.\theta_1) \times dom(W.\theta_2))$:
  Given
- $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W.\hat{\beta}).(W.\theta_1(a_1) = W.\theta_2(a_2)$ and $(W, n'-1, H_1(a_1), H_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}})$:
  $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W.\hat{\beta})$.
  - $(W.\theta_1(a_1) = W.\theta_2(a_2))$: Given
  - $(W, n'-1, H_1(a_1), H_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}})$:
    Given and from Lemma 1.16
- $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i \in dom(W.\theta_i).(W.\theta_i, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$:
  Given

□

Theorem 1.21 (Fundamental theorem unary)**.** $\forall \Gamma, pc, \theta, e, \tau, \delta, n.$
$\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau \wedge$
$(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V \implies$
$(\theta, n, e\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{pc}$

Proof. Proof by induction on FG typing derivation

1. FG-var:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash_{pc} x : \tau}\ \text{FG-var}$$

To prove: $(\theta, n, x\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means that from Definition 1.7 we need to prove

$\forall H.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall j < n.(H, e) \Downarrow_j (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$

This means that given some heap H and $j < n$ s.t $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, x\ \delta) \Downarrow_j (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-V0)

In order to prove FU-V0 we instantiate θ' with θ. From reduction relation we know that $H' = H$, $v' = \delta(x)$ and $j = 1$

We need to prove the following:

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta \wedge (n-1, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (\theta, n-1, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$:
- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta$: From Definition 1.2
- $(n-1, H) \triangleright \theta$: From Lemma 1.19

- $(\theta, n-1, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$:
  Since we are given that $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$ and $v' = \delta(x)$
  Therefore $(\theta, n, v') \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$, where $\Gamma(x) = \tau$
  And finally from Lemma 1.15 we get $(\theta, n-1, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

(b) $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
Since $H' = H$, so we are done

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta(a) \searrow pc)$:
Since $\theta' = \theta$, so we are done

2. FG-lam:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{\ell_e} e_i : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \lambda x.e_i : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp}}$$

To prove: $(\theta, \lambda x.e_i\ \delta) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp}) \rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means that from Definition 1.7 we need to prove

$\forall H.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall j < n.(H, (\lambda x.e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_j (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-j, v') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$

This means that given some heap H and $j < n$ s.t $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (\lambda x.e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_j (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-j, v') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-L0)

IH1:

$\forall \theta_i, v_x, n.\ (\theta_i, n, e_i\ \delta \cup \{x \mapsto v_x\}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$, s.t $(\theta_i, n, v_x) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

In order to prove FU-L0 we instantiate θ' with θ. From reduction relation we know that $H' = H$, $j = 0$ and $v' = \lambda x.e_i\ \delta$

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta \wedge (n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (\theta, n, v') \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp}) \rfloor_V$:

- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta$: From Definition 1.2
- $(n, H) \triangleright \theta$: Given
- $(\theta, n, (\lambda x.e_i)\delta) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp}) \rfloor_V$:
  From Definition 1.6 it suffices to prove that
  $\forall \theta''.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'' \wedge \forall j < n.\forall v.(\theta'', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta'', j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

  This means given some θ'', j and v such that $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta''$, $j < n$ and $(\theta'', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
  It suffices to prove that $(\theta'', j, e_i[v/x]\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

  Since $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$ and $j < n$ therefore from Lemma 1.17 we have
  $(\theta, j, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

  So we can apply IH1 instantiated with θ'', v and j we get
  $(\theta'', j, e_i[v/x]\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

(b) $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
Since $H' = H$ so we are done

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta(a) \searrow pc)$:
Since $\theta' = \theta$ so we are done

3. FG-app:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell} \qquad \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_1 \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 \searrow \ell \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1\ e_2 : \tau_2}$$

To prove: $(\theta, n, (e_1\ e_2)\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means that from Definition 1.7 we need to prove

$\forall H.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall n' < n.(H, (e_1\ e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$

This means that given some heap H s.t $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (e_1\ e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-P0)

IH1:

$\forall n_1, H_1.(n_1, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall i < n_1.(H_1, (e_1)\ \delta) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1') \implies$
$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n_1 - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n_1 - i, v_1') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$

Instantiating IH1 with n, H and since we know that $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (e_1\ e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n - i, v_1') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-P1)

From evaluation rule we know that $v_1' = \lambda x.e_i$. Since from FU-P1 we know that

$(\theta_1', n - i, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell} \rfloor_V$

This means from Definition 1.6 we have

$$\forall \theta''.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta'' \wedge \forall j < (n - i).\forall v.(\theta'', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta'', j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e} \qquad (2)$$

IH2:

$\forall n_2, \forall H_2.(n_2, H_2) \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge \forall k < n_2.(H_2, (e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_k (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists \theta_2'.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (n_2 - k, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n_2 - k, v_2') \in \lfloor (\tau_1) \rfloor_V \wedge$

$(\forall a.H_2(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_2'(a) \searrow pc)$

Instantiating IH2 with $n-i$, H_1' and since we know that $(n-i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (H, (e_1\ e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta_2'.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (n-i-k, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n-i-k, v_2') \in \lfloor (\tau_1) \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_2(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_2'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-P2)

Instantiating θ'', j and v in Equation 2 with θ_2', $n-i-k$ and v_2' from FU-P2 respectively, we get

$(\theta_2', n-i-k, e_i[v_2'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

This means from Definition 1.7 we have

$\forall H_3.(n-i-k, H_3) \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge \forall l < (n-i-k).(H_3, e_i[v_2'/x]) \Downarrow_l (H_3', v_3') \implies$
$\exists \theta_3'.\theta_2' \sqsubseteq \theta_3' \wedge ((n-i-k-l), H_3') \triangleright \theta_3' \wedge (\theta_3', (n-i-k-l), v_3') \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_3(a) \neq H_3'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_2'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge \ell_e \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_3') \backslash dom(\theta_2').\theta_3'(a) \searrow \ell_e)$

Instantiating H_3 with H_2' from FU-P2 and since we know that $((n-i-k), H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$ and since the reduction happens therefore we have

$\exists \theta_3'.\theta_2' \sqsubseteq \theta_3' \wedge ((n-i-k-l), H_3') \triangleright \theta_3' \wedge (\theta_3', (n-i-k-l), v_3') \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_3(a) \neq H_3'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_2'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge \ell_e \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_3') \backslash dom(\theta_2').\theta_3'(a) \searrow \ell_e)$ (FU-P3)

In order to prove FU-P0 we choose θ' as θ_3' from FU-P3. Also we know that $H' = H_3'$, $v' = v_3'$ and $n' = i+k+l$. Now we are required to show

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_3' \wedge ((n-i-k-l), H_3') \triangleright \theta_3' \wedge (\theta_3', (n-i-k-l), v_3') \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$:
- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_3'$:
  Since $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$ from FU-P1, $\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$ from FU-P2 and $\theta_2' \sqsubseteq \theta_3'$ from FU-P3 therefore from Definition 1.2 we get $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_3'$
- $((n-i-k-l), H_3') \triangleright \theta_3'$:
  From FU-P3 we get $((n-i-k-l), H_3') \triangleright \theta_3'$
- $(\theta_3', (n-i-k-l), v_3') \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$:
  From FU-P3 we get $(\theta_3', (n-i-k-l), v_3') \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

(b) $(\forall a \in dom(H).H(a) \neq H_3'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$
Since $pc \sqsubseteq \ell_e$ therefore we get the desired from FU-P1, FU-P2 and FU-P3

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_3') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_3'(a) \searrow pc)$
Since $pc \sqsubseteq \ell_e$ therefore we get the desired from FU-P1, FU-P2 and FU-P3

4. FG-prod:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : \tau_1 \qquad \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp}}$$

To prove: $(\theta, n, (e_1, e_2)\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp} \rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means that from Definition 1.7 we need to prove

$\forall H.(n,H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall n' < n.(H,(e_1,e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H',v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-n',H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-n', v') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$

This means that given some heap H s.t $H \triangleright \theta \wedge (H,(e_1,e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H',v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-n',H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-n', v') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-PA0)

IH1:

$\forall H_1, n_1.(n_1,H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall i < n_!.(H_1,(e_1)\ \delta) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1') \implies$
$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n_1 - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n_1 - i, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$

We instantiate IH1 with H and n. And since we know that $(n,H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H,(e_1,e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H',v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n-i,H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n-i, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-PA1)

IH2:

$\forall H_2, n_2.(n_2,H_2) \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge \forall j < n_2.(H_2,(e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_k (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists \theta_2'.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (n_2 - j, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n_2 - j, v_2') \in \lfloor (\tau_2) \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_2(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_2'(a) \searrow pc)$

We instantiate IH2 with H_1' and $n-i$. And since we know that $(n-i,H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (H,(e_1,e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H',v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta_2'.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (n-i-j,H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n-i-j, v_2') \in \lfloor (\tau_2) \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_2(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_2'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-PA2)

In order to prove FU-PA0 we choose θ' as θ_2' from FU-PA2. Also we know from the evaluation rule, that let $v' = (v_1', v_2')$, $H' = H_2'$ and $n' = i + j + 1$. Now we are required to show

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (n-i-j-1,H') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n-i-j-1, v') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp} \rfloor_V$:

- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$:
  Since $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$ from FU-PA1 and $\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$ from FU-PA2 therefore from Definition 1.2 we get $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$
- $(n-i-j-1,H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$:
  From FU-PA2 we get $(n-i-j,H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$ therefore from Lemma 1.19 we get $(n-i-j-1,H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$

- $(\theta_2', n-i-j, v') \in \lfloor(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp}\rfloor_V$:
  From Definition 1.6 it suffices to show
  i. $(\theta_2', n-i-j-1, v_1') \in \lfloor(\tau_1)\rfloor_V$:
  Since from FU-PA1 we know that $(\theta_1', n-i, v_1') \in \lfloor(\tau_1)\rfloor_V$ and since $\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$ (from FU-PA2) therefore from Lemma 1.15 we get $(\theta_2', n-i-j-1, v_1') \in \lfloor(\tau_1)\rfloor_V$
  ii. $(\theta_2', n-i-j-1, v_2') \in \lfloor(\tau_2)\rfloor_V$:
  From FU-PA2 we know that $(\theta_2', n-i-j, v_2') \in \lfloor(\tau_2)\rfloor_V$ therefore from Lemma 1.15 we get $(\theta_2', n-i-j-1, v_2') \in \lfloor(\tau_2)\rfloor_V$

(b) $(\forall a \in dom(H).H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$
From FU-PA1 and FU-PA2

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_2'(a) \searrow pc)$
From FU-PA1 and FU-PA2

5. FG-fst:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \searrow \ell}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{fst}(e_i) : \tau_1}$$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{fst}(e_i)\ \delta) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means that from Definition 1.7 we need to prove

$\forall H.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall n' < n.(H, \mathsf{fst}(e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-n', v') \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$

This means that given some heap H s.t $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, \mathsf{fst}(e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-n', v') \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-F0)

IH1:

$\forall H_1, n_1.(n_1, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall i < n_1.(H_1, (e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1') \implies$
$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n_1 - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n_1 - i, v_1') \in \lfloor(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell}\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$

Instantiating IH1 with H and n. Since we know that $H \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, \mathsf{fst}(e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n-i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n-i, v_1') \in \lfloor(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell}\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-F1)

From evaluation rule we know that $v_1' = (v_1'', v_2'')$

In order to prove FU-F0 we choose θ' as θ_1' from FU-P1. Also we know that $H' = H_1'$ and $v' = v_1''$. Now we are required to show

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n-i-1, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n-i-1, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$:

- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$:
  From FU-F1
- $(n-i-1, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$:
  From FU-F1 we know $(n-i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$ therefore from Lemma 1.19 we get $(n-i-1, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$
- $(\theta_1', n-i, v_1'') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$:
  Since from FU-F1 we know that $(\theta_1', n-i, (v_1'', v_2'')) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rfloor_V$
  Therefore from Definition 1.6 we know that $(\theta_1', n-i, v_1'') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
  From Lemma 1.15 we get $(\theta_1', n-i-1, v_1'') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

(b) $(\forall a \in dom(H).H(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$
From FU-F1

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$
From FU-F1

6. FG-snd:

   Symmetric case to FG-fst

7. FG-inl:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{inl}(e_i) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp}}$$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{inl}(e_i)\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp} \rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means that from Definition 1.7 we need to prove

$\forall H, n.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall n' < n.(H, \mathsf{inl}(e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-n', v') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$

This means that given some heap H and n s.t $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, \mathsf{inl}(e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-n', v') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-LE0)

IH1:

$\forall H_1, n_1.(n_1, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall i < n_1.(H_1, (e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1') \implies$
$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n_1 - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n_1 - i, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$

Instantiating IH1 with H and n. Since we know that $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, \mathsf{inl}(e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n-i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n-i, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-LE1)

In order to prove FU-LE0 we choose θ' as θ'_1 from FU-LE1. Also we know from the evaluation rule, that let $v' = \mathsf{inl}(v'_1)$, $H' = H'_1$ and $n' = i+1$. Now we are required to show

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'_1 \wedge (n-i-1, H') \triangleright \theta'_1 \wedge (\theta'_1, n-i-1, v') \in \lfloor(\tau_1 + \tau_2)\rfloor_V$:

- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'_1$:
  From FU-LE1
- $(n-i-1, H') \triangleright \theta'_1$:
  From FU-LE1 we know that $(n-i, H') \triangleright \theta'_1$ therefore from Lemma 1.19 we get $(n-i-1, H') \triangleright \theta'_1$
- $(\theta'_1, n-i-1, v') \in \lfloor(\tau_1 + \tau_2)\rfloor_V$:
  Since $v' = \mathsf{inl}(v'_1)$ and from FU-LE1 we know that $(\theta'_1, n-i, v'_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V$
  Therefore from Definition 1.6 we get $(\theta'_1, n-i, v') \in \lfloor(\tau_1 + \tau_2)\rfloor_V$
  From Lemma 1.15 we get $(\theta'_1, n-i-1, v') \in \lfloor(\tau_1 + \tau_2)\rfloor_V$

(b) $(\forall a \in dom(H).H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$
From FU-LE1

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta'_1) \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'_1(a) \searrow pc)$
From FU-LE1

8. FG-inr:

   Symmetric case to FG-inl

9. FG-case:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\ell} \qquad \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{pc \sqcup \ell} e_1 : \tau \qquad \Gamma, y : \tau_2 \vdash_{pc \sqcup \ell} e_2 : \tau \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau \searrow \ell}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{case}(e, x.e_1, y.e_2) : \tau}$$

To prove: $(\theta, n, (\mathsf{case}\ e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \delta) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor^{pc}_E$

This means that from Definition 1.7 we need to prove

$\forall H, n.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall n' < n.(H, (\mathsf{case}\ e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-n', v') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$

This means that given some heap H and n s.t $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (\mathsf{case}\ e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-n', v') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-C0)

IH1:

$\forall H_1, n_1.(n_1, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall i < n_1.(H_1, (e_c)\ \delta) \Downarrow_i (H'_1, v'_c) \implies$
$\exists \theta'_1.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'_1 \wedge (n_1 - i, H'_1) \triangleright \theta'_1 \wedge (\theta'_1, n_1 - i, v'_c) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\ell}\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H'_1(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta'_1) \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'_1(a) \searrow pc)$

Instantiating IH1 with H and n. Since we know that $H \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (\mathsf{case}\ e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n-i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n-i, v_c') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\ell} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-C1)

2 cases arise:

(a) $v_c' = \mathsf{inl}(v_{ci})$:

IH2:

$\forall H_2, n_2.(n_2, H_2) \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge \forall j < n_2.(H_2, (e_1)\ \delta \cup \{x \mapsto v_{ci}\}) \Downarrow_j (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists \theta_2'.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (n_2 - j, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n_2 - j, v_2') \in \lfloor (\tau) \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_2(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_2'(a) \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell))$

Instantiating IH2 with H_1' and $n-i$ since we know that $H_1' \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (H, (\mathsf{case}\ e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta_2'.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (n-i-j, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n-i-j, v_2') \in \lfloor (\tau) \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_2(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_2'(a) \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell))$ (FU-C2)

In order to prove FU-C0 we choose θ' as θ_2' from FU-C2. Also we know that $H' = H_2'$, $v' = v_2'$ and $n' = i + j + 1$. Now we are required to show

i. $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (n-i-j-1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n-i-j-1, v_2') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$:
   - $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$:
     Since $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$ from FU-C1 and $\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$ from FU-C2 therefore from Definition 1.2 we get $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$
   - $(n-i-j-1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$:
     From FU-C2 we know that $(n-i-j, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$ therefore from Lemma 1.19 we get $(n-i-j-1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$
   - $(\theta_2', n-i-j-1, v_2') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$:
     From FU-C2 we know that $(\theta_2', n-i-j, v_2') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$ therefore from Lemma 1.15 we get $(\theta_2', n-i-j-1, v_2') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

ii. $(\forall a \in dom(H).H(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
   Since from FU-C2 we know that
   $(\forall a.H_1'(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
   therefore we also have
   $(\forall a.H_1'(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc) \sqsubseteq \ell')$

   and from FU-C1 we know that
   $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc) \sqsubseteq \ell')$

   Combining the two we get
   $(\forall a \in dom(H).H(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$

iii. $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_2'(a) \searrow pc)$:
   Since from FU-C2 we know that
   $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_2'(a) \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell))$
   therefore we also have
   $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_2'(a) \searrow (pc))$

and from FU-C1 we know that
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell))$

Combining the two we get
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_2'(a) \searrow pc)$

(b) $v_c' = \mathsf{inr}(v_{ci})$:
Symmetric case as $v_c' = \mathsf{inl}(v_{ci})$

10. FG-ref:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i : \tau \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau \searrow pc}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{new}\ e_i : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp}}$$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{new}\ (e_i)\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp} \rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means that from Definition 1.7 we need to prove

$\forall H, n.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall n' < n.(H, \mathsf{new}\ (e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$

This means that given some heap H and n s.t $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, \mathsf{new}\ (e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-R0)

IH1:

$\forall H_1, n_1.(n_1, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall i < n_1.(H_1, (e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1') \implies$
$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n_1 - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n_1 - i, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$

Instantiating IH1 with H and n. Since we know that $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, \mathsf{new}\ (e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n - i, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-R1)

From the evaluation rule we know that $H' = H_1'[a \mapsto v_1']$ where $a \notin dom(H_1')$, $v' = a$ and $n' = i + 1$. In order to prove FU-R0 we choose θ' as $\theta_2' = (\theta_1' \cup \{a \mapsto \tau\})$. Now we are required to show

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (n - i - 1, H') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n - i - 1, v') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp} \rfloor_V$:

- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$:
  From FU-R1 we know that $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$ therefore from Definition 1.2 $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$

- $(n-i-1, H') \triangleright \theta'_2$:
  From FU-R1 we know that $(n-i, H'_1) \triangleright \theta'_1$. Therefore from Lemma 1.19 we get $(n-i-1, H'_1) \triangleright \theta'_1$.
  We also know that $(\theta'_1, n-i, v'_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$ (from FU-R1) therefore from Lemma 1.15 we get $(\theta'_1, n-i-1, v'_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$
  Since $H' = H'_1[a \mapsto v'_1]$ and $\theta'_2 = (\theta'_1 \cup \{a \mapsto \tau\})$ therefore from Definition 1.8 we get $(n-i-1, H') \triangleright \theta'_2$
- $(\theta'_2, n-i-1, a) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp} \rfloor_V$:
  Since $\theta'_2(a) = \tau$ therefore from Definition 1.6 we get $(\theta'_2, n-i-1, a) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp} \rfloor_V$

(b) $(\forall a \in dom(H).H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$
From FU-R1

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta'_2) \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'_2(a) \searrow pc)$:
We get this from FU-R1 and $\tau \searrow pc$ (given)

11. FG-deref:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau' \searrow \ell}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} !e_i : \tau'}$$

To prove: $(\theta, n, (!e_i)\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor^{pc}_E$

This means that from Definition 1.7 we need to prove

$\forall H, n.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall n' < n.(H, (!e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-n', v') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$

This means that given some heap H and n s.t $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (!e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-n', v') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-D0)

IH1:

$\forall H_1, n_1.(n_1, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall i < n_1.(H_1, (e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_i (H'_1, v'_1) \implies$
$\exists \theta'_1.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'_1 \wedge (n_1 - i, H'_1) \triangleright \theta'_1 \wedge (\theta'_1, n_1 - i, v'_1) \in \lfloor ((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau))^{\ell} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H'_1(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta'_1) \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'_1(a) \searrow pc)$

Instantiating IH1 with H and n. Since we know that $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, !(e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta'_1.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'_1 \wedge (n-i, H'_1) \triangleright \theta'_1 \wedge (\theta'_1, n-i, v'_1) \in \lfloor ((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau))^{\ell} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H'_1(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta'_1) \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'_1(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-D1)

In order to prove FU-D0 we choose θ' as θ'_1 from FU-D1. Also we know from the evaluation rule, that $H' = H'_1$, $v' = H'_1(a)$, $v'_1 = a$ and $n' = i + 1$. Now we are required to show

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n-i-1, H') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n-i-1, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$:

- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$:
  From FU-D1
- $(n-i-1, H') \triangleright \theta_1'$:
  From FU-D1 we know that $(n-i, H') \triangleright \theta_1'$ therefore from Lemma 1.19 we get $(n-i-1, H') \triangleright \theta_1'$
- $(\theta_1', n-i-1, v') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V$:
  Since from FU-D1 we know that $(n-i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$ therefore from the Definition 1.8 we get $(\theta_1', n-i, H_1'(a)) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$
  From Lemma 1.15 we get $(\theta_1', n-i-1, H_1'(a)) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$
  Since $\tau <: \tau'$ therefore from Lemma 1.23 we get $(\theta_1', n-i-1, H_1'(a)) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V$

(b) $(\forall a \in dom(H).H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$
From FU-D1

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$
From FU-D1

12. FG-assign:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \qquad \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 := e_2 : \mathsf{unit}}$$

To prove: $(\theta, n, (e_1 := e_2)\ \delta) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means that from Definition 1.7 we need to prove

$\forall H, n.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall n' < n.(H, (e_1 := e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-n', v') \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$

This means that given some heap H and n s.t $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (e_1 := e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-n', v') \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-A0)

IH1:

$\forall H_1, n_1.(n_1, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall i < n_1.(H_1, (e_1)\ \delta) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1') \implies$
$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n_1 - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n_1 - i, v_1') \in \lfloor ((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau))^{\ell} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$

Instantiating IH1 with H and n. Since we know that $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (e_1 := e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n-i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n-i, v_1') \in \lfloor ((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau))^{\ell} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-A1)

IH2:

$\forall H_2, n_2.(n_2, H_2) \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge \forall j < n_2.(H_2, (e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_j (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists \theta_2'.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq (n_2 - j, \theta_2') \wedge H_2' \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n_2 - j, v_2') \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_2(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_2'(a) \searrow pc)$

Instantiating IH2 with H_1' and since we know that $H_1' \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (H, (e_1 := e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta_2'.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq (n - i - j, \theta_2') \wedge H_2' \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n - i - j, v_2') \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_2(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_2'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-A2)

In order to prove FU-A0 we choose θ' as θ_2' from FU-A2. Also we know from the evaluation rule, assign, that let $v_1' = a_1$, $H' = H_2'[a_1 \mapsto v_2']$, $v' = ()$ and $n' = i + j + 1$. Now we are required to show

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (n - i - j - 1, H') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n - i - j - 1, ()) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V$:

- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$:
  Since $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$ from FU-A1 and $\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$ from FU-A2 therefore from Definition 1.2 we get $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$
- $(n - i - j - 1, H') \triangleright \theta_2'$:
  From Definition 1.8 it suffices to prove that
  i. $dom(\theta_2') \subseteq dom(H')$: From FU-A2
  ii. $\forall a \in dom(\theta_2').(\theta_2', n - i - j - 1, H'(a)) \in \lfloor \theta_2'(a) \rfloor_V$:
  $\forall a \in dom(\theta_2')$.
  - $a = a_1$:
    From FU-A2 (since we know that $(\theta_2', n - i - j, v_2') \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_V$)
    Therefore from Lemma 1.15 we get $(\theta_2', n - i - j - 1, v_2') \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_V$
  - $a \neq a_1$:
    From FU-A2 (since we know that $(n-i-j, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$ therefore from Lemma 1.19 we get $(n - i - j - 1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$)
- $(\theta_2', n - i - j - 1, ()) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V$:
  From Definition 1.6

(b) $(\forall a \in dom(H).H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$
$\forall a \in dom(H)$.

- $a = a_1$:
  Since we know that $H(a_1) \neq H'(a_1)$ and $\theta(a_1) = \tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$ (given)
  It is given that $\tau \searrow pc$ therefore $pc \sqsubseteq \ell_i$
- $a \neq a_1$:
  From FU-A2

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_2'(a) \searrow pc)$
From FU-A2

□

Lemma 1.22 (Expression subtyping)**.** $\forall pc, pc', \tau.$
$\mathcal{L} \models pc \sqsubseteq pc' \implies \lfloor\tau\rfloor_E^{pc'} \subseteq \lfloor\tau\rfloor_E^{pc}$

Proof. Given: $\mathcal{L} \models pc \sqsubseteq pc'$

To prove: $\lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_E^{pc'} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means we need to prove that

$\forall(\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_E^{pc'}.\ (\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means given $\forall(\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_E^{pc'}$

It suffices to prove that $(\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_E^{pc}$

From Definition 1.7 for the chosen θ, n, e we are given:

$\forall H.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall j < n.(H, e) \Downarrow_j (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - j, v') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc' \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc')$ (A)

And we need prove that

$\forall H_1.(n, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall k < n.(H_1, e) \Downarrow_k (H_1', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n - k, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n - k, v') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$

This means that we are given some H_1 and k such that $(n, H_1) \triangleright \theta$, $k < n$ and $(H_1, e) \Downarrow_k (H_1', v')$

It suffices to prove:

$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n - k, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n - k, v') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$ (B)

Instantiate H with H_1 and j with k in (A) to get

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - k, H_1') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - k, v') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc' \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc')$ (C)

In order to prove (B) we choose θ_1' as θ' and we need to prove

- $\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - k, H_1') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - k, v') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V$:

  We get this directly from (C)

- $(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$:

  Since $pc \sqsubseteq pc'$ and we are given

  $(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc' \sqsubseteq \ell')$

  Therefore

  $(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$

- $(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$:

  We are given

  $(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc')$

  and since $pc \sqsubseteq pc'$ Therefore

  $(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$

□

Lemma 1.23 (Subtyping unary)**.** *The following holds:*

1. $\forall \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{A}', \mathcal{L}.$
   (a) $\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}' \implies \lfloor(\mathsf{A})\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor(\mathsf{A}')\rfloor_V$
2. $\forall \tau, \tau', \mathcal{L}.$
   (a) $\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \implies \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor(\tau')\rfloor_V$
   (b) $\forall pc.\ \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \implies \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_E^{pc} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau')\rfloor_E^{pc}$

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on $\mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}'$ and $\tau <: \tau'$
Proof of statement 1(a)
We analyse the different cases of $\mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}'$ in the last step:

1. FGsub-arrow:
   Given:
   $$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1' <: \tau_1 \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell_e' \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'}\ \text{FGsub-arrow}$$
   To prove: $\lfloor((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2))\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor((\tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'))\rfloor_V$

   IH1: $\lfloor(\tau_1')\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_1)\rfloor_V$ (Statement 2(a))
   IH2: $\forall pc.\ \lfloor(\tau_2)\rfloor_E^{pc} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_2')\rfloor_E^{pc}$ (Statement 2(b))
   It suffices to prove: $\forall(\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2))\rfloor_V.\ (\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'))\rfloor_V$

   This means that given some θ, n and $\lambda x.e_i$ s.t $(\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2))\rfloor_V$
   Therefore from Definition 1.6 we are given:

   $$\forall \theta_1.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1 \wedge \forall i < n.\forall v.(\theta_1, i, v) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V \implies (\theta_1, i, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_E^{\ell_e} \tag{3}$$

   And it suffices to prove: $(\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'))\rfloor_V$

   Again from Definition 1.6, it suffices to prove:
   $\forall \theta_2.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2 \wedge \forall j < n.\forall v.(\theta_2, j, v) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V \implies (\theta_2, j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\rfloor_E^{\ell_e'}$

   This means that given some $\theta_2, j < n, v$ s.t $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2$ and $(\theta_2, j, v) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V$
   And we are required to prove: $(\theta_2, j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\rfloor_E^{\ell_e'}$

   Since $(\theta_2, j, v) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V$ therefore from IH1 we know that $(\theta_2, j, v) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V$
   As a result from Equation 3 we know that
   $(\theta_2, j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$
   From IH2, we know that

$(\theta_2, j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

Since $\mathcal{L} \models \ell_e' \sqsubseteq \ell_e$ therefore from Lemma 1.22 we know that

$(\theta_2, j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \rfloor_E^{\ell_e'}$

2. FGsub-prod:

Given:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'} \text{ FGsub-prod}$$

To prove: $\lfloor ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2)) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2')) \rfloor_V$

IH1: $\lfloor (\tau_1) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_1') \rfloor_V$ (Statement 2(a))

IH2: $\lfloor (\tau_2) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_2') \rfloor_V$ (Statement 2(a))

It suffices to prove: $\forall (\theta, n, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2)) \rfloor_V.\ (\theta, n, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2')) \rfloor_V$

This means that given some θ, n and $(v_1, v_2\ (\theta, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2)) \rfloor_V$

Therefore from Definition 1.6 we are given:

$$(\theta, n, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \wedge (\theta, n, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V \tag{4}$$

And it suffices to prove: $(\theta, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2')) \rfloor_V$

Again from Definition 1.6, it suffices to prove:

$(\theta, n, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1' \rfloor_V \wedge (\theta, n, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \rfloor_V$

Since from Equation 4 we know that $(\theta, n, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ therefore from IH1 we have $(\theta, n, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1' \rfloor_V$

Similarly since $(\theta, n, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ from Equation 4 therefore from IH2 we have $(\theta, n, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \rfloor_V$

3. FGsub-sum:

Given:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'} \text{ FGsub-sum}$$

To prove: $\lfloor ((\tau_1 + \tau_2)) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor ((\tau_1' + \tau_2')) \rfloor_V$

IH1: $\lfloor (\tau_1) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_1') \rfloor_V$ (Statement 2(a))

IH2: $\lfloor (\tau_2) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_2') \rfloor_V$ (Statement 2(a))

It suffices to prove: $\forall (\theta, n, v_s) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 + \tau_2)) \rfloor_V.\ (\theta, v_s) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1' + \tau_2')) \rfloor_V$

This means that given: $(\theta, n, v_s) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 + \tau_2)) \rfloor_V$

And it suffices to prove: $(\theta, n, v_s) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1' + \tau_2')) \rfloor_V$

2 cases arise

(a) $v_s = \mathsf{inl}\ v_i$:
From Definition 1.6 we are given:

$$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \tag{5}$$

And we are required to prove that:
$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau_1' \rfloor_V$
From Equation 5 and IH1 we know that
$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau_1' \rfloor_V$

(b) $v_s = \mathsf{inr}\ v_i$:
From Definition 1.6 we are given:

$$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V \tag{6}$$

And we are required to prove that:
$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \rfloor_V$
From Equation 6 and IH2 we know that
$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \rfloor_V$

4. FGsub-ref:
Given:

$$\frac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{ref}\ \tau <: \mathsf{ref}\ \tau}\ \text{FGsub-ref}$$

To prove: $\lfloor((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau))\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau))\rfloor_V$

It suffices to prove: $\forall(\theta, n, a) \in \lfloor((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau))\rfloor_V.\ (\theta, n, a) \in \lfloor((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau))\rfloor_V$
Trivial

5. FGsub-base:
Given:

$$\frac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{b} <: \mathsf{b}}\ \text{FGsub-base}$$

To prove: $\lfloor((\mathsf{b}))\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor((\mathsf{b}))\rfloor_V$

Directly from Definition 1.6

6. FGsub-unit:
Given:

$$\frac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{unit} <: \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{FGsub-unit}$$

To prove: $\lfloor((\mathsf{unit}))\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor((\mathsf{unit}))\rfloor_V$

Directly from Definition 1.6

Proof of statement 2(a)
Given:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{A}^{\ell} <: \mathsf{A}'^{\ell'}} \text{ FGsub-label}$$

To prove: $\lfloor((\mathsf{A}^{\ell}))\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor((\mathsf{A}'^{\ell'}))\rfloor_V$
From Definition 1.6 it suffices to prove: $\lfloor((\mathsf{A}))\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor((\mathsf{A}'))\rfloor_V$
This we get directly from IH (Statement 1(a))

Proof of statement 2(b)
Given: $\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau'$
To prove: $\lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_E^{pc} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau')\rfloor_E^{pc}$
This means we need to prove that
$\forall(\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_E^{pc}.\ (\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor(\tau')\rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means given $(\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_E^{pc}$
It suffices to prove that $(\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor(\tau')\rfloor_E^{pc}$

From Definition 1.7 we know we are given:
$\forall H.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall i < n.(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - i, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - i, v') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$ (A)

And we need prove that
$\forall H_1.(n, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall j < n.(H_1, e) \Downarrow_j (H_1', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n - j, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n - j, v') \in \lfloor\tau'\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$

This means that we are given some H_1 and $j < n$ s.t $(n, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H_1, e) \Downarrow_j (H_1', v')$
It suffices to prove:
$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n - j, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n - j, v') \in \lfloor\tau'\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$

Instantiate H in (A) with H_1 and i with j then we choose θ_1' as θ'
Also we have IH1 as $\lfloor\tau\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor\tau'\rfloor_V$ (Statement 2(a))

- $\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - j, H_1') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - j, v') \in \lfloor\tau'\rfloor_V$:
  We are given $\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - j, H_1') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - j, v') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V$
  From IH1 we know that $\lfloor\tau\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor\tau'\rfloor_V$
  Therefore, $\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - j, H_1') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - j, v') \in \lfloor\tau'\rfloor_V$
- $(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
  Given
- $(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$:
  Given

□

Lemma 1.24 (Binary interpretation of Γ implies Unary interpretation of Γ)**.** $\forall W, \gamma, \Gamma, n.$
$(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies \forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, \gamma \downarrow_i) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Proof. Given: $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
To prove: $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, \gamma \downarrow_i) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.13 we know that we are given:
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W, n, \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
And we are required to prove:
$\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\ \forall m.$
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma \downarrow_i) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W.\theta_i, m, \gamma \downarrow_i (x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$

Case $i = 1$
Given some m we need to show:

- $dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma \downarrow_i)$:
  $dom(\gamma) = dom(\gamma \downarrow_i)$
  Therefore, $dom(\Gamma) \subseteq (dom(\gamma) = dom(\gamma \downarrow_i))$ (Given)
- $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W.\theta_i, m, \gamma \downarrow_i (x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$:
  We are given: $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W, n, \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
  Therefore from Lemma 1.14 we know that
  $\forall m'.(W.\theta_i, m', \gamma \downarrow_i (x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$
  Instantiating m' with m we get
  $(W.\theta_i, m, \gamma \downarrow_i (x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$

Case $i = 2$
Symmetric case as $i = 1$

□

Theorem 1.25 (Fundamental theorem binary)**.** $\forall \Gamma, pc, W, \mathcal{A}, e, \tau, \gamma, n.$
$\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau \wedge (W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies$
$(W, n, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

Proof. Proof by induction on the typing derivation

1. FG-var:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash_{pc} x : \tau}\ \text{FG-var}$$

   To prove: $(W, n, x\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), x\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$
   Say $e_1 = x\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = x\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$

   From Definition of $\lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$ it suffices to prove that

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall j < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-j, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-j, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means given some H_1, H_2 and j s.t $(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$

We are required to prove: $\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-j, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-j, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Here
- $H_1' = H_1$ and $H_2' = H_2$
- $e_1 = v_1' = \gamma(x) \downarrow_1$
- $e_2 = v_2' = \gamma(x) \downarrow_2$
- $j = 1$

We choose $W' = W$.

- $W \sqsubseteq W$: From Definition 1.3
- $(n-1, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W$:
  Since we know that $(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W$ therefore from Lemma 1.20 we get
  $(n-1, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W$
- $(W, n-1, \gamma(x) \downarrow_1, \gamma(x) \downarrow_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
  We are given that $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from Lemma 1.18 we get
  $(W, n-1, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  which means from Definition 1.13 we have
  $(W, n-1, \gamma(x) \downarrow_1, \gamma(x) \downarrow_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

2. FG-lam:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{\ell_e} e_i : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \lambda x.e_i : (\tau_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\to} \tau_2)^{\perp}}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\to} \tau_2) \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$
Say $e_1 = \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$

From Definition of $\lceil (\tau_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\to} \tau_2)^{\perp} \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$ it suffices to prove that

$\forall H_1, H_2, j < n.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-j, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-j, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\to} \tau_2)^{\perp} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means that given H_1, H_2 and j s.t $(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$

It suffices to prove:

$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-j, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-j, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\to} \tau_2)^{\perp} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (FB-L0)

IH1:

$\forall W, n.\ (W, n, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup \{x \mapsto v_1\}), e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup \{x \mapsto v_2\})) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

s.t

$(W, n, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

We know from the evaluation rules that $H_1' = H_1$, $H_2' = H_2$, $v_1' = e_1 = \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$, $v_2' = e_2 = \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$ and $j = 0$. In order to prove FB-L0 we choose $W' = W$ and we need to prove the following:

- $W \sqsubseteq W$: From Definition 1.3
- $(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W$: Given
- $(W, n, \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\to} \tau_2)^{\perp} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
  From Definition 1.4 it suffices to prove that:
  $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W, k < n, v_1, v_2.$
  $((W'', k, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies (W'', k, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)[v_1/x], e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E) \wedge$
  $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, v_c.$
  $((\theta_l, k, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, k, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E) \wedge$
  $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, , v_c.$
  $((\theta_l, k, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, k, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E)$

  This means that we need to prove the following:

  - $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W, k < n, v_1, v_2.((W'', k, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies$
    $(W'', k, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)[v_1/x], e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$:

    This means given $W'' \sqsupseteq W, k < n, v_1, v_2$ s.t $((W'', k, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
    We need to prove: $(W'', k, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)[v_1/x], e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

    We instantiate IH1 with W'' and k
    And since $(W'', k, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ therefore we get
    $(W'', k, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)[v_1/x], e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

  - $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, v_c.((\theta_l, k, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies$
    $(\theta_l, k, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E)$:

    This means that we are given θ_l, k and v_c s.t
    $\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1$ and $(\theta_l, k, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
    And we are required to prove:
    $(\theta_l, k, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E$

    It is given to us that
    $\forall v_1, v_2.\ (W, n, \gamma \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

    Therefore from Lemma 1.24 we know that
    $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, (\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

    Therefore, we can apply Theorem 1.21 to obtain
    $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \lambda x.e\ \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\to} \tau_2)^{\perp} \rfloor_V$

    From Definition 1.6 it means that we have

$\forall m.\ \forall \theta'. W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j < m. \forall v. (\theta', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies$
$(\theta', j, e[v/x]\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

We instantiate m with some $l > k$, θ' with θ_l, j with k and v with v_c to get
$W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_l \wedge k < l \wedge (\theta_l, k, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, k, e[v_c/x]\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

Since we thow that $W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_l \wedge k < l \wedge (\theta_l, k, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ therefore we get
$(\theta_l, k, e[v_c/x]\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

– $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, , v_c. ((\theta_l, k, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies$
$(\theta_l, k, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e})$:
Symmetric case as above

3. FG-app:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell} \qquad \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_1 \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 \searrow \ell \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1\ e_2 : \tau_2}$$

To prove: $(W, n, (e_1\ e_2)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_1\ e_2)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_2) \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 1.5 we need to prove:

$\forall H_1, H_2, n' < n. (n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_1, (e_1\ e_2)(\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, (e_1\ e_2)(\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W. (n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau_2) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This further means that given $H_1, H_2, n' < n$ s.t

$(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_1, (e_1\ e_2)(\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, (e_1\ e_2)(\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W. (n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau_2) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (FB-A0)

IH1 $(W, n, (e_1)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_1)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell} \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 1.5 we get

$\forall H_{i1}, H_{i2}, i < n. (n, H_{i1}, H_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_{i1}, e_1\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_{i2}, e_1\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W. (n - i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n - i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating H_{i1} with H_1 and H_{i2} with H_2 in IH1 and since the $(e_1\ e_2)$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps. Therefore $\exists i < n' < n$ s.t $(H_{i1}, e_1\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1')$. $(H_{i2}, e_1\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$ is known because $(e_1\ e_2)$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_2$. Hence we get

$$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W. (n - i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n - i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{7}$$

IH2: $(W_1', n - i, (e_2)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_2)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1) \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 1.5 we get

$\forall H_{j1}, H_{j2}, j < (n-i). (n - i, H_{j1}, H_{j2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (H_1, e_2\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_j (H_{j1}', v_{j1}') \wedge (H_2, e_2\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{j2}', v_{j2}') \implies \exists W_2' \sqsupseteq W_1'. (n - i - j, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2' \wedge (W_2', n - i - j, v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil (\tau_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating H_{j1} with H_1' and H_{j2} with H_2' in IH2. Since the $(e_1\ e_2)$ reduces to value with $\gamma\downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps. Also, e_1 reduces to value $\gamma\downarrow_1$ in $i < n'$ steps. Therefore $\exists j < n' - i < n - i$ s.t $(H_{i1}, e_2\ (\gamma\downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_j (H_{j1}', v_{j1}')$. $(H_{i2}, e_2\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{j2}', v_{j2}')$ is known because $(e_1\ e_2)$ reduces to value with $\gamma\downarrow_2$. Hence we get

$$\exists W_2' \sqsupseteq W_1'.(n-i-j, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2' \wedge (W_2', n-i-j, v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil(\tau_1)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{8}$$

We case analyze on $(W_1', n-i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell}\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ from Equation 7

- Case $\ell \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
  From Definition 1.4 we know that this would mean that
  $(W_1', n-i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  This means
  $(W_1', n-i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  Let $v_1' = \lambda x.e_{h1}$ and $v_2' = \lambda x.e_{h2}$

  Again from Definition 1.4 it means that
  $\forall W_{h1}' \sqsupseteq W_1', j_1 < (n-i), v_1, v_2.$
  $((W_{h1}', j_1, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies (W_{h1}', j_1, e_{h1}[v_1/x], e_{h2}[v_2/x]) \in \lceil\tau_2\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge$
  $\forall \theta_{l1} \sqsupseteq W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, v_c.$
  $\wedge ((\theta_{l1}, m_1, v_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V \implies (W_{h1}'.\theta_1, e_{h1}[v_1/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_E^{\ell_e}) \wedge$
  $\forall \theta_{l1} \sqsupseteq W_1'.\theta_2, m_1, v_c.$
  $\wedge (\theta_{l1}, m_1, v_2) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V \implies (W_{h1}'.\theta_2, e_{h2}[v_2/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_E^{\ell_e})$

  We instantiate W_{h1}' with W_2' obtained from Equation 8. Similarly we also instantiate v_1 and v_2 with v_{j1}' and v_{j2}' respectively from Equation 8, and j_1 with $n-i-j$. And we get
  $(W_2', n-i-j, e_{h1}[v_{j1}'/x], e_{h2}[v_{j2}'/x]) \in \lceil\tau_2\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

  From Definition 1.5 we get

  $\forall H_1, H_2, k_e < (n-i-j).(n-i-j, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2' \wedge$
  $(H_1, e_{h1}[v_{j1}'/x]) \Downarrow_{k_e} (H_{f1}', v_{f1}) \wedge (H_2, e_{h2}[v_{j2}'/x]) \Downarrow (H_{f2}', v_{f2}) \implies$
  $\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_2'.(n-i-j-k_e, H_{f1}', H_{f2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-i-j-k_e, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil\tau_2\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

  Instantiating H_1 with H_{j1}' and H_2 with H_{j2}' obtained from Equation 8. And since we know that $e_1\ e_2$ reduces with $\gamma\downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps. And e_2 reduces to value $\gamma\downarrow_1$ in $j < n' - 1 < n - i$ steps. Therefore $\exists k_e = n' - i - j < n - i - j$ s.t $(H_1, e_{h1}[v_{j1}'/x]) \Downarrow_{k_e} (H_{f1}', v_{f1})$. $(H_2, e_{h2}[v_{j2}'/x]) \Downarrow (H_{f2}', v_{f2})$ is known because $(e_1\ e_2)$ reduces to value with $\gamma\downarrow_2$. Hence we get

  $$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_2'.((n-i-j-k_e), H_{f1}', H_{f2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', (n-i-j-k_e), v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil\tau_2\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{9}$$

  This concludes the proof in this case.

- Case $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

  From FB-A0 we know that we need to prove
  $\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\tau_2)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

  In this case since we know that $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Let $\tau_2 = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$ and since $\tau_2 \searrow \ell$ therefore $\ell_i \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

  Therefore from Definition 1.4 it will suffice to prove
  $\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (\forall m_1.(W'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor(\tau_2)\rfloor_V) \wedge (\forall m_2.(W'.\theta_1, m_2, v_2') \in \lfloor(\tau_2)\rfloor_V)$

  This means it suffices to prove
  $(\forall m_1, m_2. \exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor(\tau_2)\rfloor_V) \wedge ((W'.\theta_1, m_2, v_2') \in \lfloor(\tau_2)\rfloor_V)$

  This means given m_1 and m_2 it suffices to prove:

  $$(\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor(\tau_2)\rfloor_V) \wedge (W'.\theta_1, m_2, v_2') \in \lfloor(\tau_2)\rfloor_V) \tag{10}$$

  In this case from Definition 1.6 we know that

  $$\forall m.(W_1'.\theta_1, m, \lambda x.e_{h1}) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)\rfloor_V \tag{11}$$

  $$\forall m.(W_1'.\theta_2, m, \lambda x.e_{h2}) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)\rfloor_V \tag{12}$$

  Applying Definition 1.6 on Equation 11 we get
  $\forall m.\ \forall \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j_1 < m. \forall v.(\theta', j_1, v) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V \implies (\theta', j_1, e_{h1}[v/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$ where $\theta = W_1'.\theta_1$

  We instantiate m with m_1+2+t_1 where t_1 is the number of steps in which e_{h1} reduces
  $\forall \theta'. W_1'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j_1 < (m_1+1+t_1). \forall v.(\theta', j_1, v) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V \implies$
  $(\theta', j_1, e_{h1}[v/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$ (FB-AC1)

  Since from Equation 8 we have
  $(W_2', n-i-j, v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil(\tau_1)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

  Therefore from Lemma 1.14 we get
  $\forall m.\ (W_2'.\theta_1, m, v_{j1}') \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V$

  Instantiating m with m_1+1+t_1 we get
  $(W_2'.\theta_1, m_1+1+t_1, v_{j1}') \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V$

  Instantiating θ' with $W_2'.\theta_1$, $j1$ with m_1+t_1 and v with v_{j1}' from Equation 8.
  Therefore we get $(W_2'.\theta_1, m_1+1+t_1, e_{h1}[v_{j1}'/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

  From Definition 1.7, we get

$\forall H.(m_1+1+t_1,H) \triangleright W_2'.\theta_1 \wedge \forall k_c < (m_1+1+t_1).(H, e_{h1}[v'_{j1}/x]) \Downarrow_{k_c} (H_1', v_1') \implies$
$\exists \theta_1'.W_2'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge ((m_1+1+t_1-k_c), H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', (m_1+1+t_1-k_c), v_1') \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.W_2'.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(W_2'.\theta_1).\theta_1'(a) \searrow (\ell_e))$

Since from Equation 8 we have $(n-i-j, H'_{j1}, H'_{j1}) \triangleright W_2'$
Therefore from Lemma 1.26 we get $\forall m.(m, H'_{j1}) \triangleright W_2'.\theta_1$
Instantiating m with m_1+1+t_1 we get $(m_1+1+t_1, H'_{j1}) \triangleright W_2'.\theta_1$

Now instantiating H with H'_{j1} from Equation 8 and k_c with t_1 we get
$\exists \theta_1'.W_2'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge ((m_1+1), H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', (m_1+1), v_1') \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H'_{j1}(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.W_2'.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(W_2'.\theta_1).\theta_1'(a) \searrow (\ell_e))$ (R1)

Similarly we can apply Definition 1.6 on Equation 12 to get
$\forall m.\ \forall \theta_2'.(m, W_1'.\theta_2) \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge \forall j_2 < m. \forall v.(\theta_2', j_2, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies$
$(\theta_2', j_2, e_{h2}[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

We instantiate m with m_2+2+t_2 where t_2 is the number of steps in which e_{h2} reduces
$\forall \theta'.W_1'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j_1 < (m_2+2+t_2). \forall v.(\theta', j_1, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies$
$(\theta', j_1, e_{h2}[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$ (FB-AC2)

Since from Equation 8 we have
$(W_2', n-i-j, v'_{j1}, v'_{j2}) \in \lceil (\tau_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Therefore from Lemma 1.14 we get
$\forall m.\ (W_2'.\theta_2, m, v'_{j2}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

Instantiating m with m_2+1+t_2 we get
$(W_2'.\theta_2, m_2+1+t_2, v'_{j2}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

Instantiating θ' with $W_2'.\theta_2$, j_1 with m_2+1+t_2 and v with v'_{j2} from Equation 8 in FB-AC2 we get
$(W_2'.\theta_2, m_2+1+t_2, e_{h2}[v'_{j2}/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

From Definition 1.7, we get
$\forall H.(m_2+1+t_2, H) \triangleright W_2'.\theta_2 \wedge \forall k_c < (m_2+1+t_2).(H, e_{h2}[v'_{j1}/x]) \Downarrow_{k_c} (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists \theta_2'.W_2'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge ((m_2+1+t_2-k_c), H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', (m_2+1+t_2-k_c) v_2') \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.W_2'.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2')/dom(W_2'.\theta_2).\theta_2'(a) \searrow (\ell_e))$

Since from Equation 8 we have $(n-i-j, H'_{j1}, H'_{j1}) \triangleright W_2'$
Therefore from Lemma 1.26 we get $\forall m.(m, H'_{j2}) \triangleright W_2'.\theta_2$
Instantiating m with m_2+1+t_2 we get $(m_2+1+t_2, H'_{j2}) \triangleright W_2'.\theta_2$

Now Instantiating H with H'_{j2} from Equation 8 and and k_c with t_2.
$\exists \theta_2'.W_2'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (m_2+1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', (m_2+1), v_2') \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H'_{j2}(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.W_2'.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(W_2'.\theta_2).\theta_2'(a) \searrow (\ell_e))$ (R2)

In order to prove FB-A0 we choose W' to be $(\theta_1', \theta_2', W_2'.\beta)$. Now we need to show two things:

(a) $(n-n', H_1', H_2') \triangleright W'$:
From Definition 1.9 it suffices to show that

- $dom(W'.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1') \wedge dom(W.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2')$:
  From R1 we know that $(m_1+1, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$, therefore from Definition 1.8 we get $dom(W'.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1')$
  Similarly, from R2 we know that $(m_2+1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$, therefore from Definition 1.8 we get $dom(W'.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2')$
- $(W'.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W'.\theta_1) \times dom(W'.\theta_1))$:
  Since from Equation 8 we know that $(n-i-j, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \triangleright W_2'$ therefore from Definition 1.9 we know that $(W_2'.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W_2'.\theta_1) \times dom(W_2'.\theta_2))$
  From R1 and R2 we know that $W_2'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$ and $W_2'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$ therefore $(W_2'.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(\theta_1') \times dom(\theta_2'))$
- $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W'.\hat{\beta}).\, W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge (W', n-n'-1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:

  4 cases arise for each $(a_1, a_2) \in W_2'.\hat{\beta}$

  i. $H_{j1}'(a_1) = H_1'(a_1) \wedge H_{j2}'(a_2) = H_2'(a_2)$:
  - $W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2)$:
    We know from Equation 8 that $(n-i-j, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \triangleright W_2'$

    Therefore from Definition 1.9 we have
    $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W_2'.\hat{\beta}).\, W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_2'.\theta_2(a_2)$

    Since $W'.\hat{\beta} = W_2'.\hat{\beta}$ by construction therefore
    $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W'.\hat{\beta}).\, W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_2'.\theta_2(a_2)$

    From R1 and R2 we know that $W_2'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$ and $W_2'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$ respectively.
    Therefore from Definition 1.2
    $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W'.\hat{\beta}).\theta_1'(a_1) = \theta_2'(a_2)$
  - $(W', n-n'-1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
    From Equation 8 we know that $(n-i-j, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2'$
    This means from Definition 1.9 that
    $\forall (a_{i1}, a_{i2}) \in (W_2'.\hat{\beta}).\, W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_2'.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge (W_2', n-i-j-1, H_{j1}'(a_1), H_{j2}'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

    Instantiating with a_1 and a_2 and since $W_2' \sqsubseteq W'$ and $n-n'-1 < n-i-j-1$ (since $n' = i+j+t_1$ where t_1 is the number of steps taken by e_{h1}, i is the number of steps taken by $e_1\ \gamma \downarrow_1$ to reduce and j is the number of steps taken by $e_2\ \gamma \downarrow_1$ to reduce) therefore from Lemma 1.16 we get
    $(W', n-n'-1, H_{j1}'(a_1), H_{j2}'(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

  ii. $H_{j1}'(a_1) \neq H_1'(a_1) \vee H_{j2}'(a_2) \neq H_2'(a_2)$:
  - $W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2)$
    Same reasoning as in the previous case
  - $(W', n-n'-1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
    From R1 and R2 we know that
    $(\forall a.H_{j1}'(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\, W_2'.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
    $(\forall a.H_{j2}'(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\, W_2'.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
    This means we have

$\exists \ell'. W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e) \sqsubseteq \ell'$ and
$\exists \ell'. W_2'.\theta_2(a_2) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e) \sqsubseteq \ell'$

Since $pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e$ (given) and $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $\ell_e \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. And thus, $\ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

Also from R1 and R2, $(m_1 + 1, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$ and $(m_2 + 1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$. Therefore from Definition 1.8 we have
$(\theta_1', m_1, H_1'(a_1)) \in \lfloor \theta_1'(a_1) \rfloor_V$ and
$(\theta_2', m_2, H_2'(a_1)) \in \lfloor \theta_2'(a_2) \rfloor_V$

Since m_1 and m_2 are arbitrary indices therefore from Definition 1.4 we get
$(W', n - n' - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil \theta_1'(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

iii. $H_{j1}'(a_1) = H_1'(a_1) \vee H_{j2}'(a_2) \neq H_2'(a_2)$:

* $W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2)$
  Same reasoning as in the previous case
* $(W', n - n' - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
  From R2 we know that
  $(\forall a. H_{j2}'(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W_2'.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
  This means that a_2 was protected at ℓ_e in the world before the modification. Since $pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e$ (given) and $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $\ell_e \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. And thus, $\ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

  Since from Equation 8 we know that $(n - i - j, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2'$ that means from Definition 1.9 that $(W_2', n - i - j - 1, H_{j1}'(a_1), H_{j2}'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$. Since $(\ell_e) \sqsubseteq \ell'$ therefore from Definition 1.4 we know that $H_{j1}'(a_1)$ must also be protected at some label $\not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

  Therefore
  $\forall m.\ (W_2'.\theta_1, m, H_{j1}'(a_1)) \in W_2'.\theta_1(a_1)$ (F)
  and
  $\forall m.\ (W_2'.\theta_2, m, H_{j2}'(a_2)) \in W_2'.\theta_2(a_1)$ (S)

  Instantiating the (F) with m_1 and using Lemma 1.15 we get
  $(\theta_1', m_1, H_{j1}'(a_1)) \in \theta_1'(a_1)$

  Since from R2 we know that $(m_2+1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$ therefore from Definition 1.8 we know that $(\theta_2', m_2, H_2'(a_2)) \in \theta_2'(a_2)$
  Therefore from Definition 1.4 we get
  $(W', n - n' - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil \theta_1'(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

iv. $H_{j1}'(a_1) \neq H_1'(a_1) \vee H_{j2}'(a_2) = H_2'(a_2)$:
  Symmetric case as above

– $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}. \forall m. \forall a_i \in dom(W'.\theta_i). (W'.\theta_i, m, H_i'(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$:

$\underline{i = 1}$
This means that given some m we need to prove
$\forall a_i \in dom(W'.\theta_i). (W'.\theta_i, m, H_i'(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$

Like before we instantiate Equation 11 and Equation 12 with $m + 2 + t_1$ and $m + 2 + t_2$ respectively. This will give us

$\exists \theta_1'.\, W_2'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge ((m_1+1), H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', (m_1+1), v_1') \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H_{j1}'(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\, W_2'.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(W_2'.\theta_1).\theta_1'(a) \searrow (\ell_e))$
and
$\exists \theta_2'.\, W_2'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (m_2+1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', (m_2+1), v_2') \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H_{j2}'(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\, W_2'.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(W_2'.\theta_2).\theta_2'(a) \searrow (\ell_e))$

Since we have $(m+1, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$ and $(m+1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$ therefore we get the desired from Definition 1.8

$\underline{i = 2}$
Symmetric to $i = 1$

(b) $(W', n-n'-1, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
Let $\tau_2 = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$ Since $\tau_2 \searrow \ell$ and since $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ therefore $\ell_i \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

From R1 and R2 we and Definition 1.4 we get the desired.

4. FG-prod:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : \tau_1 \qquad \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp}}$$

To prove: $(W, n, (e_1, e_2)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_1, e_2)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp} \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

Say $e_1 = (e_1, e_2)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = (e_1, e_2)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$

From Definition of $\lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp} \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$ it suffices to prove that

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists W'.\, W \sqsubseteq W' \wedge (n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means that given some H_1, H_2 and $n' < n$ s.t

$(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$

We are required to prove:

$$\exists W'.\, W \sqsubseteq W' \wedge (n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{13}$$

IH1 $(W, n, (e_1)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_1)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 1.5 we get

$\forall H_{p11}, H_{p12}.(n, H_{p11}, H_{p12}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall i < n.(H_{p11}, e_1\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_{p11}', v_{p11}') \wedge (H_{p12}, e_1\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{p12}', v_{p12}') \implies$
$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n-i, H_{p11}', H_{p12}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n-i, v_{p11}', v_{p12}') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating H_{p11} with H_1 and H_{p22} with H_2 in IH1 and since the (e_1, e_2) reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps therefore we know that $\exists i < n' < n$ s.t $(H_{p11}, e_1\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_{p11}', v_{p11}')$. Similarly since we know that (e_1, e_2) reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_2$ therefore we know that $(H_{p12}, e_1\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{p12}', v_{p12}')$. Hence we get

$$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n-i, H_{p11}', H_{p12}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n-i, v_{p11}', v_{p12}') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{14}$$

IH2 $(W, n-i, (e_2)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_2)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 1.5 we get

$\forall H_{p21}, H_{p22}.(n-i, H_{p21}, H_{p22}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge \forall j < n-i.(H_{p21}, e_2\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_j (H_{p21}', v_{p21}') \wedge (H_{p22}, e_2\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{p22}', v_{p22}') \implies$
$\exists W_2' \sqsupseteq W_1'.(n-i-j, H_{p21}', H_{p22}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2' \wedge (W_2', n-i-j, v_{p21}', v_{p22}') \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating H_{p21} with H_{p11}' and H_{p22} with H_{p21}' and in IH2. Since (e_1, e_2) reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps and e_1 has reduced with $i < n'$ steps. Therefore we know that $\exists j < n' - i < n - i$ s.t $(H_{p21}, e_2\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_{p21}', v_{p11}')$. Similarly since we know that (e_1, e_2) reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_2$ therefore we know that $(H_{p22}, e_2\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{p22}', v_{p22}')$. Hence we get

since the (e_1, e_2) reduces to value with both $\gamma \downarrow_1$ and $\gamma \downarrow_2$ therefore we know that $(H_{p21}, e_2\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow (H_{p21}', v_{p21}') \wedge (H_{p22}, e_1\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{p22}', v_{p22}')$. Hence we get

$$\exists W_2' \sqsupseteq W_1'.(n-i-j, H_{p21}', H_{p22}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2' \wedge (W_2', n-i-j, v_{p21}', v_{p22}') \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{15}$$

In order to prove Equation 13 we instantiate W' in Equation 13 with W_2' we are required to show the following:

- $W \sqsubseteq W_2'$:
  Since $W \sqsubseteq W_1'$ from Equation 14 and $W_1' \sqsubseteq W_2'$ from Equation 15
  Therefore, $W \sqsubseteq W_2'$ from Definition 1.3
- $(n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'$:
  Here $n' = i + j + 1$
  From evaluation rule of products we know that $H_1' = H_{p21}'$ and $H_2' = H_{p22}'$
  From Equation 15 we know that $(n-i-j, H_{p21}', H_{p22}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2'$
  Therefore from Lemma 1.20 we get $(n-i-j-1, H_{p21}', H_{p22}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2'$
- $(W', n-i-j-1, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
  From evaluation rule of products we know that $v_1' = (v_{p11}', v_{p21}')$ and $v_2' = (v_{p12}', v_{p22}')$
  We are required to show
  - $(W_2', n-i-j-1, v_{p11}', v_{p12}') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W_2', n-i-j-1, v_{p21}', v_{p22}') \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
    From Equation 14 and Equation 15 we know that
    $(W_2', n-i-j, v_{p11}', v_{p12}') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W_2', n-i-j, v_{p21}', v_{p22}') \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
    Therefore from Lemma 1.16 we get
    $(W_2', n-i-j-1, v_{p11}', v_{p12}') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W_2', n-i-j-1, v_{p21}', v_{p22}') \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

5. FG-fst:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \searrow \ell}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{fst}(e_i) : \tau_1}$$

To prove: $(W, n, (\mathsf{fst}(e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (\mathsf{fst}(e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

Say $e_1 = (\mathsf{fst}(e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = (\mathsf{fst}(e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$

From Definition 1.5 it suffices to prove that

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists W'. W \sqsubseteq W' \wedge (n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means that given

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$

We are required to prove:

$$\exists W'. W \sqsubseteq W' \wedge (n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \tag{16}$$

IH1

$(W, (e_i)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_i)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 1.5 we get

$\forall H_{i1}, H_{i2}.(n, H_{i1}, H_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall i < n.(H_{i1}, e_i\ (\gamma\ \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_{i1}', v_{i1}') \wedge (H_{i2}, e_i\ (\gamma\ \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{i2}', v_{i2}') \implies$
$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n - i, H_{i1}', H_{i2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n - i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Instantiating H_{i1} with H_1 and H_{i2} with H_2 in IH1 and since the $\mathsf{fst}(e_i)$ reduces to value reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps therefore we know that $\exists i < n' < n$ s.t $(H_{i1}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_{i1}', v_{i1}')$. Similarly since we know that $\mathsf{fst}(e_i)$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_2$ therefore we know that $(H_{i2}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{i2}', v_{i2}')$. Hence we get

$$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n - i, H_{i1}', H_{i2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n - i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \tag{17}$$

We case analyze on $(W_1', n - i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ from Equation 17

- Case $\ell \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
  From Definition 1.4 we know that this would mean that
  $(W_1', n - i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
  This means
  $(W_1', n - i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
  Let $v_{i1}' = (v_{i1}, v_{i2})$ and $v_{i2}' = (v_{j1}, v_{j2})$

  Again from Definition 1.4 it means that
  $(W_1', n - i, v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \wedge (W_1', n - i, v_{i2}, v_{j2}) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (F1)

  Inroder to prove Equation 16 we choose W' as W_1' and from the evaluation rule of fst we know that $H_1' = H_{i1}'$ and $H_2' = H_{i2}'$. Also, from reduction rules we know that $n' = i + 1$. And then we need to show:
  - $W \sqsubseteq W_1'$:
    Directly from Equation 17

– $(n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$:

Since from Equation 17 we know that $(n - i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$

Therefore from Lemma 1.20 we get $(n - i - 1, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$

– $(W_1', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:

From the evaluation rule we know that $v_1' = v_{i1}$ and $v_2' = v_{j1}$

From F1 we know that $(W_1', n - i, v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Therefore from Lemma 1.16 we get $(W_1', n - i - 1, v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

- Case $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

In this case from Definition 1.6 we know that

(a) $\forall m.\ (W_1'.\theta_1, m, v_{i1}') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rfloor_V$ and

(b) $\forall m.\ (W_1'.\theta_2, m, v_{i2}') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rfloor_V$

where

$v_{i1}' = (v_{i1}, v_{i2})$ and $v_{i2}' = (v_{j1}, v_{j2})$

Inroder to prove Equation 16 we choose W' as W_1' and from the evaluation rule of fst we know that $H_1' = H_{i1}'$ and $H_2' = H_{i2}'$. And then we need to show:

– $W \sqsubseteq W_1'$:

Directly from Equation 17

– $(n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$:

From Equation 17 we know that $(n - i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$

Therefore from Lemma 1.20 we get

$(n - i - 1, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$

– $(W_1', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:

From the evaluation rule we know that $v_1' = v_{i1}$ and $v_2' = v_{j1}$

Let $\tau_1 = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$ Since $\tau_1 \searrow \ell$ and since $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ therefore $\ell_i \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

Therefore from Definition 1.4 it suffices to prove that

$\forall m_1.\ (W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

and

$\forall m_2.\ (W_1'.\theta_2, m_2, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

This means given m_1 and it suffices to prove:

$$(W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \tag{18}$$

Similarly given m_2, it suffices to prove:

$$(W_1'.\theta_2, m_2, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \tag{19}$$

Instantiating (a) with m_1

$$(W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \wedge (W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, v_{i2}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V \tag{20}$$

Instantiating (b) with m_2

$$(W_1'.\theta_2, m_2, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \wedge (W_1'.\theta_2, m_2, v_{j2}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V \tag{21}$$

From Equation 20 and Equation 21 we get

$(W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ and $(W_1'.\theta_2, m_2, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

6. FG-snd:

   Symmetric case as FG-fst

7. FG-inl:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{inl}(e_i) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp}}$$

   To prove: $(W, n, (\mathsf{inl}\ (e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (\mathsf{inl}\ (e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

   Say $e_1 = (\mathsf{inl}\ (e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = (\mathsf{inl}\ (e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$

   From Definition of $\lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$ it suffices to prove that

   $\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
   $\exists W'.W \sqsubseteq W' \wedge (n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

   This means that given

   $\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$

   We are required to prove:

$$\exists W'.W \sqsubseteq W' \wedge (n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V} \tag{22}$$

   IH1 $(W, (e_i)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_i)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

   This means from Definition 1.5 we get

   $\forall H_{i1}, H_{i2}.(n, H_{i1}, H_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall i < n.(H_{i1}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_{i1}', v_{i1}') \wedge (H_{i2}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{i2}', v_{i2}') \implies$
   $\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n - i, H_{i1}', H_{i2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n - i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

   Instantiating H_{i1} with H_1 and H_{i2} with H_2 in IH1 and since the $\mathsf{inl}(e_i)$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps therefore we know that $\exists i < n' < n$ s.t $(H_{i1}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_{i1}', v_{i1}')$. Similarly since we know that $\mathsf{inl}(e_i)$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_2$ therefore we know that $(H_{i2}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{i2}', v_{i2}')$. Hence we get

$$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n - i, H_{i1}', H_{i2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n - i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V} \tag{23}$$

   Instantiating W' in Equation 22 with W_1'. Also from reduction relation we know that $n' = i + 1$ we are required to show the following:

   - $W \sqsubseteq W_1'$:
     Directly from Equation 23
   - $(n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$:

     From Equation 23 we know that $(n - i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$
     Therefore from Lemma 1.20 we get
     $(n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$

- $(W_1', n-n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\tau_1+\tau_2)^{\perp}\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
  From evaluation rule of inl we know that $v_1' = \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1}')$ and $v_2' = \mathsf{inl}(v_{i2}')$
  We are required to show
  - $(W_1', n-n', v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
    From Equation 23 we know that $(W_1', n-i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
    Therefore from Lemma 1.16 we get
    $(W_1', n-i-1, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

8. FG-inr:

   Symmetric case to FG-inl.

9. FG-case:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i : (\tau_1+\tau_2)^{\ell} \qquad \Gamma, x:\tau_1 \vdash_{pc\sqcup\ell} e_{i1} : \tau \qquad \Gamma, y:\tau_2 \vdash_{pc\sqcup\ell} e_{i2} : \tau \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau \searrow \ell}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{case}(e_i, x.e_{i1}, y.e_{i2}) : \tau}$$

   To prove: $(W, (\mathsf{case}(e_i, x.e_{i1}, y.e_{i2}))\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), (\mathsf{case}(e_i, x.e_{i1}, y.e_{i2}))\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil(\tau)\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$
   Say $e_1 = (\mathsf{case}(e_i, x.e_{i1}, y.e_{i2}))\ (\gamma\downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = (\mathsf{case}(e_i, x.e_{i1}, y.e_{i2}))\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)$

   This means from Definition 1.5 we need to prove:
   $\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
   $\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\tau)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   This further means that given
   $\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$
   It suffices to prove

$$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\tau)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{24}$$

   IH1 $(W, n, (e_i)\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), (e_i)\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil(\tau_1+\tau_2)^{\ell}\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$
   This means from Definition 1.5 we get
   $\forall H_{i1}, H_{i2}.(n, H_{i1}, H_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall i < n.(H_{i1}, e_i\ (\gamma\downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_{i2}, e_i\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
   $\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n-i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n-i, v_{s1}', v_{s2}') \in \lceil(\tau_1+\tau_2)^{\ell}\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   Instantiating H_{i1} with H_1 and H_{i2} with H_2 in IH1 and since the $(\mathsf{case}(e_i, x.e_{i1}, y.e_{i2}))$ reduces to value with both $\gamma\downarrow_1$ and $\gamma\downarrow_2$ therefore we know that $(H_{i1}, e_i\ (\gamma\downarrow_1)) \Downarrow (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_{i2}, e_i\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$. Hence we get

$$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n-i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n-i, v_{s1}', v_{s2}') \in \lceil(\tau_1+\tau_2)^{\ell}\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{25}$$

   IH2:
   $(W_1', n-i, (e_{i1})\ (\gamma\downarrow_1 \cup\{x \mapsto v_{i1}\}), (e_{i1})\ (\gamma\downarrow_2 \cup\{x \mapsto v_{i2}\})) \in \lceil(\tau)\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 1.5 we get

$\forall H_{j1}, H_{j2}.(n-i, H_{j1}, H_{j2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge \forall j < n-i.(H_1, e_{i1}\ (\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup\{x \mapsto v_{i1}\})) \Downarrow_j (H_{j1}', v_{j1}') \wedge (H_2, e_{i1}\ (\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup\{x \mapsto v_{i2}\})) \Downarrow (H_{j2}', v_{j2}') \implies$
$\exists W_2' \sqsupseteq W_1'.(n-i-j, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2' \wedge (W_2', n-i-j, v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil(\tau)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating H_{j1} with H_1' and H_{j2} with H_2' in IH2. Also instantiating W with W_1'. Since the $(\mathsf{case}(e_i, x.e_{i1}, y.e_{i2}))$ reduces to value in both runs therefore we know that $(H_1, e_{i1}\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow (H_{j1}', v_{j1}') \wedge (H_2, e_{i1}\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{j2}', v_{j2}')$. Hence we get

$$\exists W_2' \sqsupseteq W_1'.(n-i-j, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2' \wedge (W_2', n-i-j, v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil(\tau)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{26}$$

IH3:

$(W_1', n-i, (e_{i2})\ (\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup\{y \mapsto v_{i1}\}), (e_{i2})\ (\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup\{y \mapsto v_{i2}\})) \in \lceil(\tau)\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 1.5 we get

$\forall H_{k1}, H_{k2}.(n-i, H_{k1}, H_{k2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge \forall k < n-i.(H_1, e_{i2}\ (\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup\{y \mapsto v_{i1}\})) \Downarrow_k (H_{k1}', v_{k1}') \wedge (H_2, e_{i2}\ (\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup\{y \mapsto v_{i2}\})) \Downarrow (H_{k2}', v_{k2}') \implies$
$\exists W_3' \sqsupseteq W_1'.(n-i-k, H_{k1}', H_{k2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_3' \wedge (W_3', n-i-k, v_{k1}', v_{k2}') \in \lceil(\tau)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating H_{k1} with H_1' and H_{k2} with H_2' in IH2. Also instantiating W with W_1'. Since the $(\mathsf{case}(e_i, x.e_{i2}, y.e_{i2}))$ reduces to value in both runs therefore we know that $(H_1, e_{i2}\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow (H_{k1}', v_{k1}') \wedge (H_2, e_{i2}\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{k2}', v_{k2}')$. Hence we get

$$\exists W_3' \sqsupseteq W_1'.(n-i-k, H_{k1}', H_{k2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_3' \wedge (W_3', n-i-k, v_{k1}', v_{k2}') \in \lceil(\tau)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{27}$$

We case analyze $(W_1', n-i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\ell}\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ from Equation 25

- Case $\ell \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
  From Definition 1.4 2 further cases arise:
  - $v_1' = \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1})$ and $v_2' = \mathsf{inl}(v_{i2})$:
    In this case from Definition 1.4 we know that $(W, n-i, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

    Inroder to prove Equation 24 we choose W' as W_2' from Equation 26 and from the first evaluation rule of case we know that $H_1' = H_{j1}'$ and $H_2' = H_{j2}'$. Also we know from the evaluation rule that $n' = i + j + 1$. And then we need to show:
    - $W \sqsubseteq W_2'$:
      Since $W \sqsubseteq W_1'$ from Equation 25 and $W_1' \sqsubseteq W_2'$ from Equation 26
      Therefore, $W \sqsubseteq W_2'$ from Definition 1.3
    - $(n-n', H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2'$:

      From Equation 26 we know that $(n-i-j, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2'$
      Therefore from Lemma 1.20 we get
      $(n-i-j-1, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2'$

* $(W_2', n-n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
From the evalaution rule we know that $v_1' = v_{j1}'$ and $v_2' = v_{j2}'$
From Equation 26 we know that $(W_2', n-i-j, v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
Therefore from Lemma 1.16 we get
$(W_2', n-i-j-1, v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

– $v_1' = \mathsf{inr}(v_{i1})$ and $v_2' = \mathsf{inr}(v_{i2})$:
In this case from Definition 1.4 we know that $(W, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Inorder to prove Equation 24 we choose W' as W_3' from Equation 27 and from the second evaluation rule of case we know that $H_1' = H_{k1}'$ and $H_2' = H_{k2}'$. Also we know from the evaluation rule that $n' = i+k+1$. And then we need to show:

* $W \sqsubseteq W_3'$:
Since $W \sqsubseteq W_1'$ from Equation 25 and $W_1' \sqsubseteq W_3'$ from Equation 27
Therefore, $W \sqsubseteq W_3'$ from Definition 1.3

* $(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_3'$:
From Equation 27 we know that $(n-i-k, H_{k1}', H_{k2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_3'$
Therefore from Lemma 1.20 we get
$(n-i-k-1, H_{k1}', H_{k2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_3'$

* $(W_3', n-n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
From the evalaution rule we know that $v_1' = v_{k1}'$ and $v_2' = v_{k2}'$
From Equation 27 we know that $(W_3', n-i-k, v_{k1}', v_{k2}') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
Therefore from Lemma 1.16 we get
$(W_3', n-i-k-1, v_{k1}', v_{k2}') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

- Case $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
The following cases arise:

(a) Reduction of e_1 happens via Case1 and Reduction of e_2 happens via Case1 :
Exactly the same reasoning as in the $v_1' = \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1})$ and $v_2' = \mathsf{inl}(v_{i2})$ subscase of the $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ case before.

(b) Reduction of e_1 happens via Case2 and Reduction of e_2 happens via Case2 :
Exactly the same reasoning as in the $v_1' = \mathsf{inr}(v_{i1})$ and $v_2' = \mathsf{inr}(v_{i2})$ subscase of the $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ case before.

(c) Reduction of e_1 happens via Case1 and Reduction of e_2 happens via Case2 :

From Equation 24 we know that we need to prove
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

In this case since we know that $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Let $\tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$ and since $\tau \searrow \ell$ therefore $\ell_i \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

This means inorder to prove $\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
From Definition 1.4 it will suffice to prove
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (\forall m_1.(W'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor (\tau) \rfloor_V) \wedge (\forall m_2.(W'.\theta_1, m_2, v_2') \in \lfloor (\tau) \rfloor_V)$

This means it suffices to prove
$(\forall m_1, m_2. \exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor (\tau) \rfloor_V) \wedge ((W'.\theta_1, m_2, v_2') \in \lfloor (\tau) \rfloor_V)$

This means given m_1 and m_2 it suffices to prove:

$$(\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_V) \wedge (W'.\theta_1, m_2, v_2') \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_V) \tag{28}$$

Since we know that $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil\Gamma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (given) therefore from Lemma 1.24 we know that $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, \gamma\downarrow_i) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$

Therefore by instantiating it at $m_1 + 1 + j$ we know that

$$(W.\theta_1, m_1 + 1 + j, \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V \tag{29}$$

Next we apply Theorem 1.21 on $e_{i1}\ \gamma\downarrow_1$. Here j is the number of steps in which $e_{i1}\ \gamma\downarrow_1$ reduces. We use $\gamma\downarrow_1 \cup\{x \mapsto v_{s1}'\}$ as the unary substitution to get $(W.\theta_1, m_1 + 1 + j, e_{i1}\ \gamma\downarrow_1 \cup\{x \mapsto v_c'\}) \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means from Definition 1.7 we get
$\forall H_{c2}.(m_1+1+j, H_{c1}) \triangleright W_1.\theta_1 \wedge \forall l_c < (m_1+1+j).(H_{c2}, (e_{i1})\ \gamma\downarrow_1 \cup\{x \mapsto v_c'\}) \Downarrow_{k_c}$
$(H_{c2}', v_c') \implies$
$\exists\theta_1'.W_1.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (m_1 + 1 + j - l_c, H_{c2}') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', m_1 + 1 + j - l_c, v_c') \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_{c2}(a) \neq H_{c2}'(a) \implies \exists\ell'.W_1.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(W_1.\theta_1).\theta_1'(a) \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell))$

Since from Equaiton 25 we know that $(n-i, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W_1'$ therefore from Lemma 1.26 we get $\forall m.(m, H_1') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_1$
Instantiating m with $m_1 + 1 + j$ we get $(m_1 + 1 + j, H_1') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_1$

Instantiating H_{c2} with H_1' from Equation 25 and l_c with j we get
$\exists\theta_1'.W_1.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (m_1 + 1, H_{c2}') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', m_1 + 1, v_c') \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_{c2}(a) \neq H_{c2}'(a) \implies \exists\ell'.W_1.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_1'(a) \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell))$ (CC1)

Similarly we apply Theorem 1.21 on $e_{i2}\ \gamma\downarrow_2$. Here j_2 is the number of steps in which $e_{i2}\ \gamma\downarrow_2$ reduces. We use $\gamma\downarrow_2 \cup\{y \mapsto v_{s2}'\}$ as the unary substitution to get $(W_1.\theta_2, m_2 + 1 + j_2, e_{i2}\ \gamma\downarrow_1 \cup\{y \mapsto v_c'\}) \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means from Definition 1.7 we get
$\forall H_{c2}.(m_2+1+j_2, H_{c1}) \triangleright W_1.\theta_2 \wedge \forall l_c < m_2+1+j_2.(H_{c2}, (e_{i1})\ \gamma\downarrow_1 \cup\{x \mapsto v_c'\}) \Downarrow_{k_c}$
$(H_{c2}', v_c') \implies$
$\exists\theta_2'.W_1.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (m_2 + 1 + j_2 - l_c, H_{c2}') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_2', m_2 + 1 + j_2 - l_c, v_c') \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_{c2}(a) \neq H_{c2}'(a) \implies \exists\ell'.W_1.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2')\backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_1'(a) \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell))$

Since from Equaiton 25 we know that $(n-i, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W_1'$ therefore from Lemma 1.26 we get $\forall m.(m, H_2') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_2$
Instantiating m with $m_2 + 1 + j_2$ we get $(m_2 + 1 + j_2, H_2') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_2$

Instantiating H_{c2} with H_2' (from Equation 25)and l_c with j_2 to get
$\exists\theta_2'.W_1.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (m_2 + 1, H_{c2}') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', m_2 + 1, v_c') \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_{c2}(a) \neq H_{c2}'(a) \implies \exists\ell'.W_1.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2')\backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_1'(a) \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell))$ (CC2)

We choose
$W_n.\theta_1 = \theta'_1$ (from CC1)
$W_n.\theta_2 = \theta'_2$ (from CC2)
$W_n.\hat{\beta} = W'_1.\hat{\beta}$ (from Equation 25)

In order to prove Equation 24 we choose W' as W_n

i. $(n - n', H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W'$:
From Definition 1.9 it suffices to show that
- $dom(W'.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H'_1) \wedge dom(W.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H'_2)$:
From (CC1) we know that $(m_1 + 1, H'_1) \triangleright \theta'_1$, therefore from Definition 1.8 we get $dom(W'.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H'_1)$
Similarly, from (CC2) we know that $(m_2 + 1, H'_2) \triangleright \theta'_2$, therefore from Definition 1.8 we get $dom(W'.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H'_2)$
- $(W.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W'.\theta_1) \times dom(W'.\theta_1))$:
Since from Equation 25 we have $(n - i, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W'_1$ therefore from Definition 1.9 we get $(W'_1.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W'_1.\theta_1) \times dom(W'_1.\theta_2))$
From (CC1) and (CC2) we know that $W'_1.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'_1$ and $W'_1.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta'_2$ therefore $(W'_1.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(\theta'_1) \times dom(\theta'_2))$
- $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (W'.\hat{\beta}).W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge$
$(W', n - n' - 1, H'_1(a_1), H'_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$:

4 cases arise for each a_1 and a_2

A. $H'_{j1}(a_1) = H'_1(a_1) \wedge H'_{j2}(a_2) = H'_2(a_2)$:
$\underline{W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2)}$:
We know from Equation 25 that $(n - i, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W'_1$

Therefore from Definition 1.9 we have
$\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (W'_1.\hat{\beta}).W'_1.\theta_1(a_1) = W'_1.\theta_2(a_2)$

Since $W'.\hat{\beta} = W'_1.\hat{\beta}$ by construction therefore
$\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (W'.\hat{\beta}).W'_1.\theta_1(a_1) = W'_1.\theta_2(a_2)$

From (CC1) and (CC2) we know that $W'_1.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'_1$ and $W'_1.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta'_2$ respectively.
Therefore from Definition 1.2
$\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (W'.\hat{\beta}).\theta'_1(a_1) = \theta'_2(a_2)$

$\underline{(W', n - n' - 1, H'_1(a_1), H'_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V}$:
From Equation 25 we know that $(n - i, H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1$
This means from Definition 1.9 that
$\forall(a_{i1}, a_{i2}) \in (W'_1.\hat{\beta}).W'_1.\theta_1(a_1) = W'_1.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge (W'_1, n-i-1, H'_1(a_1), H'_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W'_1.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Instantiating with a_1 and a_2 and since $W'_1 \sqsubseteq W'$ and $n-n'-1 < n-i-1$ (since $n' = i+t_1+1$ where t_1 is the number of steps taken by e_{i1}, i is the number of steps taken by $e_1\ \gamma \downarrow_1$ to reduce) therefore from Lemma 1.16 we get
$(W', n - n' - 1, H'_1(a_1), H'_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

B. $H'_{j1}(a_1) \neq H'_1(a_1) \vee H'_{j2}(a_2) \neq H'_2(a_2)$:

$\underline{W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2)}$:

Same as before

$\underline{(W', n - n' - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}}$:
From (CC1) and (CC2) we know that
$(\forall a. H_1'(a) \neq H_{c1}'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W_1'.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge ((pc \sqcup \ell)) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
$(\forall a. H_2'(a) \neq H_{c2}'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W_1'.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge ((pc \sqcup \ell)) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
This means we have
$\exists \ell'. W_1'.\theta_1(a_1) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge ((pc \sqcup \ell)) \sqsubseteq \ell'$ and
$\exists \ell'. W_1'.\theta_2(a_2) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge ((pc \sqcup \ell)) \sqsubseteq \ell'$

Since $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $(pc \sqcup \ell) \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. And thus, $\ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

Also from (CC1) and (CC2), $(m_1 + 1, H_{c1}') \triangleright \theta_1'$ and $(m_2 + 1, H_{c2}') \triangleright \theta_2'$. Therefore from Definition 1.8 we have
$(\theta_1', m_1, H_{c1}'(a_1)) \in \lfloor \theta_1'(a_1) \rfloor_V$ and
$(\theta_2', m_2, H_{c2}'(a_1)) \in \lfloor \theta_2'(a_2) \rfloor_V$

Since m_1 and m_2 are arbitrary indices therefore from Definition 1.4 we get (here $H_1' = H_{c1}'$ and $H_2' = H_{c2}'$)
$(W', n - n' - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil \theta_1'(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

C. $H_{j1}'(a_1) = H_1'(a_1) \vee H_{j2}'(a_2) \neq H_2'(a_2)$:

$\underline{W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2)}$:
Same as before

$\underline{(W', n - n' - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}}$:

From (CC2) we know that
$(\forall a. H_2'(a) \neq H_{c2}'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W_1'.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge ((pc \sqcup \ell)) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
This means that a_2 was protected at $(pc \sqcup \ell)$ in the world before the modification. Since $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $(pc \sqcup \ell) \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. And thus, $\ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

Since from Equation 25 we know that $(n - i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$ that means from Definition 1.9 that $(W_1', n - i - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_1'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$. Since $((pc \sqcup \ell)) \sqsubseteq \ell'$ therefore from Definition 1.4 we know that $H_1'(a_1)$ must also be protected at some label $\not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

Therefore
$\forall m.\ (W_1'.\theta_1, m, H_1'(a_1)) \in W_1'.\theta_1(a_1)$ (F)
and
$\forall m.\ (W_1'.\theta_2, m, H_2'(a_2)) \in W_1'.\theta_2(a_1)$ (S)

Instantiating the (F) with m_1 and using Lemma 1.15 we get
$(\theta_1', m_1, H_1'(a_1)) \in \theta_1'(a_1)$

Since from (CC2) we know that $(m_2 + 1, H_{c2}') \triangleright \theta_2'$ therefore from Definition 1.8 we know that $(\theta_2', m_2, H_{c2}'(a_2)) \in \theta_2'(a_2)$
Therefore from Definition 1.4 we get
$(W', n - n' - 1, H_{c1}'(a_1), H_{c2}'(a_2)) \in \lceil \theta_1'(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

D. $H_{j1}'(a_1) \neq H_1'(a_1) \vee H_{j2}'(a_2) = H_2'(a_2)$:
Symmetric case as above

– $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}. \forall m. \forall a_i \in dom(W'.\theta_i). (W'.\theta_i, m, H_i'(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$:

$\underline{i = 1}$
This means that given some m we need to prove

$\forall a_i \in dom(W'.\theta_i).(W'.\theta_i, m, H'_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$

Like before we apply Theorem 1.21 on $e_{i1}\ \gamma 1$ and $e_{i2}\ \gamma 2$ but this time using $m+1+i$ and $m+1+j$ where i and j are the number of steps in which $e_{i1}\ \gamma 1$ and $e_{i2}\ \gamma 2$ reduces respectively. This will give us

$\exists \theta'_1. W_1.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'_1 \wedge (m+1, H'_{c2}) \triangleright \theta'_1 \wedge (\theta'_1, m+1, v'_c) \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H_{c2}(a) \neq H'_{c2}(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W_1.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta'_1) \backslash dom(\theta'_1). \theta'_1(a) \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell))$
and
$\exists \theta'_2. W_1.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta'_2 \wedge (m+1, H'_{c2}) \triangleright \theta'_2 \wedge (\theta'_2, m+1, v'_c) \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H_{c2}(a) \neq H'_{c2}(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W_1.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta'_2) \backslash dom(\theta'_1). \theta'_1(a) \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell))$

Since we have $(m+1, H'_{c1}) \triangleright \theta'_1$ and $(m+1, H'_{c2}) \triangleright \theta'_2$ therefore we get the desired from Definition 1.8

$\underline{i = 2}$
Symmetric to $i = 1$

ii. $(W', n-n'-1, v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$:
Let $\tau_2 = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$ Since $\tau_2 \searrow \ell$ and since $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ therefore $\ell_i \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

From CC1 and CC2 we and Definition 1.4 we get the desired.

(d) Reduction of e_1 happens via Case2 and Reduction of e_2 happens via Case1 : Symmetric case as before

10. FG-ref:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i : \tau \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau \searrow pc}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{new}\ e_i : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp}}$$

To prove: $(W, (\mathsf{new}\ (e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (\mathsf{new}\ (e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

Say $e_1 = (\mathsf{new}\ (e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = (\mathsf{new}\ (e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$

From Definition of $\lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$ it suffices to prove that

$\forall H_1, H_2. (n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n. (H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H'_2, v'_2) \implies$
$\exists W'. W \sqsubseteq W' \wedge (n-n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means that given

$\forall H_1, H_2. (n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n. (H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H'_2, v'_2)$

$\underline{\text{We are required to prove:}}$

$$\exists W'. W \sqsubseteq W' \wedge (n-n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \qquad (30)$$

$\underline{\text{IH1}}$ $(W, n, (e_i)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_i)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 1.5 we get

$\forall H_{i1}, H_{i2}.(n, H_{i1}, H_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall i < n.(H_{i1}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H'_{i1}, v'_{i1}) \wedge (H_{i2}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_{i2}, v'_{i2}) \implies$
$\exists W'_1 \sqsupseteq W.(n-i, H'_{i1}, H'_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, n-i, v'_{i1}, v'_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Instantiating H_{i1} with H_1 and H_{i2} with H_2 in IH1 and since the $\mathsf{ref}(e_i)$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps therefore $\exists i < n' < n$. s.t $(H_{i1}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H'_{i1}, v'_{i1})$. Similarly since $\mathsf{ref}(e_i)$ reduces with $\gamma \downarrow_2$ therefore we know that $(H_{i2}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_{i2}, v'_{i2})$. Hence we get

$$\exists W'_1 \sqsupseteq W.(n-i, H'_{i1}, H'_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, n-i, v'_{i1}, v'_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \tag{31}$$

From the evaluation rule of ref we know that $H'_1 = H'_{i1} \cup \{a_{n1} \mapsto v_{i1}\}$ and $H'_2 = H'_{i2} \cup \{a_{n2} \mapsto v_{i2}\}$

Inorder to prove Equation 30 we instantiate W' with W_n where W_n is

$W_n.\theta_1 = W'_1.\theta_1 \cup \{a_{n1} \mapsto \tau\}$

$W_n.\theta_2 = W'_1.\theta_2 \cup \{a_{n2} \mapsto \tau\}$

$W_n.\hat{\beta} = W'_1.\hat{\beta} \cup \{(a_{n1}, a_{n2})\}$

Also we know that $n' = i + 1$

We are now required to prove

- $W \sqsubseteq W_n$:
  From Equation 31 we know that $W \sqsubseteq W'_1$ and $W'_1 \sqsubseteq W_n$ by construction.
  Therefore from Definition 1.3, $W \sqsubseteq W_n$
- $(n - n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_n$:
  From Definition 1.9 it suffices to show that
  - $dom(W_n.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H'_1) \wedge dom(W.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H'_2)$:
    From Equation 31 and by construction of W_n
  - $(W_n.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W_n.\theta_1) \times dom(W_n.\theta_1))$:
    From Equation 31 and by construction of W_n
  - $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W_n.\hat{\beta}).W_n.\theta_1(a_1) = W_n.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge (W_n, n-n', H'_1(a_1), H'_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$:
    - $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W_n.\hat{\beta}).W_n.\theta_1(a_1) = W_n.\theta_2(a_2)$:
      From Equation 31 and by construction of W_n
    - $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W_n.\hat{\beta}).(W_n, n-n'-1, H'_1(a_1), H'_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$:
      From Equation 31 since we know that $(n-i, H'_{i1}, H'_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1$ that means
      $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W'_1.\hat{\beta}).(W'_1, n-i-1, H'_1(a_1), H'_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W'_1.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

      Therefore from Lemma 1.16 we get $(n - i - 2 = n - n' - 1$, since $n' = i + 1)$
      $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W'_1.\hat{\beta}).(W'_1, n-i-2, H'_1(a_1), H'_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W'_1.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

      Since $W_n.\hat{\beta} = W'_1.\hat{\beta} \cup \{(a_{n1}, a_{n2})\}$ and from Equation 31 we know that $(W'_1, n-i, v'_{i1}, v'_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

      Therefore combining the two we get
      $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W_n.\hat{\beta}).(W_n, n-n'-1, H'_1(a_1), H'_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

– $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall a_i \in dom(W_n.\theta_i).\forall m.(W_n, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i)\rfloor_V$:

From Equation 31 we have $(n-i, H'_{i1}, H'_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1$ that means from Definition 1.9 we have
$\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall a_i \in dom(W'_1.\theta_i).\forall m.(W_n, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i)\rfloor_V$

Also from Equation 31 we know that $(W'_1, n-i, v'_{i1}, v'_{i2}) \in \lceil\tau\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
Therefore from Lemma 1.14 and Lemma 1.15 we get
$\forall m.(W'_1.\theta_1, m, v'_{i1}) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V$
and
$\forall m.(W'_1.\theta_2, m, v'_{i2}) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V$

Combining the two we get
$\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall a_i \in dom(W_n.\theta_i).\forall m.(W_n, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i)\rfloor_V$

- $(W_n, n-n', v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp}\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$:
Here $v'_1 = a_{n1}$ and $v'_2 = a_{n2}$
Since $(a_{n1}, a_{n2}) \in W_n$ and also $W_n.\theta_1(a_{n1}) = W_n.\theta_1(a_{n1}) = \tau$
Therefore from Definition 1.4 $(W_n, v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp}\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

11. FG-deref:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau' \searrow \ell}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} !e_i : \tau'}$$

To prove: $(W, n, (!(e_i))\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), (!(e_i))\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil(\tau')\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$
Say $e_1 = (!(e_i))\ (\gamma\downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = (!(e_i))\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)$

This means from Definition 1.5 we need to prove:

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, !(e_i)(\gamma\downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_{n'} (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, !(e_i)(\gamma\downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_2, v'_2) \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil(\tau')\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
This further means that given

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, !(e_i)(\gamma\downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_{n'} (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, !(e_i)(\gamma\downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_2, v'_2)$
It suffices to prove

$$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil(\tau')\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \tag{32}$$

IH1 $(W, n, (e_i)\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), (e_i)\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell}\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$
This means from Definition 1.5 we get

$\forall H_{i1}, H_{i2}.(n, H_{i1}, H_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall i < n.(H_{i1}, e_i\ (\gamma\downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_{i2}, e_i\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_2, v'_2) \implies$

$\exists W'_1 \sqsupseteq W.(n-i, H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, n-i, v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell}\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
Instantiating H_{i1} with H_1 and H_{i2} with H_2 in IH1 and since the $!(e_i)$ reduces to value with both $\gamma\downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps therefore $\exists i < n' < n$ s.t $(H_{i1}, e_i\ (\gamma\downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H'_1, v'_1)$. Similarly since $!e_i$ reduces to value with $\gamma\downarrow_2$ therefore $(H_{i2}, e_i\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_2, v'_2)$. Hence we get

$$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n-i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n-i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{33}$$

We case analyze on $(W_1', n-i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ from Equation 33

- Case $\ell \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
  From Definition 1.4 we know that this would mean that
  $(W_1', n-i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  This means
  $(W_1', n-i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ (\tau)) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  Let $v_{i1}' = a_{i1}$ and $v_{i2}' = a_{i2}$

  Again from Definition 1.4 it means that
  $(a_{i1}, a_{i2}) \in W_1'.\hat{\beta} \wedge W_1'.\theta_1(a_{i1}) = W_1'.\theta_2(a_{i2}) = \tau$ (D1)

  Inorder to prove Equation 32 we instantiate W' with W_1'. Also we know that $n' = i+1$
  - $W_1' \sqsupseteq W$:
    From Equation 33
  - $(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$:
    From Equation 33 we know that
    $(n-i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$
    Therefore from Lemma 1.20 we get
    $(n-i-1, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$
  - $(W_1', n-n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau') \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
    From the evaluation rule of deref we know that $v_1' = H_1'(a_{i1})$ and $v_1' = H_2'(a_{i2})$
    Since from Equation 33 we know that $(n-i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$, therefore from Definition 1.9 we know that
    $(W_1', n-i-1, H_1'(a_{i1}), H_2'(a_{i2})) \in \lceil W_1'.\theta_1(a_{i1}) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
    And from D1 we know that $W_1'.\theta_1(a_{i1}) = W_1'.\theta_2(a_{i2}) = \tau$
    Therefore $(W_1', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

    Since $\tau <: \tau'$ Therefore from Lemma 1.27, we get
    $(W_1', n-i-1, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau') \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
- Case $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
  From the evaluation rule of deref we know that $v_{i1}' = a_1$ and $v_{i2}' = a_2$

  In this case from Definition 1.4 we know that

  $$\forall m_1.(W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, a_1) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau) \rfloor_V \tag{34}$$

  and

  $$\forall m_2.(W_1'.\theta_2, m_2, a_2) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau) \rfloor_V \tag{35}$$

  Inroder to prove Equation 32 we choose W' as W_1'. And then we need to show:

– $W \sqsubseteq W_1'$:
Directly from Equation 33

– $(n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$:

From Equation 33 we know that $(n - i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$
Therefore from Lemma 1.20 we get
$(n - i - 1, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$

– $(W_1', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau' \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
Let $\tau' = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$ Since $\tau' \searrow \ell$ and since $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ therefore $\ell_i \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

Therefore from Definition 1.4 it suffices to prove that
$\forall m_1.\ (W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V$
and
$\forall m_2.\ (W_1'.\theta_2, m_2, v_2') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V$

This means given m_1 and it suffices to prove:

$$(W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V \tag{36}$$

Similarly given m_2, it suffices to prove:

$$(W_1'.\theta_2, m_2, v_2') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V \tag{37}$$

Since from Equation 33 we know that $(n-i, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W_1'$ therefore from Lemma 1.26 we get

$$\forall m_{h1}.(m_{h1}, H_1') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_1 \tag{38}$$

$$\forall m_{h2}.(m_{h2}, H_2') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_2 \tag{39}$$

Instantiating m_{h1} in Equation 38 with $m_1 + 1$ we get $(m_1, H_1') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_1$

Therefore from Definition 1.8, we get
$\forall a \in dom(W_1'.\theta_1).(W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, H_1'(a)) \in \lfloor W_1'.\theta_1(a) \rfloor_V$

Instantiating a with a_1 we get $(W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, H_1'(a_1)) \in \lfloor W_1'.\theta_1(a) \rfloor_V$

Since $W_1'.\theta_1(a_{i1}) = \tau$ therefore we get
$(W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$
and since $\tau <: \tau'$ therefore from Lemma 1.23 we get
$(W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V$

Similarly we also get
$(W_1'.\theta_2, m_2, v_2') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V$

Finally from Definition 1.4 we get
$(W_1', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau') \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

12. FG-assign:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{i1} : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \qquad \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{i2} : \tau \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{i1} := e_{i2} : \mathsf{unit}}$$

To prove: $(W, n, (e_{i1} := e_{i2})\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_{i1} := e_{i2})\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\mathsf{unit}) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

Say $e_1 = (e_{i1} := e_{i2})\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = (e_{i1} := e_{i2})\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$

This means from Definition 1.5 we need to prove:

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, (e_{i1} := e_{i2})(\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, (e_{i1} := e_{i2})(\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$

$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\mathsf{unit}) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

This further means that given

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, (e_{i1} := e_{i2})(\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, (e_{i1} := e_{i2})(\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$

It suffices to prove

$$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\mathsf{unit}) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V} \tag{40}$$

IH1 $(W, n, (e_{i1})\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_{i1})\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

This means from Definition 1.5 we get

$\forall H_{i1}, H_{i2}.(n, H_{i1}, H_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall i < n.(H_{i1}, e_{i1}\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_{i1}', v_1') \wedge (H_{i2}, e_{i1}\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{i2}', v_2') \implies$

$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n - i, H_{i1}', H_{i2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n - i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

Instantiating H_{i1} with H_1 and H_{i2} with H_2 in IH1 and since the $(e_{i1} := e_{i2})$ reduces to value with both $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps therefore $\exists i < n' < n$ s.t $(H_{i1}, e_{i1}\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow (H_{i1}', v_{i1}')$. Similarly since $(e_{i1} := e_{i2})$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_2$ therefore we also have $(H_{i2}, e_{i1}\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{i2}', v_{i2}')$. Hence we get

$$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n - i, H_{i1}', H_{i2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n - i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V} \tag{41}$$

IH2 $(W, n - i, (e_{i2})\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_{i2})\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

This means from Definition 1.5 we get

$\forall H_{j1}, H_{j2}.(n - i, H_{j1}, H_{j2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge \forall j < n - i.(H_{j1}, e_{i2}\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_j (H_{j1}', v_{j1}') \wedge (H_{j2}, e_{i2}\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{j2}', v_{j2}') \implies$

$\exists W_2' \sqsupseteq W_1'.(n - i - j, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2' \wedge (W_2', n - i - j, v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil (\tau) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

Instantiating H_{j1} with H_{i1}' and H_{j2} with H_{i2}' in IH2 and since the $(e_{i1} := e_{i2})$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps and e_1 reduces $\gamma \downarrow_1$ with $i < n'$ steps therefore $\exists j < (n' - i) < (n - i)$ s.t $(H_{j1}, e_{i2}\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow (H_{j1}', v_{j1}')$. Similarly we also have $(H_{j2}, e_{i2}\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{j2}', v_{j2}')$. Hence we get

$$\exists W_2' \sqsupseteq W_1'.(n - i - j, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2' \wedge (W_2', n - i - j, v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil (\tau) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V} \tag{42}$$

We case analyze on $(W_1', n - i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$ from Equation 41

- Case $\ell \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
  From Definition 1.4 we know that this would mean that
  $(W_1', n-i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  This means
  $(W_1', n-i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil(\mathsf{ref}\ (\tau))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  Let $v_{i1}' = a_{i1}$ and $v_{i2}' = a_{i2}$

  Again from Definition 1.4 it means that
  $(a_{i1}, a_{i2}) \in W_1'.\hat{\beta} \wedge W_1'.\theta_1(a_{i1}) = W_1'.\theta_2(a_{i2}) = \tau$ (A1)

  In order to prove Equation 40 we instantiate W' with W_2'
  - $W_2' \sqsupseteq W$:
    Since $W_1' \sqsupseteq W$ from Equation 41 and $W_2' \sqsupseteq W_1'$ from Equation 42
    Therefore from Definition 1.3 we get $W_2' \sqsupseteq W$
  - $(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2'$:
    From the evaluation rule assign we know that
    $H_1' = H_{j1}'[a_{i1} \mapsto v_{j1}']$ and $H_2' = H_{j2}'[a_{i2} \mapsto v_{j2}']$

    Inorder to prove $(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2'$ we need to show:
    * $dom(W_2'.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1') \wedge dom(W_2'.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2')$:
      Directly from Equation 42
    * $W_2'.\hat{\beta} \subseteq (dom(W_2'.\theta_1) \times dom(W_2'.\theta_1))$:
      Directly from Equation 42
    * $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (W_2'.\hat{\beta}).\, W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_2'.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge$
      $(W_2', n-n'-1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_2.\theta_1(a_1)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:

      (a) $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (W_2'.\hat{\beta}).\, W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_2'.\theta_2(a_2)$:
      $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (W_2'.\hat{\beta})$.
      i. When $a_1 = a_{i1}$ and $a_2 = a_{i2}$:
      From A1 we know that $W_1'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_1'.\theta_2(a_2) = \tau$
      and since $W_1' \sqsubseteq W_2'$ therefore from Lemma 1.15 we get $W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_2'.\theta_2(a_2) = \tau$
      ii. When $a_1 = a_{i1}$ and $a_2 \neq a_{i2}$: This case cannot arise
      iii. When $a_1 \neq a_{i1}$ and $a_2 = a_{i2}$: This case cannot arise
      iv. When $a_1 \neq a_{i1}$ and $a_2 \neq a_{i2}$: From Equation 42 and Lemma 1.16

      (b) $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (W_2'.\hat{\beta}).(W_2', n-n', H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_2'.\theta_1(a_1)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
      $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (W_2'.\hat{\beta})$.
      i. When $a_1 = a_{i1}$ and $a_2 = a_{i2}$:
      Since $H_1'(a_{i1}) = v_{j1}'$ and $H_1'(a_{i2}) = v_{j2}'$
      From A1 we know that $W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_2'.\theta_2(a_2) = \tau$
      And since from Equation 42 we know that $(W_2', n-i-j, v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil(\tau)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
      Therefore from Lemma 1.16 we get
      $(W_2', n-j-i-1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_2.\theta_1(a_1)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
      ii. When $a_1 = a_{i1}$ and $a_2 \neq a_{i2}$: This case cannot arise
      iii. When $a_1 \neq a_{i1}$ and $a_2 = a_{i2}$: This case cannot arise
      iv. When $a_1 \neq a_{i1}$ and $a_2 \neq a_{i2}$: From Equation 42 and from Lemma 1.16

* $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i \in dom(W_2'.\theta_i).(W_2'.\theta_i, m, H_i'(a_i)) \in \lfloor W_2'.\theta_i(a_i)\rfloor_V$:
  When $i = 1$
  Given some m
  $\forall a_1 \in dom(W_2'.\theta_1)$.
  - when $a_1 = a_{i1}$:
    From Equation 42 we know that $(W_2', n-i-j, v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil(\tau)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ thus from Lemma 1.14 we know that
    $\forall m_1.\ (W_2'.\theta_1, m_1, H_1'(a_1)) \in \lfloor W_2'.\theta_1(a_1)\rfloor_V$

    Instantiating with m we get
    $(W_2'.\theta_1, m, H_1'(a_1)) \in \lfloor W_2'.\theta_1(a_1)\rfloor_V$
  - Otherwise:
    From Equation 42 and Lemma 1.26

  When $i = 2$
  Similar reasoning as with $i = 1$

– $(W_1', n-n', val_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\mathsf{unit})\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
  From evaluation rule assign we know that $v_1' = v_2' = ()$
  Directly from Definition 1.4

- Case $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
  From Definition 1.4 we know that this would mean that

$$\forall m_1.(W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, a_{i1}) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\rfloor_V \tag{43}$$

$$\forall m_2.(W_1'.\theta_2, m_2, a_{i2}) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\rfloor_V \tag{44}$$

  In order to prove Equation 40 we instantiate W' with W_2' and then we need to show that:

  – $W_2' \sqsupseteq W$:
    Since $W_1' \sqsupseteq W$ from Equation 41 and $W_2' \sqsupseteq W_1'$ from Equation 42
    Therefore from Definition 1.3 we get $W_2' \sqsupseteq W$

  – $(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2'$:
    From the evaluation rule assign we know that
    $H_1' = H_{j1}'[a_{i1} \mapsto v_{j1}']$ and $H_2' = H_{j2}'[a_{i2} \mapsto v_{j2}']$

    In order to prove $(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2'$ we need to show:
    * $dom(W_2'.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1') \wedge dom(W_2'.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2')$:
      Directly from Equation 42
    * $W_2'.\hat{\beta} \subseteq (dom(W_2'.\theta_1) \times dom(W_2'.\theta_1))$:
      Directly from Equation 42
    * $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (W_2'.\hat{\beta}).\, W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_2'.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge (W_2', n-n'-1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_2.\theta_1(a_1)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
      (a) When $(a_{i1}, a_{i2}) \in W_2'.\hat{\beta}$:
          $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (W_2'.\hat{\beta})$.
          i. When $a_1 = a_{i1}$ and $a_2 = a_{i2}$:
             Instantiating Equation 43 and Equation 44 with $n - n' - 1$ we get $W_1'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_1'.\theta_2(a_2) = \tau$

and since $W_1' \sqsubseteq W_2'$ therefore from Definition 1.3 we get $W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_2'.\theta_2(a_2) = \tau$

From Equation 42 we know that $(W_2', v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil(\tau)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
Therefore $(W_2', H_1(a_{i1})', H_2(a_{i2})') \in \lceil(\tau)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

ii. When $a_1 = a_{i1}$ and $a_2 \neq a_{i2}$: This case cannot arise

iii. When $a_1 \neq a_{i1}$ and $a_2 = a_{i2}$: This case cannot arise

iv. When $a_1 \neq a_{i1}$ and $a_2 \neq a_{i2}$: From Equation 42

(b) When $(a_{i1}, a_{i2}) \notin W_2'.\hat{\beta}$:
$\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W_2'.\hat{\beta})$.

i. When $a_1 = a_{i1}$ and $a_2 = a_{i2}$: This case cannot arise

ii. When $a_1 = a_{i1}$ and $a_2 \neq a_{i2}$:

From Equation 42 we know that $(n - i - j, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2'$ and since $(a_{i1}, a_2) \in W_2'.\hat{\beta}$ therefore from Definition 1.9 we know that

$$(W_2'.\theta_1(a_{i1}) = W_2'.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge (W_2', n-i-j-1, H_{j1}'(a_{i1}), H_{j2}'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_2'.\theta_1(a_{i1})\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}) \tag{45}$$

Instantiating Equation 43 and Equation 44 with $n - i - j - 1$ we get $W_1'.\theta_1(a_{i1}) = \tau$ therefore from monotonicity we also have $W_2'.\theta_1(a_{i1}) = \tau$.
As a result from Equation 45 we get $W_2'.\theta_2(a_2) = \tau$

Also since from Equation 45 $(W_2', n-i-j-1, H_{j1}'(a_{i1}), H_{j2}'(a_2)) \in \lceil\tau\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tau \searrow \ell$, $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ therefore from Lemma 1.14 we know that

$$\forall m.(W_2'.\theta_1, m, H_{j1}'(a_{i1})) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V \tag{46}$$

$$\forall m.(W_2'.\theta_2, m, H_{j2}'(a_2)) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V \tag{47}$$

Instantiating m with $n - i - j - 1$ in Equation 46 and Equation 47 to get
$(W_2'.\theta_1, n - i - j - 1, H_{j1}'(a_{i1})) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V$
and
$(W_2'.\theta_2, n - i - j - 1, H_{j2}'(a_2)) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V$

Since $H_1'(a_{i1}) = v_{j1}'$ and $H_2'(a_2) = H_{j2}'(a_2)$
Again from Equation 42 we know that $(W_2', n - i - j, v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil(\tau)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$.
This means from Lemma 1.14 and instantiating it with $n - i - j - 1$ we get

$$(W_2'.\theta_1, n - i - j - 1, v_{j1}') \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_V \tag{48}$$

Therefore from Equation 47 and Equation 48 we have
$(W_2', n - i - j - 1, H_1'(a_{i1}), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil\tau\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

iii. When $a_1 \neq a_{i1}$ and $a_2 = a_{i2}$:
Symmetric case as (ii)

iv. When $a_1 \neq a_{i1}$ and $a_2 \neq a_{i2}$:
From Equation 42 and Definition 1.9

* $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i \in dom(W_2'.\theta_i).(W_2'.\theta_i, m, H_i'(a_i)) \in \lfloor W_2'.\theta_i(a_i)\rfloor_V$:
  When $i = 1$
  Given some m
  $\forall a_1 \in dom(W_2'.\theta_i)$.
  - when $a_1 = a_{i1}$:
    From Equation 42 we know that $(W_2', v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil(\tau)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ thus from Lemma 1.14 we know that
    $(W_2'.\theta_1, H_1'(a_1)) \in \lfloor W_2'.\theta_1(a_1)\rfloor_V$
  - Otherwise:
    From Equation 42 and Lemma 1.26

  When $i = 2$
  Similar reasoning as with $i = 1$

– $(W_1', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\mathsf{unit})\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
  From evaluation rule assign we know that $v_1' = v_2' = ()$
  Directly from Definition 1.4

□

Lemma 1.26 (Binary heap well formedness implies unary heap well formedness)**.** $\forall H_1, H_2, W.$ $(n, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W \implies \forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.(m, H_i) \triangleright W.\theta_i$

Proof. Directly from Definition 1.9 □

Lemma 1.27 (Subtyping binary)**.** *The following holds:*

1. $\forall \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{A}'.$
   (a) $\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}' \implies \lceil(\mathsf{A})\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil(\mathsf{A}')\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
2. $\forall \tau, \tau'.$
   (a) $\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \implies \lceil(\tau)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil(\tau')\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   (b) $\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \implies \lceil(\tau)\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil(\tau')\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on $\mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}'$ and $\tau <: \tau'$
Proof of statement 1(a)
We analyse the different cases of A in the last step:

1. FGsub-arrow:
   Given:
   $$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1' <: \tau_1 \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell_e' \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'}\ \text{FGsub-arrow}$$
   To prove: $\lceil((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil((\tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   IH1: $\lceil(\tau_1')\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil(\tau_1)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   IH2: $\lceil(\tau_2)\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil(\tau_2')\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$
   It suffices to prove:

$\forall(W, n, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lceil((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2))\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V.\ (W, n, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lceil((\tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'))\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means that given: $(W, n, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lceil((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2))\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

And it suffices to prove: $(W, n, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lceil((\tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'))\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

From Definition 1.4 we are given:
$\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2.((W', j, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies$
$(W', j, e_1[v_1/x], e_2[v_2/x]) \in \lceil\tau_2\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E) \wedge$
$\forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j, v_c.((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_1[v_1/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor^{\ell_e}_E) \wedge$
$\forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j, v_c.((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_2[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor^{\ell_e}_E)$ (Sub-A1)

Again from Definition 1.4 we are required to prove:
$\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W, k < n, v_1', v_2'.((W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil\tau_1'\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies (W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil\tau_2'\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E) \wedge$
$\forall\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\rfloor^{\ell_e'}_E) \wedge$
$\forall\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_2[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\rfloor^{\ell_e'}_E)$

This means given some $W'' \sqsupseteq W$, $k < n$ and v_1', v_2' we need to prove:

(a) $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W, k < n, v_1', v_2'.((W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil\tau_1'\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies (W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil\tau_2'\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$:
Given: $W'' \sqsupseteq W$, $k < n$ and v_1', v_2'. We are also given $(W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil\tau_1'\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
To prove: $(W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil\tau_2'\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

Instantiating the first conjunct of Sub-A1 with W'', k, v_1' and v_2' we get

$$((W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies (W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil\tau_2\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E) \tag{49}$$

Since $(W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil\tau_1'\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ therefore from IH1 we know that $(W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Thus from Equation 49 we get $(W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil\tau_2\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

Finally using IH2 we get $(W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil\tau_2'\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

(b) $\forall\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\rfloor^{\ell_e'}_E)$:
Given: $\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, v_c'$. We are also given $(\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V$
To prove: $(\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\rfloor^{\ell_e'}_E$

Since we are given $(\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V$ and since $\tau_1' <: \tau_1$ therefore from Lemma 1.23 we get

$$(\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V \tag{50}$$

Instantiating the second conjunct of Sub-A1 with θ_l', k, v_1' and v_2' we get

$$((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor^{\ell_e}_E) \tag{51}$$

Therefore from Equation 50 and 51 we get $(\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor^{\ell_e}_E$

Since $\tau_2 <: \tau_2'$ and $\ell_e' \sqsubseteq \ell_e$ therefore from Lemma 1.23 and 1.22 we get
$(\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\rfloor^{\ell_e'}_E$

(c) $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1' \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_2[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \rfloor_E^{\ell_e'})$:
Similar reasoning as in the previous case

2. FGsub-prod:

Given:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'} \text{ FGsub-prod}$$

To prove: $\lceil((\tau_1 \times \tau_2))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil((\tau_1' \times \tau_2'))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

IH1: $\lceil(\tau_1)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil(\tau_1')\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

IH2: $\lceil(\tau_2)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil(\tau_2')\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

It suffices to prove: $\forall(W, n, (v_1, v_2), (v_1', v_2')) \in \lceil((\tau_1 \times \tau_2))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}.\ (W, n, (v_1, v_2), (v_1', v_2')) \in \lceil((\tau_1' \times \tau_2'))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means that given: $(W, n, (v_1, v_2), (v_1', v_2')) \in \lceil((\tau_1 \times \tau_2))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Therefore from Definition 1.4 we are given:

$$(W, n, v_1, v_1') \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W, n, v_2, v_2') \in \lceil\tau_2\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{52}$$

And it suffices to prove: $(W, n, (v_1, v_2), (v_1', v_2')) \in \lceil((\tau_1' \times \tau_2'))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Again from Definition 1.4, it suffices to prove:
$(W, n, v_1, v_1') \in \lceil\tau_1'\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W, n, v_2, v_2') \in \lceil\tau_2'\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Since from Equation 52 we know that $(W, n, v_1, v_1') \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from IH1 we have $(W, n, v_1, v_1') \in \lceil\tau_1'\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Similarly since $(W, n, v_2, v_2') \in \lceil\tau_2\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ from Equation 52 therefore from IH2 we have $(W, n, v_2, v_2') \in \lceil\tau_2'\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

3. FGsub-sum:

Given:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'} \text{ FGsub-sum}$$

To prove: $\lceil((\tau_1 + \tau_2))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil((\tau_1' + \tau_2'))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

IH1: $\lceil(\tau_1)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil(\tau_1')\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

IH2: $\lceil(\tau_2)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil(\tau_2')\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

It suffices to prove: $\forall(W, n, v_{s1}, v_{s2}) \in \lceil((\tau_1 + \tau_2))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}.\ (W, n, v_{s1}, v_{s2}) \in \lceil((\tau_1' + \tau_2'))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means that given: $(W, n, v_{s1}, v_{s2}) \in \lceil((\tau_1 + \tau_2))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

And it suffices to prove: $(W, n, v_{s1}, v_{s2}) \in \lceil((\tau_1' + \tau_2'))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

2 cases arise

(a) $v_{s1} = \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i1}$ and $v_{s1} = \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i2}$:
From Definition 1.4 we are given:

$$(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \tag{53}$$

And we are required to prove that:
$(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1' \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
From Equation 53 and IH1 we know that
$(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1' \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

(b) $v_s = \mathsf{inr}\ v_{i1}$ and $v_{s2} = \mathsf{inr}\ v_{i2}$:
From Definition 1.4 we are given:

$$(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \tag{54}$$

And we are required to prove that:
$(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_2' \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
From Equation 54 and IH2 we know that
$(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_2' \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

4. FGsub-ref:
Given:

$$\frac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{ref}\ \tau <: \mathsf{ref}\ \tau}\ \text{FGsub-ref}$$

To prove: $\lceil ((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \subseteq \lceil ((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
Directly from Definition 1.4

5. FGsub-base:
Given:

$$\frac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{b} <: \mathsf{b}}\ \text{FGsub-base}$$

To prove: $\lceil ((\mathsf{b})) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \subseteq \lceil ((\mathsf{b})) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Directly from Definition 1.4

6. FGsub-unit:
Given:

$$\frac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{unit} <: \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{FGsub-unit}$$

To prove: $\lceil ((\mathsf{unit})) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \subseteq \lceil ((\mathsf{unit})) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Directly from Definition 1.4

Proof of statement 2(a)
Given:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{A}^{\ell} <: \mathsf{A}'^{\ell'}}\ \text{FGsub-label}$$

To prove: $\lceil ((\mathsf{A}^{\ell})) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \subseteq \lceil ((\mathsf{A}'^{\ell'})) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
2 cases arise

1. $\ell \sqsubseteq \ell'$:

   From Definition 1.4 it suffices to prove: $\lceil((\mathsf{A}))\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \subseteq \lceil((\mathsf{A}'))\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   This we get directly from IH (Statement (1))

2. $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \ell'$:

   We need to prove that

   $\forall(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\mathsf{A}\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V.(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\mathsf{A}'\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   From Definition 1.4 it suffices to prove:

   $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\forall m.(W(n).\theta_i, m, v_i) \in \lfloor\mathsf{A}\rfloor_V.\ (W(n).\theta_i, m, v_i) \in \lfloor\mathsf{A}\rfloor_V \in \lfloor\mathsf{A}'\rfloor_V$

   Since $\mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}'$ therefore from Lemma 1.23 we get the desired

Proof of statement 2(b)
Given: $\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau'$
To prove: $\lceil(\tau)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E \subseteq \lceil(\tau')\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means we need to prove that
$\forall(W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil(\tau)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E.\ (W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil(\tau')\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means given $\forall(W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil(\tau)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$
It suffices to prove that $(W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil(\tau')\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

From Definition 1.5 we know we are given:

$\forall H_1, H_2, j < n.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n - j, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - j, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil\tau\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (Sub-exp1)

And we need prove that

$\forall H_{21}, H_{22}, k < n.(n, H_{21}, H_{22}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_{21}, e_1) \Downarrow_k (H_{21}', v_{21}') \wedge (H_{22}, e_2) \Downarrow (H_{22}', v_{22}') \implies$
$\exists W'' \sqsupseteq W.(n - k, H_{21}', H_{22}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'' \wedge (W'', n - k, v_{21}', v_{22}') \in \lceil\tau\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means that we are given some H_{21}, H_{22} and $k < n$ such that $(n, H_{21}, H_{22}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_{21}, e_1) \Downarrow_k (H_{21}', v_{21}') \wedge (H_{22}, e_2) \Downarrow (H_{22}', v_{22}')$
It suffices to prove:

$$\exists W'' \sqsupseteq W.(n - k, H_{21}', H_{22}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'' \wedge (W'', n - k, v_{21}', v_{22}') \in \lceil\tau\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \tag{55}$$

Instantiating (Sub-exp1) with H_{21}, H_{22} and k we get

$$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n - k, H_{21}', H_{22}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - k, v_{21}', v_{22}') \in \lceil\tau\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \tag{56}$$

We choose W'' in Equation 55 as W' from Equation 56 and we are done

□

Theorem 1.28 (NI for FG)**.** *Say* $\mathsf{bool} = (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})$
$\forall v_1, v_2, e, \tau, n_1.$
$\emptyset \vdash_\perp v_1 : \mathsf{bool}^\top \wedge \emptyset \vdash_\perp v_2 : \mathsf{bool}^\top$
$x : \mathsf{bool}^\top \vdash_\perp e : \mathsf{bool}^\perp \wedge$
$(\emptyset, e[v_1/x]) \Downarrow_{n_1} (-, v_1') \wedge (\emptyset, e[v_2/x]) \Downarrow_- (-, v_2') \implies$
$v_1' = v_2'$

Proof. Given some
$\emptyset \vdash_\bot v_1 : \mathsf{bool}^\top \wedge \emptyset \vdash_\bot v_2 : \mathsf{bool}^\top$
$x : \mathsf{bool}^\top \vdash_\bot e : \mathsf{bool}^\bot \wedge$
$(\emptyset, e[v_1/x]) \Downarrow_{n_1} (-, v_1') \wedge (\emptyset, e[v_2/x]) \Downarrow (-, v_2')$

We need to prove
$v_1' = v_2'$

From Theorem 1.25 we have
$\forall n.\ (\emptyset, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \mathsf{bool}^\top \rceil^\bot_E$
Therefore from Theorem 1.25 and from Definition 1.13 we have
$\forall n.\ (\emptyset, n, e[v_1/x], e[v_1/x]) \in \lceil \mathsf{bool}^\bot \rceil^\bot_E$

Therefore from Definition 1.5 we know that
$\forall n.\big(\forall H_1, H_2, j < n.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies \exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-j, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-j, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})^\bot \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V\big)$

Instantiating with $n_1 + 1$ and then with $\emptyset, \emptyset, n_1$ we get
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(1, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', 1, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})^\bot \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we have $(W', 1, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})^\bot \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ therefore from Definition 1.4 we get $v_1' = v_2'$
□

1.2 Coarse-grained IFC enforcement (CG)

1.2.1 CG type system

Term, type, constraint syntax:

Expressions	e	::=	$x \mid \lambda x.e \mid e\ e \mid (e,e) \mid \mathsf{fst}(e) \mid \mathsf{snd}(e) \mid \mathsf{inl}(e) \mid \mathsf{inr}(e) \mid \mathsf{case}(e, x.e, y.e) \mid \mathsf{new}\ e \mid\ !e \mid e := e \mid () \mid \mathsf{Lb}(e) \mid \mathsf{unlabel}(e) \mid \mathsf{toLabeled}(e) \mid \mathsf{ret}(e) \mid \mathsf{bind}(e, x.e)$
Labels	ℓ	::=	$\bot \mid \top \mid l \mid \ell \sqcup \ell \mid \ell \sqcap \ell$
Types	τ	::=	$\mathsf{b} \mid \mathsf{unit} \mid \tau \to \tau \mid \tau \times \tau \mid \tau + \tau \mid \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau \mid \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \mid \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau$

Type system: $\boxed{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}$

(All rules of the simply typed lambda-calculus pertaining to the types $\mathsf{b}, \tau \to \tau, \tau \times \tau, \tau + \tau, \mathsf{unit}$ are included.)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{Lb}(e) : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau}\ \text{CG-label} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}(e) : \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ \tau}\ \text{CG-unlabel}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell'\ \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{toLabeled}(e) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)}\ \text{CG-toLabeled} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{ret}(e) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell'\ \tau}\ \text{CG-ret}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \qquad \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e_2 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_3\ \ell_4\ \tau' \qquad \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_1 \qquad \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_3 \qquad \ell_2 \sqsubseteq \ell_3 \qquad \ell_2 \sqsubseteq \ell_4 \qquad \ell_4 \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell'\ \tau'}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau' <: \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}\ \text{CG-sub} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{new}\ e : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)}\ \text{CG-ref}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau}{\Gamma \vdash\ !e : \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ '\tau)}\ \text{CG-deref}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 := e_2 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{CG-assign}$$

Figure 4: Type system of CG.

1.2.2 CG semantics

Judgement: $e \Downarrow_i v$ and $(H, e) \Downarrow_i^f (H', v)$

1.2.3 Logical relation for CG

$W : ((\mathit{Loc} \mapsto \mathit{Type}) \times (\mathit{Loc} \mapsto \mathit{Type}) \times (\mathit{Loc} \leftrightarrow \mathit{Loc}))$

Definition 1.29 (θ_2 extends θ_1)**.** $\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_2 \triangleq$
$\forall a \in \theta_1.\theta_1(a) = \tau \implies \theta_2(a) = \tau$

$$\frac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau}\ \text{CGsub-refl} \qquad \frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1' <: \tau_1 \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \to \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \to \tau_2'}\ \text{CGsub-arrow}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'}\ \text{CGsub-prod} \qquad \frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}\ \text{CGsub-sum}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau <: \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'}\ \text{CGsub-labeled}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell_i' \sqsubseteq \ell_i \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell_o \sqsubseteq \ell_o'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau <: \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i'\ \ell_o'\ \tau'}\ \text{CGsub-monad}$$

Figure 5: CG subtyping

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow_i \lambda x.e_i \qquad e_2 \Downarrow_j v_2 \qquad e_i[v_2/x] \Downarrow_k v_3}{e_1\ e_2 \Downarrow_{i+j+k+1} v_3}\ \text{cg-app} \qquad \frac{e_1 \Downarrow_i v_1 \qquad e_2 \Downarrow_j v_2}{(e_1, e_2) \Downarrow_{i+j+1} (v_1, v_2)}\ \text{cg-prod}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow_i (v_1, v_2)}{\mathsf{fst}(e) \Downarrow_{i+1} v_1}\ \text{cg-fst} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow_i (v_1, v_2)}{\mathsf{snd}(e) \Downarrow_{i+1} v_2}\ \text{cg-snd} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow_i v}{\mathsf{inl}(e) \Downarrow_{i+1} \mathsf{inl}(v)}\ \text{cg-inl}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow_i v}{\mathsf{inr}(e) \Downarrow_{i+1} \mathsf{inr}(v)}\ \text{cg-inr} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow_i \mathsf{inl}\ v \qquad e_1[v/x] \Downarrow_j v_1}{\mathsf{case}(e, x.e_1, y.e_2) \Downarrow_{i+j+1} v_1}\ \text{cg-case1}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow_i \mathsf{inr}\ v \qquad e_2[v/x] \Downarrow_j v_2}{\mathsf{case}(e, x.e_1, y.e_2) \Downarrow_{i+j+1} v_2}\ \text{cg-case2} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow_i v}{\mathsf{Lb}(e) \Downarrow_{i+1} \mathsf{Lb}(v)}\ \text{cg-Lb} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow_i v}{(H, \mathsf{ret}(e)) \Downarrow^f_{i+1} (H, v)}\ \text{cg-ret}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow_i v_1 \qquad (H, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v_1') \qquad e_2[v_1'/x] \Downarrow_k v_2 \qquad (H', v_2) \Downarrow^f_l (H'', v_2')}{(H, \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)) \Downarrow^f_{i+j+k+l+1} (H'', v_2')}\ \text{cg-bind}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow_i \mathsf{Lb}(v)}{(H, \mathsf{unlabel}(e)) \Downarrow^f_{i+1} (H, v)}\ \text{cg-unlabel} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow_i v \qquad (H, v) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v')}{(H, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e)) \Downarrow^f_{i+j+1} (H', \mathsf{Lb}(v'))}\ \text{cg-toLabeled}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow_i \mathsf{Lb}v \qquad a \notin dom(H)}{(H, \mathsf{new}\ (e)) \Downarrow^f_{i+1} (H[a \mapsto \mathsf{Lb}v], a)}\ \text{cg-ref} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow_i a}{(H, !e) \Downarrow^f_{i+1} (H, H(a))}\ \text{cg-deref}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow_i a \qquad e_2 \Downarrow_j \mathsf{Lb}v}{(H, e_1 := e_2) \Downarrow^f_{i+j+1} (H[a \mapsto \mathsf{Lb}v], ())}\ \text{cg-assign}$$

$$\frac{e \in \{x, \lambda y.-, \mathsf{ret}-, \mathsf{bind}(-, -.-), \mathsf{unlabel}(-), \mathsf{toLabeled}(-), \mathsf{new}\ (-), !-, - := -\}}{e \Downarrow_0 e}\ \text{cg-val}$$

Figure 6: CG semantics

Definition 1.30 (W_2 extends W_1)**.** $W_1 \sqsubseteq W_2 \triangleq$

1. $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\ W_1.\theta_i \sqsubseteq W_2.\theta_i$
2. $\forall p \in (W_1.\hat{\beta}).p \in (W_2.\hat{\beta})$

Definition 1.31 (Value Equivalence)**.**

$$\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W, \ell, n, v_1, v_2, \tau) \triangleq \begin{cases} (W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \ell \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A} \\ \forall j.(W.\theta_1, j, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge & \ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A} \\ (W.\theta_2, j, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V & \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.32 (Binary value relation)**.**

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
\lceil \mathsf{b} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W, n, v_1, v_2) \mid v_1 = v_2 \wedge \{v_1, v_2\} \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!]\} \\
\lceil \mathsf{unit} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W, n, (), ()) \mid () \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]\} \\
\lceil \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W, n, (v_1, v_2), (v_1', v_2')) \mid (W, n, v_1, v_1') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W, n, v_2, v_2') \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}\} \\
\lceil \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W, n, \mathsf{inl}\ v, \mathsf{inl}\ v') \mid (W, n, v, v') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}\} \cup \\
 & & \{(W, n, \mathsf{inr}\ v, \mathsf{inr}\ v') \mid (W, n, v, v') \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}\} \\
\lceil \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W, n, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \mid \\
 & & \forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2. \\
 & & ((W', j, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies (W', j, e_1[v_1/x], e_2[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge \\
 & & \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, v_c, j. \\
 & & ((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_1[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E) \wedge \\
 & & \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, v_c, j. \\
 & & ((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_2[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E)\} \\
\lceil \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W, n, a_1, a_2) \mid \\
 & & (a_1, a_2) \in W.\hat{\beta} \wedge W.\theta_1(a_1) = W.\theta_2(a_2) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau\} \\
\lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W, n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_1), \mathsf{Lb}(v_2)) \mid \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W, \ell, n, v_1, v_2, \tau)\} \\
\lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W, n, v_1, v_2) \mid \\
 & & \Big(\forall k \leq n, W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \\
 & & \forall v_1', v_2', j.(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies \\
 & & \exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k - j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k - j, \ell_2, v_1', v_2', \tau)\Big) \wedge \\
 & & \forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies \\
 & & \exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge \\
 & & (\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge \\
 & & (\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta') \backslash \mathit{dom}(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)\Big)\}
\end{array}$$

Definition 1.33 (Binary expression relation)**.**

$$\lceil \tau \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}} \triangleq \{(W, n, e_1, e_2) \mid \forall i < n.e_1 \Downarrow_i v_1 \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \implies (W, n - i, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}\}$$

Definition 1.34 (Unary value relation)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\lfloor \mathsf{b} \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta, m, v) \mid v \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!]\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta, m, v \mid v \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta, m, (v_1, v_2)) \mid (\theta, m, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \wedge (\theta, m, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta, m, \mathsf{inl}\ v) \mid (\theta, m, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V\} \cup \{(\theta, m, \mathsf{inr}\ v) \mid (\theta, m, v) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta, m, \lambda x.e) \mid \forall \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta, v, j < m. (\theta', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta', j, e[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta, m, a) \mid \theta(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta, m, \mathsf{Lb}(v) \mid (\theta, m, v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V\} \\
\lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta, m, e) \mid \\
 & & \forall k \leq m, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j. (k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies \\
 & & \exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e. (k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge \\
 & & (\forall a. H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. \theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge \\
 & & (\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e). \theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)\}
\end{array}
$$

Definition 1.35 (Unary expression relation)**.**

$$\lfloor \tau \rfloor_E \triangleq \{(\theta, n, e) \mid \forall i < n. e \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V\}$$

Definition 1.36 (Unary heap well formedness)**.**

$$(n, H) \triangleright \theta \triangleq dom(\theta) \subseteq dom(H) \wedge \forall a \in dom(\theta). (\theta, n - 1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta(a) \rfloor_V$$

Definition 1.37 (Binary heap well formedness)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W & \triangleq & dom(W.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1) \wedge dom(W.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2) \wedge \\
 & & (W.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W.\theta_1) \times dom(W.\theta_2)) \wedge \\
 & & \forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W.\hat{\beta}). (W.\theta_1(a_1) = W.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge \\
 & & (W, n - 1, H_1(a_1), H_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge \\
 & & \forall i \in \{1, 2\}. \forall m. \forall a_i \in dom(W.\theta_i). (W.\theta_i, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V
\end{array}
$$

Definition 1.38 (Binary substitution)**.** $\gamma : Var \mapsto (Val, Val)$

Definition 1.39 (Unary substitution)**.** $\delta : Var \mapsto Val$

Definition 1.40 (Unary interpretation of Γ)**.**

$$\lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V \triangleq \{(\theta, n, \delta) \mid dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma). (\theta, n, \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V\}$$

Definition 1.41 (Binary interpretation of Γ)**.**

$$\lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \triangleq \{(W, n, \gamma) \mid dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma). (W, n, \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}\}$$

1.2.4 Soundness proof for CG

Lemma 1.42 (Binary value relation subsumes unary value relation)**.** $\forall W, v_1, v_2, \mathcal{A}, n, \tau.$
$(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies \forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

Proof. Proof by induction on τ

1. Case $\mathsf{b}, \mathsf{unit}$:

   From Definition 1.34

2. Case $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$:

   Given: $(W, n, (v_{i1}, v_{i2}), (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lceil \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   To prove:

   $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, (v_{i1}, v_{i2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ (P01)

   and

   $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_2, m, (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ (P02)

   From Definition 1.32 we know that we are given

   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W, n, v_{i2}, v_{j2}) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (P1)

   IH1a: $\forall m_1.\ (W.\theta_1, m_1, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ and

   IH1b: $\forall m_1.\ (W.\theta_2, m_1, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

   IH2a: $\forall m_2.\ (W.\theta_1, m_2, v_{i2}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ and

   IH2b: $\forall m_2.\ (W.\theta_2, m_2, v_{j2}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   From (P01) we know that given some m we need to prove

   $(W.\theta_1, m, (v_{i1}, v_{i2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   Similarly from (P02) we know that given some m we need to prove

   $(W.\theta_2, m, (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   We instantiate IH1a and IH2a with the given m from (P01) to get

   $(W.\theta_1, m, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_1, m, v_{i2}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   Then from Definition 1.34, we get

   $(W.\theta_1, m, (v_{i1}, v_{i2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   Similarly we instantiate IH1b and IH2b with the given m from (P02) to get

   $(W.\theta_2, m, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, m, v_{j2}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   Then from Definition 1.34, we get

   $(W.\theta_2, m, (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

3. Case $\tau_1 + \tau_2$:

   2 cases arise:

   (a) $v_1 = \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1})$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{inl}(v_{j1})$

   Given: $(W, n, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1}), \mathsf{inl}(v_{j1})) \in \lceil \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   To prove:

   $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ (S01)

   and

   $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_2, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ (S02)

   From Definition 1.32 we know that we are given

   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (S0)

   IH1: $\forall m_1.\ (W.\theta_1, m_1, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ and

IH2: $\forall m_2.\ (W.\theta_2, m_2, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

From (S01) we know that given some m and we are required to prove:
$(W.\theta_1, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$
Also from (S02) we know that given some m and we are required to prove:
$(W.\theta_2, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

We instantiate IH1 with m from (S01) to get
$(W.\theta_1, m, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
Therefore from Definition 1.34, we get
$(W.\theta_1, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

We instantiate IH2 with m from (S02) to get
$(W.\theta_2, m, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
Therefore from Definition 1.34, we get
$(W.\theta_2, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{j1})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

(b) $v_1 = \mathsf{inr}(v_{i2})$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{inr}(v_{j2})$
Symmetric reasoning as in the (a) case above

4. Case $\tau_1 \to \tau_2$:

Given: $(W, n, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means from Definition 1.32 we know that

$\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2.((W', j, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies (W', j, e_1[v_1/x], e_2[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$
$\wedge\ \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, i, v_c.((\theta_l, i, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, i, e_1[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E)$
$\wedge\ \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, v_c.((\theta_l, k, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, k, e_2[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E)$ (L0)

To prove:

(a) $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \lambda x.e_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rfloor_V$:
This means from Definition 1.34 we need to prove:
$\forall \theta'. W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j < m. \forall v.(\theta', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta', j, e_1[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$
This further means that we have some θ', j and v s.t
$W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge j < m \wedge (\theta', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
And we need to prove: $(\theta', j, e_1[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

Instantiating θ_l, i and v_c in the second conjunct of L0 with θ', j and v respectively and since we know that $W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'$ and $(\theta', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

Therefore we get $(\theta', j, e_1[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

(b) $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_2, m, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rfloor_V$:
Similar reasoning with e_2

5. Case $\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau$:

From Definition 1.32 and 1.34

6. Case $\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau$:

   Given $(W, n, \mathsf{Lb}\, v_1, \mathsf{Lb}\, v_2) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   2 cases arise:

   (a) $\ell \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

   From Definition 1.31 we know that
   $(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   Therefore from IH we get $\forall m.(W.\theta_1, m, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$ and $\forall m.(W.\theta_2, m, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

   (b) $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

   Directly from Definition 1.31

7. Case $\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau$:

   Given: $(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   This means from Definition 1.32 we know that

   $\Big(\forall k \leq n,\, W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v_1', v_2', j.$
   $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$
   $\exists\, W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k - j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k - j, \ell_2, v_1', v_2', \tau)\Big) \wedge$
   $\forall l \in \{1, 2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
   $\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
   $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
   $(\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta') \backslash \mathit{dom}(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)\Big) \qquad$ (CG0)

   To prove: $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, v_i) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rfloor_V$

   This means from Definition 1.34 we need to prove

   $\forall l \in \{1, 2\}.\forall m.\Big(\forall k \leq m, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
   $\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
   $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
   $(\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta') \backslash \mathit{dom}(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)\Big)$

   Case $l = 1$

   And given some m and $k \leq m, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v_l') \wedge j < k$

   We need to prove that

   $\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
   $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
   $(\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta') \backslash \mathit{dom}(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)$

   Instantiating (CG0) with $l = 1$ and the given $k \leq m, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j$ we get the desired.

   Case $l = 2$

   Symmetric reasoning as in the previous case above

□

Lemma 1.43 (Monotonicity Unary)**.** *The following holds:*
$\forall \theta, \theta', v, m, m', \tau.$
$(\theta, m, v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge m' < m \wedge \theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \implies (\theta', m', v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

Proof. Proof by induction on τ

1. case $\mathsf{b}, \mathsf{unit}$:

   Directly from Definition 1.34

2. case $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$:

   Given: $(\theta, m, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   To prove: $(\theta', m', (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   This means from Definition 1.34 we know that

   $(\theta, m, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \wedge (\theta, m, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   IH1 : $(\theta', m', v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

   IH2 : $(\theta', m', v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   We get the desired from IH1, IH2 and Definition 1.34

3. case $\tau_1 + \tau_2$:

   2 cases arise:

   (a) $v = \mathsf{inl}(v_1)$:
   Given: $(\theta, m, (\mathsf{inl}\ v_1)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$
   To prove: $(\theta', m', \mathsf{inl}\ v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$
   This means from Definition 1.34 we know that
   $(\theta, m, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
   IH : $(\theta', m', v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
   Therefore from IH and Definition 1.34 we get the desired

   (b) $v = \mathsf{inr}(v_2)$
   Symmetric case

4. case $\tau_1 \to \tau_2$:

   Given: $(\theta, m, (\lambda x.e_1)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   To prove: $(\theta', m', (\lambda x.e_1)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   This means from Definition 1.34 we know that

   $$\forall \theta''.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'' \wedge \forall j < m.\forall v.(\theta'', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta'', j, e_1[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E \tag{57}$$

   Similarly from Definition 1.34 we know that we are required to prove
   $\forall \theta'''.\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta''' \wedge \forall k < m'.\forall v_1.(\theta''', k, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta''', k, e_1[v_1/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

   This means that given some θ''', k and v_1 such that $\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta''' \wedge k < m' \wedge (\theta''', k, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

   And we are required to prove $(\theta''', k, e_1[v_1/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

Instantiating Equation 57 with θ''', k and v_1 and since we know that $\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta'''$ and $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'$ therefore we have $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'''$. Also, we know that $k < m' < m$ and $(\theta''', k, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

Therefore we get $(\theta''', k, e_1[v_1/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

5. case $\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau$:

   From Definition 1.34 and Definition 1.29

6. case $\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau$:

   Given: $(\theta, m, (\mathsf{Lb}\, v)) \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rfloor_V$

   To prove: $(\theta', m', (\mathsf{Lb}\, v)) \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rfloor_V$

   This means from Definition 1.34 we know that $(\theta, m, v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

   IH: $(\theta', m', v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

   Therefore from IH and Definition 1.34 we get the desired

7. case $\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau$:

   Given: $(\theta, m, e) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rfloor_V$

   To prove: $(\theta', m', e) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rfloor_V$

   This means from Definition 1.34 we know that

   $\forall k \leq m, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies$
   $\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
   $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
   $(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1) \qquad$ (LB0)

   Similarly from Definition 1.34 we are required to prove

   $\forall k_1 \leq m', \theta_{e1} \sqsupseteq \theta', H_1, j_1.(k_1, H_1) \triangleright \theta_{e1} \wedge (H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_{j_1}^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge j_1 < k_1 \implies$
   $\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k_1 - j_1, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta_1', k_1 - j_1, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
   $(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_{e1}(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
   $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta_{e1}).\theta_1'(a) \searrow \ell_1)$

   This means we are given

   $k_1 \leq m', \theta_{e1} \sqsupseteq \theta', H_1, j_1$ s.t $(k_1, H) \triangleright \theta_{e1} \wedge (H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_{j_1}^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge j_1 < k_1$

   And we are required to prove:

   $\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k_1 - j_1, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta_1', k_1 - j_1, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
   $(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_{e1}(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
   $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta_{e1}).\theta_1'(a) \searrow \ell_1)$

   Instantiating (LB0), k with k_1, θ_e with θ_{e1}, H with H_1 and j with j_1. We know that $k_1 < m' < m$, $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \sqsubseteq \theta_{e1}$, $(k_1, H_1) \triangleright \theta_{e1}$, $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_{j_1}^f (H_1', v_1')$ and $i_1 + j_1 < k_1$. Therefore we get

   $\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k_1 - j_1, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta_1', k_1 - j_1, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
   $(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_{e1}(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
   $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta_{e1}).\theta_1'(a) \searrow \ell_1)$

□

Lemma 1.44 (Monotonicity binary)**.** *The following holds:*
$\forall W, W', v_1, v_2, \mathcal{A}, n, n', \tau.$
$(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge n' < n \wedge W \sqsubseteq W' \implies (W', n', v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Proof. Proof by induction on τ

1. Case b, unit:

   From Definition 1.32

2. Case $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$:

   Given: $(W, n, (v_{i1}, v_{i2}), (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lceil \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   To prove: $(W', n', (v_{i1}, v_{i2}), (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lceil \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   From Definition 1.32 we know that we are given
   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W, n, v_{i2}, v_{j2}) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   IH1 : $(W', n', v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   IH2 : $(W', n', v_{i2}, v_{j2}) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   From IH1, IH2 and Definition 1.32 we get the desired.

3. Case $\tau_1 + \tau_2$:

   2 cases arise:

   (a) $v_1 = \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i1}$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i2}$:
   Given: $(W, n, (\mathsf{inl}\ v_{i1}, \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i2})) \in \lceil \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   To prove: $(W', n', (\mathsf{inl}\ v_{i1}, \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i2})) \in \lceil \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   From Definition 1.32 we know that we are given
   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   IH : $(W', n', v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   Therefore from Definition 1.32 we get
   $(W', n', \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i1}, \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   (b) $v_1 = \mathsf{inr}(v_{12})$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{inr}(v_{22})$:
   Symmetric case

4. Case $\tau_1 \to \tau_2$:

   Given: $(W, n, (\lambda x.e_1), (\lambda x.e_2)) \in \lceil \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   To prove: $(\theta', n', (\lambda x.e_1), (\lambda x.e_1)) \in \lceil \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   This means from Definition 1.32 we know that the following holds
   $\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2.((W', j, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies (W', j, e_1[v_1/x], e_2[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}})$ (BM-A0)
   $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j, v_c.((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_1[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E)$ (BM-A1)
   $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j, v_c.((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_2[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E)$ (BM-A2)

   Similarly from Definition 1.32 we know that we are required to prove

(a) $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W', k < n', v_1', v_2'.((W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies (W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}})$:
This means that we are given some $W'' \sqsupseteq W'$, $k < n'$ and v_1', v_2' s.t
$(W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
And we a required to prove: $(W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating BM-A0 with W'', k and v_1', v_2' we get
$(W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

(b) $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_1, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E)$:
This means that we are given some $\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_1$, k and v_c' s.t
$(\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
And we a required to prove: $(\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

Instantiating BM-A1 with θ_l', k and v_c' we get
$(\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

(c) $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_2[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E)$:
This means that we are given some $\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_2$, k and v_c' s.t
$(\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
And we a required to prove: $(\theta_l', k, e_2[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

Instantiating BM-A1 with θ_l', k and v_c' we get
$(\theta_l', k, e_2[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

5. Case $\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau$:

From Definition 1.32 and Definition 1.30

6. Case $\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau$:

Given: $(W, n, (\mathsf{Lb}\, v_1), (\mathsf{Lb}\, v_2)) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
To prove: $(W', n', (\mathsf{Lb}\, v_1), (\mathsf{Lb}\, v_2)) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
From Definition 1.32 2 cases arise:

(a) $\ell \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
In this case we know that $(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
Therefore from IH we know that $(W', n', v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
Hence from Definition 1.32 we get $(W', n', (\mathsf{Lb}\, v_1), (\mathsf{Lb}\, v_2)) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

(b) $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
In this case we know that $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, m, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$
Since $W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq W'.\theta_1$ (from Definition 1.30). Therefore from Lemma 1.43 we know that $\forall m' < m.\ (W'.\theta_1, m', v_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$
Similarly since $W.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq W'.\theta_2$ (from Definition 1.30). Therefore from Lemma 1.43 we know that
$\forall m' < m.\ (W'.\theta_2, m', v_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

Finally from Definition 1.32 we get $(W', n', (\mathsf{Lb}\, v_1), (\mathsf{Lb}\, v_2)) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

7. Case $\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau$:

   Given: $(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   To prove: $(W', n', v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   From Definition 1.32 we are given that

   $\Big(\forall k \leq n,\ W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge$
   $\forall v_1', v_2', j.(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$
   $\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k - j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k - j, \ell_2, v_1', v_2', \tau)\Big) \wedge$
   $\forall l \in \{1, 2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
   $\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
   $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
   $(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)\Big)$ (BM-M0)

   Similarly from Definition 1.32 it suffices to prove that

   (a) $\Big(\forall k \leq n,\ W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge$
   $\forall v_1', v_2', j.(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$
   $\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k - j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k - j, \ell_2, v_1', v_2', \tau)\Big)$:
   This means that given some $k \leq n$, $W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2, v_1', v_2', j$ s.t
   $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge (H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k$

   It suffices to prove that
   $\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k - j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k - j, \ell_2, v_1', v_2', \tau)$

   Instantiating the first conjunct of (BM-M0) with the given k, $W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2, v_1', v_2', j$ and since we know that $n' \leq n$ and $W \sqsubseteq W'$ we get the desired

   (b) $\forall l \in \{1, 2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
   $\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
   $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
   $(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)\Big)$:
   Similar reasoning as in the previous case but using Lemma 1.43

□

Lemma 1.45 (Unary monotonicity for Γ)**.** $\forall \theta, \theta', \delta, \Gamma, n, n'.$
$(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V \wedge n' < n \wedge \theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \implies (\theta', n', \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Proof. Given: $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V \wedge n' < n \wedge \theta \sqsubseteq \theta'$
To prove: $(\theta', n', \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.40 it is given that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta, n, \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$

And again from Definition 1.40 we are required to prove that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta', n', \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$

- $dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta)$:

  Given

- $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta', n', \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$:

  Since we know that $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta, n, \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$ (given)

  Therefore from Lemma 1.43 we get

  $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta', n', \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$

□

Lemma 1.46 (Binary monotonicity for Γ)**.** $\forall W, W', \delta, \Gamma, n, n'.$
$(W, n, \gamma) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V \wedge n' < n \wedge W \sqsubseteq W' \implies (W', n', \gamma) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Proof. Given: $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V \wedge n' < n \wedge W \sqsubseteq W'$
To prove: $(W', n', \gamma) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.41 it is given that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W, n, \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

And again from Definition 1.40 we are required to prove that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W', n', \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

- $dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma)$:

  Given

- $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W', n', \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$:

  Since we know that $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W, n, \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (given)

  Therefore from Lemma 1.44 we get

  $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W', n', \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

□

Lemma 1.47 (Unary monotonicity for H)**.** $\forall \theta, H, n, n'.$
$(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge n' < n \implies (n', H) \triangleright \theta$

Proof. Given: $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge n' < n$
To prove: $(n', H) \triangleright \theta$

From Definition 1.36 it is given that
$dom(\theta) \subseteq dom(H) \wedge \forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n - 1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta(a) \rfloor_V$

And again from Definition 1.40 we are required to prove that
$dom(\theta) \subseteq dom(H) \wedge \forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n' - 1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta'(a) \rfloor_V$

- $dom(\theta) \subseteq dom(H)$:

  Given

- $\forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n' - 1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta'(a) \rfloor_V$:
  Since we know that $\forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n - 1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta(a) \rfloor_V$ (given)
  Therefore from Lemma 1.43 we get
  $\forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n' - 1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta'(a) \rfloor_V$

□

Lemma 1.48 (Binary monotonicity for heaps)**.** $\forall W, H_1, H_2, n, n'.$
$(n, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W \wedge n' < n \implies (n', H_1, H_2) \triangleright W$

Proof. Given: $(n, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W \wedge n' < n \wedge W \sqsubseteq W'$
To prove: $(n', H_1, H_2) \triangleright W$

From Definition 1.37 it is given that
$dom(W.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1) \wedge dom(W.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2) \wedge$
$(W.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W.\theta_1) \times dom(W.\theta_2)) \wedge$
$\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W.\hat{\beta}).(W.\theta_1(a_1) = W.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge$
$(W, n - 1, H_1(a_1), H_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V) \wedge$
$\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i \in dom(W.\theta_i).(W.\theta_i, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$

And again from Definition 1.37 we are required to prove:

- $dom(W.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1) \wedge dom(W.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2)$:
  Given
- $(W.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W.\theta_1) \times dom(W.\theta_2))$:
  Given
- $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W.\hat{\beta}).(W.\theta_1(a_1) = W.\theta_2(a_2)$ and $(W, n' - 1, H_1(a_1), H_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V)$:
  $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W.\hat{\beta}).$
  - $(W.\theta_1(a_1) = W.\theta_2(a_2))$: Given
  - $(W, n' - 1, H_1(a_1), H_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V)$:
    Given and from Lemma 1.44
- $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i \in dom(W.\theta_i).(W.\theta_i, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$:
  Given

□

Theorem 1.49 (Fundamental theorem unary)**.** $\forall \Gamma, \theta, e, \tau, \delta, n.$
$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \wedge$
$(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V \implies$
$(\theta, n, e\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E$

Proof. Proof by induction on CG typing derivation

1. CG-var:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau}\ \text{CG-var}$$

Also given is $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, x\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 1.35 we need to prove

$\forall i < n . x\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $x\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

(from cg-val we know that $v = x\ \delta$ and $i = 0$)

It suffices to prove $(\theta, n, x\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$ (FU-V0)

Since $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma' \rfloor_V$ where $\Gamma' = \Gamma \cup \{x : \tau\}$. Therefore from Definition 1.40 we know that $(\theta, n, \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma'(x) \rfloor_V$

So we are done.

2. CG-lam:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e' : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.e' : (\tau_1 \to \tau_2)}$$

Also given is $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 1.35 we need to prove

$\forall i < n . \lambda x.e'\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \rfloor_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\lambda x.e'\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

(from cg-val we know that $v = \lambda x.e'\ \delta$ and $i = 0$)

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n, \lambda x.e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \rfloor_V$ (FU-L0)

From Definition 1.34 it further suffices to prove

$\forall \theta'' \sqsupseteq \theta, v', j < n . (\theta'', j, v') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta'', j, (e'\ \delta)[v'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

This means given some θ'', v', j s.t $\theta'' \sqsupseteq \theta$, $j < n$ and $(\theta'', j, v') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ (FU-L1)

We are required to prove

$(\theta'', j, (e'\ \delta)[v'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

Since $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$ therefore from Lemma 1.45 we know that $(\theta, j, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$ where $j < n$ (from FU-L1)

IH:

$\forall \theta_h, v_x .\ (\theta_h, j, e'\ \delta \cup \{x \mapsto v_x\}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$, s.t $(\theta_i, j, v_x) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

Instantiating IH with θ'' and v' from (FU-L1) we get $(\theta'', j, (e'\ \delta)[v'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

3. CG-app:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash e_1\ e_2 : \tau_2}$$

Also given is $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, (e_1\ e_2)\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 1.35 we need to prove

$\forall i < n.(e_1\ e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $(e_1\ e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ (FU-P0)

IH1:

$\forall j < n.e_1\ \delta \Downarrow_j v_1 \implies (\theta, n-j, v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(e_1\ e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_1\ \delta \Downarrow_j v_1$. This means we have $(\theta, n-j, v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \rfloor_V$

From cg-app we know that $v_1 = \lambda x.e'$.Therefore we have

$(\theta, n-j, \lambda x.e') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \rfloor_V$ (FU-P1)

This means from Definition 1.34 we have

$$\forall \theta'' \sqsupseteq \theta \wedge I < (n-j), v.(\theta'', I, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta'', I, e'[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E \tag{58}$$

IH2:

$\forall k < (n-j).e_2\ \delta \Downarrow_k v_2 \implies (\theta, n-j-k, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(e_1\ e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ therefore $\exists k < i-j$ (since $i < n$ therefore $i-j < n-j$) s.t $e_2\ \delta \Downarrow_k v_2$. This means we have

$(\theta, n-j-k, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ (FU-P2)

Instantiating Equation 58 with $\theta, (n-j-k), v_2$ and since we know that $(\theta, n-j-k, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ therefore we get

$(\theta, n-j-k, e'[v_2/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

This means from Definition 1.35 we have

$\forall J < n-j-k.e'[v_2/x] \Downarrow_J v_f \implies (\theta, n-j-k-J, v_J) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

Since we know that $(e_1\ e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ therefore we know that $\exists J < i < n$ s.t $i = j+k+J$ (since $j+k+J < n$ therefore $J < n-j-k$) and $e'[v_2/x] \Downarrow_J v_f$

Therefore we have $(\theta, n-j-k-J, v_J) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

Since we know that $i = j+k+J$ and $v = v_J$ therefore we get $(\theta, n-i, v_J) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$ (so FU-P0 is proved)

4. CG-prod:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)}$$

Also given is $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, (e_1, e_2)\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 1.35 we need to prove

$\forall i < n.(e_1, e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rfloor_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $(e_1, e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rfloor_V$ (FU-PA0)

IH1:

$\forall j < n.e_1\ \delta \Downarrow_j v_1 \implies (\theta, n-j, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(e_1, e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_1\ \delta \Downarrow_j v_1$. This means we have

$(\theta, n-j, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ (FU-PA1)

IH2:

$\forall k < (n-j).e_2\ \delta \Downarrow_k v_2 \implies (\theta, n-j-k, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(e_1\ e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ therefore $\exists k < i-j$ (since $i < n$ therefore $i-j < n-j$) s.t $e_2\ \delta \Downarrow_k v_2$. This means we have

$(\theta, n-j-k, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ (FU-PA2)

In order to prove (FU-PA0) from cg-prod we know that $i = j+k+1$ and $v = (v_1, v_2)$ therefore from Definition 1.34 it suffices to prove

$(\theta, n-j-k-1, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ and $(\theta, n-j-k-1, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

We get this from (FU-PA1) and Lemma 1.43 and from (FU-PA2) and Lemma 1.43

5. CG-fst:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e' : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{fst}(e') : \tau_1}$$

Also given is $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{fst}(e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 1.35 we need to prove

$\forall i < n.\mathsf{fst}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{fst}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ (FU-F0)

IH1:

$\forall j < n . e'\ \delta \Downarrow_j (v_1, v_2) \implies (\theta, n-j, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $\mathsf{fst}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e'\ \delta \Downarrow_j (v_1, v_2)$. This means we have

$(\theta, n-j, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.34 we know the following holds

$(\theta, n-j, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ and $(\theta, n-j, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ (FU-F1)

From cg-fst we know that $v = v_1$ and $i = j + 1$. Therefore from (FU-F0), we are required to prove

$(\theta, n-j-1, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

We get this from (FU-F1) and Lemma 1.43

6. CG-snd:

   Symmetric reasoning as in the CG-fst case above

7. CG-inl:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e' : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{inl}(e') : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)}$$

Also given is $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{inl}(e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 1.35 we need to prove

$\forall i < n . \mathsf{inl}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \rfloor_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{inl}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \rfloor_V$ (FU-LE0)

IH1:

$\forall j < n . e'\ \delta \Downarrow_j v_1 \implies (\theta, n-j, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $\mathsf{inl}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e'\ \delta \Downarrow_j v_1$. This means we have

$(\theta, n-j, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ (FU-LE1)

From cg-inl we know that $v = v_1$ and $i = j + 1$. Therefore from (FU-LE0) w we are required to prove

$(\theta, n-j-1, v_1) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 + \tau_2)\rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.34 it suffices to prove
$(\theta, n-j-1, v_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V$

We get this from (FU-LE1) and Lemma 1.43

8. CG-inr:

   Symmetric reasoning as in the CG-inl case above

9. CG-case:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_c : (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \qquad \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e_1 : \tau \qquad \Gamma, y : \tau_2 \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{case}(e, x.e_1, y.e_2) : \tau}$$

   Also given is $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$
   To prove: $(\theta, n, (\mathsf{case}\ e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \delta) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_E$

   This means that from Definition 1.35 we need to prove
   $\forall i < n.(\mathsf{case}\ e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V$
   This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $(\mathsf{case}\ e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$
   It suffices to prove
   $(\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V$ (FU-C0)

   IH1:
   $\forall j < n.e_c\ \delta \Downarrow_j v_c \implies (\theta, n-j, v_1) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 + \tau_2)\rfloor_V$

   Since we know that $(\mathsf{case}\ e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_c\ \delta \Downarrow_j v_c$. This means we have
   $(\theta, n-j, v_c) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 + \tau_2)\rfloor_V$ (FU-C1)
   2 cases arise:

   (a) $v_c = \mathsf{inl}(v_l)$:
   IH2:
   $\forall k < (n-j).e_1\ \delta \cup \{x \mapsto v_l\} \Downarrow_k v_1 \implies (\theta, n-j-k, v_1) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V$

   Since we know that $(\mathsf{case}\ e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ therefore $\exists k < i-j$ (since $i < n$ therefore $i-j < n-j$) s.t $e_1\ \delta \cup \{x \mapsto v_l\} \Downarrow_k v_1$. This means we have
   $(\theta, n-j-k, v_1) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V$ (FU-C2)

   From cg-case1 we know that $i = j+k+1$ and $v = v_1$. Therefore from (FU-C0) it suffices to prove
   $(\theta, n-j-k-1, v_1) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V$

   We get this from (FU-C2) and Lemma 1.43

   (b) $v_c = \mathsf{inr}(v_r)$:
   Symmetric reasoning as in the previous case

10. CG-ref:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e' : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{new}\ (e') : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)}$$

Also given is $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 1.35 we need to prove

$\forall i < n.\mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

(from cg-val we know that $v = \mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \delta$ and $i = 0$)

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n, \mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.34 it suffices to prove

$\forall k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, \mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$

This means given some $k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, \mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k$.
Also from cg-ref we know that $v' = a$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, a) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell) \qquad$ (FU-R0)

IH:

$(\theta_e, k, e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_E$

From Definition 1.35 this means we have

$\forall l < k.e'\ \delta \Downarrow_l v_h \implies (\theta_e, n-l, v_h) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(H, \mathsf{new}\ (e')) \Downarrow_j^f (H', a)$ therefore from cg-ref we know that

$\exists l < j < k$ s.t $e'\ \delta \Downarrow_l v_h$

Therefore we have

$(\theta_e, n-l, v_h) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V \qquad$ (FU-R2)

In order to prove (FU-R0) we choose θ' as $\theta_n = \theta_e \cup \{a \mapsto \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau\}$

Now we need to prove:

(a) $(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta_n$:
From Definition 1.36 it suffices to prove that
$dom(\theta_n) \subseteq dom(H') \wedge \forall a \in dom(\theta_n).(\theta_n, (k-j)-1, H'(a)) \in \lfloor \theta_n(a) \rfloor_V$

- $dom(\theta_n) \subseteq dom(H')$:
  We know that $dom(H') = dom(H) \cup \{a\}$
  We know that $dom(\theta_n) = dom(\theta_e) \cup \{a\}$
  And $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e$ therefore from Definition 1.36 we know that $dom(\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H)$
  So we are done
- $\forall a \in dom(\theta_n).(\theta_n, (k-j)-1, H'(a)) \in \lfloor \theta_n(a) \rfloor_V$:
  Since from (FU-R2) we know that $(\theta_h, n-l, v_h) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V$
  Since $\theta_h \sqsubseteq \theta_n$ and $k-j-1 < n-l$ (since $k < n$ and $l < j$) therefore from Lemma 1.43 we know that $(\theta_n, k-j-1, v_h) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V$

(b) $(\theta_n, k-j-1, a) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V$:
From Definition 1.34 it suffices to prove that $\theta_n(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau$
We get this by construction of θ_n

(c) $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
Holds vacuously

(d) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_n) \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta_n(a) \searrow \ell)$:
From CG-ref we know that $\ell \sqsubseteq \ell'$

11. CG-deref:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e' : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau}{\Gamma \vdash\ !e' : \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)}$$

Also given is $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, (!e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 1.35 we need to prove

$\forall i < n.!(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor_V$

(From cg-val we know that $v = !e'\ \delta$ and $i = 0$)

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $!e'\ \delta \Downarrow_i !e'\ \delta$

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n, !e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.34 it suffices to prove

$\forall k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, (!e'\ \delta)) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell''.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau' \wedge \top \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \top)$

This means given some $k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, (!e'\ \delta)) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k$.

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell''.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau' \wedge \top \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \top)$ (FU-D0)

IH:

$(\theta_e, k, e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_E$

From Definition 1.35 this means we have

$\forall l < k.e'\ \delta \Downarrow_l v_h \implies (\theta_e, k-l, v_h) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(H, !(e')) \Downarrow_j^f (H', a)$ therefore from cg-deref we know that

$\exists l < j < k$ s.t $e'\ \delta \Downarrow_l v_h,\ v_h = a$

Therefore we have

$(\theta_e, k-l, a) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_V$ (FU-D1)

In order to prove (FU-D0) we choose θ' as θ_e

Now we need to prove:

(a) $(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta_e$:
From Definition 1.36 it suffices to prove that
$dom(\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H') \wedge \forall a \in dom(\theta_e).(\theta_e, (k-j)-1, H'(a)) \in \lfloor\theta_e(a)\rfloor_V$

- $dom(\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H')$:
  And $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e$ therefore from Definition 1.36 we know that $dom(\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H)$
  And since $H' = H$ (from cg-deref) so we are done
- $\forall a \in dom(\theta_e).(\theta_e, (k-j)-1, H'(a)) \in \lfloor\theta_e(a)\rfloor_V$:
  Since we know that $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e$ therefore from Definition 1.36 we know that
  $\forall a \in dom(\theta_e).(\theta_e, k-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor\theta_e(a)\rfloor_V$
  Since $H' = H$ and from Lemma 1.43 we get
  $\forall a \in dom(\theta_e).(\theta_e, (k-j)-1, H'(a)) \in \lfloor\theta_e(a)\rfloor_V$

(b) $(\theta_e, k-j, v') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_V$:
From cg-deref we know that $H = H'$ and $v' = H(a)$
From (FU-D1) and Definition 1.34 we know that $\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau$
Since we know that $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e$ therefore from Definition 1.36 we know that
$\forall a \in dom(\theta_e).(\theta_e, k-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor\theta_e(a)\rfloor_V$
Since from cg-deref we know that $j \geq 1$. Therefore from Lemma 1.43 we get $(\theta_e, k-j, H(a)) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_V$

(c) $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \top \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
Holds vacuously

(d) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_e) \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta_e(a) \searrow \top)$:
Holds vacuously

12. CG-assign:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 := e_2 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ \mathsf{unit}}$$

Also given is $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, (e_1 := e_2)\ \delta) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ \mathsf{unit})\rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means that from Definition 1.35 we need to prove

$\forall i < n.(e_1 := e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell \perp \mathsf{unit})\rfloor_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $(e_1 := e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$.

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n-i, ()) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell \perp \mathsf{unit})\rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.34 it suffices to prove

$\forall k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, (e_1 := e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$

This means given some $k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, (e_1 := e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k$. Also from cg-assign we know that $v' = ()$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, ()) \in \lfloor\mathsf{unit}\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$ (FU-A0)

IH1:

$\forall l < k.e_1\ \delta \Downarrow_l v_1 \implies (\theta, k-l, a) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(e_1 := e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_j^f v$ therefore $\exists l < j < k$ s.t $e_1\ \delta \Downarrow_l a$. This means we have

$(\theta, k-l, a) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\rfloor_V$ (FU-A1)

IH2:

$\forall m < (k-l).e_2\ \delta \Downarrow_m v_2 \implies (\theta, k-l-m, v_2) \in \lfloor\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau\rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(e_1 := e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_j^f v$ therefore $\exists m < j-l$ (since $j < k$ therefore $j-l < k-l$) s.t $e_2\ \delta \Downarrow_k v_2$. This means we have

$(\theta, k-l-m, v_2) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\rfloor_V$ (FU-A2)

In order to prove (FU-A0) we choose θ' as θ_e

Now we need to prove:

(a) $(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta_e$:
From Definition 1.36 it suffices to prove that
$dom(\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H') \wedge \forall a \in dom(\theta_e).(\theta_e, (k-j)-1, H'(a)) \in \lfloor\theta_e(a)\rfloor_V$

- $dom(\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H')$:
  We know that $dom(H') = dom(H)$
  And $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e$ therefore from Definition 1.36 we know that $dom(\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H)$
  So we are done

- $\forall a \in dom(\theta_e).(\theta_e, (k-j)-1, H'(a)) \in \lfloor \theta_e(a) \rfloor_V$:
  $\forall a \in dom(\theta_e)$.
  i. $H(a) = H'(a)$:
  Since $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e$ therefore from Definition 1.36 we know that
  $(\theta_e, k-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta_e(a) \rfloor_V$
  Therefore from Lemma 1.43 we get
  $(\theta_e, k-1-j, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta_e(a) \rfloor_V$
  ii. $H(a) \neq H'(a)$:
  From cg-assign we know that $H'(a) = v_2$
  From (FU-A1) we know that $\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau$
  Also we know that $j = l + m + 1$
  Since from (FU-A2) we know that
  $(\theta, k-l-m, v_2) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V$
  Therefore we get
  $(\theta, k-j+1, v_2) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V$
  Therefore from Lemma 1.43 we get
  $(\theta, k-j-1, v_2) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V$

(b) $(\theta_e, k-j-1, ()) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V$:
From Definition 1.34

(c) $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
From CG-assign we know that $\ell \sqsubseteq \ell'$

(d) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_e) \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta_e(a) \searrow \ell)$:
Holds vacuously

13. CG-label:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e' : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{Lb}(e') : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau}$$

Also given is $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{Lb}(e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 1.35 we need to prove

$\forall i < n.\mathsf{Lb}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rfloor_V$

This means we are given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{Lb}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ and we are required to prove
$(\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rfloor_V$

Let $v = \mathsf{Lb}(v_i)$. This means from Definition 1.34 we are required to prove
$(\theta, n-i, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

IH: $(\theta, n, e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E$

This means from Definition 1.35 we have

$\forall j < n.e'\ \delta \Downarrow_j v_i \implies (\theta, n-j, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $\mathsf{Lb}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e'\ \delta \Downarrow_j v_i$

Therefore we have $(\theta, n-j, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

From cg-label we know that $i = j+1$ therefore from Lemma 1.43 we have $(\theta, n-i, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

14. CG-unlabel:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e' : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}(e') : \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ \tau}$$

Also given is $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 1.35 we need to prove

$\forall i < n.\mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

(from cg-val we know that $v = \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \delta$ and $i = 0$)

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.34 it suffices to prove

$\forall k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \top \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \top)$

This means given some $k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k$. Also from cg-unlabel we know that $H' = H$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H) \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \top \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \top)$ (FU-U0)

IH:

$(\theta_e, k, e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 1.35 we need to prove

$\forall h_1 < k.e'\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_1} v_h \implies (\theta_e, k-h_1, v_h) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(H, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')) \Downarrow_j^f (H, v')$ therefore from cg-unlabel we know that

$\exists h_1 < j < k$ s.t $e'\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_1} \mathsf{Lb} v'$

This means we have

$(\theta_e, k-h_1, \mathsf{Lb} v') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor_V$

This means from Definition 1.34 we have

$(\theta_e, k - h_1, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$ (FU-U1)

In order to prove (FU-U0) we choose θ' as θ_e. And we a required to prove:

(a) $(k - j, H) \triangleright \theta_e$:
Since have $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e$ therefore from Lemma 1.47 we get $(k - j, H) \triangleright \theta_e$

(b) $(\theta', k - j, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$:
Since from (FU-U1) we know that $(\theta_e, k - h_1, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$
And since $j = h_1 + 1$, therefore from Lemma 1.43 we get $(\theta_e, k - j, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

(c) $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \top \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
Holds vacuously

(d) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \top)$:
Holds vacuously

15. CG-ret:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e' : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{ret}(e') : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell'\ \tau}$$

Also given is $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{ret}(e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell'\ \tau \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 1.35 we need to prove

$\forall i < n.\mathsf{ret}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell'\ \tau \rfloor_V$

This means we are given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{ret}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ and we are required to prove

$(\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell'\ \tau \rfloor_V$

(from cg-val we know that $v = \mathsf{ret}(e')\ \delta$ and $i = 0$)

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n, \mathsf{ret}(e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell'\ \tau \rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.34 it suffices to prove

$\forall k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, \mathsf{ret}(e')\ \delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell''.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$

This means given some $k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, \mathsf{ret}(e')\delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k$.
Also from cg-ret we know that $H' = H$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H) \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell''.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$ (FU-R0)

IH:

$(\theta_e, k, e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 1.35 we need to prove

$\forall h_1 < k.e'\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_1} v_h \implies (\theta_e, k - h_1, v_h) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(H, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')) \Downarrow_j^f (H, v')$ therefore from cg-ret we know that

$\exists h_1 < j < k$ s.t $e'\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_1} v'$

This means we have

$(\theta_e, k - h_1, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$ (FU-R1)

In order to prove (FU-U0) we choose θ' as θ_e. And we a required to prove:

(a) $(k - j, H) \triangleright \theta_e$:
Since have $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e$ therefore from Lemma 1.47 we get $(k - j, H) \triangleright \theta_e$

(b) $(\theta', k - j, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$:
Since from (FU-R1) we know that $(\theta_e, k - h_1, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$
And since $j = h_1 + 1$, therefore from Lemma 1.43 we get $(\theta_e, k - j, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

(c) $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell''.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'')$:
Holds vacuously

(d) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$:
Holds vacuously

16. CG-bind:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \qquad \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e_2 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_3\ \ell_4\ \tau' \qquad \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_1 \qquad \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_3 \qquad \ell_2 \sqsubseteq \ell_3 \qquad \ell_2 \sqsubseteq \ell_4 \qquad \ell_4 \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell'\ \tau'}$$

Also given is $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)\ \delta) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell'\ \tau' \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 1.35 we need to prove

$\forall i < n.\mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell'\ \tau' \rfloor_V$

This means we are given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ and we are required to prove

$(\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell'\ \tau' \rfloor_V$

(from cg-val we know that $v = \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)\ \delta$ and $i = 0$)

Therefore we need to prove

$(\theta, n, v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell'\ \tau' \rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.34 it suffices to prove

$\forall k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau'' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$

This means we are given some $k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k$.

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau'' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$ (FU-B0)

IH1:

$(\theta_e, k, e_1\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 1.35 we need to prove

$\forall h_1 < k.e_1\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_1} v_1 \implies (\theta_e, k-h_1, v_1) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(H, \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1, v_1)$ therefore from cg-bind we know that

$\exists h_1 < j < k$ s.t $e_1\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_1} v_1$

This means we have

$(\theta_e, k-h_1, v_1) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.34 we know that

$\forall k_{h1} \leq (k-h_1), \theta'_e \sqsupseteq \theta_e, H, J.(k_{h1}, H) \triangleright \theta'_e \wedge (H, v_1) \Downarrow_J^f (H', v'_{h1}) \wedge J < k_{h1} \implies$
$\exists \theta'' \sqsupseteq \theta'_e.(k_{h1} - J, H') \triangleright \theta'' \wedge (\theta'', k_{h1} - J, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell''.\theta'_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta'') \backslash dom(\theta'_e).\theta''(a) \searrow \ell_1)$

Instantiating k_{h1} with $k-h_1$, θ'_e with θ_e. Since we know that $(H, \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1, v_1)$ therefore $\exists J < j - h_1 < k - h_1$ s.t $(H, v_1) \Downarrow_J^f (H', v'_{h1})$. And since we already knwo that $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e$ therefore from Lemma 1.47 we get $(k-h_1, H) \triangleright \theta_e$

This means we have

$\exists \theta'' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k_{h1} - J, H') \triangleright \theta'' \wedge (\theta'', k_{h1} - J, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell''.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta'') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta''(a) \searrow \ell_1)$ (FU-B1)

IH2:

$(\theta'', k-h_1-J, e_2\ \delta \cup \{x \mapsto v'\}) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_3\ \ell_4\ \tau') \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 1.35 we need to prove

$\forall h_2 < k - h_1 - J.e_2\ \delta \cup \{x \mapsto v'\} \Downarrow_{h_2} v'' \implies (\theta'', k-h_1-J-h_2, v'') \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_3\ \ell_4\ \tau') \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(H, \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)) \Downarrow_j^f (H, v_1)$ therefore from cg-bind we know that

$\exists h_2 < j - h_1 - J < k - h_1 - J$ s.t $e_2\ \delta \cup \{x \mapsto v'\} \Downarrow_{h_2} v''$

This means we have

$(\theta'', k-h_1-J-h_2, v'') \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_3\ \ell_4\ \tau') \rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.34 we know that

$\forall k_{h2} \leq (k - h_1 - J - h_2), \theta'_e \sqsupseteq \theta'', H, J'.(k_{h2}, H) \triangleright \theta'_e \wedge (H, v'') \Downarrow^f_{J'} (H'', v'_{h2}) \wedge J' < k_{h2} \implies$
$\exists \theta''' \sqsupseteq \theta'_e.(k_{h2} - J', H'') \triangleright \theta''' \wedge (\theta''', k_{h2} - J', v') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H''(a) \implies \exists \ell''.\theta'_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_3 \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta''') \backslash dom(\theta'_e).\theta'''(a) \searrow \ell_3)$

Since we know that $(H, \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)) \Downarrow^f_j (H_1, v_1)$ therefore $\exists v_{h2}, i$ s.t $(v'' \Downarrow_i v_{h2})$. From cg-val we know that $v_{h2} = v''$ and $i = 0$. Instantiating k_{h2} with $k - h_1 - J - h_2$, θ'_e with θ'', H with H' (from FU-B1) and $\exists J' < j - h_1 - J - h_2 < k - h_1 - J - h_2$ s.t $(H', v_{h2}) \Downarrow^f_J (H'', v'_{h2})$. And since we already know that $(k - h_1, H') \triangleright \theta''$ therefore from Lemma 1.47 we get $(k - h_1 - J - h_2, H') \triangleright \theta''$

This means we have

$\exists \theta''' \sqsupseteq \theta'_e.(k_{h2} - J', H'') \triangleright \theta''' \wedge (\theta''', k_{h2} - J', v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H''(a) \implies \exists \ell''.\theta'_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau' \wedge \ell_3 \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta''') \backslash dom(\theta'_e).\theta'''(a) \searrow \ell_3)$ (FU-B2)

We get (FU-B0) by choosing θ' as θ''' (from FU-B2)

17. CG-toLabeled:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e' : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{toLabeled}(e') : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau)}$$

Also given is $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 1.35 we need to prove

$\forall i < n.\mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rfloor_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

(from cg-val we know that $v = \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \delta$ and $i = 0$)

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.34 it suffices to prove

$\forall k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \delta) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)$

And given some $k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \delta) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v') \wedge j < k$. Also from cg-tolabeled we know that $H' = H$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)$ (FU-TL0)

IH:

$(\theta_e, k, e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau)\rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 1.35 we need to prove

$\forall h_1 < k. e'\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_1} v_1 \implies (\theta, k - h_1, v_1) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau)\rfloor_V$

Since $H, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e') \Downarrow_j^f H', v'$ therefore from cg-tolabeled we know that $\exists h_1 < j < k$ s.t $e'\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_1} v_1$

Therefore we get $(\theta, k - h_1, v_1) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau)\rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.34 we know that

$\forall k_{h1} \leq (k - h_1), \theta'_e \sqsupseteq \theta_e, H_h, J.(k_{h1}, H_h) \triangleright \theta'_e \wedge (H_h, v_1) \Downarrow_J^f (H', v'_{h1}) \wedge J < k_{h1} \implies$
$\exists \theta'' \sqsupseteq \theta'_e.(k_{h1} - J, H') \triangleright \theta'' \wedge (\theta'', k_{h1} - J, v_1) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H_h(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta'_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta'') \backslash dom(\theta'_e).\theta''(a) \searrow \ell_1)$

Instantiating k_{h1} with $k - h_1$, H_h with H, θ'_e with θ_e. Since we know that $(H, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_1)$ therefore $\exists J < j - h_1 < k - h_1$ s.t $(H, v_1) \Downarrow_J^f (H', v'_{h1})$. And since we already knwo that $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e$ therefore from Lemma 1.47 we get $(k - h_1, H) \triangleright \theta_e$

This means we have

$\exists \theta'' \sqsupseteq \theta'_e.(k - h_1 - J, H') \triangleright \theta'' \wedge (\theta'', k - h1 - J, v_1) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta'_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta'') \backslash dom(\theta'_e).\theta''(a) \searrow \ell_1)$ (FU-TL1)

In order to prove (FU-TL0) we choose θ' as θ''. Now we need to prove the following

(a) $(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta''$:
Since $(k - h_1 - J, H') \triangleright \theta''$ and $j = h_1 + J + 1$ therefore from Lemma 1.47 we get $(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta''$

(b) $(\theta'', k - j - 1, v') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\rfloor_V$:
From cg-tolabeled we know that $v' = \mathsf{toLabeled}(v_1)$
From Definition 1.32 it suffices to prove that $(\theta'', k - j - 1, v_1) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V$

We get this from (FU-TL1) and Lemma 1.43

(c) $(\forall a. H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
Directly from (FU-TL1)

(d) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_n) \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta_n(a) \searrow \ell)$:
Directly from (FU-TL1)

□

Lemma 1.50 (Subtyping unary)**.** *The following holds:*
$\forall \mathcal{L}, \tau, \tau'.$

1. $\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \implies \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor(\tau')\rfloor_V$
2. $\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \implies \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_E \subseteq \lfloor(\tau')\rfloor_E$

Proof. Proof of Statement (1)
Proof by induction on $\tau <: \tau'$

1. CGsub-arrow:

   Given:
   $$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1' <: \tau_1 \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \to \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \to \tau_2'}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor((\tau_1 \to \tau_2))\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor((\tau_1' \to \tau_2'))\rfloor_V$

   IH1: $\lfloor(\tau_1')\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_1)\rfloor_V$ (Statement (1))
   $\lfloor(\tau_2)\rfloor_E \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_2')\rfloor_E$ (Sub-A0, From Statement (2))
   It suffices to prove: $\forall(\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 \to \tau_2))\rfloor_V.\ (\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' \to \tau_2'))\rfloor_V$

   This means that given some θ, n and $\lambda x.e_i$ s.t $(\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 \to \tau_2))\rfloor_V$
   Therefore from Definition 1.34 we are given:

   $$\exists\theta_1.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1 \wedge \forall i < n.\forall v.(\theta_1, i, v) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V \implies (\theta_1, i, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_E \tag{59}$$

   And it suffices to prove: $(\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' \to \tau_2'))\rfloor_V$

   Again from Definition 1.34, it suffices to prove:
   $\exists\theta_2.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2 \wedge \forall j < n.\forall v.(\theta_2, j, v) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V \implies (\theta_2, j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\rfloor_E$

   This means that given some $\theta_2, j < n, v$ s.t $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2$ and $(\theta_2, j, v) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V$
   And we are required to prove: $(\theta_2, j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\rfloor_E$

   Since $(\theta_2, j, v) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V$ therefore from IH1 we know that $(\theta_2, j, v) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V$
   As a result from Equation 59 we know that
   $(\theta_2, j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_E$
   From (Sub-A0), we know that
   $(\theta_2, j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\rfloor_E$

2. CGsub-prod:

   Given:
   $$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor((\tau_1 \times \tau_2))\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor((\tau_1' \times \tau_2'))\rfloor_V$

   IH1: $\lfloor(\tau_1)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_1')\rfloor_V$ (Statement (1))
   IH2: $\lfloor(\tau_2)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_2')\rfloor_V$ (Statement (1))
   It suffices to prove: $\forall(\theta, n, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 \times \tau_2))\rfloor_V.\ (\theta, n, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' \times \tau_2'))\rfloor_V$

This means that given some θ, n and $(v_1, v_2\ (\theta, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 \times \tau_2))\rfloor_V$
Therefore from Definition 1.34 we are given:

$$(\theta, n, v_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V \wedge (\theta, n, v_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_V \tag{60}$$

And it suffices to prove: $(\theta, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' \times \tau_2'))\rfloor_V$

Again from Definition 1.34, it suffices to prove:
$(\theta, n, v_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V \wedge (\theta, n, v_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\rfloor_V$

Since from Equation 60 we know that $(\theta, n, v_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V$ therefore from IH1 we have $(\theta, n, v_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V$
Similarly since $(\theta, n, v_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_V$ from Equation 60 therefore from IH2 we have $(\theta, n, v_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\rfloor_V$

3. CGsub-sum:
   Given:
   $$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}$$
   To prove: $\lfloor((\tau_1 + \tau_2))\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor((\tau_1' + \tau_2'))\rfloor_V$

   IH1: $\lfloor(\tau_1)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_1')\rfloor_V$ (Statement (1))
   IH2: $\lfloor(\tau_2)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_2')\rfloor_V$ (Statement (1))
   It suffices to prove: $\forall(\theta, n, v_s) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 + \tau_2))\rfloor_V.\ (\theta, v_s) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' + \tau_2'))\rfloor_V$

   This means that given: $(\theta, n, v_s) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 + \tau_2))\rfloor_V$
   And it suffices to prove: $(\theta, n, v_s) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' + \tau_2'))\rfloor_V$

   2 cases arise

   (a) $v_s = \mathsf{inl}\ v_i$:
   From Definition 1.34 we are given:

   $$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V \tag{61}$$

   And we are required to prove that:
   $(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V$
   From Equation 61 and IH1 we know that
   $(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V$

   (b) $v_s = \mathsf{inr}\ v_i$:
   From Definition 1.34 we are given:

   $$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_V \tag{62}$$

   And we are required to prove that:
   $(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\rfloor_V$
   From Equation 62 and IH2 we know that
   $(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\rfloor_V$

4. CGsub-label:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau <: \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'}$$

To prove: $\lfloor((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau))\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ '\tau'))\rfloor_V$

IH: $\lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor(\tau')\rfloor_V$ (Statement (1))

It suffices to prove:

$\forall(\theta, n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_i)) \in \lfloor((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau))\rfloor_V.\ (\theta, n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_i)) \in \lfloor((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'))\rfloor_V$

This means that given some θ, n and $\mathsf{Lb}(e_i)$ s.t $(\theta, n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_i)) \in \lfloor((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau))\rfloor_V$

Therefore from Definition 1.34 we are given:

$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_V \qquad$ (SL)

And we are required to prove that

$(\theta, n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_i)) \in \lfloor((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'))\rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.34 it suffices to prove

$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor(\tau')\rfloor_V$

We get this directly from (SL) and IH

5. CGsub-CG:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell'_i \sqsubseteq \ell_i \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell_o \sqsubseteq \ell'_o}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau <: \mathbb{C}\ \ell'_i\ \ell'_o\ \tau'}$$

To prove: $\lfloor((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau))\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor((\mathbb{C}\ \ell'_i\ \ell'_o\ \tau'))\rfloor_V$

IH: $\lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor(\tau')\rfloor_V$ (Statement (1))

It suffices to prove:

$\forall(\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau))\rfloor_V.\ (\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor((\mathbb{C}\ \ell'_i\ \ell'_o\ \tau'))\rfloor_V$

This means that given some θ, n and e s.t $(\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau))\rfloor_V$

Therefore from Definition 1.34 we are given:

$\forall k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, e) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_i \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i) \qquad$ (SC0)

And we are required to prove

$(\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor((\mathbb{C}\ \ell'_i\ \ell'_o\ \tau'))\rfloor_V$

So again from Definition 1.34 we need to prove

$\forall k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, e) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v') \in \lfloor\tau'\rfloor_V \wedge$

$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell'_i \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell'_i)$

This means we are given some $k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j < k$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, e) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v')$ (SC1)

And we need to prove

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell'_i \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell'_i)$

We instantiate (SC0) with k, θ_e, H, j from (SC1) and we get

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_i \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i)$

Since $\tau <: \tau'$ therefore from IH we get

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V$

And since $\ell'_i \sqsubseteq \ell_i$ therefore we also have

$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell'_i \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell'_i)$

6. CGsub-base:

   Trivial

Proof of Statement(2)
It suffice to prove that
$\forall (\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor (\tau) \rfloor_E.\ (\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor (\tau') \rfloor_E$

This means that we are given $(\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor (\tau) \rfloor_E$
From Definition 1.35 it means we have
$\forall i < n.e \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$ (Sub-E0)
And we need to prove
$(\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor (\tau') \rfloor_E$

From Definition 1.35 we need to prove
$\forall i < n.e \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V$

This further means that given some $i < n$ s.t $e \Downarrow_i v$, it suffices to prove that
$(\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V$

Instantiating (Sub-E0) with the given i we get $(\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

Finally from Statement(1) we get $(\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V$

□

Lemma 1.51 (Binary interpretation of Γ implies Unary interpretation of Γ)**.** $\forall W, \gamma, \Gamma, n.$
$(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies \forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, \gamma \downarrow_i) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Proof. Given: $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
To prove: $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, \gamma \downarrow_i) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.41 we know that we are given:
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W, n, \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
And we are required to prove:
$\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\ \forall m.$
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma \downarrow_i) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W.\theta_i, m, \gamma \downarrow_i (x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$

Case $i = 1$
Given some m we need to show:

- $dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma \downarrow_i)$:
  $dom(\gamma) = dom(\gamma \downarrow_i)$
  Therefore, $dom(\Gamma) \subseteq (dom(\gamma) = dom(\gamma \downarrow_i))$ (Given)
- $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W.\theta_i, m, \gamma \downarrow_i (x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$:
  We are given: $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W, n, \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
  Therefore from Lemma 1.42 we know that
  $\forall m'.(W.\theta_i, m', \gamma \downarrow_i (x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$
  Instantiating m' with m we get
  $(W.\theta_i, m, \gamma \downarrow_i (x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$

Case $i = 2$
Symmetric reasoning as in the $i = 1$ case above

□

Theorem 1.52 (Fundamental theorem binary)**.** $\forall \Gamma, pc, W, \mathcal{A}, e, \tau, , \gamma, n.$
$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \wedge$
$(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies$
$(W, n, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

Proof. Proof by induction on the typing derivation

1. CG-var:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau}\ \text{CG-var}$$

To prove: $(W, n, x\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), x\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$
Say $e_1 = x\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = x\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$

From Definition 1.33 it suffices to prove that
$\forall i < n. e_1 \Downarrow_i v_1' \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_2' \implies (W, n - i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means given some $i < n$ s.t $e_1 \Downarrow_i v_1' \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_2'$

We are required to prove: $(W, n-i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

From cg-val we know that $x\ (\gamma \downarrow_1) \Downarrow x\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $x\ (\gamma \downarrow_2) \Downarrow x\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$
This means $v_1' = x\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $v_2' = x\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$
Since $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$. Therefore from Definition 1.41 we know that
$(W, n, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
From Lemma 1.44 we get
$(W, n-i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

2. CG-lam:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e_i : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.e_i : (\tau_1 \to \tau_2)}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$
Say $e_1 = \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$
From Definition of $\lceil (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$ it suffices to prove that
$\forall i < n. e_1 \Downarrow_i v_1' \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_2' \implies (W, n-i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means given some $i < n$ s.t $e_1 \Downarrow_i v_1' \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_2'$
From cg-val we know that $v_1' = (\lambda x.e_i)\gamma \downarrow_1$ and $v_2' = (\lambda x.e_i)\gamma \downarrow_2$
We are required to prove:
$(W, n-i, (\lambda x.e_i)\gamma \downarrow_1, (\lambda x.e_i)\gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

From Definition 1.32 it suffices to prove

$\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2.$
$((W', j, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies (W', j, e_1[v_1/x]\ \gamma \downarrow_1, e_2[v_2/x]\ \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge$
$\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, v_c, j.$
$((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_1[v_c/x]\ \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E) \wedge$
$\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, v_c, j.$
$((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_2[v_c/x]\ \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E)$ (FB-L0)

IH:
$\forall W, n.\ (W, n, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup \{x \mapsto v_1\}), e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup \{x \mapsto v_2\})) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$
s.t
$(W, n, (\gamma \cup \{x \mapsto (v_1, v_2)\})) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

In order to prove (FB-L0) we need to prove the following:

(a) $\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2.$
$((W', j, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies (W', j, e_1[v_1/x]\ \gamma\downarrow_1, e_2[v_2/x]\ \gamma\downarrow_2) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}})$:

This means given some $W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2$ s.t. $(W', j, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
We need to prove $(W', j, e_1[v_1/x]\ \gamma\downarrow_1, e_2[v_2/x]\ \gamma\downarrow_2) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

We get this by instantiating IH with W' and j

(b) $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, v_c, j.$
$((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_1[v_c/x]\ \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E)$:
This means given some $\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, v_c, j$ s.t $(\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
We need to prove: $(\theta_l, j, e_1[v_c/x]\ \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

It is given to us that
$(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Therefore from Lemma 1.51 we know that
$\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$
Intantiating m with j we get
$(W.\theta_1, j, \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

From Lemma 1.46 we know that
$(\theta_l, j, \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
Therefore we also have
$(\theta_l, j, \gamma\downarrow_1 \cup \{x \mapsto v_c\}) \in \lfloor \Gamma \cup \{x \mapsto \tau_1\} \rfloor_V$

Therefore, we can apply Theorem 1.49 to obtain
$(\theta_l, j, e[v_c/x]\ \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

(c) $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, v_c, j.$
$((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_2[v_c/x]\gamma\downarrow_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E)$:
Similar reasoning as in the previous case

3. CG-app:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash e_1\ e_2 : \tau_2}$$

To prove: $(W, n, (e_1\ e_2)\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), (e_1\ e_2)\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_2) \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 1.33 we need to prove:
$\forall i < n.(e_1\ e_2)\ \gamma \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_{f2} \implies (W, n-i, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This further means that given some $i < n$ s.t $(e_1\ e_2)\ \gamma \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_{f2}$
It sufficies to prove:
$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

IH1: $(W, n, (e_1)\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), (e_1)\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 1.33 we know that

$\forall j < n.e_1\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_j\ v_{h1} \wedge e_1\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow\ v_{h2} \implies (W, n-j, v_{h1}, v_{h2}) \in \lceil(\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $(e_1\ e_2)\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_i\ v_{f1}$. Therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_1\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_j\ v_{h1}$. Similarly since $(e_1\ e_2)\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow\ v_{f2}$ therefore $e_1\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow\ v_{h2}$

This means we have $(W, n-j, v_{h1}, v_{h2}) \in \lceil(\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

From cg-app we know that $val_{h1} = \lambda x.e_{h1}$ and $val_{h2} = \lambda x.e_{h2}$

From Definition 1.32 this further means

$\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, J < (n-j), v_1, v_2.$
$((W', J, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies (W', J, e_{h1}[v_1/x], e_{h2}[v_2/x]) \in \lceil\tau_2\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E) \wedge$
$\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, v_c, j.$
$((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_1[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_E) \wedge$
$\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, v_c, j.$
$((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_2[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_E)$ (FB-A1)

IH2: $(W, n-j, (e_2)\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), (e_2)\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 1.33 we know that

$\forall k < n-j.e_2\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_j\ v_{h1'} \wedge e_2\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow\ v_{h2'} \implies (W, n-j-k, v_{h1'}, v_{h2'}) \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $(e_1\ e_2)\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_i\ v_{f1}$. Therefore $\exists k < i-j < n-j$ s.t $e_2\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_k\ v_{h1'}$. Similarly since $(e_1\ e_2)\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow\ v_{f2}$ therefore $e_2\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow\ v_{h2'}$

This means we have $(W, n-j-k, v_{h1'}, v_{h2'}) \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-A2)

Instantiating the first conjunct of (FB-A1) as follows W' with W, J with $n-j-k$, v_1 and v_2 with v'_{h1} and v'_{h2} respectively, we obtain

$(W, n-j-k, e_{h1}[v'_{h1}/x], e_{h2}[v'_{h2}/x]) \in \lceil\tau_2\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

From Definition 1.33

$\forall l < n-j-k.(e_{h1}[v'_{h1}/x])\ \gamma \Downarrow_l\ v_{f1} \wedge e_{h2}[v'_{h2}/x] \Downarrow\ v_{f2} \implies (W, n-j-k-l, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil\tau_2\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $(e_1\ e_2)\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_i\ v_{f1}$. Therefore $\exists l < i-j-k < n-j-k$ s.t $e_{h1}[v'_{h1}/x] \Downarrow_l v_{f1}$. Similarly since $(e_1\ e_2)\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow\ v_{f2}$ therefore $e_{h2}[v'_{h2}/x] \Downarrow\ v_{f2}$

Therefore we have $(W, n-j-k-l, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil\tau_2\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since $i = j + k + l$ threfore we are done

4. CG-prod:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)}$$

To prove: $(W, n, (e_1, e_2)\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), (e_1, e_2)\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 1.33 we need to prove:

$\forall i < n.(e_1, e_2)\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_i\ (v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \wedge (e_1, e_2)\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow\ (v'_{f1}, v'_{f2}) \implies$
$(W, n-i, (v_{f1}, v_{f1}), (v'_{f1}, v'_{f2})) \in \lceil(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $(e_1, e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i (v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \wedge (e_1, e_2)\gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow (v'_{f1}, v'_{f2})$

We are required to prove

$(W, n-i, (v_{f1}, v_{f1}), (v'_{f1}, v'_{f2})) \in \lceil(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-P0)

IH1: $(W, n, e_1\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e_1\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 1.33 we know that

$\forall j < n. e_1\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge e_1\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1} \implies (W, n-j, (v_{f1}, v'_{f1})) \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $(e_1, e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i (v_{f1}, v_{f2})$. Therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_1\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_j v_{f1}$. Similarly since $(e_1\ e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f2}$ therefore $e_1\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1}$

This means we have

$(W, n-j, (v_{f1}, v'_{f1})) \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-P1)

IH2: $(W, n-j, e_2\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e_2\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil\tau_2\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 1.33 we know that

$\forall k < n-j. e_2\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f2} \wedge e_2\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f2} \implies (W, n-j-k, (v_{f2}, v'_{f2})) \in \lceil\tau_2\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $(e_1, e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i (v_{f1}, v_{f2})$. Therefore $\exists k < i-j < n-j$ s.t $e_2\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_j v_{f2}$. Similarly since $(e_1\ e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f2}$ therefore $e_2\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f2}$

This means we have

$(W, n-j-k, (v_{f2}, v'_{f2})) \in \lceil\tau_2\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-P2)

In order to prove (FB-P0) from Definition 1.32 it suffices to prove that

$(W, n-i, (v_{f1}, v'_{f1})) \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ and $(W, n-i, (v_{f2}, v'_{f2})) \in \lceil\tau_2\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since $i = j + k + 1$ therefore from (FB-P1) and (FB-P2) and from Lemma 1.44 we get

$(W, n-i, (v_{f1}, v_{f1}), (v'_{f1}, v'_{f2})) \in \lceil(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

5. CG-fst:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e' : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{fst}(e') : \tau_1}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \mathsf{fst}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \mathsf{fst}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil(\tau_1)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 1.33 we need to prove:

$\forall i < n. \mathsf{fst}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{fst}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1} \implies$
$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{fst}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{fst}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1}$

We are required to prove

$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v_{f1}) \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-F0)

IH:

$(W, n, e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 1.33 we have:

$\forall j < n . e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i (v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \wedge e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow (v'_{f1}, v'_{f2}) \implies$
$(W, n - j, (v_{f1}, v_{f2}), (v'_{f1}, v'_{f2})) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $\mathsf{fst}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1}$. Therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_j (v_{f1}, -)$. Similarly since $\mathsf{fst}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1}$ therefore $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow (v'_{f1}, -)$

This means we have

$(W, n - j, (v_{f1}, v_{f2}), (v'_{f1}, v'_{f2})) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

From Definition 1.32 we know that

$(W, n - j, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since from cg-fst $i = j + 1$ therefore from Lemma 1.44 we get

$(W, n - i, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

6. CG-snd:

   Symmetric reasoning as in the CG-fst case above

7. CG-inl:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e' : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{inl}(e') : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)}$$

   To prove: $(W, n, \mathsf{inl}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \mathsf{inl}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

   This means from Definition 1.33 we need to prove:

   $\forall i < n . \mathsf{inl}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i \mathsf{inl}(v_{f1}) \wedge \mathsf{inl}(e') \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow \mathsf{inl}(v'_{f1}) \implies$
   $(W, n - i, \mathsf{inl}(v_{f1}), \mathsf{inl}(v'_{f1})) \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{inl}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i \mathsf{inl}(v_{f1}) \wedge \mathsf{fst}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow \mathsf{inl}(v'_{f1})$

   We are required to prove

   $(W, n - i, \mathsf{inl}(v_{f1}), \mathsf{inl}(v_{f1})) \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-IL0)

   IH:

   $(W, n, e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

   This means from Definition 1.33 we have:

   $\forall j < n . e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1} \implies$
   $(W, n - j, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   Since we know that $\mathsf{inl}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i \mathsf{inl}(v_{f1})$. Therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_j v_{f1}$. Similarly since $\mathsf{fst}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow \mathsf{inl}(v'_{f1})$ therefore $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1}$

   This means we have

$(W, n-j, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-IL1)

In order to prove (FB-IL0) from Definition 1.32 it suffices to prove
$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
From cg-inl since $i = j+1$ therefore from (FB-IL1) and Lemma 1.44 we get (FB-IL0)

8. CG-inr:
   Symmetric reasoning as in the CG-inl case above

9. CG-case:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_c : (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \qquad \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e_1 : \tau \qquad \Gamma, y : \tau_2 \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{case}(e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2) : \tau}$$

   To prove: $(W, n, \mathsf{case}(e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \mathsf{inl}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

   This means from Definition 1.33 we need to prove:
   $\forall i < n. \mathsf{case}(e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{case}(e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f2} \implies$
   $(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{case}(e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{case}(e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f2}$
   We are required to prove
   $(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-C0)

   IH1:
   $(W, n, e_c\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e_c\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

   This means from Definition 1.33 we have:
   $\forall j < n. e_c\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{h1} \wedge e_c\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{h1} \implies$
   $(W, n-j, v_{h1}, v'_{h1}) \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
   Since we know that $\mathsf{case}(e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1}$. Therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_c\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_j v_{h1}$. Similarly since $\mathsf{case}(e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{h1}$ therefore $e_c\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{h1}$

   This means we have
   $(W, n-j, v_{h1}, v'_{h1}) \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-C1)

   2 cases arise

   (a) $v_{h1} = \mathsf{inl}(v_1)$ and $v'_{h1} = \mathsf{inl}(v'_1)$:
   IH2:
   $(W, n, e_c\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e_c\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

   This means from Definition 1.33 we have:
   $\forall k < n - j. e_1\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \cup \{x \mapsto v_1\} \Downarrow_i v_{h2} \wedge e_1\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \cup \{x \mapsto v'_1\} \Downarrow v'_{h2} \implies$
   $(W, n-j-k, v_{h2}, v'_{h2}) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $\mathsf{case}(e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1}$. Therefore $\exists k < i - j < n - j$ s.t $e_1\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \cup\{x \mapsto v_1\} \Downarrow_j v_{h2}$. Similarly since $\mathsf{case}(e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \cup\{x \mapsto v_1'\} \Downarrow v_{h2}'$ therefore $e_1\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{h2}'$

This means we have

$(W, n - j - k, v_{h2}, v_{h2}') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

From cg-case1 we know that $i = j + k + 1$ therefore from Lemma 1.44 we get (FB-C0)

(b) $v_{h1} = \mathsf{inr}(v_1)$ and $v_{h1}' = \mathsf{inr}(v_1')$:

Symmetric case

10. CG-label:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e' : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{Lb}(e') : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \mathsf{Lb}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \mathsf{Lb}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 1.33 we need to prove:

$\forall i < n. \mathsf{Lb}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i \mathsf{Lb}(v_{f1}) \wedge \mathsf{Lb}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow \mathsf{Lb}(v_{f1}') \implies$
$(W, n - i, \mathsf{Lb}(v_{f1}), \mathsf{Lb}(v_{f1}')) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{Lb}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i \mathsf{Lb}(v_{f1}) \wedge \mathsf{Lb}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow \mathsf{Lb}(v_{f1}')$

We are required to prove

$(W, n - i, v_{f1}, v_{f1}') \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (FB-LB0)

IH:

$(W, n, e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 1.33 we have:

$\forall j < n. e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f1}' \implies (W, n - j, v_{f1}, v_{f1}') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Since we know that $\mathsf{Lb}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i \mathsf{Lb}(v_{f1})$. Therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_j v_{f1}$. Similarly since $\mathsf{Lb}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow \mathsf{Lb}(v_{f1}')$ therefore $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f1}'$

This means we have

$(W, n - j, v_{f1}, v_{f1}') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (FB-LB1)

In order to prove (FB-LB0) from Definition 1.32 it suffices to prove that

$(W, n - i, v_{f1}, v_{f1}') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

From cg-label we know that $i = j + 1$. Therefore we get the desired from (FB-LB1) and Lemma 1.44

11. CG-unlabel:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e' : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}(e') : \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ \tau}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil(\mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ \tau)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 1.33 we need to prove:
$\forall i < n.\mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_i\ v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow\ v'_{f1} \implies$
$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil(\mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ \tau)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_i\ v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow\ v'_{f1}$

From cg-val we know that $v_{f1} = \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma\downarrow_1$ and $v'_{f1} = \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma\downarrow_2$. Also $i = 0$

We are required to prove
$(W, n, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma\downarrow_1, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma\downarrow_2) \in \lceil(\mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ \tau)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means from Definition 1.32 we need to prove
Let $e_1 = \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma\downarrow_1$ and $e_2 = \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma\downarrow_2$
$\Big(\forall k \le n, W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v'_1, v'_2.$
$(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell, v'_1, v'_2, \tau)\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, e_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v'_l) \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v'_l) \in \lfloor\tau'\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \top \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \top)\Big)$

We need to show

(a) $\forall k \le n, W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v'_1, v'_2.$
$(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell, v'_1, v'_2, \tau)$:

Also given is some $k \le n$, $W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2, v'_1, v'_2, j$ s.t $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ and $(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow^f_j$ $(H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2) \wedge j < k$

And we are required to prove
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell, v'_1, v'_2, \tau)$ (FB-U0)

IH: $(W_e, k, e'\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), e'\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 1.33 we are given
$\forall I < k.e'\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_I \mathsf{Lb}(v_{h1}) \wedge e'\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow\ \mathsf{Lb}(v'_{h1}) \implies$
$(W_e, k-I, \mathsf{Lb}(v_{h1}), \mathsf{Lb}(v'_{h1})) \in \lceil(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that

$(H_1, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma\downarrow_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma\downarrow_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k$ therefore $\exists I < j < k$ s.t $e'\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_I \mathsf{Lb}(v_{h1}) \wedge e'\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow \mathsf{Lb}(v_{h1}')$

Therefore we have
$(W_e, k-I, \mathsf{Lb}(v_{h1}), \mathsf{Lb}(v_{h1}')) \in \lceil(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 1.32 we have
$ValEq(\mathcal{A}, W_e, k-I, \ell, v_{h1}, v_{h1}', \tau)$ (FB-U1)

In order to prove (FB-U0) we choose W' as W_e and from cg-unlabel we know that $H_1' = H_1$ and $H_2' = H_2$. And we already know that $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$. Therefore from Lemma 1.48 we get $(k-j, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$

From cg-unlabel we know that v_1', v_2' in (FB-U0) is v_{h1}, v_{h1}' respectively. And since from (FB-U1) we know that $ValEq(\mathcal{A}, W_e, k-I, \ell, v_{h1}, v_{h1}', \tau)$. Therefore from Lemma 1.53 we get
$ValEq(\mathcal{A}, W_e, k-j, \ell\ , v_{h1}, v_{h1}', \tau\ )$

(b) $\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k,H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, e_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \top \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \top)\Big)$:

Case $l = 1$
Given some $k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j$ s.t $(k,H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, e_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k$

We need to prove
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \top \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \top)$

Since $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil\Gamma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from Lemma 1.51 we know that
$\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, m, \gamma\downarrow_2) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$

Instantiating m with k we get $(W.\theta_1, k, \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$

Now we can apply Theorem 1.49 to get
$(W.\theta_1, k, (\mathsf{unlabel}\ e')\gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_E$

This means from Definition 1.35 we get
$\forall c < k.(\mathsf{unlabel}\ e')\gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_c v \implies (W.\theta_1, k-c, v) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_V$

This further means that given some $c < k$ s.t $(\mathsf{unlabel}\ e')\gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_c v$. From cg-val we know that $c = 0$ and $v = (\mathsf{unlabel}\ e')\gamma\downarrow_1$

And we have $(W.\theta_1, k, (\mathsf{unlabel}\ e')\gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.34 we have
$\forall K \leq k, \theta_e' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, H_1, J.(K, H_1) \triangleright \theta_e' \wedge (H_1, (\mathsf{unlabel}\ e')\gamma\downarrow_1) \Downarrow_J^f (H', v') \wedge J < K \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e'.(K-J, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', K-J, v') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e'(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \top \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta_e').\theta'(a) \searrow \top)$

Instantiating K with k, θ'_e with θ_e, H_1 with H and J with j we get the desired

Case $l = 2$

Symmetric reasoning as in the $l = 1$ case above

12. CG-tolabeled:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e' : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{toLabeled}(e') : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau)}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 1.33 we need to prove:

$\forall i < n.\mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1} \implies$
$(W, n - i, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1}$
From cg-val we know that $v_{f1} = \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1$, $v_{f2} = \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2$ and $i = 0$
We are required to prove
$(W, n, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Let $v_1 = \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2$
This means from Definition 1.32 we are required to prove
$\Big(\forall k \leq n,\ W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v'_1, v'_2.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k - j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k - j, \bot, v'_1, v'_2, (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau))\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1, 2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v'_l) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v'_l) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau) \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)\Big)$

We need to prove:

(a) $\forall k \leq n,\ W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v'_1, v'_2, j.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k - j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k - j, \bot, v'_1, v'_2, (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau))$:

This means that we are given some $k \leq n$, $W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2, v'_1, v'_2, j < k$ s.t
$(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ and $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2)$

And we need to prove
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k - j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k - j, \bot, v'_1, v'_2, (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau))$
From Definition 1.31 it suffices to prove that
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k - j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge (W', k - j, v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Further from Definition 1.32 it suffices to prove

$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_2, v_1'', v_2'', \tau)$ (FB-TL0)
where $v_1' = \mathsf{Lb} v_1''$ and $v_2' = \mathsf{Lb} v_2''$

IH:
$(W_e, k, e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 1.33 we need to prove:
$\forall J < k. e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_J v_{h1} \wedge e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{h1}' \implies (W_e, n-J, v_{h1}, v_{h1}') \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Since we know that $(H_1, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\gamma \downarrow_1) \Downarrow_j (H_1', v_1')$ and $(H_2, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\gamma \downarrow_1) \Downarrow_j (H_2', v_2')$. Therefore from cg-val we know that $\exists J < j < k \leq n$ s.t $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_J v_{h1}$ and similarly we also know that $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{h1}'$

This means we have
$(W_e, k-J, v_{h1}, v_{h1}') \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

From Definition 1.32 we know that
$\Big(\forall k_1 \leq (k-J), W_e'' \sqsupseteq W_e. \forall H_1'', H_2''. (k_1, H_1'', H_2'') \triangleright W_e'' \wedge \forall v_1'', v_2'', m.$
$(H_1'', v_{h1}) \Downarrow_m^f (H_1', v_1'') \wedge (H_2'', v_{h1}') \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2'') \wedge m < k_1 \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e''. (k_1 - m, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k_1 - m, \ell_2, v_1'', v_2'', \tau)\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1,2\}. \Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j. (k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e. (k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. \theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta') \backslash \mathit{dom}(\theta_e). \theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)\Big)$ (FB-TL1)

We instantiate W_e'' with W_e, H_1'' with H_1, H_2'' with H_2 and k_1 with k in (FB-TL1). Since we know that $(H_1, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\gamma \downarrow_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\gamma \downarrow_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2')$, therefore $\exists m < j < k \leq n$ s.t $(H_1, v_{h1}) \Downarrow_m^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_{h1}') \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2')$
This means we have
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e. (k-m, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-m, \ell_2, v_1'', v_2'', \tau)$ (FB-TL2)

In order to prove (FB-TL0) we choose W' as W' from (FB-TL2). Since from cg-tolabeled we know that $v_1' = \mathsf{Lb}(v_1'')$, $v_2' = \mathsf{Lb}(v_2'')$ and $j = m+1$ (therefore from Lemma 1.48 we get $(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W'$) and from (FB-TL2) and Lemma 1.53 we get $\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_2, v_1'', v_2'', \tau)$

(b) $\forall l \in \{1,2\}. \Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j. (k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e. (k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. \theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta') \backslash \mathit{dom}(\theta_e). \theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)\Big)$:

Case $l = 1$
Given some $k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W. \theta_l, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k$

We need to prove
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e. (k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. \theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta') \backslash \mathit{dom}(\theta_e). \theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)$

Since $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from Lemma 1.51 we know that
$\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, m, \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Instantiating m with k we get $(W.\theta_1, k, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Now we can apply Theorem 1.49 to get
$(W.\theta_1, k, (\mathsf{toLabeled}\ e')\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1 \perp \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rfloor_E$

This means from Definition 1.35 we get
$\forall c < k.(\mathsf{toLabeled}\ e')\gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_c v \implies (W.\theta_1, k-c, v) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1 \perp \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rfloor_V$

Instantiating c with 0 and from cg-val we know $v = (\mathsf{toLabeled}\ e')\gamma \downarrow_1$

And we have $(W.\theta_1, k, (\mathsf{toLabeled}\ e')\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1 \perp \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.34 we have
$\forall K \leq k, \theta'_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, H_1, J.(K, H_1) \triangleright \theta'_e \wedge (H_1, (\mathsf{toLabeled}\ e')\gamma \downarrow_1) \Downarrow_J^f (H', v') \wedge J < K \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta'_e.(K - J, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', K - J, v') \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta'_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta'_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)$

Instantiating K with k, θ'_e with θ_e, H_1 with H and J with j we get the desired

Case $l = 2$
Symmetric reasoning as in the $l = 1$ case above

13. CG-ret:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e' : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{ret}(e') : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \mathsf{ret}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \mathsf{ret}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 1.33 we need to prove:
$\forall i < n.\mathsf{ret}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{ret}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1} \implies$
$(W, n - i, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{ret}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{ret}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1}$
From cg-val we know that $v_{f1} = \mathsf{ret}(e')\gamma \downarrow_1$, $v_{f2} = \mathsf{ret}(e')\gamma \downarrow_2$ and $i = 0$
We are required to prove
$(W, n, \mathsf{ret}(e')\gamma \downarrow_1, \mathsf{ret}(e')\gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Let $v_1 = \mathsf{ret}(e')\gamma \downarrow_1$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{ret}(e')\gamma \downarrow_2$
From Definition 1.32 it suffices to prove
$\Big(\forall k \leq n, W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v'_1, v'_2.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k - j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge ValEq(\mathcal{A}, W', k - j, \ell_2, v'_1, v'_2, \tau)\Big) \wedge$

$\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall v, i.\ (e_l \Downarrow_i v_l) \implies$
$\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)\Big)$

It suffices to prove:

(a) $\forall k \leq n, W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v_1', v_2'.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge ValEq(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_2, v_1', v_2', \tau)$:

We are given is some $k \leq n, W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2, v_1', v_2', j < k$ s.t $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ and $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2')$

From cg-ret we know that $H_1' = H_1$ and $H_2' = H_2$

And we are required to prove:
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W' \wedge ValEq(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_2, v_1', v_2', \tau)$ (FB-R0)

IH: $(W_e, n, e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 1.33 we need to prove:
$\forall J < k.e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_J v_{h1} \wedge e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{h1}' \implies (W_e, k-J, v_{h1}, v_{h1}') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Since we know that $(H_1, \mathsf{ret}(e')\gamma \downarrow_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1, v_1') \wedge (H_2, \mathsf{ret}(e')\gamma \downarrow_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2, v_2')$, therefore $\exists J < j < k$ s.t $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_J v_{h1}$ and similarly $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{h1}'$.
Therefore we have $(W_e, k-J, v_{h1}, v_{h1}') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (FB-R1)

In order to prove (FB-R0) we choose W' as W_e and from cg-ret we know that $v_1' = v_{h1}$ and $v_2' = v_{h1}'$. We need to prove the following:

i. $(k-j, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$:
Since we have $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ therefore from Lemma 1.48 we get $(k-j, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$

ii. $ValEq(\mathcal{A}, W_e, k-j, \ell_2, v_1', v_2', \tau)$:
2 cases arise:

A. $\ell_2 \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
In this case from Definition 1.31 it suffices to prove $(W_e, k-j, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Since $j = J + 1$ therefore we get this from (FB-R1) and Lemma 1.44

B. $\ell_2 \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
In this case from Definition 1.31 it suffices to prove that $\forall m.(W_e, m, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$ and $\forall m.(W_e, m, v_2') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

We get this From (FB-R1) and Lemma 1.42

(b) $\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k,H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)$:

Case $l = 1$

Given some $k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j$ s.t $(k,H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k$

We need to prove

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \ell_o \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_o)$

Since $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from Lemma 1.51 we know that
$\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, m, \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Instantiating m with k we get $(W.\theta_1, k, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Now we can apply Theorem 1.49 to get
$(W.\theta_1, k, (\mathsf{ret}\ e')\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rfloor_E$

This means from Definition 1.35 we get
$\forall c < k.(\mathsf{ret}\ e')\gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_c v \implies (W.\theta_1, k-c, v) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rfloor_V$

Instantiating c with 0 and from cg-val we know that $v = (\mathsf{ret}\ e')\gamma \downarrow_1$

And we have $(W.\theta_1, k, (\mathsf{ret}\ e')\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.34 we have
$\forall K \leq k, \theta_e' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, H_1, J.(K, H_1) \triangleright \theta_e' \wedge (H_1, v) \Downarrow_J^f (H', v') \wedge J < K \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e'.(K-J, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', K-J, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e'(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e').\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)$

Instantiating K with k, θ_e' with θ_e, H_1 with H and J with j we get the desired

Case $l = 2$

Symmetric reasoning as in the $l = 1$ case above

14. CG-bind:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_l : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \qquad \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e_b : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_3\ \ell_4\ \tau' \qquad \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_1 \qquad \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_3 \qquad \ell_2 \sqsubseteq \ell_3 \qquad \ell_2 \sqsubseteq \ell_4 \qquad \ell_4 \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell'\ \tau'}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell'\ \tau' \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 1.33 we need to prove:

$\forall i < n.\mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f1}' \implies$
$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v_{f1}') \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell'\ \tau' \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f1}'$

From cg-val we know that $v_{f1} = \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\gamma\downarrow_1$, $v_{f2} = \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\gamma\downarrow_2$ and $i = 0$

We are required to prove

$(W, n, \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\gamma\downarrow_1, \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\gamma\downarrow_2) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell'\ \tau' \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Let $v_1 = \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\gamma\downarrow_1$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_b)\gamma\downarrow_2$

This means from Definition 1.32 we need to prove

$\Big(\forall k \leq n,\ W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v_1', v_2'.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k - j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k - j, \ell', v_1', v_2', \tau)\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1, 2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)\Big)$

This means we need to prove:

(a) $\forall k \leq n,\ W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v_1', v_2', j.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k - j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k - j, \ell', v_1', v_2', \tau)$:

This means we are given some $k \leq n$, $W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2$ s.t $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$

Also given some $v_1', v_2', j < k$ s.t $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2')$

And we are required to prove:
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k - j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k - j, \ell', v_1', v_2', \tau')$ (FB-B0)

IH1:
$(W_e, k, e_l\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), e_l\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 1.33 we need to prove:
$\forall f < k.e_l\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_f v_{h1} \wedge e_l\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow v_{h1}' \implies$
$(W_e, k - f, v_{h1}, v_{h1}') \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2')$ therefore $\exists f < j < k$ s.t $e_l\ \gamma\downarrow_f\Downarrow_j v_{h1} \wedge e_l\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow v_{h1}'$

This means we have
$(W_e, k - f, v_{h1}, v_{h1}') \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means from Definition 1.32 we have
$\Big(\forall K \leq (k - f),\ W_e' \sqsupseteq W_e.\forall H_1'', H_2''.(K, H_1'', H_2'') \triangleright W_e' \wedge \forall v_1'', v_2'', J.$
$(H_1'', v_{h1}) \Downarrow^f_J (H_1', v_1'') \wedge (H_2'', v_{h1}') \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2'') \wedge J < K \implies$
$\exists W'' \sqsupseteq W_e'.(K - J, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W'' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W'', K - J, \ell_2, v_1'', v_2'', \tau)\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1, 2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$

$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)\Big)$

Instantiating K with $(k-f)$, W'_e with W_e, H''_1 with H_1 and H''_2 with H_2 in the first conjunct of the above equation. Since we know that $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ therefore from Lemma 1.48 we also have $(k-f, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$

Since we know that $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2)$ therefore $\exists J < j - f < k - f$ s.t $(H_1, v_{h1}) \Downarrow^f_J (H'_1, v''_1) \wedge (H_2, v'_{h1}) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v''_2)$

This means we have
$\exists W'' \sqsupseteq W'_e.(k-f-J, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W'' \wedge ValEq(\mathcal{A}, W'', k-f-J, \ell_2, v''_1, v''_2, \tau)$ (FB-B1)

From Definition 1.31 two cases arise:

i. $\ell_2 \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

In this case we know that $(W'', k-f-J, v''_1, v''_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

IH2:
$(W'', k-f-J, e_b\ (\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup \{x \mapsto v''_1\}), e_b\ (\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup \{x \mapsto v''_2\})) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_3\ \ell_4\ \tau' \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 1.33 we need to prove:
$\forall s < k-f-J. e_b\ (\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup \{x \mapsto v''_1\}) \Downarrow_s v_{h2} \wedge e_b\ (\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup \{x \mapsto v''_2\}) \Downarrow v'_{h2} \implies$
$(W'', k-f-J-s, v_{h2}, v'_{h2}) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_3\ \ell_4\ \tau' \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $(H_1, \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\ \gamma \downarrow_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\ \gamma \downarrow_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2)$ therefore $\exists s < j - f - J < k - f - J$ s.t $e_b\ (\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup \{x \mapsto v''_1\}) \Downarrow_s v_{h2} \wedge e_b\ (\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup \{x \mapsto v''_2\}) \Downarrow v'_{h2}$

This means we have
$(W'', k-f-J-s, v_{h2}, v'_{h2}) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_3\ \ell_4\ \tau' \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means from Definition 1.32 we know that
$\Big(\forall K_s \leq (k-f-J-s), W_s \sqsupseteq W''. \forall H_1, H_2.(K_s, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_s \wedge \forall v'_{s1}, v'_{s2}, J_s.$
$(H_1, v_{h2}) \Downarrow^f_{J_s} (H'_{s1}, v'_{s1}) \wedge (H_2, v'_{h2}) \Downarrow^f (H'_{s2}, v'_{s2}) \wedge J_s < K_s \implies$
$\exists W'_s \sqsupseteq W_s.(K_s - J_s, H'_{s1}, H'_{s2}) \triangleright W'_s \wedge ValEq(\mathcal{A}, W'_s, K_s - J_s, \ell_4, v'_1, v'_2, \tau')\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v'_l) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v'_l) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_3 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_3)\Big)$

Instantiating K_s with $(k-f-J-s)$, W_s with W'', H_1 with H'_1 and H'_2 with H_2. Since we know that $(k-f-J, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W''$ therefore from Lemma 1.48 we also have $(k-f-J-s, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W''$

Since we know that $(H_1, \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\ \gamma \downarrow_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\ \gamma \downarrow_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2)$ therefore $\exists J_s < j - f - J - s < k - f - J - s$ s.t $(H'_1, v''_1) \Downarrow^f_{J_s} (H'_{s1}, v'_{s1}) \wedge (H'_2, v''_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_{s2}, v'_{s2})$

This means we have

$\exists W'_s \sqsupseteq W_s.(k-f-J-s-J_s, H'_{s1}, H'_{s2}) \triangleright W'_s \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W'_s, k-f-J-s-J_S, \ell_4, v'_{s1}, v'_{s2}, \tau')$ (FB-B2)

In order to prove (FB-B0) we choose W' as W'_s. From cg-bind we know that $H'_1 = H'_{s1}$, $H'_2 = H'_{s2}$, $v'_1 = v'_{s1}$, $v'_2 = v'_{s2}$ and $j = f + J + s + J_s + 1$. And we need to prove:

A. $(k-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{s2}) \triangleright W'_s$:
Since from (FB-B2) we know that $(k-f-J-s-J_s, H'_{s1}, H'_{s2}) \triangleright W'_s$ therefore from Lemma 1.48 we get
$(k-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{s2}) \triangleright W'_s$

B. $\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W'_s, k-j, \ell', v'_{s1}, v'_{s2}, \tau')$:
Since from (FB-B2) we know that $\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W'_s, k-f-J-s-J_S, \ell_4, v'_{s1}, v'_{s2}, \tau')$ therefore from Lemma 1.53 we get
$\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W'_s, k-j, \ell', v'_{s1}, v'_{s2}, \tau')$

ii. $\ell_2 \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

From (FB-B0) we know that we need to prove
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_o, v'_1, v'_2, \tau')$
Since $\ell_2 \sqsubseteq \ell_4 \sqsubseteq \ell'$ and $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ therefore we have $\ell_4 \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $\ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

This means that from Definition 1.31 it suffices to prove
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \forall m_{u1}.(W'.\theta_1, m_{u1}, v'_1) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V \wedge \forall m_{u2}.(W'.\theta_2, m_{u2}, v'_2) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V$

This means given some m_{u1}, m_{u2} and we need to prove
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge (W'.\theta_1, m_{u1}, v'_1) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V \wedge (W'.\theta_2, m_{u2}, v'_2) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V$ (FB-B01)

In this case from (FB-B1) and Definition 1.31 we know that
$\forall m.\ (W''.\theta_1, m, v''_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$ and $\forall m.\ (W''.\theta_2, m, v''_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$ (FB-B3)

Since $\mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_j v'_1$ therefore $\exists J_1 < j-f-J < k-f-J$ s.t $(e_b)\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup \{x \mapsto v''_1\} \Downarrow_{J_1} v'_1$. Similarly, $\exists J'_1 < j-f-J-J_1 < k-f-J-J_1$ s.t $(H'_1, v'_1) \overset{f}{\Downarrow}_{J'_1} -$

Instantiating m with $m_{u1}+1+J_1+J'_1$ in the first conjunct of (FB-B3)
$(W''.\theta_1, m_{u1}+1+J_1+J'_1, v''_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

Since $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ therefore from Lemma 1.51 we know that
$\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Instantiating m with $m_{u1}+1+J_1+J'_1$ we get $(W.\theta_1, m_{u1}+1+J_1+J'_1, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

From Lemma 1.45 we know that
$(W''.\theta_1, m_{u1}+1+J_1+J'_1, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$ (FB-B4)

Now we can apply Theorem 1.49 to get
$(W''.\theta_1, m_{u1}+1+J_1+J'_1, (e_b)\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup \{x \mapsto v''_1\}) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_3\ \ell_4\ \tau') \rfloor_E$

This means from Definition 1.35 we get

$\forall c_1 < m_{u1}+1+J_1+J_1'.(e_b)\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup\{x \mapsto v_1''\} \Downarrow_{c_1} v_{o1} \implies (W''.\theta_1, m_{u1}+1+J_1+J_1'-c_1, v_{o1}) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_3\ \ell_4\ \tau')\rfloor_V$ (FB-B5)

Instantiating c_1 with J_1 in (FB-B5)
Therefore we have $(W''.\theta_1, m_{u1}+1+J_1', v_{o1}) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_3\ \ell_4\ \tau')\rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.34 we have
$\forall K \leq (m_{u1}+1+J_1'), \theta_e' \sqsupseteq W''.\theta_1, H_1, J_2.(K, H_1) \triangleright \theta_e' \wedge (H_1, v_{o1}) \Downarrow^f_{J_2} (H_1'', v_1') \wedge J_2 < K \implies$
$\exists \theta_1' \sqsupseteq \theta_e'.(K-J_2, H_1'') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', K-J_2, v_1') \in \lfloor\tau'\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H_1(a) \neq H_1''(a) \implies \exists \ell'. \theta_e'(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_3 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta_e').\theta_1'(a) \searrow \ell_3)$

Instantiating K with $m_{u1}+1+J_1'$, θ_e' with $W''.\theta_1$, H_1 with H_1' (from FB-B1) and J_2 with J_1' we get

$\exists \theta_1' \sqsupseteq W''.\theta_1.(m_{u1}+1, H_1'') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', m_{u1}+1, v_1') \in \lfloor\tau'\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H_1(a) \neq H_1''(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W''.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_3 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta_e').\theta_1'(a) \searrow \ell_3)$ (FB-B6)

Since we know that $\mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_2'$. Say this reduction happens in t steps. Therefore $\exists t_1 < t < k \leq n$ s.t $(e_l)\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup\{x \mapsto v_2''\} \Downarrow_{t_1} v_{l2}$ and simialrly $\exists t_2 < t - t_1 < k - t_1$ s.t $(H, v_{l2})\gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow^f_{t_2} (H_2'', v_2'')$

Again since $\mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow_t v_2'$ therefore $\exists J_2 < t - t_1 - t_2 < k - t_1 - t_2$ s.t $(e_b)\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup\{x \mapsto v_2''\} \Downarrow_{J_2} v_2'$. Similarly $\exists J_2' < t - t_1 - t_2 - J_2 < k - t_1 - t_2 - J_2$ s.t $(H_2', v_2') \Downarrow^f_{J_2'} -$

Instantiating the second conjunct of (FB-B3) with $m_{u2}+1+J_2+J_2'$ we get
$(W''.\theta_2, m_{u2}+1+J_2+J_2', v_2'') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V$

Again since $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil\Gamma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ therefore from Lemma 1.51 we know that
$\forall m.\ (W.\theta_2, m, \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$

Instantiating m with $m_{u2}+1+J_2+J_2'$ we get $(W.\theta_2, m_{u2}+1+J_2+J_2', \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$

From Lemma 1.45 we know that
$(W''.\theta_2, m_{u2}+1+J_2+J_2', \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$ (FB-B7)

Now we can apply Theorem 1.49 to get
$(W''.\theta_2, m_{u2}+1+J_2+J_2', (e_b)\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup\{x \mapsto v_2''\}) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_3\ \ell_4\ \tau')\rfloor_E$

This means from Definition 1.35 we get
$\forall c_2 < (m_{u2}+1+J_2+J_2').(e_b)\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup\{x \mapsto v_2''\} \Downarrow_{c_2} v_{o2} \implies (W''.\theta_2, m_{u2}+1+J_2-c_2, v_{o2}) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_3\ \ell_4\ \tau')\rfloor_V$ (FB-B8)

Instantiating c_2 with J_2 in (FB-B8) we get
$(W''.\theta_2, m_{u2}+1+J_2', v_{o2}) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_3\ \ell_4\ \tau')\rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.34 we have
$\forall K \leq (m_{u2}+1+J_2'), \theta_e' \sqsupseteq W''.\theta_2, H_2, J_3.(K, H_2) \triangleright \theta_e' \wedge (H_2, v_{o2}) \Downarrow^f_{J_3} (H_2'', v_2') \wedge J_3 < K \implies$
$\exists \theta_2' \sqsupseteq \theta_e'.(K-J_3, H_2'') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', K-J_3, v_2') \in \lfloor\tau'\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H_2(a) \neq H_2''(a) \implies \exists \ell'. \theta_e'(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_3 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_e').\theta_2'(a) \searrow \ell_3)$

Instantiating K with $m_{u2}+1+J_2'$, θ_e' with $W''.\theta_2$, H_2 with H_2' (from FB-B1) and J_3 with J_2', we get

$\exists \theta_2' \sqsupseteq W''.\theta_2.(m_{u2}+1, H_2'') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', m_{u2}+1, v_2') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H_2(a) \neq H_2''(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W''.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_3 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_e').\theta_2'(a) \searrow \ell_3)$ (FB-B9)

In order to prove (FB-B01) we chose W' as W_n where W_n is defined as follows:
$W_n.\theta_1 = \theta_1'$ (From (FB-B6))
$W_n.\theta_2 = \theta_2'$ (From (FB-B9))
$W_n.\hat{\beta} = W''.\hat{\beta}$ (From (FB-B1))

It suffices to prove

- $(k-j, H_1'', H_2'') \triangleright W_n$:
  From Definition 1.37 we need to prove the following
  - $dom(W_n.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1'') \wedge dom(W_n.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2'')$:

    From (FB-B6) we know that $(m_{u1}+1, H_1'') \triangleright \theta_1'$ therefore from Definition 1.36 we know that $dom(W_n.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1'')$
    Similarly from (FB-B9) we know that $(m_{u2}+1, H_2'') \triangleright \theta_2'$ therefore from Definition 1.36 we know that $dom(W_n.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2'')$
  - $(W_n.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W_n.\theta_1) \times dom(W_n.\theta_2))$:

    Since from (FB-B1) we know that $(k-f-J, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W''$ therefore from Definition 1.37 we know that $(W''.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W''.\theta_1) \times dom(W''.\theta_2))$

    Since from (FB-B6) and (FB-B9) we know that $W''.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq W_n.\theta_1$ and $W''.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq W_n.\theta_2$

    Therefore we get
    $(W_n.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W_n.\theta_1) \times dom(W_n.\theta_2))$
  - $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W_n.\hat{\beta}).(W_n.\theta_1(a_1) = W_n.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge (W_n, k-j-1, H_1''(a_1), H_2''(a_2)) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}})$:

    4 cases arise for each $(a_1, a_2) \in W_n.\hat{\beta}$

    A. $H_1'(a_1) = H_1''(a_1) \wedge H_2'(a_2) = H_2''(a_2)$:

    To prove:
    $W_n.\theta_1(a_1) = W_n.\theta_2(a_2)$:
    We know from that $(k-f-J, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W''$

    Therefore from Definition 1.37 we have
    $\forall (a_1', a_2') \in (W''.\hat{\beta}).W''.\theta_1(a_1') = W''.\theta_2(a_2')$

    Since $W_n.\hat{\beta} = W''.\hat{\beta}$ by construction therefore
    $\forall (a_1', a_2') \in (W_n.\hat{\beta}).W''.\theta_1(a_1') = W''.\theta_2(a_2')$

    From (FB-B6) and (FB-B9) we know that $W''.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$ and $W''.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$ respectively.

    Therefore from Definition 1.29
    $\forall (a_1', a_2') \in (W_n.\hat{\beta}).\theta_1'(a_1) = \theta_2'(a_2)$

To prove:
$(W_n, k-j-1, H_1''(a_1), H_2''(a_2)) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
From (FB-B1) we know that $(k-f-J, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W''$

This means from Definition 1.37 we know that
$\forall (a_{i1}, a_{i2}) \in (W''.\hat{\beta}).\, W''.\theta_1(a_{i1}) = W''.\theta_2(a_{i2}) \wedge$
$(W'', k-f-J-1, H_1'(a_{i1}), H_2'(a_{i2})) \in \lceil W''.\theta_1(a_{i1}) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating with a_1 and a_2 and since $W'' \sqsubseteq W_n$ and $k-j-1 < k-f-J-1$ (since $j = f+J+J_1+1$ therefore from Lemma 1.44 we get
$(W_n, k-j-1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

B. $H_1'(a_1) \neq H_1''(a_1) \wedge H_2'(a_2) \neq H_2''(a_2)$:

To prove:
$W_n.\theta_1(a_1) = W_n.\theta_2(a_2)$
Same reasoning as in the previous case

To prove:
$(W_n, k-j-1, H_1''(a_1), H_2''(a_2)) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

From (FB-B6) and (FB-B9) we know that
$(\forall a. H_1'(a) \neq H_1''(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W''.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge (\ell_3) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
$(\forall a. H_2'(a) \neq H_2''(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W''.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge (\ell_3) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
This means we have
$\exists \ell'. W''.\theta_1(a_1) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge (\ell_3) \sqsubseteq \ell'$ and
$\exists \ell'. W''.\theta_2(a_2) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge (\ell_3) \sqsubseteq \ell'$

Since $\ell_2 \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $\ell_3 \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$.

Also from (FB-B6) and (FB-B9), $(m_{u1}+1, H_1'') \triangleright \theta_1'$ and $(m_{u2}+1, H_2'') \triangleright \theta_2'$.
Therefore from Definition 1.36 we have
$(\theta_1', m_{u1}, H_1''(a_1)) \in \lfloor \theta_1'(a_1) \rfloor_V$ and
$(\theta_2', m_{u2}, H_2''(a_1)) \in \lfloor \theta_2'(a_2) \rfloor_V$

Since m_{u1} and m_{u2} are arbitrary indices therefore from Definition 1.32 we get
$(W_n, k-j-1, H_1''(a_1), H_2''(a_2)) \in \lceil \theta_1'(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

C. $H_1'(a_1) = H_1''(a_1) \wedge H_2'(a_2) \neq H_2''(a_2)$:

To prove:
$W_n.\theta_1(a_1) = W_n.\theta_2(a_2)$
Same reasoning as in the previous case

To prove:
$(W_n, k-j-1, H_1''(a_1), H_2''(a_2)) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

From (FB-B9) we know that
$(\forall a. H_2'(a) \neq H_2''(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W''.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge (\ell_3) \sqsubseteq \ell')$

This means we have

$\exists \ell'. W''.\theta_2(a_2) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge (\ell_3) \sqsubseteq \ell'$
Since $\ell_2 \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $\ell_3 \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$.

Since from (FB-B1) we know that $(k-f-J, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W''$ that means from Definition 1.37 that $(W'', k-f-J-1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W''.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$.
Since $W''.\theta_1(a_1) = W''.\theta_2(a_2) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau''$ and since $\ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ therefore from Definition 1.32 and Definition 1.31 we know that

Therefore
$\forall m.\ (W''.\theta_1, m, H_1'(a_1)) \in W''.\theta_1(a_1)$ (F)

Instantiating the (F) with m_{u1} and using Lemma 1.43 we get
$(\theta_1', m_{u1}, H_1'(a_1)) \in \theta_1'(a_1)$

Since from (FB-B9) we know that $(m_{u2}+1, H_2'') \triangleright \theta_2'$ therefore from Definition 1.36 we know that $(\theta_2', m_{u2}, H_2''(a_2)) \in \theta_2'(a_2)$
Therefore from Definition 1.32 we get
$(W', k-j-1, H_1''(a_1), H_2''(a_2)) \in \lceil \theta_1'(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

D. $H_1'(a_1) \neq H_1''(a_1) \wedge H_2'(a_2) = H_2''(a_2)$:
Symmetric reasoning as in the previous case

– $\forall i \in \{1,2\}. \forall m. \forall a_i \in dom(W_n.\theta_i). (W_n.\theta_i, m, H_i''(a_i)) \in \lfloor W_n.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$:

Case $i = 1$
Given some m we need to prove
$\forall a_i \in dom(W_n.\theta_i). (W_n.\theta_i, m, H_i''(a_i)) \in \lfloor W_n.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$

This further means that given some $a_1 \in dom(W_n.\theta_i)$ we need to show
$(W_n.\theta_1, m, H_1''(a_1)) \in \lfloor W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rfloor_V$

Since $W_n.\theta_1 = \theta_1'$, it suffices to prove
$(\theta_1', m, H_1''(a_1)) \in \lfloor \theta_1'(a_1) \rfloor_V$

Like before we apply Theorem 1.49 on $e_b\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \cup \{x \mapsto v_1''\}$ but this time at $m+1+J_1+J_1'$ to get
$\exists \theta_1' \sqsupseteq W''.\theta_1. (m+1, H_1'') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', m_{u1}+1, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H_1(a) \neq H_1''(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W''.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_3 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta_e'). \theta_1'(a) \searrow \ell_3)$

Since we have $\ell \sqsubseteq \ell_3$ and $(m+1, H_1'') \triangleright \theta_1'$ therefore from Definition 1.36 we get the desired.
Case $i = 2$
Similar reasoning as in the $i = 1$ case

- $(W'.\theta_1, m_{u1}, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V \wedge (W'.\theta_2, m_{u2}, v_2') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V$:
We get this from (FB-B6), (FB-B9) and Lemma 1.43 we get the desired

15. CG-ref:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e' : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{new}\ (e') : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \mathsf{new}\ (e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \mathsf{new}\ (e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)) \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 1.33 we need to prove:
$\forall i < n.\mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1} \implies$
$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil (\mathbb{C}\ \ell \perp (\mathsf{ref} \ell'\ \tau)) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1}$
From cg-val we know that $v_{f1} = \mathsf{new}\ (e')\gamma \downarrow_1$, $v_{f2} = \mathsf{new}\ (e')\gamma \downarrow_2$ and $i = 0$
We are required to prove
$(W, n, \mathsf{new}\ (e')\gamma \downarrow_1, \mathsf{new}\ (e')\gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lceil (\mathbb{C}\ \ell \perp (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Let $v_1 = \mathsf{new}\ (e')\gamma \downarrow_1$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{new}\ (e')\gamma \downarrow_2$
From Definition 1.32 we are required to prove
$\Big(\forall k \leq n, W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v'_1, v'_2.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \perp, v'_1, v'_2, (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau))\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v'_l) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v'_l) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)\Big)$
This means we need to prove the following:

(a) $\forall k \leq n, W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v'_1, v'_2.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \perp, v'_1, v'_2, (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau))$:

This means we are given some $k \leq n$, $W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2$ s.t $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$

Also we are given some $v'_1, v'_2, j < k$ s.t $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2)$

And we are required to prove:
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \perp, v'_1, v'_2, (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau))$
Further from Definition 1.31 it suffices to prove
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge (W', k-j, v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-R0)

IH:
$(W_e, k, e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 1.33 we need to prove:
$\forall f < k.e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_f v_{h1} \wedge e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{h1} \implies (W_e, k-f, v_{h1}, v'_{h1}) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2)$ therefore $\exists f < j < k$ s.t $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_f \Downarrow_j v_{h1} \wedge e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{h1}$

This means we have
$(W_e, k-f, v_{h1}, v'_{h1}) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-R1)

In order to prove (FB-R0) we choose W' as W_n where

$W_n.\theta_1 = W_e.\theta_1 \cup \{a_1 \mapsto (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\}$
$W_n.\theta_2 = W_e.\theta_2 \cup \{a_2 \mapsto (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\}$
$W_n.\hat{\beta} = W_e.\hat{\beta} \cup \{a_1, a_2\}$
Now we need to prove:

i. $(k - j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W_n$:

From Definition 1.37 it suffices to prove:
$dom(W_n.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1') \wedge dom(W_n.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2') \wedge$
$(W_n.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W_n.\theta_1) \times dom(W_n.\theta_2)) \wedge$
$\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W_n.\hat{\beta}).(W_n.\theta_1(a_1) = W_n.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge$
$(W_n, (k - j) - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge$
$\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i \in dom(W_n.\theta_i).(W_n.\theta_i, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W_n.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$
This means we need to prove

- $dom(W_n.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1') \wedge dom(W_n.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2') \wedge (W_n.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W_n.\theta_1) \times dom(W_n.\theta_2))$:

  We know that $dom(W_n.\theta_1) = dom(W_e.\theta_1) \cup \{a_1\}$ and $dom(W_n.\theta_2) = dom(W_e.\theta_2) \cup \{a_2\}$
  Also $dom(H_1') = dom(H_1) \cup \{a_1\}$ and $dom(H_2') = dom(H_2) \cup \{a_2\}$
  Therefore from $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ and from construction of W_n we get the desired.

- $\forall (a_1', a_2') \in (W_n.\hat{\beta}).(W_n.\theta_1(a_1') = W_n.\theta_2(a_2') \wedge (W_n, k - j - 1, H_1'(a_1'), H_2'(a_2')) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1') \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}})$:

  $\forall (a_1', a_2') \in (W_n.\hat{\beta})$.

  A. When $a_1' = a_1$ and $a_2' = a_2$:
  From construction
  $(W_n.\theta_1(a_1) = W_n.\theta_2(a_2) = (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)$

  Since from (FB-R1) we know that $(W_e, k - f, v_{h1}, v_{h1}') \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  And since from cg-ref we know that $H_1'(a_1) = v_{h1}$, $H_2'(a_2) = v_{h1}'$ and $j = f + 1$ threfore from Lemma 1.44 we get
  $(W_n, k - j - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

  B. When $a_1' = a_1$ and $a_2' \neq a_2$: This case cannot arise

  C. When $a_1' \neq a_1$ and $a_2' = a_2$: This case cannot arise

  D. When $a_1' \neq a_1$ and $a_2' \neq a_2$:
  Since $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ therefore the desired is obtained directly from Definition 1.37

- $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i' \in dom(W_n.\theta_i).(W_n.\theta_i, m, H_i(a_i')) \in \lfloor W_n.\theta_i(a_i') \rfloor_V$:
  <u>When $i = 1$</u>
  Given some m
  $\forall a_1' \in dom(W_n.\theta_1)$.

  – when $a_1' = a_1$:
  From construction
  $(W_n.\theta_1(a_1) = W_n.\theta_2(a_2) = (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)$

  And from (FB-R1) we know that $(W_e, k - f, v_{h1}, v_{h1}') \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  Therefore from Lemma 1.42 get the desired

– Otherwise:
Since $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ therefore the desired is obtained directly from Definition 1.37

When $i = 2$
Similar reasoning as with $i = 1$

ii. $(W', k-j, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
From cg-ref we know that $v_1' = a_1$ and $v_2' = a_2$
From Definition 1.32 it suffices to prove
$(a_1, a_2) \in W_n.\hat{\beta} \wedge W_n.\theta_1(a_1) = W_n.\theta_2(a_2) = (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)$
This holds from construciton of W_n

(b) $\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$:

Case $l = 1$
Given some $k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k$

We need to prove
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$

Since $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil\Gamma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from Lemma 1.51 we know that
$\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, m, \gamma\downarrow_2) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$

Instantiating m with k we get $(W.\theta_1, k, \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$

Now we can apply Theorem 1.49 to get
$(W.\theta_1, k, (\mathsf{ref}\ (e')\gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell \perp (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau))\rfloor_E$

This means from Definition 1.35 we get
$\forall c < k.\mathsf{ref}\ (e')\gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_c v \implies (W.\theta_1, k-c, v) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell \perp (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau))\rfloor_V$

This further means that given some $c < k$ s.t $\mathsf{ref}\ (e')\gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_c v$. From cg-val we know that $c = 0$ and $v = \mathsf{ref}\ (e')\gamma\downarrow_1$

And we have $(W.\theta_1, k, \mathsf{ref}\ (e')\gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell \perp (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau))\rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.34 we have
$\forall K \leq k, \theta_e' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, H_1, J.(K, H_1) \triangleright \theta_e' \wedge (H_1, \mathsf{ref}\ (e')\gamma\downarrow_1) \Downarrow_J^f (H', v') \wedge J < K \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e'.(K-J, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', K-J, v') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e'(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e').\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$

Instantiating K with k, θ_e' with θ_e, H_1 with H and J with j we get the desired

Case $l = 2$
Symmetric reasoning as in the $l = 1$ case above

16. CG-deref:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e' : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau}{\Gamma \vdash !e' : \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)}$$

To prove: $(W, n, !e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), !e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 1.33 we need to prove:

$\forall i < n. !e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge !e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1} \implies$
$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $!e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge !e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1}$

From cg-val we know that $v_{f1} = !e'\gamma \downarrow_1$, $v_{f2} = !e'\gamma \downarrow_2$ and $i = 0$

We are required to prove

$(W, n, !e'\gamma \downarrow_1, !e'\gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Let $v_1 = !e'\gamma \downarrow_1$ and $v_2 = !e'\gamma \downarrow_2$

From Definition 1.32 it suffices to prove

$\Big(\forall k \leq n, W_e \sqsupseteq W. \forall H_1, H_2. (k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v'_1, v'_2.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e. (k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \bot, v'_1, v'_2, (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau))\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1,2\}. \Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j. (k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v'_l) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e. (k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v'_l) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell''. \theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau' \wedge \top \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e). \theta'(a) \searrow \top)\Big)$

This means we need to prove:

(a) $\forall k \leq n, W_e \sqsupseteq W. \forall H_1, H_2. (k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v'_1, v'_2.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e. (k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \bot, v'_1, v'_2, (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau))$:

This means we are given is some $k \leq n$, $W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2$ s.t $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$

Also given some $v'_1, v'_2, j < k$ s.t $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2)$

And we are required to prove:
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e. (k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \bot, v'_1, v'_2, (\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau))$

This means from Definition 1.31 it suffices to prove $\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e. (k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge$
$(W', k-j, v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-D0)

IH:
$(W_e, k, e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 1.33 we need to prove:

$\forall f < k.e_l\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_f v_{h1} \wedge e_l\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{h1} \implies$
$(W_e, k-f, v_{h1}, v'_{h1}) \in \lceil(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2)$ therefore $\exists f < j < k$ s.t $e_l\ \gamma \downarrow_f \Downarrow_j v_{h1} \wedge e_l\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{h1}$

This means we have
$(W_e, k-f, v_{h1}, v'_{h1}) \in \lceil(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-D1)

In order to prove (FB-D0) we choose W' as W_e. Also from cg-deref we know that $H'_1 = H_1$ and $H'_2 = H_2$. Also we know that $v_{h1} = a_1$ and $v'_{h1} = a_2$.

- $(k-j, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$:
  Since we know that $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ therefore from Lemma 1.48 we get $(k-j, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$
- $(W', k-j, v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil(\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$:
  Since from (FB-D1) we know that $(W_e, k-f, a_1, a_2) \in \lceil\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
  Therefore from Definition 1.32 we know that $(a_1, a_2) \in W_e.\hat{\beta} \wedge W_e.\theta_1(a_1) = W_e.\theta_2(a_2) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau$

  And since we know that $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ therefore from Definition we know that $(W_e, k, H_1(a_1), H_2(a_2)) \in \lceil\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
  Also from cg-ref we know that $v'_1 = H_1(a_1)$ and $v'_2 = H_2(a_2)$
  From Lemma 1.44 we get $(W', k-j, H_1(a_1), H_2(a_2)) \in \lceil(\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

(b) $\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v'_l) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v'_l) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau' \wedge \top \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \top)$:

Case $l = 1$
Given some $k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v'_l) \wedge j < k$

We need to prove
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v'_l) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau'' \wedge \ell' \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell')$

Since $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil\Gamma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ therefore from Lemma 1.51 we know that
$\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, m, \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$

Instantiating m with k we get $(W.\theta_1, k, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$

Now we can apply Theorem 1.49 to get
$(W.\theta_1, k, (!e'\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \top\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau))\rfloor_E$

This means from Definition 1.35 we get
$\forall c < k.!e'\gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_c v \implies (W.\theta_1, k-c, v) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \top\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau))\rfloor_V$

Instantianting c with 0 and from cg-val we know that $v = !e'\gamma \downarrow_1$

And we have $(W.\theta_1, k, !e'\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \top\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau))\rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.34 we have
$\forall K \leq k, \theta'_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, H_1, J.(K, H_1) \triangleright \theta'_e \wedge (H_1, v) \Downarrow^f_J (H', v') \wedge J < K \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta'_e.(K - J, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', K - J, v') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled} \quad \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell''.\theta'_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau'' \wedge \top \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta'_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \top)$

Instantiating K with k, θ'_e with θ_e, H_1 with H and J with j we get the desired

Case $l = 2$
Symmetric reasoning as in the $l = 1$ case above

17. CG-assign:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_l : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_r : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Gamma \vdash e_l := e_r : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ \mathsf{unit}}$$

To prove: $(W, n, (e_l := e_r)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_l := e_r)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ \mathsf{unit} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 1.33 we need to prove:
$\forall i < n.(e_l := e_r)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge (e_l := e_r)\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1} \implies$
$(W, n - i, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ \mathsf{unit} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $(e_l := e_r)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge (e_l := e_r)\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1}$
From cg-val we know that $v_{f1} = (e_l := e_r)\gamma \downarrow_1$, $v_{f2} = (e_l := e_r)\gamma \downarrow_2$ and $i = 0$
We are required to prove
$(W, n, (e_l := e_r)\gamma \downarrow_1, (e_l := e_r)\gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ \mathsf{unit} \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Let $e_1 = (e_l : -e_r)\ \gamma \downarrow_1$ and $e_2 = (e_l : -e_r)\ \gamma \downarrow_2$
From Definition 1.32 it suffices to prove
$\Big(\forall k \leq n, W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v'_1, v'_2.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k - j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k - j, \bot, v'_1, v'_2, \mathsf{unit})\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1, 2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v'_l) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v'_l) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)\Big)$

This means we need to prove:

(a) $\forall k \leq n, W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v'_1, v'_2.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k - j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k - j, \bot, v'_1, v'_2, \mathsf{unit})$:

This means we are given some $k \leq n$, $W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2$ s.t $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$

And finally given some $v'_1, v'_2, j < k$ s.t $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2)$

And we are required to prove:

$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \bot, v_1', v_2', \mathsf{unit})$
(FB-A0)

IH1:
$(W_e, k, e_l\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e_l\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 1.33 we need to prove:
$\forall f < k.e_l\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_f v_{h1} \wedge e_l\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{h1}' \implies$
$(W_e, k-f, v_{h1}, v_{h1}') \in \lceil \mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Since we know that $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2')$ therefore $\exists f < j < k$ s.t $e_l\ \gamma \downarrow_f \Downarrow_j v_{h1} \wedge e_l\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{h1}'$

This means we have
$(W_e, k-f, v_{h1}, v_{h1}') \in \lceil \mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (FB-A1)

IH2:
$(W_e, k-f, e_r\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e_r\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 1.33 we need to prove:
$\forall s < k-f.e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_s v_{h2} \wedge e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{h2}' \implies$
$(W_e, k-f-s, v_{h2}, v_{h2}') \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Since we know that $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2')$ therefore $\exists s < j-f < k-f$ s.t $e_r\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_s v_{h2} \wedge e_r\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{h2}'$

This means we have
$(W_e, k-f-s, v_{h2}, v_{h2}') \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (FB-A2)

In order to prove (FB-A0) we choose W' as W_e. Also from cg-assign we know that $H_1' = H_1[v_{h1} \mapsto v_{h2}]$ and $H_2' = H_2[v_{h1}' \mapsto v_{h2}']$, and $j = f + s + 1$
We need to prove the following:

i. $(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W_e$:

   Say $v_{h1} = a_1$ and $v_{h1}' = a_2$

   From Definition 1.37 it suffices to prove:
   $dom(W_e.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1') \wedge dom(W_e.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2') \wedge$
   $(W_e.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W_e.\theta_1) \times dom(W_e.\theta_2)) \wedge$
   $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W_e.\hat{\beta}).(W_e.\theta_1(a_1) = W_e.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge$
   $(W_e, (k-j)-1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_e.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge$
   $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i \in dom(W_e.\theta_i).(W_e.\theta_i, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W_e.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$
   This means we need to prove

   - $dom(W_e.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1') \wedge dom(W_e.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2') \wedge (W_e.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W_e.\theta_1) \times dom(W_e.\theta_2))$:
     Since $dom(H_1) = dom(H_1')$ and $dom(H_2) = dom(H_2')$, and also we know that $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$. Therefore we obtain the desired direclty from Definition 1.37

- $\forall(a_1', a_2') \in (W_e.\hat{\beta}).(W_e.\theta_1(a_1') = W_e.\theta_2(a_2') \wedge (W_e, k-j-1, H_1'(a_1'), H_2'(a_2')) \in \lceil W_e.\theta_1(a_1')\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}})$:

  $\forall(a_1', a_2') \in (W_e.\hat{\beta})$.

  A. When $a_1' = a_1$ and $a_2' = a_2$:
  From (FB-A1) and from Definition 1.32 we get
  $(W_e.\theta_1(a_1) = W_e.\theta_2(a_2) = (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)$

  Since from (FB-A2) we know that $(W_e, k-f-s, v_{h2}, v_{h2}') \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  And since from cg-assign we know that $H_1'(a_1) = v_{h2}$, $H_2'(a_2) = v_{h2}'$ and $j = f + s + 1$ threfore from Lemma 1.44 we get
  $(W_e, k-j-1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_e.\theta_1(a_1)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

  B. When $a_1' = a_1$ and $a_2' \neq a_2$: This case cannot arise

  C. When $a_1' \neq a_1$ and $a_2' = a_2$: This case cannot arise

  D. When $a_1' \neq a_1$ and $a_2' \neq a_2$:
  Since $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ therefore the desired is obtained directly from Definition 1.37

- $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i' \in dom(W_e.\theta_i).(W_e.\theta_i, m, H_i(a_i')) \in \lfloor W_e.\theta_i(a_i')\rfloor_V$:
  <u>When $i = 1$</u>
  Given some m
  $\forall a_1' \in dom(W_e.\theta_1)$.

  – when $a_1' = a_1$:
  From (FB-A1) and from Definition 1.32 we get
  $(W_e.\theta_1(a_1) = W_e.\theta_2(a_2) = (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)$

  Since from (FB-A2) we know that $(W_e, k-f-s, v_{h2}, v_{h2}') \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  Therefore from Lemma 1.42 get the desired

  – Otherwise:
  Since $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ therefore the desired is obtained directly from Definition 1.37

  <u>When $i = 2$</u>
  Similar reasoning as with $i = 1$

ii. $\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W_e, k-j, \bot, (), (), \mathsf{unit})$:
Holds directly from Definition 1.31 and Definition 1.32

(b) $\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k,H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit}\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$:

<u>Case $l = 1$</u>
Given some $k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j$ s.t $(k,H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k$

<u>We need to prove</u>
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{unit})\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau'' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$

Since $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil\Gamma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from Lemma 1.51 we know that
$\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, m, \gamma\downarrow_2) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$

Instantiating m with k we get $(W.\theta_1, k, \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$

Now we can apply Theorem 1.49 to get
$(W.\theta_1, k, ((e_l := e_r)\gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ (\mathsf{unit}))\rfloor_E$

This means from Definition 1.35 we get
$\forall c < k.(e_l := e_r)\gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_c v \implies (W.\theta_1, k-c, v) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ (\mathsf{unit}))\rfloor_V$

Instantiating c with 0 and from cg-val we know that $v = (e_l := e_r)\gamma\downarrow_1$

And we have $(W.\theta_1, k, (e_l := e_r)\gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{unit}))\rfloor_V$

From Definition 1.34 we have
$\forall K \leq k, \theta'_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, H_1, J.(K, H_1) \triangleright \theta'_e \wedge (H_1, v) \Downarrow^f_J (H', v') \wedge J < K \implies$
$\exists\theta' \sqsupseteq \theta'_e.(K-J, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', K-J, v') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists\ell'.\theta'_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau'' \wedge \ell' \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta'_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell')$

Instantiating K with k, θ'_e with θ_e, H_1 with H and J with j we get the desired

Case $l = 2$
Symmetric reasoning as in the $l = 1$ case above

□

Lemma 1.53. $\forall\mathcal{A}, W, W, \ell, \ell', v_1, v_2, \tau, i, j.$
ValEq$(\mathcal{A}, W, \ell, i, v_1, v_2, \tau) \wedge j < i \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell' \wedge W \sqsubseteq W' \implies$
ValEq$(\mathcal{A}, W', \ell', j, v_1, v_2, \tau)$

Proof. Given that *ValEq*$(\mathcal{A}, W, \ell, i, v_1, v_2, \tau)$. From Definition 1.31 two cases arise

1. $\ell \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
   In this case we know that $(W, i, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\tau\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
   2 cases arise
   (a) $\ell' \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
   Since $(W, i, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\tau\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ therefore from Lemma 1.44 we know that $(W', j, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\tau\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
   And thus from Definition 1.31 we know that *ValEq*$(\mathcal{A}, W', \ell', j, v_1, v_2, \tau)$
   (b) $\ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
   Since $(W, i, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\tau\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ therefore from Lemma 1.42 we know that $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, v_i) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V$
   And from Lemma 1.43 we know that $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W'.\theta_i, m, v_i) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V$
   Hence from Definition 1.31 we know that *ValEq*$(\mathcal{A}, W', \ell', j, v_1, v_2, \tau)$
2. $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
   Given is $\ell \sqsubseteq \ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$
   In this case we know that $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, v_i) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V$
   And from Lemma 1.43 we know that $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W'.\theta_i, m, v_i) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V$
   Hence from Definition 1.31 we know that *ValEq*$(\mathcal{A}, W', \ell', j, v_1, v_2, \tau)$

□

Lemma 1.54 (Subtyping binary)**.** *The following holds:*
$\forall, \tau, \tau'.$

1. $\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \implies \lceil(\tau)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil(\tau')\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
2. $\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \implies \lceil(\tau)\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil(\tau')\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

Proof. Proof of statement (1)
Proof by induction on the $\tau <: \tau'$

1. CGsub-arrow:

   Given:
   $$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1' <: \tau_1 \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \to \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \to \tau_2'}$$

   To prove: $\lceil((\tau_1 \to \tau_2))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil((\tau_1' \to \tau_2'))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   IH1: $\lceil(\tau_1')\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil(\tau_1)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (Statement 1)
   $\lceil(\tau_2)\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil(\tau_2')\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$ (Sub-A0 From Statement 2)

   It suffices to prove:
   $\forall(W, n, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lceil((\tau_1 \to \tau_2))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}.\ (W, n, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lceil((\tau_1' \to \tau_2'))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   This means that given: $(W, n, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lceil((\tau_1 \to \tau_2))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   And it suffices to prove: $(W, n, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lceil((\tau_1' \to \tau_2'))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   From Definition 1.32 we are given:
   $\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2.((W', j, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies$
   $(W', j, e_1[v_1/x], e_2[v_2/x]) \in \lceil\tau_2\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge$
   $\forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j, v_c.((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_1[v_1/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_E) \wedge$
   $\forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j, v_c.((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_2[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_E)$ (Sub-A1)

   Again from Definition 1.32 we are required to prove:
   $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W, k < n, v_1', v_2'.((W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil\tau_1'\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies (W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil\tau_2'\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge$
   $\forall\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\rfloor_E) \wedge$
   $\forall\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_2[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\rfloor_E)$

   This means need to prove:

   (a) $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W, k < n, v_1', v_2'.((W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil\tau_1'\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies (W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil\tau_2'\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}})$:
   Given: $W'' \sqsupseteq W$, $k < n$ and v_1', v_2'. We are also given $(W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil\tau_1'\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   To prove: $(W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil\tau_2'\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

   Instantiating the first conjunct of Sub-A1 with W'', k, v_1' and v_2' we get

   $$((W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies (W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil\tau_2\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}) \tag{63}$$

Since $(W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1' \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from IH1 we know that $(W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Thus from Equation 63 we get $(W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

Finally using (Sub-A0) we get $(W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2' \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

(b) $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1' \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \rfloor_E)$:
Given: $\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, v_c'$. We are also given $(\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1' \rfloor_V$
To prove: $(\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \rfloor_E$

Since we are given $(\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1' \rfloor_V$ and since $\tau_1' <: \tau_1$ therefore from Lemma 1.50 we get

$$(\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \tag{64}$$

Instantiating the second conjunct of Sub-A1 with θ_l', k, v_1' and v_2' we get

$$((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E) \tag{65}$$

Therefore from Equation 64 and 65 we get $(\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

Since $\tau_2 <: \tau_2'$ therefore from Lemma 1.50 we get
$(\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \rfloor_E$

(c) $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1' \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_2[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \rfloor_E)$:
Similar reasoning as in the previous case

2. CGsub-prod:

Given:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'}$$

To prove: $\lceil ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2)) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2')) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

IH1: $\lceil (\tau_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil (\tau_1') \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (Statement (1))

IH2: $\lceil (\tau_2) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil (\tau_2') \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (Statement (1))

It suffices to prove: $\forall (W, n, (v_1, v_2), (v_1', v_2')) \in \lceil ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2)) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}.\ (W, n, (v_1, v_2), (v_1', v_2')) \in \lceil ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2')) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means that given: $(W, n, (v_1, v_2), (v_1', v_2')) \in \lceil ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2)) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Therefore from Definition 1.32 we are given:

$$(W, n, v_1, v_1') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W, n, v_2, v_2') \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{66}$$

And it suffices to prove: $(W, n, (v_1, v_2), (v_1', v_2')) \in \lceil ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2')) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Again from Definition 1.32, it suffices to prove:
$(W, n, v_1, v_1') \in \lceil \tau_1' \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W, n, v_2, v_2') \in \lceil \tau_2' \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Since from Equation 66 we know that $(W, n, v_1, v_1') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from IH1 we have $(W, n, v_1, v_1') \in \lceil \tau_1' \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Similarly since $(W, n, v_2, v_2') \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ from Equation 66 therefore from IH2 we have $(W, n, v_2, v_2') \in \lceil \tau_2' \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

3. CGsub-sum:

   Given:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}$$

   To prove: $\lceil((\tau_1 + \tau_2))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil((\tau_1' + \tau_2'))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   IH1: $\lceil(\tau_1)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil(\tau_1')\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (Statement (1))
   IH2: $\lceil(\tau_2)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil(\tau_2')\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (Statement (1))
   It suffices to prove: $\forall(W, n, v_{s1}, v_{s2}) \in \lceil((\tau_1 + \tau_2))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}.\ (W, n, v_{s1}, v_{s2}) \in \lceil((\tau_1' + \tau_2'))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   This means that given: $(W, n, v_{s1}, v_{s2}) \in \lceil((\tau_1 + \tau_2))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   And it suffices to prove: $(W, n, v_{s1}, v_{s2}) \in \lceil((\tau_1' + \tau_2'))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   2 cases arise

   (a) $v_{s1} = \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i1}$ and $v_{s1} = \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i2}$:
   From Definition 1.32 we are given:

$$(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil\tau_1\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{67}$$

   And we are required to prove that:
   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil\tau_1'\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   From Equation 67 and IH1 we know that
   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil\tau_1'\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   (b) $v_s = \mathsf{inr}\ v_{i1}$ and $v_{s2} = \mathsf{inr}\ v_{i2}$:
   From Definition 1.32 we are given:

$$(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil\tau_2\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{68}$$

   And we are required to prove that:
   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil\tau_2'\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   From Equation 68 and IH2 we know that
   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil\tau_2'\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

4. CGsub-label:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau <: \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'}$$

   To prove: $\lceil((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ '\tau'))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   IH: $\lceil(\tau)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil(\tau')\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   It suffices to prove: $\forall(W, n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_1), \mathsf{Lb}(v_2)) \in \lceil((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}.\ (W, n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_1), \mathsf{Lb}(v_2)) \in \lceil((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ '\tau'))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   This means we are given $(W, n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_1), \mathsf{Lb}(v_2)) \in \lceil((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   From Definition 1.32 it means we have $\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W, \ell, n, v_1, v_2, \tau)$ (Sub-L0)

and it suffices to prove $(W, n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_1), \mathsf{Lb}(v_2)) \in \lceil((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ '\tau'))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Again from Definition 1.32 it means w need to prove that

$\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W, \ell', n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_1), \mathsf{Lb}_\ell(v_2), \tau')$

Since we have (Sub-L0) and $\ell \sqsubseteq \ell'$ therefore from Lemma 1.53 we have

$\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W, \ell', n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_1), \mathsf{Lb}_\ell(v_2), \tau)$

2 cases arise:

(a) $\ell' \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
In this case from Definition 1.31 we know that $(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\tau\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
From IH we also know that $(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\tau'\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
And from Definition 1.32 we get $\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W, \ell', n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_1), \mathsf{Lb}_\ell(v_2), \tau')$

(b) $\ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
In this case from Definition 1.31 we know that $\forall j.\ (W.\theta_1, j, v_1) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, j, v_2) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V$
Since $\tau <: \tau'$ therefore from Lemma 1.50 we get $(W.\theta_1, j, v_1) \in \lfloor\tau'\rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, j, v_2) \in \lfloor\tau'\rfloor_V$

And from Definition 1.32 we get $\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W, \ell', n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_1), \mathsf{Lb}_\ell(v_2), \tau')$

5. CGsub-CG:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell_i' \sqsubseteq \ell_i \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell_o \sqsubseteq \ell_o'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau <: \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i'\ \ell_o'\ \tau'}$$

To prove: $\lceil((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i'\ \ell_o'\ \tau'))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

IH: $\lceil(\tau)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil(\tau')\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

It suffices to prove: $\forall(W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}.\ (W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i'\ \ell_o'\ \tau'))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means we are given $(W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

From Definition 1.32 it means we have

$\Big(\forall k \le n, W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v_1', v_2', j.$
$(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k - j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k - j, \ell_o, v_1', v_2', \tau\ )\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1, 2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, e_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v_l') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_i \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i)\Big)$ (Sub-CG0)

And we need to prove

$(W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i'\ \ell_o'\ \tau'))\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Again from Definition 1.32 it means we need to prove

$\Big(\forall k \leq n,\, W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v_1', v_2', j.$
$(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_o', v_1', v_2', \tau')\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_i' \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta') \backslash \mathit{dom}(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i')\Big)$

It means we need to prove:

(a) $\forall k \leq n,\, W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v_1', v_2', j.$
$(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_o, v_1', v_2', \tau')$:

This means we are given $k \leq n$, $W_e \sqsupseteq W$, $H_1, H_2, v_1', v_2', j < k$ s.t
$(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$, $(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2')$

And we need to prove
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_o', v_1', v_2', \tau')$

Instantiating the first conjuct of (Sub-CG0) to get
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_o, v_1', v_2', \tau)$ (Sub-CG1)

Since from (Sub-CG1) $\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_o, v_1', v_2', \tau)$
Therefore from Lemma 1.53 we get $\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_o', v_1', v_2', \tau)$

(b) $\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, e_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_i \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta') \backslash \mathit{dom}(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i)$:
Case $l = 1$
Here we are given $k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j < k$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, e_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l')$

And we need to prove

i. $\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V$:
Instantiating the second conjunct of (Sub-CG0) with the given k, θ_e, H, j to get
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

Since $\tau <: \tau'$ therefore from Lemma 1.50 we get $(\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V$

ii. $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_i' \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
Instantiating the second conjunct of (Sub-CG0) with the given v, i, k, θ_e, H, j to get
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_i \sqsubseteq \ell')$
Since $\ell_i' \sqsubseteq \ell_i$ therefore we also get
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_i' \sqsubseteq \ell')$

iii. $(\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta') \backslash \mathit{dom}(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i')$:
Instantiating the second conjunct of (Sub-CG0) with the given v, i, k, θ_e, H, j to get
$(\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta') \backslash \mathit{dom}(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i)$

Since $\ell_i' \sqsubseteq \ell_i$ therefore we also get
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i')$

Case $l = 2$

Symmetric reasoning as in the previous $l = 1$ case

6. CGsub-base:

   Trivial

Proof of Statement (2)

It suffice to prove that
$\forall (W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil(\tau)\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}.\ (W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil(\tau')\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means given $(W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil(\tau)\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$
From Definition 1.33 it means we have
$\forall i < n. e_1 \Downarrow_i v_1 \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \implies (W, n-i, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\tau\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (Sub-E0)

And it suffices to prove $(W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil(\tau')\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$
Again from Definition 1.33 it means we need to prove
$\forall i < n. e_1 \Downarrow_i v_1 \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \implies (W, n-i, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\tau'\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means that given $i < n$ s.t $e_1 \Downarrow_i v_1 \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_2$ we need to prove $(W, n-i, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\tau'\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating (Sub-E0) with the given i we get $(W, n-i, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\tau\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

From Statement (1) we get $(W, n-i, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\tau'\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ □

Theorem 1.55 (NI for CG)**.** *Say* $\mathsf{bool} = (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})$
$\forall v_1, v_2, e, n'.$
$\emptyset \vdash v_1 : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \wedge \emptyset \vdash v_2 : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \wedge$
$x : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \vdash e : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \wedge$
$(\emptyset, e[v_1/x]) \Downarrow_{n'}^{f} (-, v_1') \wedge (\emptyset, e[v_2/x]) \Downarrow_{-}^{f} (-, v_2') \implies$
$v_1' = v_2'$

Proof. Given some
$\emptyset \vdash v_1 : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \wedge \emptyset \vdash v_2 : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \wedge$
$x : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \vdash e : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \wedge$
$(\emptyset, e[v_1/x]) \Downarrow_{n'}^{f} (-, v_1') \wedge (\emptyset, e[v_2/x]) \Downarrow_{-}^{f} (-, v_2')$

And we need to prove
$v_1' = v_2'$

From Theorem 1.52 we know that
$\forall n. (\emptyset, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool}\rceil_E^{\bot}$
Similarly from Theorem 1.52 and Definition 1.41 we also get
$\forall n. (\emptyset, n, e[v_1/x], e[v_2/x]) \in \lceil\mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool}\rceil_E^{\bot}$

From Definition 1.33 we get
$\forall n. \forall i < n. e_1[v_1/x] \Downarrow_i v_{11} \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_{22} \implies (\emptyset, n-i, v_{11}, v_{22}) \in \lceil\mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool}\rceil_V^{\bot}$

Instantiating it with $n'+1$ and then with 0, from CG-val we have $v_{11} = e[v_1/x]$ and $v_{22} = e[v_2/x]$

Therefore we have

$(\emptyset, n'+1, e[v_1/x], e[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \mathbb{C} \perp \perp \mathsf{bool} \rceil^{\perp}_{V}$

From Definition 1.34 we have

$\Big(\forall k \leq n'+1,\, W_e \sqsupseteq \emptyset, H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge$
$\forall v_1'', v_2'', j.(H_1, e[v_1/x]) \Downarrow^{f}_{j} (H_1', v_1'') \wedge (H_2, e[v_2/x]) \Downarrow^{f} (H_2', v_2'') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\perp, W', k-j, \perp, v_1', v_2', \mathsf{b})\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^{f}_{j} (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \mathsf{b} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \perp \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \perp)\Big)$

Instantiating the first conjunct with $n'+1, \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset$. And then with v_1', v_2', n' we get

$\exists W' \sqsupseteq \emptyset.(1, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\perp, W', 1, \perp, v_1', v_2', \mathsf{bool})$

From Definition 1.31 and Definition 1.34 we get $v_1' = v_2'$

□

1.3 CG to FG translation

1.3.1 Type directed translation from CG to FG

CG types are translated into FG types by the following definition of $[\![\cdot]\!]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\![\mathsf{b}]\!] &= \mathsf{b}^{\bot} \\
[\![\tau_1 \to \tau_2]\!] &= ([\![\tau_1]\!] \xrightarrow{\top} [\![\tau_2]\!])^{\bot} \\
[\![\tau_1 \times \tau_2]\!] &= ([\![\tau_1]\!] \times [\![\tau_2]\!])^{\bot} \\
[\![\tau_1 + \tau_2]\!] &= ([\![\tau_1]\!] + [\![\tau_2]\!])^{\bot} \\
[\![\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau]\!] &= ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell}
\end{aligned}
\qquad
\begin{aligned}
[\![\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau]\!] &= (\mathsf{ref}\ ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell})^{\bot} \\
[\![\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau]\!] &= (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_1} ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_2})^{\bot}
\end{aligned}
$$

The translation judgment for expressions is of the form $\boxed{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_C : \tau_C \rightsquigarrow e_F}$.

The translation for the pure calculus is ommited as it is straightforward.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{Lb}_{\ell}(e) : (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{inl}(e_F)}\ \text{label} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}(e) : \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ \tau \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.e_F}\ \text{unlabel}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{toLabeled}(e) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_F\ ())}\ \text{toLabeled}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{ret}(e) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_F)}\ \text{ret}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c}\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{F1} \\ \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e_2 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_3\ \ell_4\ \tau' \rightsquigarrow e_{F2} \qquad \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_1 \qquad \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_3 \qquad \ell_2 \sqsubseteq \ell_3 \qquad \ell_2 \sqsubseteq \ell_4 \qquad \ell_4 \sqsubseteq \ell'\end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell'\ \tau' \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{F1}(), x.e_{F2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}())}\ \text{bind}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{new}\ e : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ (e_F))}\ \text{ref}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Gamma \vdash !e : \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_F)}\ \text{deref}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{F1} \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{F2} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 := e_2 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ \mathsf{unit} \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{F1} := e_{F2})}\ \text{assign}$$

Figure 7: Expression translation from CG to FG

1.3.2 Type preservation for CG to FG translation

Theorem 1.56 (Type preservation, CG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG)**.** $\forall \Gamma, e_C, \tau.$
$\Gamma \vdash e_C : \tau$ *is a valid typing derivation in CG* $\implies$
$\exists e_F.$
$\Gamma \vdash e_C : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F \wedge$
$[\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_{\top} e_F : [\![\tau]\!]$ *is a valid typing derivation in FG*

Proof. Proof by induction on the translation judgment. We show selected cases below.

1. label:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{Lb}_\ell(e) : (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{inl}(e_F)}\ \text{label}$$

$$\frac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{[\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top e_F : [\![\tau]\!]}\ \text{IH}}{[\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top \mathsf{inl}(e_F) : ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^\bot}\ \text{FG-inl}}{[\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top \mathsf{inl}(e_F) : ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^\ell}\ \text{FG-sub}$$

2. unlabel:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}(e) : \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ \tau \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.e_F}\ \text{unlabel}$$

   Main derivation:

$$\frac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\top e_F : ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^\ell}\ \text{IH}}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\top \lambda\_.e_F : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\top} ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^\ell)^\bot}\ \text{FG-lam}$$

3. toLabeled:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{toLabeled}(e) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_F\ ())}\ \text{toLabeled}$$

   P2:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\top e_F : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_1} ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_2})^\bot}\ \text{IH, Weakening} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \top}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell_1} e_F : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_1} ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_2})^\bot}\ \text{FG-sub}$$

   P1:

$$\frac{P2 \qquad \dfrac{}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell_1} () : \mathsf{unit}} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell_1 \sqcup \bot \sqsubseteq \ell_1 \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_2} \searrow \bot}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell_1} e_F() : ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_2}}\ \text{FG-app}$$

   Main derivation:

$$\frac{\dfrac{P1}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell_1} \mathsf{inl}(e_F()) : (([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_2} + \mathsf{unit})^\bot}\ \text{FG-inl}}{[\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_F()) : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_1} (([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_2} + \mathsf{unit})^\bot)^\bot}\ \text{FG-lam}$$

4. ret:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{ret}(e) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_F)}\ \text{ret}$$

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\top e_F : [\![\tau]\!]}\ \text{IH, Weakening} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \top}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell_1} e_F : [\![\tau]\!]}\ \text{FG-sub} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \bot \sqsubseteq \ell_2}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell_1} \mathsf{inl}(e_F) : ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_2}}\ \text{FG-sub, FG-inl}}{[\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_F) : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_1} ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_2})^\bot}\ \text{FG-lam}$$

5. bind:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c}\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{F1} \\ \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e_2 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_3\ \ell_4\ \tau' \rightsquigarrow e_{F2} \qquad \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_1 \qquad \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_3 \qquad \ell_2 \sqsubseteq \ell_3 \qquad \ell_2 \sqsubseteq \ell_4 \qquad \ell_4 \sqsubseteq \ell'\end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell'\ \tau' \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{F1}(), x.e_{F2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}())}\ \text{bind}$$

P1.1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\top e_{F1} : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_1} ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_2})^\bot}\ \text{IH1, Weakening} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \top}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\ell e_{F1} : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_1} ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_2})^\bot}\ \text{FG-sub}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{P1.1 \qquad \dfrac{}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\ell () : \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{FG-var} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash (\ell \sqcup \bot) \sqsubseteq \ell_1 \qquad \dfrac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \bot \sqsubseteq \ell_2}{\mathcal{L} \vdash ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_2} \searrow \bot}}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell_1} e_{F1}() : ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_2}}\ \text{FG-app}$$

P2.1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit}, x : [\![\tau]\!] \vdash_\top e_{F2} : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_3} ([\![\tau']\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_4})^\bot}\ \text{IH2, Weakening} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqcup \ell_2 \sqsubseteq \top}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit}, x : [\![\tau]\!] \vdash_{\ell \sqcup \ell_2} e_{F2} : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_3} ([\![\tau']\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_4})^\bot}\ \text{FG-sub}$$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\begin{array}{ll} P2.1 & \dfrac{}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit}, x : [\![\tau]\!] \vdash_{\ell \sqcup \ell_2} () : \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{FG-var} \\ \mathcal{L} \vdash (\ell \sqcup \ell_2 \sqcup \bot) \sqsubseteq \ell_3 & \dfrac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \bot \sqsubseteq \ell_4}{\mathcal{L} \vdash ([\![\tau']\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_4} \searrow \bot}\end{array}}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit}, x : [\![\tau]\!] \vdash_{\ell \sqcup \ell_2} e_{F2}() : ([\![\tau']\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_4}}\ \text{FG-app}$$

P3:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit}, y : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell \sqcup \ell_2} () : \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{FG-var} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \bot \sqsubseteq \ell_4}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit}, y : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell \sqcup \ell_2} \mathsf{inr}() : ([\![\tau']\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_4}}\ \text{FG-sub, FG-inr}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{P1 \qquad P2 \qquad P3 \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \ell_2 \sqsubseteq \ell_4}\ \text{Given}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash (\llbracket \tau' \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_4} \searrow \ell_2} \qquad \dfrac{}{\ell_4 \sqsubseteq \ell'}\ \text{Given}}{\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket, \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\ell \mathsf{case}(e_{F1}(), x.e_{F2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}()) : (\llbracket \tau' \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'}}\ \text{FG-case, FG-sub}$$
$$\dfrac{}{\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \vdash_\top \lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{F1}(), x.e_{F2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}()) : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell} (\llbracket \tau' \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'})^\bot}\ \text{FG-lam}$$

6. ref:

$$\dfrac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{new}\ e : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ (e_F))}\ \text{ref}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket, \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\top e_F : (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'}}\ \text{IH, Weakening} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \top}{\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket, \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\ell e_F : (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'}}\ \text{FG-sub} \qquad \dfrac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'} \searrow \ell}}{\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket, \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\ell \mathsf{new}\ e_F : (\mathsf{ref}(\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'})^\bot}\ \text{FG-ref}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P1}{\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket, \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\ell \mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ e_F) : ((\mathsf{ref}(\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'})^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\bot}\ \text{FG-inl}}{\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \vdash_\top \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ e_F) : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell} ((\mathsf{ref}(\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'})^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\bot)^\bot}\ \text{FG-lam}$$

7. deref:

$$\dfrac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Gamma \vdash !e : \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_F)}\ \text{deref}$$

P2:

$$\dfrac{}{\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket, \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\top e_F : (\mathsf{ref}\ (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^\ell)^\bot}\ \text{IH}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{P2 \qquad \dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^\ell <: (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^\ell}\ \text{Lemma 1.1} \qquad \dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^\ell \searrow \bot}}{\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket, \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\top !e_F : (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^\ell}\ \text{FG-deref}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P1}{\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket, \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\top \mathsf{inl}(!e_F) : ((\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^\ell + \mathsf{unit})^\bot}\ \text{FG-inl}}{\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \vdash_\top \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(!e_F) : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\top} ((\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^\ell + \mathsf{unit})^\bot)^\bot}\ \text{FG-lam}$$

8. assign:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{F1} \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{F2} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 := e_2 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ \mathsf{unit} \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{F1} := e_{F2})}\ \text{assign}$$

P3:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\top e_{F2} : ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'}}\ \text{IH2, Weakening} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \top}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\ell e_{F2} : ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'}}\ \text{FG-sub}$$

P2:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\top e_{F1} : (\mathsf{ref}([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'})^{\bot}}\ \text{IH1, Weakening} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \top}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\ell e_{F1} : (\mathsf{ref}([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'})^{\bot}}\ \text{FG-sub}$$

P1:

$$\frac{P2 \qquad P3 \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}\ \text{Given}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'} \searrow (\ell \sqcup \bot)}}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\ell e_{F1} := e_{F2} : \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{FG-assign}$$

Main derivation:

$$\frac{\dfrac{\dfrac{P1}{[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\ell \mathsf{inl}(e_{F1} := e_{F2}) : (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})^{\bot}}\ \text{FG-inl}}{[\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{F1} := e_{F2}) : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell} (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})^{\bot})^{\bot}}\ \text{FG-lam}$$

9. sub:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{[\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top e_F : [\![\tau']\!]}\ \text{IH} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \top \sqsubseteq \top \qquad \dfrac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau' <: \tau}{\mathcal{L} \vdash [\![\tau']\!] <: [\![\tau]\!]}\ \text{Lemma 1.57}}{[\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top e_F : [\![\tau]\!]}\ \text{FG-sub}$$

□

Lemma 1.57 (Subtyping type preservation: CG to FG)**.** *For any CG types τ and τ', Σ, and Ψ, if $\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau'$, then $\mathcal{L} \vdash [\![\tau]\!] <: [\![\tau']\!]$.*

Proof. Proof by induction on CG's subtyping relation

1. CGsub-base:

$$\frac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash [\![\tau]\!] <: [\![\tau]\!]}\ \text{Lemma 1.1}$$

2. CGsub-arrow:

$$\frac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash [\![\tau_1']\!] <: [\![\tau_1]\!]}\ \text{IH1} \qquad \dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash [\![\tau_2]\!] <: [\![\tau_2']\!]}\ \text{IH2} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \top \sqsubseteq \top}{\mathcal{L} \vdash ([\![\tau_1]\!] \xrightarrow{\top} [\![\tau_2]\!])^{\bot} <: ([\![\tau_1']\!] \xrightarrow{\top} [\![\tau_2']\!])^{\bot}}\ \text{FGsub-arrow}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash [\![(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)]\!] <: [\![(\tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2')]\!]}\ \text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]$$

3. CGsub-prod:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash [\![\tau_1]\!] <: [\![\tau_1']\!]}\,\text{IH1} \qquad \dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash [\![\tau_2]\!] <: [\![\tau_2']\!]}\,\text{IH2}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash ([\![\tau_1]\!] \times [\![\tau_2]\!])^{\perp} <: ([\![\tau_1']\!] \times [\![\tau_2']\!])^{\perp}}\,\text{FGsub-arrow}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash [\![(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)]\!] <: [\![(\tau_1' \times \tau_2')]\!]}\,\text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]$$

4. CGsub-sum:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash [\![\tau_1]\!] <: [\![\tau_1']\!]}\,\text{IH1} \qquad \dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash [\![\tau_2]\!] <: [\![\tau_2']\!]}\,\text{IH2}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash ([\![\tau_1]\!] + [\![\tau_2]\!])^{\perp} <: ([\![\tau_1']\!] + [\![\tau_2']\!])^{\perp}}\,\text{FGsub-arrow}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash [\![(\tau_1 + \tau_2)]\!] <: [\![(\tau_1' + \tau_2')]\!]}\,\text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]$$

5. CGsub-labeled:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash [\![\tau_1]\!] <: [\![\tau_1']\!]}\,\text{IH1} \qquad \dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{unit} <: \mathsf{unit}}\,\text{FGsub-unit}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash ([\![\tau_1]\!] + \mathsf{unit}) <: ([\![\tau_1']\!] + \mathsf{unit})}\,\text{FGsub-sum} \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_1\ \tau_1 <: \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_1'\ \tau_1'}\,\text{Given}}{\ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell_1'}\,\text{By inversion}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash ([\![\tau_1]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_1} <: ([\![\tau_1']\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_1'}}\,\text{FGsub-arrow}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash [\![\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_1\ \tau_1]\!] <: [\![\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_1'\ \tau_1']\!]}\,\text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]$$

6. CGsub-monad:

   P3:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash [\![\tau_1]\!] <: [\![\tau_1']\!]}\,\text{IH} \qquad \dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{unit} <: \mathsf{unit}}\,\text{FGsub-unit}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash ([\![\tau_1]\!] + \mathsf{unit}) <: ([\![\tau_1']\!] + \mathsf{unit})}\,\text{FGsub-sum}$$

   P2:

$$\dfrac{P3 \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau_1 <: \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i'\ \ell_o'\ \tau_1'}\,\text{Given}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \ell_o \sqsubseteq \ell_o'}\,\text{By inversion}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash ([\![\tau_1]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o} <: ([\![\tau_1']\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o'}}\,\text{FGsub-label}$$

   P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{unit} <: \mathsf{unit}} \qquad P2 \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau_1 <: \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i'\ \ell_o'\ \tau_1'}\,\text{Given}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \ell_i' \sqsubseteq \ell_i}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_i} ([\![\tau_1]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o}) <: (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_i'} ([\![\tau_1']\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o'})}\,\text{FGsub-arrow}$$

   Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P1 \qquad \dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \perp \sqsubseteq \perp}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_i} ([\![\tau_1]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o})^{\perp} <: (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_i'} ([\![\tau_1']\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o'})^{\perp}}\,\text{FGsub-label}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash [\![\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau_1]\!] <: [\![\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i'\ \ell_o'\ \tau_1']\!]}\,\text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]$$

□

1.3.3 Logical relation for CG to FG translation

Definition 1.58 ($^s\theta_2$ extends $^s\theta_1$)**.** $^s\theta_1 \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta_2 \triangleq$
$\forall a \in {}^s\theta_1.{}^s\theta_1(a) = \tau \implies {}^s\theta_2(a) = \tau$

Definition 1.59 ($\hat{\beta}_2$ extends $\hat{\beta}_1$)**.** $\hat{\beta}_1 \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_2 \triangleq$
$\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}_1.(a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}_2$

Definition 1.60 (Unary value relation)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\lfloor \mathsf{b} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv, {}^tv) \mid {}^sv \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!] \wedge {}^tv \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!] \wedge {}^sv = {}^tv\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv, {}^tv) \mid {}^sv \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!] \wedge {}^tv \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2), ({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2)) \mid \\
 & & ({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge ({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, \mathsf{inl}\ {}^sv, \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv) \mid ({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}\} \cup \\
 & & \{({}^s\theta, m, \mathsf{inr}\ {}^sv, \mathsf{inr}\ {}^tv) \mid ({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, \lambda x.e_s, \lambda x.e_t) \mid \forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^sv, {}^tv, j < m, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.({}^s\theta', j, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \\
 & & \implies ({}^s\theta', j, e_s[{}^sv/x], e_t[{}^tv/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, {}^sa, {}^ta) \mid {}^s\theta({}^sa) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \wedge ({}^sa, {}^ta) \in \hat{\beta}\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv, {}^tv) \mid \\
 & & \exists {}^sv', {}^tv'.{}^sv = \mathsf{Lb}({}^sv') \wedge {}^tv = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv' \wedge ({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv', {}^tv') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}\} \\
\lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv, {}^tv) \mid \forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_s, H_t, i, {}^sv', k \leq m, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'. \\
 & & (k, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_s, {}^sv) \Downarrow_i^f (H_s', {}^sv') \wedge i < k \implies \\
 & & \exists H_t', {}^tv'.(H_t, {}^tv()) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge \\
 & & \exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k - i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}\}
\end{array}
$$

Definition 1.61 (Unary expression relation)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, n, e_s, e_t) \mid \\
 & & \forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.e_s \Downarrow_i {}^sv \implies \\
 & & \exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, e_t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta\}
\end{array}
$$

Definition 1.62 (Unary heap well formedness)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta & \triangleq & dom({}^s\theta) \subseteq dom(H_S) \wedge \\
 & & \hat{\beta} \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta) \times dom(H_t)) \wedge \\
 & & \forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n - 1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}
\end{array}
$$

Definition 1.63 (Value substitution)**.** $\delta^s : Var \mapsto Val$, $\delta^t : Var \mapsto Val$

Definition 1.64 (Unary interpretation of Γ)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \mid dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^s) \wedge dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^t) \wedge \\
 & & \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s(x), \delta^t(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}\}
\end{array}
$$

1.3.4 Soundness proof for CG to FG translation

Lemma 1.65 (Monotonicity)**.** $\forall {}^s\theta, {}^s\theta', n, {}^sv, {}^tv, n', \beta, \beta'.$
$({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n \implies ({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Proof. Proof by induction on τ

1. Case b:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\mathsf{b}\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\mathsf{b}\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   Since $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\mathsf{b}\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from Definition 1.60 we know that ${}^sv \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!] \wedge {}^tv \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!]$

   Therefore from Definition 1.60 ${}^sv \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!] \wedge {}^tv \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!]$ we get the desired

2. Case unit:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\mathsf{unit}\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\mathsf{unit}\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   Since $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\mathsf{unit}\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from Definition 1.60 we know that ${}^sv \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!] \wedge {}^tv \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]$

   Therefore from Definition 1.60 ${}^sv \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!] \wedge {}^tv \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]$ we get the desired

3. Case $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\tau_1 \times \tau_2\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\tau_1 \times \tau_2\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   From Definition 1.60 we know that ${}^sv = ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2)$ and ${}^tv = ({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2)$.

   We also know that $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ and $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   IH1: $({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   IH2: $({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   Therefore from Definition 1.60, IH1 and IH2 we get
   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\tau_1 \times \tau_2\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

4. Case $\tau_1 + \tau_2$:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   From Definition 1.60 two cases arise

   (a) ${}^s v = \mathsf{inl}({}^s v')$ and ${}^t v = \mathsf{inl}({}^t v')$:
   IH: $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v', {}^t v') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
   Therefore from Definition 1.60 and IH we get
   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   (b) ${}^s v = \mathsf{inr}({}^s v')$ and ${}^t v = \mathsf{inr}({}^t v')$:
   Symmetric reasosning as in the previous case

5. Case $\tau_1 \to \tau_2$:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   From Definition 1.60 we know that
   $\forall {}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1, j < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'. ({}^s\theta'', j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \implies ({}^s\theta'', j, e_s[{}^s v_1/x], e_t[{}^t v_1/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (A0)

   Similarly from Definition 1.60 we are required to prove
   $\forall {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2, j < n', \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''. ({}^s\theta_1', j, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \implies ({}^s\theta_1', j, e_s[{}^s v_2/x], e_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''}$

   This means we are given some ${}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2, j < n', \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''$ s.t $({}^s\theta_1', j, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ and we are required to prove
   $({}^s\theta_1', j, e_s[{}^s v_2/x], e_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   Instantiating (A0) with ${}^s\theta_1', {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2, j, \hat{\beta}''$ since ${}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta$, $j < n' < n$ and $\hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''$ therefore we get
   $({}^s\theta_1', j, e_s[{}^s v_2/x], e_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''}$

6. Case $\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau$:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

To prove:

$({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

From Definition 1.60 we know that ${}^s v =^s a$ and ${}^t v =^t a$. We also know that
${}^s\theta({}^s a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \wedge ({}^s a, {}^t a) \in \hat{\beta}$

From Definition 1.60, Definition 1.58 and Definition 1.59 we get
$({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

7. Case $\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \ \tau$:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   From Definition 1.60 it means
   $\exists {}^s v', {}^t v'. {}^s v = \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^s v') \wedge {}^t v = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v' \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v', {}^t v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   IH: $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v', {}^t v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   Similarly from Definition 1.60 we need to prove that
   $\exists {}^s v'', {}^t v''. {}^s v = \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^s v'') \wedge {}^t v = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v'', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   We choose ${}^s v''$ as ${}^s v'$ and ${}^t v''$ as ${}^t v'$ and since from IH we know that $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v', {}^t v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
   Therefore from Definition 1.60 we get
   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

8. Case $\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau$:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   This means from Definition 1.60 we know that
   $\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_s, H_t, i, {}^s v', {}^t v', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1.$
   $(k, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}_1}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_s, {}^s v) \Downarrow_i^f (H_s', {}^s v') \wedge i < k \implies$
   $\exists {}^t v'. (H_t, {}^t v()) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}_1 \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_2. (k - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
   $\exists {}^t v''. {}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', {}^t\theta', k - i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_2} \wedge$
   $(\forall a. H_s(a) \neq H_s'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. {}^s\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
   $(\forall a \in dom({}^s\theta')/dom({}^s\theta_e). {}^s\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1) \qquad$ (CG0)

Similarly from Definition 1.60 we need to prove
$\forall {}^s\theta'_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', H'_s, H'_t, i', {}^sv'', {}^tv'', k' \leq n', \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'_1.$
$(k', H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta'_e) \wedge (H'_s, {}^sv) \Downarrow_i^f (H''_s, {}^sv'') \wedge (H'_t, {}^tv()) \Downarrow (H''_t, {}^tv'') \wedge i' < k' \implies$
$\exists {}^tv''.(H'_t, {}^tv()) \Downarrow (H''_t, {}^tv'') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_e, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'_2.(k' - i', H''_s, H''_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'' \wedge$
$\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k' - i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2} \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_s(a) \neq H'_s(a) \implies \exists \ell'.{}^s\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom({}^s\theta')/dom({}^s\theta_e).{}^s\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)$

This means we are given some ${}^s\theta'_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', H'_s, H'_t, i', {}^sv'', k' \leq n', \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'_1$ s.t $(k', H'_s, H'_t) \triangleright ({}^s\theta'_e) \wedge (H'_s, {}^sv) \Downarrow_i^f (H''_s, {}^sv'') \wedge i' < k'$

And we need to prove

$\exists {}^tv''.(H'_t, {}^tv()) \Downarrow (H''_t, {}^tv'') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_e, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'_2.(k' - i', H''_s, H''_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'' \wedge$
$\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta'', k' - i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2} \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_s(a) \neq H'_s(a) \implies \exists \ell'.{}^s\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom({}^s\theta')/dom({}^s\theta_e).{}^s\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)$

Instantiating (CG0) with ${}^s\theta'_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', H'_s, H'_t, i', {}^sv'', {}^tv'', k' \leq n', \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'_1$ we get the desired

□

Lemma 1.66 (Unary monotonicity for Γ)**.** $\forall \theta, \theta', \delta, \Gamma, n, n', \hat{\beta}, \hat{\beta}'.$
$(\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge n' < n \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \implies (\theta', n', \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Proof. Given: $(\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge n' < n \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$
To prove: $(\theta', n', \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

From Definition 1.64 it is given that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^s) \wedge dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^t) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s(x), \delta^t(x)) \in \lfloor\Gamma(x)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

And again from Definition 1.64 we are required to prove that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^s) \wedge dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^t) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta', n', \delta^s(x), \delta^t(x)) \in \lfloor\Gamma(x)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

- $dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^s) \wedge dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^t)$:
  Given
- $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta', n', \delta^s(x), \delta^t(x)) \in \lfloor\Gamma(x)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
  Since we know that $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s(x), \delta^t(x)) \in \lfloor\Gamma(x)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (given)
  Therefore from Lemma 1.65 we get
  $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta', n', \delta^s(x), \delta^t(x)) \in \lfloor\Gamma(x)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

□

Lemma 1.67 (Unary monotonicity for H)**.** $\forall {}^s\theta, H_s, H_t, n, n', \hat{\beta}, \hat{\beta}'.$
$(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge n' < n \implies (n', H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Proof. Given: $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge n' < n$

To prove: $(n', H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

From Definition 1.62 it is given that
$dom({}^s\theta) \subseteq dom(H_S) \wedge \hat{\beta} \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta) \times dom(H_t)) \wedge \forall(a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n-1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

And again from Definition 1.62 we are required to prove that
$dom({}^s\theta) \subseteq dom(H_S) \wedge \hat{\beta} \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta) \times dom(H_t)) \wedge \forall(a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n'-1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

- $dom({}^s\theta) \subseteq dom(H_S)$:
  Given
- $\hat{\beta} \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta) \times dom(H_t))$:
  Given
- $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n'-1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$:
  Since we know that $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n-1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (given)
  Therefore from Lemma 1.65 we get
  $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n'-1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

□

Theorem 1.68 (Fundamental theorem)**.** $\forall \Gamma, \tau, e, \delta^s, \delta^t, {}^s\theta, n.$
$\Gamma \vdash e_s : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t \wedge$
$({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
$\implies$
$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

Proof. Proof by induction on the $\rightsquigarrow$ relation

1. CF-var:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau \rightsquigarrow x}\ \text{CF-var}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \cup \{x \mapsto \tau\} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, x\ \delta^s, x\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 1.61 it suffices to prove that

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.x\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, x\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n, {}^sv$ s.t $x\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv$

From cg-val we know that $i = 0$, ${}^s v = x\ \delta^s$.

And we are required to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, x\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-V0)

From fg-val we know that ${}^t v = x\ \delta^t$ and $H_t' = H_t$. So we are left with proving

$({}^s\theta, n, x\ \delta^s, x\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Since we are given $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \cup \{x \mapsto \tau\} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$, therefore from Definition 1.64 we get $({}^s\theta, n, x\ \delta^s, x\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$. And we have $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ in the context. So we are done.

2. CF-lam:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e_s : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.e_s : \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \lambda x.e_t}\ \text{lam}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, (\lambda x.e_s)\ \delta^s, (\lambda x.e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 1.61 it suffices to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(\lambda x.e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, (\lambda x.e_t)\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v)({}^s\theta, n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $(\lambda x.e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$

From cg-val and fg-val we know that ${}^s v = (\lambda x.e_s)\ \delta^s$, ${}^t v = (\lambda x.e_t)\ \delta^t$, $H_t' = H_t$ and $i = 0$

It suffices to prove that

$({}^s\theta, n, (\lambda x.e_s)\ \delta^s, (\lambda x.e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

We know $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ from the context. So, we are only left to prove

$({}^s\theta, n, (\lambda x.e_s)\ \delta^s, (\lambda x.e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 1.60 it suffices to prove

$\forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^s v, {}^t v, j < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.({}^s\theta', j, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
$\implies ({}^s\theta', j, e_s[{}^s v/x], e_t[{}^t v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means that we are given ${}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^s v, {}^t v, j < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$ s.t $({}^s\theta', j, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
And we need to prove

$({}^s\theta', j, e_s[{}^s v/x]\ \delta^s, e_t[{}^t v/x]\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-L0)

Since $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from Lemma 1.66 we also have

$({}^s\theta', j, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

IH:

$({}^s\theta', j, e_s\ \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^s v_1\}, e_t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^t v_1\}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$ s.t

$({}^s\theta', j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

We get (F-L0) directly from IH

3. CF-app:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_{s1} : (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \rightsquigarrow e_{t1} \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_{s2} : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_{t2}}{\Gamma \vdash e_{s1}\ e_{s2} : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_{t1}\ e_{t2}}\ \text{app}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, (e_{s1}\ e_{s2})\ \delta^s, (e_{t1}\ e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 1.61 it suffices to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(e_{s1}\ e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, (e_{t1}\ e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This further means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $(e_{s1}\ e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$
And we need to prove

$$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, (e_{t1}\ e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \qquad \text{(F-A0)}$$

IH1:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_{s1}\ \delta^s, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 1.61 we have

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^s v_1.e_{s1}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^s v_1 \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1.(H_t, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - j, H_{s1}, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(e_{s1}\ e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_{s1}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^s v_1$.

And we have

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1.(H_t, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - j, H_{s1}, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$
(F-A1)

IH2:

$({}^s\theta, n - j, e_{s2}\ \delta^s, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 1.61 it suffices to prove

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall k < n-j, {}^s v_2.e_{s2} \Downarrow_i {}^s v_2 \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t2}) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j-k, H_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2$

Instantiating with H_s, H'_{t1} and since we know that $(e_{s1}\ e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$ therefore $\exists k < i-j < n-j$ s.t $e_{s2}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_k {}^s v_2$.

And we have

$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t2}) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j-k, H_s, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-A2)

Since from (F-A1) we know that $({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ where
${}^s v_1 = \lambda x.e'_s$ and ${}^t v_1 = \lambda x.e'_t$

From Definition 1.60 we have
$\forall {}^s\theta'_3 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^s v, {}^t v, l < n-j, \hat{\beta}_3 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta'_3, l, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_3}$
$\implies ({}^s\theta'_3, l, e'_s[{}^s v/x], e'_t[{}^t v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_3}$

Instantiating with ${}^s\theta, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2, n-j-k, \hat{\beta}$ we get
$({}^s\theta, n-j-k, e'_s[{}^s v_2/x], e'_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 1.61 we have

$\forall H_{s4}, H_{t4}.(n-j-k, H_{s4}, H_{t4}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall k' < n-j-k, {}^s v_4.e'_s[{}^s v_2/x] \Downarrow_{k'} {}^s v_4 \implies$
$\exists H'_{t4}, {}^t v_4.(H_{t4}, e'_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \Downarrow (H'_{t4}, {}^t v_4) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j-k-k', {}^s v_4, {}^t v_4) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge$
$(n-j-k-k', H_{s4}, H'_{t4}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating with H_s, H'_{t2}, from (F-A2) we know that $(n-j-k, H_s, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Instantiating ${}^s v_4$ wiht ${}^s v$ and since we know that $(e_{s1}\ e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$ therefore $\exists k' < i-j-k < n-j-k$ s.t $e'_s[{}^s v_2/x]\ \delta^s \Downarrow_{k'} {}^s v$. therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t4}, {}^t v_4.(H_{t4}, e'_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \Downarrow (H'_{t4}, {}^t v_4) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j-k-k', {}^s v, {}^t v_4) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j-k-k', H_{s4}, H'_{t4}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-A3)

Since from cg-app we know that $i = j+k+k'$ and $H'_t = H'_{t4}$, ${}^t v = {}^t v_4$ therefore we get (F-A0) from (F-A3) and Lemma 1.65 and Lemma 1.67

4. CF-prod:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_{s1} : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_{t1} \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_{s2} : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_{t2}}{\Gamma \vdash (e_{s1}, e_{s2}) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rightsquigarrow (e_{t1}, e_{t2})}\ \text{prod}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, (e_{s1}, e_{s2})\ \delta^s, (e_{t1}, e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 1.61 it suffices to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t, \hat{\beta}.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.(e_{s1}, e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, (e_{t1}, e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that we are given some $H_s, H_t, \hat{\beta}$ s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n$ s.t $(e_{s1}, e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, (e_{t1}, e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'$
(F-P0)

IH1:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_{s1}\ \delta^s, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 1.61 we have

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n.e_{s1}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv_1 \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^tv_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j, H_{s1}, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(e_{s1}, e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2)$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_{s1}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^sv_1$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^tv_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j, H_s, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$
(F-P1)

IH2:

$({}^s\theta, n-j, e_{s2}\ \delta^s, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 1.61 we have

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall k < n-j.e_{s2}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_k {}^sv_2 \implies$
$\exists H_{t2}', {}^tv_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t2}', {}^tv_2) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j-k, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j-k, H_{s2}, H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating with $H_s, H_{t1}', \hat{\beta}_1'$ and since we know that $(e_{s1}, e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2)$ therefore $\exists k < i-j < n-j$ s.t $e_{s2}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_k {}^sv_2$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H_{t2}', {}^tv_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t2}', {}^tv_2) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j-k, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j-k, H_s, H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$
(F-P2)

From cg-prod we know that $i = j + k + 1$, $H_t' = H_{t2}'$ and ${}^tv = ({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2)$ therefore from Definition 1.60 and Lemma 1.65 we get $({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

And since we have $(n-j-k, H_s, H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ therefore from Lemma 1.67 we also get

$(n-i, H_s, H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

5. CF-fst:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_s : \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{fst}(e_s) : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{fst}(e_t)}\ \text{fst}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{fst}(e_s)\ \delta^s, \mathsf{fst}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$ (F-F0)

This means from Definition 1.61 we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.\mathsf{fst}(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \mathsf{fst}(e_t)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that we are given some $H_s, H_t, \hat{\beta}$ s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n, {}^sv$ s.t $\mathsf{fst}(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \mathsf{fst}(e_t)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-F0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 1.61 we have

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^sv_1.e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j ({}^sv_1, -) \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, (e_{t1}, e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', ({}^tv_1, -)) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, ({}^sv_1, -), ({}^tv_1, -)) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge$
$(n-j, H_{s1}, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and ${}^sv_1$ with ${}^sv$ since we know that $\mathsf{fst}(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j ({}^sv, -)$.

Therefore we have
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, (e_{t1}, e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', ({}^tv_1, -)) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, ({}^sv, -), ({}^tv_1, -)) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge$
$(n-j, H_s, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-F1)

From cg-fst we know that $i = j+1$, $H_t' = H_{t1}'$ and ${}^tv = {}^tv_1$. Since we know $({}^s\theta, n-j, ({}^sv, -), ({}^tv_1, -)) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from Definition 1.60 and Lemma 1.65 we get $({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

And since from (F-F1) we have $(n-j, H_s, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ therefore from Lemma 1.67 we get $(n-i, H_s, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

6. CF-snd:

Symmetric reasoning as in the CF-fst case

7. CF-inl:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_s : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{inl}(e_s) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{inl}(e_t)} \text{ CF-inl}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{inl}(e_s)\ \delta^s, \mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 1.61 it suffices to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.\mathsf{inl}(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i \mathsf{inl}({}^s v) \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', \mathsf{inl}({}^t v)) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - i, \mathsf{inl}({}^s v), \mathsf{inl}({}^t v)) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that we are given some $H_s, H_t, \hat{\beta}$ s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $\mathsf{inl}(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i \mathsf{inl}({}^s v)$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', \mathsf{inl}({}^t v)) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - i, \mathsf{inl}({}^s v), \mathsf{inl}({}^t v)) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-IL0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 1.61 we have

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^s v_1.e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^s v_1 \implies \exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - j, {}^s v, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - j, H_{s1}, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $\mathsf{inl}(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^s v$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - j, {}^s v, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - j, H_s, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-IL1)

From cg-inl we know that $i = j + 1$ and $H_t' = H_{t1}', {}^t v = {}^t v_1$. Since we know $({}^s\theta, n - j, {}^s v, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from Definition 1.60 and Lemma 1.65 we get

$({}^s\theta, n - i, \mathsf{inl}({}^s v), \mathsf{inl}({}^t v_1)) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

And since from (F-IL1) we have $(n - j, H_s, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ therefore from Lemma 1.67 we get

$(n - i, H_s, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

8. CF-inr:

Symmetric reasoning as in the CF-inl case

9. CF-case:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_s : \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_t \qquad \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e_{s1} : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{t1} \qquad \Gamma, y : \tau_2 \vdash e_{s2} : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{t2}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2}) : \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{case}(e_t, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2})}\ \text{CF-case}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2})\ \delta^s, \mathsf{case}(e_t, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 1.61 we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.\mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{case}(e_t, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that we are given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{case}(e_t, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-C0)

IH1:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 1.61 we have

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^s v_1.e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^s v_1 \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j, H_{s1}, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $\mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^s v_1$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j, H_{s1}, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-C1)

Two cases arise:

(a) ${}^s v_1 = \mathsf{inl}({}^s v_1')$ and ${}^t v_1 = \mathsf{inl}({}^t v_1')$:
IH2:
$({}^s\theta, n-j, e_{s1}\ \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^s v_1\}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^t v_1\}) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$
From Definition 1.61 we have
$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall k < n-j, {}^s v_2.e_{s1}\ \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^s v_1\} \Downarrow_k {}^s v_2 \implies$
$\exists H_{t2}', {}^t v_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^t v_1\}) \Downarrow (H_{t2}', {}^t v_2) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j-k, H_{s2}, H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating with H_s, H'_{t1} and since we know that $\mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$ therefore $\exists k < i - j < n - j$ s.t $e_{s1}\ \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^s v_1\} \Downarrow_k {}^s v$.

Therefore we have
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^t v_1\}) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - j - k, {}^s v, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - j - k, H_s, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

From cg-case1 we know that $i = j + k + 1$ and $H'_t = H'_{t2}$, ${}^t v = {}^t v_2$. Since we know $({}^s\theta, n - j - k, {}^s v, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from Definition 1.60 and Lemma 1.65 we get $({}^s\theta, n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

And since from (F-C2) we have $(n - j - k, H_s, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ therefore from Lemma 1.67 we get $(n - i, H_s, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

(b) ${}^s v_1 = \mathsf{inr}({}^s v'_1)$ and ${}^t v_1 = \mathsf{inr}({}^t v'_1)$:
Symmetric reasoning as in the previous case

10. CF-ret:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_s : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{ret}(e_s) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)}\ \text{ret}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{ret}(e_s)\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

It means from Definition 1.61 that we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.\mathsf{ret}(e_s) \Downarrow_i {}^s v \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)) \Downarrow (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that given some $H_s, H_t, \hat{\beta}$ s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{ret}(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$

And we need to prove

$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)) \Downarrow (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

From CG-ret and FG-lam we know that $i = 0$, ${}^s v = \mathsf{ret}(e_s)\ \delta^s$, ${}^t v = \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t$ and $H'_t = H_t$.

So we need to prove

$({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{ret}(e_s)\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Since we already know $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ from the context so we are left with proving
$({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{ret}(e_s)\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 1.60 it means we need to prove

$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_s, H_t, i, {}^sv', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(k, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_s, \mathsf{ret}(e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f\ (H_s', {}^sv') \wedge i < k \implies \exists H_t', {}^tv'.(H_t, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ ())\delta^t) \Downarrow$
$(H_t', {}^tv') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

This means we are given some ${}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_s, H_t, i, {}^sv', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$ s.t

$(k, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_s, \mathsf{ret}(e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f\ (H_s', {}^sv') \wedge i < k$. Also from cg-ret we know that $H_s' = H_s$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^tv'.(H_t, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ ())\delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-R0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta_e, k, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

It means from Definition 1.61 that we need to prove

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge \forall f < k.e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_f {}^sv \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k-f, H_{s1}, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$

Instantiating H_{s1} with H_s and H_{t1} with H_t. And since we know that $(H_s, \mathsf{ret}(e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H_s', {}^sv')$ therefore $\exists f < i < k \leq n$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_f {}^sv_h$. Therefore we have

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k-f, H_s, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$ (F-R1)

In order to prove (F-R0) we choose H_t' as H_{t1}', ${}^tv'$ as $\mathsf{inl}({}^tv)$, ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta_e$, $\hat{\beta}''$ as $\hat{\beta}'$. Since from cg-ret we know that $i = f + 1$ therefore from (F-R1) and Lemma 1.67 we know that $(k-i, H_s, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$

Next we choose ${}^tv''$ as ${}^tv$ (from F-R1) and from Lemma 1.65 we get $({}^s\theta_e, k-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (we know from cg-ret that ${}^sv' = {}^sv$)

11. CF-bind:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_{s1} : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{t1} \qquad \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e_{s2} : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_3\ \ell_4\ \tau' \rightsquigarrow e_{t2} \qquad \ell_i \sqsubseteq \ell_1 \qquad \ell_i \sqsubseteq \ell_3 \qquad \ell_2 \sqsubseteq \ell_3 \qquad \ell_2 \sqsubseteq \ell_4 \qquad \ell_4 \sqsubseteq \ell_o}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2}) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau' \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{t1}(), x.e_{t2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}())}\ \text{bind}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{t1}(), x.e_{t2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}())\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau')\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

It means from Definition 1.61 that we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.\mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{t1}(), x.e_{t2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}())\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge$
$({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau')\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n, {}^sv$ s.t $\mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv$

And we need to prove
$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{t1}(), x.e_{t2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}())\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge$
$({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau')\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$
From cg-val and fg-val we know that $i = 0$, ${}^sv = \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s$,
${}^tv = \lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{t1}(), x.e_{t2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}())\ \delta^t$, $H_t' = H_t$

And we need to prove

$({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{t1}(), x.e_{t2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}())\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau')\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Since we already know $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ from the context so we are left with proving

$({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{t1}(), x.e_{t2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}())\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau')\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 1.60 it means we need to prove
$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^sv', {}^tv', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s1}, \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H_{s1}', {}^sv') \wedge i < k \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{t1}(), x.e_{t2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}()))()\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^tv') \wedge$
$\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge \exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor\tau'\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

This means we are given some ${}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^sv', {}^tv', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$ s.t
$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge (H_{s1}, \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H_{s1}', {}^sv') \wedge i < k.$

And we need to prove
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{t1}(), x.e_{t2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}()))()\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^tv') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge \exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor\tau'\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-B0)

IH1:

$({}^s\theta, k, e_{s1}\ \delta^s, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

It means from Definition 1.61 that we need to prove

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(k, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^sv_{h1}.e_{s1}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^sv_{h1} \implies$
$\exists H_{t2}', {}^tv_{h1}.(H_{t2}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t2}', {}^tv_{h1}) \wedge ({}^s\theta, k-j, {}^sv_{h1}, {}^tv_{h1}) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (k-j, H_{s2}, H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating H_{s2} with H_{s1} and H_{t2} with H_{t1}. And since we know that $(H_{s1}, \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_s, {}^s v')$ therefore $\exists j < i < k \leq n$ s.t $e_{s1}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^s v_{h1}$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_{h1}.(H_{t2}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_{h1}) \wedge ({}^s\theta, k-j, {}^s v_{h1}, {}^t v_{h1}) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (k-j, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-B1.1)

From Definition 1.60 we know have

$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s3}, H_{t3}, b, {}^s v'_{h1}, {}^t v'_{h1}, m \leq k-j, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$

$(m, H_{s3}, H_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s3}, {}^s v_{h1}) \Downarrow_b^f (H'_{s3}, {}^s v'_{h1}) \wedge b < m \implies$

$\exists H'_{t3}, {}^t v'_{h1}.(H_{t3}, {}^t v_{h1}()) \Downarrow (H'_{t3}, {}^t v'_{h1}) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(m-b, H'_{s3}, H'_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'' \wedge$

$\exists {}^t v''_{h1}.{}^t v'_{h1} = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v''_{h1} \wedge ({}^s\theta'', m-b, {}^s v'_{h1}, {}^t v''_{h1}) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

Instantiating ${}^s\theta_e$ with ${}^s\theta$, H_{s3} with H_{s1}, H_{t3} with H'_{t2}, m with $k-j$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ with $\hat{\beta}$. Since we know that $(H_{s1}, \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_s, {}^s v')$ therefore $\exists b < i-j < k-j$ s.t $(H_{s1}, {}^s v_{h1})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_b (H'_{s3}, {}^s v'_{h1})$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t3}, {}^t v'_{h1}.(H_{t3}, {}^t v_{h1}()) \Downarrow (H'_{t3}, {}^t v'_{h1}) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-j-b, H'_{s3}, H'_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'' \wedge$

$\exists {}^t v''.{}^t v'_{h1} = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v''_{h1} \wedge ({}^s\theta'', k-j-b, {}^s v'_{h1}, {}^t v''_{h1}) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-B1)

IH2:

$({}^s\theta'', k-j-b, e_{s2}\ \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^s v'_{h1}\}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^t v''_{h1}\}) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_3\ \ell_4\ \tau') \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''}$

It means from Definition 1.61 that we need to prove

$\forall H_{s4}, H_{t4}.(k, H_{s4}, H_{t4}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall c < (k-j-b), {}^s v_{h2}.e_{s2}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^s v_{h2} \implies$

$\exists H'_{t4}, {}^t v_{h2}.(H_{t4}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t4}, {}^t v_{h2}) \wedge ({}^s\theta'', k-j-b-c, {}^s v_{h2}, {}^t v_{h2}) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_3\ \ell_4\ \tau') \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''} \wedge (k-j-b-c, H_{s4}, H'_{t4}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta''$

Instantiating H_{s4} with H'_{s3} and H_{t4} with H'_{t3}. And since we know that $(H_{s1}, \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_s, {}^s v')$ therefore $\exists c < i-j-b < k-j-b$ s.t $e_{s2}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_c {}^s v_{h2}$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t4}, {}^t v_{h2}.(H_{t4}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t4}, {}^t v_{h2}) \wedge ({}^s\theta'', k-j-b-c, {}^s v_{h2}, {}^t v_{h2}) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_3\ \ell_4\ \tau') \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''} \wedge (k-j-b-c, H_{s4}, H'_{t4}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta''$ (F-B2.1)

From Definition 1.60 we know have

$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'', H_{s5}, H_{t5}, d, {}^s v'_{h2}, {}^t v'_{h2}, m \leq k-j-b-c, \hat{\beta}'' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''_1.$

$(m, H_{s5}, H_{t5}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''_1}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s5}, {}^s v_{h2}) \Downarrow_d^f (H'_{s5}, {}^s v'_{h2}) \wedge d < m \implies$

$\exists H'_{t5}, {}^t v'_{h2}.(H_{t5}, {}^t v_{h2}()) \Downarrow (H'_{t5}, {}^t v'_{h2}) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta''' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}''_1 \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''_2.(m-d, H'_{s5}, H'_{t5}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta''' \wedge$

$\exists {}^t v''_{h2}.{}^t v'_{h2} = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v''_{h2} \wedge ({}^s\theta''', m-d, {}^s v'_{h2}, {}^t v''_{h2}) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''_2}$

Instantiating ${}^s\theta_e$ with ${}^s\theta''$, H_{s5} with H'_{s3}, H_{t5} with H'_{t3}, m with $k-j-b-c$ and $\hat{\beta}''_1$ with $\hat{\beta}''$. Since we know that $(H_{s1}, \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow^f_i (H'_s, {}^sv')$ therefore $\exists d < i-j-b-c < k-j-b-c$ s.t $(H'_{s3}, {}^sv_{h2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_d (H'_{s5}, {}^sv'_{h2})$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t5}, {}^tv'_{h2}.(H_{t5}, {}^tv_{h2}()) \Downarrow (H'_{t5}, {}^tv'_{h2}) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta''' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}''_1 \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''_2.(k-j-b-c-d, H'_{s5}, H'_{t5}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta''' \wedge$
$\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv'_{h2} = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv''_{h2} \wedge ({}^s\theta''', k-j-b-c-d, {}^sv'_{h2}, {}^tv''_{h2}) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}''_2}_V$ (F-B2)

In order to prove (F-B0) we choose H'_{t1} as H'_{t5} and ${}^tv'$ as ${}^tv'_{h2}$. Next we choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta'''$ and $\hat{\beta}''$ as $\hat{\beta}''_2$ (both chosen from (F-B2)). Also from cg-bind we know that in (F-B0) H'_{s1} will be H'_{s5}.

Since $(k-j-b-c-d, H'_{s5}, H'_{t5}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'''$ therefore Lemma 1.65 we get $(k-i, H'_{s5}, H'_{t5}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'''$

Also since from (F-B2) we have $\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv'_{h2} = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv''_{h2} \wedge ({}^s\theta''', k-j-b-c-d, {}^sv'_{h2}, {}^tv''_{h2}) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}''_2}_V$

Sicne $i = j+b+c+d+1$ therefore from Lemma 1.65 we get

$\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv'_{h2} = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv''_{h2} \wedge ({}^s\theta''', k-i, {}^sv'_{h2}, {}^tv''_{h2}) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}''_2}_V$

12. CF-label:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_s : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{Lb}_\ell(e_s) : (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{inl}(e_t)}\ \text{label}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{Lb}_\ell(e_s)\ \delta^s, \mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_E$

From Definition 1.61 it suffices to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.\mathsf{Lb}_\ell(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^sv) \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^tv.(H_t, \mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_t, \mathsf{inl}({}^tv)) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^sv), \mathsf{inl}({}^tv)) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V \wedge (n-i, H_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that we are given some $H_s, H_t, \hat{\beta}$ s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{Lb}_\ell(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^sv)$.

And we need to prove

$\exists H'_t, {}^tv.(H_t, \mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_t, \mathsf{inl}({}^tv)) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^sv), \mathsf{inl}({}^tv)) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V \wedge (n-i, H_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-LB0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_E$

From Definition 1.61 we have

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^sv_1.e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^sv_1 \implies$
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V \wedge (n-j, H_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $\mathsf{Lb}_\ell(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^sv)$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^sv$.

Therefore we have

$$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V \wedge (n-j, H_s, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \qquad \text{(F-LB1)}$$

Since from (F-LB0) we are required to prove $({}^s\theta, n-i, \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^sv), \mathsf{inl}({}^tv)) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V$. Since from cg-label we know that $i = j+1$, ${}^sv = {}^sv_1$ and ${}^tv = {}^tv_1$. Therefore we get this from Definition 1.60, (F-LB1) and Lemma 1.65.

From Lemma 1.65 we get $(n-i, H_s, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

13. CF-toLabeled:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_s : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau) \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t\ ())}\ \text{toLabeled}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s)\ \delta^s, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}\ e_t())\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau))\rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_E$

It means from Definition 1.61 that we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.\mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^tv.(H_t, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}\ e_t())\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_t, {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau))\rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V \wedge$
$(n-i, H_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv$

And we need to prove

$\exists H'_t, {}^tv.(H_t, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}\ e_t())\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_t, {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau))\rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V \wedge$
$(n-i, H_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$
From cg-val and fg-val we know that $i = 0$, ${}^sv = \mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s)\ \delta^s$,
${}^tv = (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}\ e_t())\ \delta^t$, $H'_t = H_t$

And we need to prove

$$({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s)\ \delta^s, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}\ e_t())\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau))\rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$$

Since we already know $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ from the context so we are left with proving

$$({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s)\ \delta^s, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}\ e_t())\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau))\rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V$$

From Definition 1.60 it means we need to prove

$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^sv', {}^tv', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s1}, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_{s1}, {}^sv') \wedge i < k \implies$
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}\ e_t())()\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, {}^tv') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

This means we are given some ${}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^sv', {}^tv', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$ s.t

$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge (H_{s1}, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_{s1}, {}^sv') \wedge i < k.$

And we need to prove

$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}\ e_t())()\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, {}^tv') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ \tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-TL0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta, k, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

It means from Definition 1.61 that we need to prove

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(k, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^sv_{h1}.e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^sv_{h1} \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^tv_{h1}.(H_{t2}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^tv_{h1}) \wedge ({}^s\theta, k-j, {}^sv_{h1}, {}^tv_{h1}) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (k-j, H_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright}$
${}^s\theta$

Instantiating H_{s2} with H_{s1} and H_{t2} with H_{t1}. And since we know that $(H_{s1}, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f$ $(H'_s, {}^sv')$ therefore $\exists j < i < k \leq n$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^sv_{h1}$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^tv_{h1}.(H_{t2}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^tv_{h1}) \wedge ({}^s\theta, k-j, {}^sv_{h1}, {}^tv_{h1}) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (k-j, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright}$
${}^s\theta$ (F-TL1.1)

From Definition 1.60 we know have

$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s3}, H_{t3}, b, {}^sv'_{h1}, {}^tv'_{h1}, m \leq k-j, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(m, H_{s3}, H_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s3}, {}^sv_{h1}) \Downarrow_b^f (H'_{s3}, {}^sv'_{h1}) \wedge b < m \implies$
$\exists H'_{t3}, {}^tv'_{h1}.(H_{t3}, {}^tv_{h1}\ ()) \Downarrow (H'_{t3}, {}^tv'_{h1}) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(m-b, H'_{s3}, H'_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'' \wedge$
$\exists {}^tv''_{h1}.{}^tv'_{h1} = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv''_{h1} \wedge ({}^s\theta'', m-b, {}^sv'_{h1}, {}^tv''_{h1}) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

Instantiating ${}^s\theta_e$ with ${}^s\theta$, H_{s3} with H_{s1}, H_{t3} with H'_{t2}, m with $k-j$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ with $\hat{\beta}$. Since we know that $(H_{s1}, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_s, {}^sv')$ therefore $\exists b < i-j < k-j$ s.t $(H_{s1}, {}^sv_{h1})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_b (H'_{s3}, {}^sv'_{h1})$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t3}, {}^tv'_{h1}.(H_{t3}, {}^tv_{h1}\ ()) \Downarrow (H'_{t3}, {}^tv'_{h1}) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-j-b, H'_{s3}, H'_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'' \wedge$
$\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv'_{h1} = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv''_{h1} \wedge ({}^s\theta'', k-j-b, {}^sv'_{h1}, {}^tv''_{h1}) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-TL1)

In order to prove (F-TL0) we choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta''$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}''$ (both chosen from (F-TL2))

Also from cg-toLabeled and fg-inl, fg-app we know that $H'_s = H'_{s3}$ and $H'_t = H'_{t3}$, and ${}^sv' = {}^sv'_{h1}$, ${}^tv' = {}^tv'_{h1}$

Therefore we get the desired from (F-TL1) and Lemma 1.65

14. CF-unlabel:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_s : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}(e_s) : \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ \tau \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.e_t}\ \text{unlabel}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{unlabel}(e_s)\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.e_t\ \delta^t \in \lfloor\mathbb{C}\ \top\ (\ell)\ \tau\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

It means from Definition 1.61 that we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.\mathsf{unlabel}(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^tv.(H_t, \lambda\_.e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_t, {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\mathbb{C}\ \top\ (\ell)\ \tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n, {}^sv$ s.t $\mathsf{unlabel}(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv$

And we need to prove

$\exists H'_t, {}^tv.(H_t, \lambda\_.e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_t, {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\mathbb{C}\ \top\ (\ell)\ \tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$
From cg-val and fg-val we know that $i = 0$, ${}^sv = \mathsf{unlabel}(e_s)\ \delta^s$, ${}^tv = \lambda\_.e_t\ \delta^t$, $H'_t = H_t$

And we need to prove

$({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\mathbb{C}\ \top\ (\ell)\ \tau\ \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Since we already know $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ from the context so we are left with proving

$({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{unlabel}(e_s)\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\mathbb{C}\ \top\ (\ell)\ \tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 1.60 it means we need to prove
$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^sv', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s1}, \mathsf{unlabel}(e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_{s1}, {}^sv') \wedge i < k \implies$
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.e_t)()\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, {}^tv') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

This means we are given some ${}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^sv', {}^tv', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$ s.t

$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge (H_{s1}, \mathsf{unlabel}(e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_{s1}, {}^sv') \wedge i < k.$

And we need to prove

$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.e_t)()\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, {}^tv') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-U0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta_e, k, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

It means from Definition 1.61 that we need to prove

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(k, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge \forall f < k, {}^s v_h.e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_f {}^s v_h \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_h.(H_{t2}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_h) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f, {}^s v_h, {}^t v_h) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k-f, H_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$

Instantiating H_{s2} with H_{s1} and H_{t2} with H_{t1}. And since we know that $(H_{s1}, \mathsf{unlabel}(e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_s, {}^s v')$ therefore $\exists f < i < k \leq n$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_f {}^s v_h$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_h.(H_{t2}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_h) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f, {}^s v_h, {}^t v_h) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k-f, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$ (F-U1)

In order to prove (F-U0) we choose H'_{t1} as H'_{t2}, ${}^t v'$ as ${}^t v_h$, ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta_e$ and $\hat{\beta}''$ as $\hat{\beta}'$

From cg-unlabel and fg-app we also know that $H'_{s1} = H_{s1}$ and $H'_{t1} = H'_{t2}$

We need to prove

(a) $(k-i, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$:

Since from (F-U1) we know that $(k-f, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$

Therefore from Lemma 1.67 we also get $(k-i, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$

(b) $\exists {}^t v''.{}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
Since from (F-U1) we have
$({}^s\theta_e, k-f, {}^s v_h, {}^t v_h) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means from Definition 1.60 we know that
$\exists {}^s v_i, {}^t v_i.{}^s v_h = \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^s v_i) \wedge {}^t v_h = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v_i \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f-1, {}^s v_i, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-U2)

Since we know that ${}^t v' = {}^t v_h$ and since from (F-U2) we have ${}^t v_h = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v_i$. Therefore from we choose ${}^t v''$ as ${}^t v_i$ to get the first conjunct
From cg-unlabel we know that ${}^s v = {}^s v_i$ and since we know that $({}^s\theta_e, k-f-1, {}^s v_i, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor\tau\ \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
Therefore from Lemma 1.65 we also get $({}^s\theta_e, k-i, {}^s v_i, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor\tau\ \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

15. CF-ref:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_s : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{new}\ e_s : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ (e_t))}\ \text{ref}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor\Gamma\ \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{new}\ e_s\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ (e_t))\ \delta^t \in \lfloor\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

It means from Definition 1.61 that we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.\mathsf{new}\ e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ (e_t))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell \perp (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $\mathsf{new}\ e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$

From cg-val and fg-val we know that $i = 0$, ${}^s v = \mathsf{new}\ e_s\ \delta^s$, ${}^t v = \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ (e_t))\ \delta^t$, $H_t' = H_t$

And we need to prove

$({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{new}\ e_s\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ (e_t))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell \perp (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Since we already know $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ from the context so we are left with proving

$({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{new}\ e_s\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ (e_t))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell \perp (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 1.60 it means we need to prove
$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^s v', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s1}, \mathsf{new}\ e_s\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H_{s1}', {}^s v') \wedge i < k \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ e_t))()\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^t v''.{}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

This means we are given some ${}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^s v', {}^t v', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$ s.t

$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge (H_{s1}, \mathsf{new}\ (e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H_{s1}', {}^s v') \wedge i < k.$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ e_t))()\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^t v''.{}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-N0)
From cg-ref we know that ${}^s v' = a_s$ and from fg-ref, fg-inl we know that ${}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ a_t$.

IH:

$({}^s\theta_e, k, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

It means from Definition 1.61 that we need to prove

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(k, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge \forall f < k, {}^s v_h.e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_f {}^s v_h \implies$
$\exists H_{t2}', {}^t v_h.(H_{t2}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t2}', {}^t v_h) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f, {}^s v_h, {}^t v_h) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k-f, H_{s2}, H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$

Instantiating H_{s2} with H_{s1} and H_{t2} with H_{t1}. And since we know that $(H_{s1}, \mathsf{new}\ (e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H_s', {}^s v')$ therefore $\exists f < i < k \leq n$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_f {}^s v_h$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_h.(H_{t2}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_h) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f, {}^s v_h, {}^t v_h) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k-f, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$ (F-N1)

In order to prove (F-N0) we choose H'_{t1} as $H'_{t2} \cup \{a_t \mapsto {}^t v_h\}$, ${}^t v$ as a_t, ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta_n$ where ${}^s\theta_n = {}^s\theta_e \cup \{a_s \mapsto (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\}$

And we choose $\hat{\beta}''$ as $\hat{\beta}_n$ where $\hat{\beta}_n = \hat{\beta}' \cup \{(a_s, a_t)\}$

From cg-ref and fg-ref we also know that $H'_{s1} = H_{s1} \cup \{a_s \mapsto {}^s v_h\}$

We need to prove

(a) $(k-i, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}_n}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_n$:
From Definition 1.62 it suffices to prove that

- $dom({}^s\theta_n) \subseteq dom(H'_{s1})$:
  Since $dom({}^s\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H_{s1})$ (given that we have $(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$)
  And since we know that
  ${}^s\theta_n = {}^s\theta_e \cup \{a_s \mapsto (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\}$ and $H'_{s1} = H_{s1} \cup \{a_s \mapsto {}^s v_h\}$
  Therefore we get $dom({}^s\theta_n) \subseteq dom(H'_{s1})$
- $\hat{\beta}_n \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta_n) \times dom(H'_{t1}))$:
  Since $\hat{\beta}' \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta_e) \times dom(H_{t1}))$ (given that we have $(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$)
  And since we know that
  ${}^s\theta_n = {}^s\theta_e \cup \{a_s \mapsto (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\}$, $H'_{t1} = H_{t1} \cup \{a_t \mapsto {}^t v_h\}$ and $\hat{\beta}_n = \hat{\beta}' \cup \{(a_s, a_t)\}$
  Therefore we get $\hat{\beta}_n \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta_n) \times dom(H'_{t1}))$
- $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}_n.({}^s\theta_n, k-i-1, H'_{s1}(a_1), H'_{t1}(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta_n(a)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_n}$:
  $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}_n$
  - $(a_1, a_2) = (a_s, a_t)$:
    Since from (F-N1) we know that $({}^s\theta_e, k-f, {}^s v_h, {}^t v_h) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
    From Lemma 1.65 we get $({}^s\theta_n, k-i-1, {}^s v_h, {}^t v_h) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_n}$
  - $(a_1, a_2) \neq (a_s, a_t)$:
    Since we have $(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$ therefore
    from Definition 1.62 we get
    $({}^s\theta_e, k-1, H_{s1}(a_1), H_{t1}(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta_e(a_1)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
    From Lemma 1.65 we get
    $({}^s\theta_n, k-i-1, H_{s1}(a_1), H_{t1}(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta_n(a_1)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

(b) $\exists {}^t v''.{}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta_n, k-i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_n}$:
We choose ${}^t v''$ as ${}^t v_h$ from (F-N1), fg-inl and fg-ref we know that ${}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v_h$

In order to prove $({}^s\theta_n, k-i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_n}$, from Definition 1.60 it suffices to prove that
${}^s\theta_n(a_s) = (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau) \wedge (a_s, a_t) \in \hat{\beta}_n$

We get this by construction of ${}^s\theta_n$ and $\hat{\beta}_n$

16. CF-deref:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_s : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Gamma \vdash !e_s : \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)}\ \text{deref}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, !e_s\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

It means from Definition 1.61 that we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.!e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n$ s.t $!e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

From cg-val and fg-val we know that $i = 0$, ${}^sv = !e_s\ \delta^s$, ${}^tv = \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t$, $H_t' = H_t$

And we need to prove

$({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Since we already know $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ from the context so we are left with proving

$({}^s\theta, n, !e_s\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 1.60 it means we need to prove
$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^sv', {}^tv', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s1}, !e_s\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H_{s1}', {}^sv') \wedge i < k \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t))()\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^tv') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

This means we are given some ${}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^sv', {}^tv', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$ s.t

$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge (H_{s1}, !(e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H_{s1}', {}^sv') \wedge i < k.$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t))()\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^tv') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-D0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta_e, k, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

It means from Definition 1.61 that we need to prove

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(k, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge \forall f < k, {}^sv_h.e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_f {}^sv_h \implies$

$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^tv_h.(H_{t2}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^tv_h) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f, {}^sv_h, {}^tv_h) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k-f, H_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$

Instantiating H_{s2} with H_{s1} and H_{t2} with H_{t1}. And since we know that $(H_{s1}, !e_s\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_s, {}^sv')$ therefore $\exists f < i < k \leq n$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_f {}^sv_h$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^tv_h.(H_{t2}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^tv_h) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f, {}^sv_h, {}^tv_h) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k-f, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$ (F-D1)

In order to prove (F-D0) we choose H'_{t1} as H'_{t2}, ${}^tv'_1$ as $H'_{t2}(a)$ (where ${}^tv_h = a_t$ from fg-deref), ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta_e$ and we choose $\hat{\beta}''$ as $\hat{\beta}'$.

From cg-deref we also know that $H'_{s1} = H_{s1}$

We need to prove

(a) $(k-i, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$:

Since from (F-D1) we have $(k-f, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$ and since $f < i$ threfore from Lemma 1.67 we get $(k-i, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$

(b) $\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
Since from cg-deref and fg-deref we know that ${}^sv_h = a_s$ and ${}^tv_h = a_t$.
Therefore from (F-D1) and from Definition 1.60 we know that
${}^s\theta_e(a_s) = (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \wedge (a_s, a_t) \in \hat{\beta}'$

Since from (F-D1) we know that $(k-f, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$ which means from Definition 1.62 we know that
$({}^s\theta, k-f-1, H_{s1}(a_s), H'_{t2}(a_t)) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-D2)

This means from Definition 1.60 we know that
$\exists {}^sv_i, {}^tv_i.H_{s1}(a_s) = \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^sv_i) \wedge H'_{t2}(a_t) = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv_i \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f-1, {}^sv_i, {}^tv_i) \in \lfloor\tau\ \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

We choose ${}^tv''$ as ${}^tv_i$ and we know that ${}^tv' = H'_{t2}(a_t) = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv_i$. This proves the first conjunct.

Since from (F-D2) we have $({}^s\theta, k-f-1, H_{s1}(a_s), H'_{t2}(a_t)) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ therefore from Lemma 1.65 we get
$({}^s\theta, k-i-1, H_{s1}(a_s), H'_{t2}(a_t)) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
This proves the second conjunct.

17. CF-assign:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_{s1} : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{t1} \qquad \Gamma \vdash e_{s2} : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{t2} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Gamma \vdash e_{s1} := e_{s2} : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ \mathsf{unit} \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{t1} := e_{t2})}\ \text{assign}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, (e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{t1} := e_{t2})\ \delta^t \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ \mathsf{unit}\ \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

It means from Definition 1.61 that we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.(e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{t1} := e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ \mathsf{unit}\ \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n, {}^sv$ s.t $(e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{t1} := e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ \mathsf{unit}\ \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

From cg-val and fg-val we know that $i = 0$, ${}^sv = (e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s$, ${}^tv = \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{t1} := e_{t2})\ \delta^t$, $H_t' = H_t$

And we need to prove

$({}^s\theta, n, (e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{t1} := e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ \mathsf{unit}\ \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Since we already know $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ from the context so we are left with proving

$({}^s\theta, n, (e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{t1} := e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \bot\ \mathsf{unit}\ \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 1.60 it means we need to prove
$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^sv', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s1}, (e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H_{s1}', {}^sv') \wedge i < k \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{t1} := e_{t2})()\ \delta^t)) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^tv') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k - i, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge \exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k - i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

This means we are given some ${}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^sv', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$ s.t

$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge (H_{s1}, (e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H_{s1}', {}^sv') \wedge i < k.$

And we need to prove
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{t1} := e_{t2})()\ \delta^t)) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^tv') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.$
$(k - i, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge \exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k - i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-S0)

IH1:

$({}^s\theta_e, k, e_{s1}\ \delta^s, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

It means from Definition 1.61 that we need to prove

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(k, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge \forall f < k, {}^sv_{h1}.e_{s1}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_f {}^sv_{h1} \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^tv_{h1}.(H_{t2}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^tv_{h1}) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f, {}^sv_{h1}, {}^tv_{h1}) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k-f, H_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$

Instantiating H_{s2} with H_{s1} and H_{t2} with H_{t1}. And since we know that $(H_{s1}, e_{s1} := e_{s2}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_s, {}^sv')$ therefore $\exists f < i < k \leq n$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_f {}^sv_{h1}$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^tv_{h1}.(H_{t2}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^tv_{h1}) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f, {}^sv_{h1}, {}^tv_{h1}) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k-f, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$ (F-S1)

IH2:

$({}^s\theta_e, k-f, e_{s2}\ \delta^s, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

It means from Definition 1.61 that we need to prove

$\forall H_{s3}, H_{t3}.(k, H_{s3}, H_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge \forall l < k-f, {}^sv_{h2}.e_{s2}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_l {}^sv_{h2} \implies$
$\exists H'_{t3}, {}^tv_{h2}.(H_{t3}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t3}, {}^tv_{h2}) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f-l, {}^sv_{h2}, {}^tv_{h2}) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k-f-l, H_{s3}, H'_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$

Instantiating H_{s3} with H_{s1} and H_{t3} with H'_{t2}. And since we know that $(H_{s1}, e_{s1} := e_{s2}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_s, {}^sv')$ therefore $\exists l < i-f < k-f$ s.t $e_{s2}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_l {}^sv_{h2}$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t3}, {}^tv_{h2}.(H_{t3}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t3}, {}^tv_{h2}) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f-l, {}^sv_{h2}, {}^tv_{h2}) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k-f-l, H_{s1}, H'_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$ (F-S2)

In order to prove (F-S0) we choose H'_{t1} as $H'_{t3}[a_t \mapsto {}^tv_{h3}]$, ${}^tv'$ as $()$, ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta_e$ and $\hat{\beta}''$ as $\hat{\beta}'$
From cg-assign and fg-assign we also know that ${}^sv_{h2} = a_s$, ${}^tv_{h2} = a_t$, $H'_{s1} = H_{s1}[a_s \mapsto {}^sv_{h3}]$ and $H'_{t1} = H'_{t3}[a_t \mapsto {}^tv_{h3}]$
We need to prove

(a) $(k-i, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$:
From Definition 1.62 it suffices to prove that

- $dom({}^s\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H'_{s1})$:
  Since $dom({}^s\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H_{s1})$ (given that we have $(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$)
  And since $dom(H_{s1}) = dom(H'_{s1})$ therefore we also get $dom({}^s\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H'_{s1})$
- $\hat{\beta}' \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta_e) \times dom(H'_{t1}))$:
  Since $\hat{\beta}' \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta_e) \times dom(H_{t1}))$ (given that we have $(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$)
  And since $dom(H_{t1}) \subseteq dom(H'_{t1})$ therefore we also have $\hat{\beta}' \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta_e) \times dom(H'_{t1}))$

- $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}'.({}^s\theta_e, k-i-1, H'_{s1}(a_1), H'_{t1}(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta_e(a_1) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
  $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}_n$
  - $(a_1, a_2) = (a_s, a_t)$:
    Since from (F-S2) we know that $({}^s\theta_e, k-f-l, {}^s v_{h2}, {}^t v_{h2}) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
    From Lemma 1.65 we get $({}^s\theta_e, k-i-1, {}^s v_{h2}, {}^t v_{h2}) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
  - $(a_1, a_2) \neq (a_s, a_t)$:
    Since we have $(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$ therefore
    from Definition 1.62 we get
    $({}^s\theta_e, k-1, H_{s1}(a_1), H_{t1}(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta_e(a_1) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
    From Lemma 1.65 we get
    $({}^s\theta_n, k-i-1, H_{s1}(a_1), H_{t1}(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta_e(a_1) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

(b) $\exists {}^t v''. {}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_n}$:
We choose ${}^t v''$ as () from (F-S1), fg-inl and fg-assign we know that ${}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ ()$

To prove: $({}^s\theta_n, k-i, (), ()) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_n}$,
We get this directly from Definition 1.60

□

Lemma 1.69 (Subtyping)**.** *The following holds:*
$\forall, \tau, \tau'.$

1. $\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \implies \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau')\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
2. $\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \implies \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau')\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

Proof. Proof of Statement (1)
Proof by induction on $\tau <: \tau'$

1. CGsub-arrow:
   Given:
   $$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1' <: \tau_1 \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \to \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \to \tau_2'}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor((\tau_1 \to \tau_2))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor((\tau_1' \to \tau_2'))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   It suffices to prove: $\forall({}^s\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ )\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' \to \tau_2'))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   This means that given some ${}^s\theta, n$ and $\lambda x.e_i$ s.t $({}^s\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 \to \tau_2))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
   Therefore from Definition 1.60 we are given:
   $\forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^s v, {}^t v, j < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
   $({}^s\theta', j, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \implies ({}^s\theta', j, e_s[{}^s v/x], e_t[{}^t v/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (S-A0)

   And it suffices to prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' \to \tau_2'))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Again from Definition 1.60 it suffices to prove:
$\forall {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1, k < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1'.$
$({}^s\theta_1', k, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'} \implies ({}^s\theta_1', k, e_s[{}^sv_1/x], e_t[{}^tv_1/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

This means that given some ${}^s\theta_1' \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1, k < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1'$ s.t $({}^s\theta_1', k, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
And we are required to prove: $({}^s\theta_1', k, e_s[{}^sv_1/x], e_t[{}^tv_1/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

IH: $\lfloor (\tau_1') \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'} \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_1) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (Statement (1))
$\lfloor (\tau_2) \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'} \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_2') \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (Sub-A0, From Statement (2))

Instantiating (S-A0) with ${}^s\theta_1', {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1, k, \hat{\beta}_1'$
Since $({}^s\theta_1', k, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from IH1 we know that $({}^s\theta_1', k, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
As a result we get
$({}^s\theta_1', k, e_s[{}^sv_1/x], e_t[{}^tv_1/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$
From (Sub-A0), we know that
$({}^s\theta_1', k, e_s[{}^sv_1/x], e_t[{}^tv_1/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

2. CGsub-prod:
Given:
$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'}$$

To prove: $\lfloor ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2)) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2')) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

IH1: $\lfloor (\tau_1) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_1') \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement (1))
IH2: $\lfloor (\tau_2) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_2') \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement (1))
It suffices to prove:
$\forall ({}^s\theta, n, ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2), ({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2)) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2)) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2), ({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2)) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2')) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means that given $({}^s\theta, n, ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2), ({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2)) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2)) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
Therefore from Definition 1.60 we are given:
$({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (S-P0)

And it suffices to prove: $({}^s\theta, ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2), ({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2)) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2')) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Again from Definition 1.60, it suffices to prove:
$({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Since from (S-P0) we know that $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from IH1 we have $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Similarly since from (S-P0) we have $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from IH2 we get $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

3. CGsub-sum:

   Given:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor ((\tau_1 + \tau_2)) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor ((\tau_1' + \tau_2')) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   IH1: $\lfloor (\tau_1) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_1') \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement (1))

   IH2: $\lfloor (\tau_2) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_2') \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement (1))

   It suffices to prove: $\forall ({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 + \tau_2)) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1' + \tau_2')\ ) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   This means that given: $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 + \tau_2)) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   And it suffices to prove: $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1' + \tau_2')) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   2 cases arise

   (a) ${}^s v = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^s v_i$ and ${}^t v = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v_i$:
   From Definition 1.60 we are given:
   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v_i, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (S-S0)
   And we are required to prove that:
   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v_i, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor \tau_1'\ \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
   From (S-S0) and IH1 we get
   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v_i, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor \tau_1' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   (b) ${}^s v = \mathsf{inr}\ {}^s v_i$ and ${}^t v = \mathsf{inr}\ {}^t v_i$:
   Symmetric reasoning

4. CGsub-label:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau <: \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor ((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor ((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ '\tau')) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   IH: $\lfloor (\tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor (\tau') \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement (1))

   It suffices to prove:

   $\forall ({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor ((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor ((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau')) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   This means that given some $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor ((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
   Therefore from Definition 1.60 we are given:

$\exists {}^s v', {}^t v'. {}^s v = \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^s v') \wedge {}^t v = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v' \wedge ({}^s\theta, m, {}^s v', {}^t v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (S-L0)

And we are required to prove that

$({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor ((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau')) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 1.60 it suffices to prove

$\exists {}^s v', {}^t v'. {}^s v = \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^s v') \wedge {}^t v = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v' \wedge ({}^s\theta, m, {}^s v', {}^t v') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

We get this directly from (S-L0) and IH

5. CGsub-CG:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell_1' \sqsubseteq \ell_1 \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell_2 \sqsubseteq \ell_2'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau <: \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1'\ \ell_2'\ \tau'}$$

To prove: $\lfloor ((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_2\ \tau)) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor ((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1'\ \ell_2'\ \tau')) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

It suffices to prove:

$\forall ({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor ((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau)\ ) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor ((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1'\ \ell_2'\ \tau')) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means that given $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor ((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau)) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Therefore from Definition 1.60 we are given:

$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_s, H_t, i, {}^s v', k \leq m, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$

$(k, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_s, {}^s v) \Downarrow_i^f (H_s', {}^s v') \wedge i < k \implies$

$\exists H_t', {}^t v'. (H_t, {}^t v()) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''. (k - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$

$\exists {}^t v''. {}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k - i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (S-M0)

And we are required to prove

$({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor ((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1'\ \ell_2'\ \tau')) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

So again from Definition 1.60 we need to prove

$\forall {}^s\theta_{e1} \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i_1, {}^s v_1', k_1 \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1'.$

$(k_1, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_{e1}) \wedge (H_{s1}, {}^s v) \Downarrow_{i_1}^f (H_{s1}', {}^s v_1') \wedge i_1 < k_1 \implies$

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1'. (H_{t1}, {}^t v()) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_{e1}, \hat{\beta}_1' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1''. (k_1 - i_1, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$

$\exists {}^t v_1''. {}^t v_1' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v_1'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k_1 - i_1, {}^s v_1', {}^t v_1'') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1''}$

This means we are given some ${}^s\theta_{e1} \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i_1, {}^s v_1', k_1 \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1'$ s.t $(k_1, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright}$ $({}^s\theta_{e1}) \wedge (H_{s1}, {}^s v_1) \Downarrow_{i_1}^f (H_{s1}', {}^s v_1') \wedge i_1 < k_1$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1'. (H_{t1}, {}^t v_1()) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_{e1}, \hat{\beta}_1' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1''. (k_1 - i_1, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$

$\exists {}^t v_1''. {}^t v_1' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v_1'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k_1 - i_1, {}^s v_1', {}^t v_1'') \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1''}$

We instantiate (S-M0) with ${}^s\theta_{e1}, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i_1, {}^sv'_1, k_1, \hat{\beta}'_1$ we get

$\exists H'_t, {}^tv'.(H_t, {}^tv()) \Downarrow (H'_t, {}^tv') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_{e1}, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

IH: $\lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau')\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}\hat{\beta}''$ (Statement (1))

Since we have $({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ therefore from IH we get $({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor\tau'\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

6. CGsub-base:

   Trivial

Proof of Statement(2)

It suffice to prove that

$\forall({}^s\theta, n, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor(\tau')\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means that we are given $({}^s\theta, n, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 1.61 it means we have

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.e_s \Downarrow_i {}^sv \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^tv.(H_t, e_t) \Downarrow (H'_t, {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (Sub-E0)

And we need to prove

$({}^s\theta, n, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor(\tau')\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 1.61 we need to prove

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^sv_1.e_s \Downarrow_j {}^sv_1 \implies$
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_t) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor\tau'\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j, H_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This further means that given H_{s1}, H_{t1} s.t $(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $j < n, {}^sv_1$ s.t $e_s \Downarrow_j {}^sv_1$

And it suffices to prove that

$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_t) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor\tau'\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j, H_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating (Sub-E0) with the given H_{s1}, H_{t1} and $j < n, {}^sv_1$. We get

$\exists H'_t, {}^tv.(H_{t1}, e_t) \Downarrow (H'_t, {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j, H_{s1}, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Since we have $({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from Statement(1) we get $({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\tau'\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

□

Theorem 1.70 (Deriving CG NI via compilation)**.** $\forall e_s, {}^sv_1, {}^sv_2, {}^sv'_1, {}^sv'_2, n_1, n_2, H'_{s1}, H'_{s2}$.
let $\mathsf{bool} = (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})$.
$x : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \vdash e_s : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \wedge$
$\emptyset \vdash {}^sv_1 : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \wedge \emptyset \vdash {}^sv_2 : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \wedge$
$(\emptyset, e_s[{}^sv_1/x]) \Downarrow^f_{n_1} (H'_{s1}, {}^sv'_1) \wedge$

$(\emptyset, e_s[{}^s v_2/x]) \Downarrow^f_{n_2} (H'_{s2}, {}^s v'_2)$
$\implies$
${}^s v'_1 = {}^s v'_2$

Proof. From the CG to FG translation we know that $\exists e_t$ s.t
$x : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \vdash e_s : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \rightsquigarrow e_t$
Similarly we also know that $\exists {}^t v_1, {}^t v_2$ s.t
$\emptyset \vdash {}^s v_1 : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \rightsquigarrow {}^t v_1$ and $\emptyset \vdash {}^s v_2 : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \rightsquigarrow {}^t v_2$ (NI-0)

From type preservation theorem we know that
$x : ((\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top \vdash_\top e_t : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\bot} ((\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\bot)^\bot$
$\emptyset \vdash_\top {}^t v_1 : ((\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top$
$\emptyset \vdash_\top {}^t v_2 : ((\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top$ (NI-1)

Since we have $\emptyset \vdash {}^s v_1 : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \rightsquigarrow {}^t v_1$
And since ${}^s v_1$ and ${}^t v_1$ are closed terms (from given and NI-1)
Therefore from Theorem 1.68 we have (we choose n s.t $n > n_1$ and $n > n_2$)
$(\emptyset, n, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \rfloor^\emptyset_E$ (NI-2)
And therefore from Definition 1.64 and (NI-2) we have
$(\emptyset, n, (x \mapsto {}^s v_1), (x \mapsto {}^t v_1)) \in \lfloor x \mapsto \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \rfloor^\emptyset_V$

From (NI-0) we know that $x : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \vdash e_s : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \rightsquigarrow e_t$
Therefore we can apply Theorem 1.68 to get
$(\emptyset, n, e_s[{}^s v_1/x], e_t[{}^t v_1/x]) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \rfloor^\emptyset_E$ (NI-3.1)
Applying Definition 1.61 on (NI-3.1) we get

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat\beta}{\triangleright} \emptyset \wedge \forall i < n. e_s[{}^s v_1/x] \Downarrow_i {}^s v \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v.(H_{t2}, e_t[{}^t v_1/x]) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v) \wedge (\emptyset, n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \rfloor^{\hat\beta}_V \wedge (n-i, H_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat\beta}{\triangleright} \emptyset$

Instantiating with $\emptyset, \emptyset$. From cg-val we know that $i = 0$ and ${}^s v = e_s[{}^s v_1/x]$.

Therefore we have
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v.(H_{t2}, e_t[{}^t v_1/x]) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v) \wedge (\emptyset, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \rfloor^{\hat\beta}_V \wedge (n, H_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat\beta}{\triangleright} \emptyset$

From translation and from (NI-1) we know that ${}^t v = e_t[{}^t v_1/x] = \lambda\_.e_{b1}$ and therefore from fg-val we have $H'_{t2} = \emptyset$

Therefore we have
$(\emptyset, n, e_s[{}^s v_1/x], \lambda\_.e_{b1}) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \rfloor^\emptyset_V$

Expanding $(\emptyset, n, e_s[{}^s v_1/x], \lambda\_.e_{b1}) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \rfloor^\emptyset_V$ using Definition 1.60 we get

$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq \emptyset, H_{s3}, H_{t3}, i, {}^s v'', k \leq n, \emptyset \sqsubseteq \hat\beta'.$
$(k, H_{s3}, H_{t3}) \overset{\hat\beta'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s3}, e_s[{}^s v_1/x]) \Downarrow^f_i (H'_{s1}, {}^s v''_1) \wedge i < k \implies$
$\exists H''_{t1}, {}^t v'', (H_{t3}, (\lambda\_.e_{b1})()) \Downarrow (H''_{t1}, {}^t v''_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat\beta' \sqsubseteq \hat\beta''.(k-i, H'_{s1}, H''_{t1}) \overset{\hat\beta''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge \exists {}^t v'''_1.{}^t v''_1 = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'''_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^s v''_1, {}^t v'''_1) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool} \rfloor^{\hat\beta''}_V$

Instantiating with $\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, n_1, {}^s v'_1, n, \emptyset$ we get

$\exists H''_{t1}, {}^t v''.(\emptyset, (\lambda\_.e_{b1})()) \Downarrow (H''_{t1}, {}^t v''_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq \emptyset, \emptyset \sqsubseteq \hat\beta''.(n-n_1, H'_{s1}, H''_{t1}) \overset{\hat\beta''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge \exists {}^t v'''_1.{}^t v''_1 = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'''_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-n_1, {}^s v'_1, {}^t v'''_1) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool} \rfloor^{\hat\beta''}_V$ (NI-3.2)

Since we have $\exists {}^t v'''_1.{}^t v''_1 = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'''_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-n_1, {}^s v'_1, {}^t v'''_1) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit}) \rfloor^{\hat\beta''}_V$, therefore from Definition 1.60 we know that 2 cases arise

- ${}^s v_1' = \mathsf{inl}^s v_{i1}'$ and ${}^t v_1''' = \mathsf{inl}^t v_{i1}'$:
  And from Definition 1.60 we know that
  $({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v_{i1}', {}^t v_{i1}') \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$
  which means ${}^s v_{i1}' = {}^t v_{i1}' = ()$
- ${}^s v_1' = \mathsf{inr}^s v_{i1}'$ and ${}^t v_1''' = \mathsf{inr}^t v_{i1}'$:
  Same reasoning as in the previous case

Thus no matter which case occurs we have ${}^s v_1' = {}^t v_1'''$ (NI-3.3)

Similarly we can apply Theorem 1.68 with the other substitution to get
$(\emptyset, n, e_s[{}^s v_2/x], e_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C} \perp \perp \mathsf{bool} \rfloor_E^{\emptyset}$ (NI-4.1)

Applying Definition 1.61 on (NI-4.1) we get

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} \emptyset \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v_s.e_s[{}^s v_2/x] \Downarrow_i {}^s v_s \implies \exists H_{t2}', {}^t v_s.(H_{t2}, e_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \Downarrow (H_{t2}', {}^t v_s) \wedge (\emptyset, n - i, {}^s v_s, {}^t v_s) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C} \perp \perp \mathsf{bool} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_{s2}, H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} \emptyset$

Instantiating with $\emptyset, \emptyset$. From cg-val we know that $i = 0$ and ${}^s v_s = e_s[{}^s v_2/x]$.

Therefore we have
$\exists H_{t2}', {}^t v_s.(H_{t2}, e_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \Downarrow (H_{t2}', {}^t v_s) \wedge (\emptyset, n, {}^s v_s, {}^t v_s) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C} \perp \perp \mathsf{bool} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_{s2}, H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} \emptyset$

Also from (NI-1) and from translation we know that ${}^t v = e_t[{}^t v_2/x] = \lambda\_.e_{b2}$ and therefore from fg-val we know that $H_{t2}' = \emptyset$

Therefore we have
$(\emptyset, n, e_s[{}^s v_2/x], \lambda\_.e_{b2}) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C} \perp \perp \mathsf{bool} \rfloor_V^{\emptyset}$

Expanding $(\emptyset, n, e_s[{}^s v_2/x], \lambda x.e_{b2}) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C} \perp \perp \mathsf{bool} \rfloor_V^{\emptyset}$ using Definition 1.60 we get

$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq \emptyset, H_{s3}, H_{t3}, i, {}^s v'', k \leq n, \emptyset \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(k, H_{s3}, H_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s3}, e_s[{}^s v_2/x]) \Downarrow_i^f (H_{s2}', {}^s v_2'') \wedge i < k \implies$
$\exists H_{t2}'', {}^t v'', (H_{t3}, (\lambda\_.e_{b2})()) \Downarrow (H_{t2}'', {}^t v_2'') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k - i, H_{s2}', H_{t2}'') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge \exists {}^t v_2'''.{}^t v_2'' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v_2''' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k - i, {}^s v_1'', {}^t v_2''') \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

Instantiating with $\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, n_2, {}^s v_2', n, \emptyset$ we get

$\exists H_{t2}'', {}^t v''.(\emptyset, (\lambda\_.e_{b2})()) \Downarrow (H_{t2}'', {}^t v_2'') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq \emptyset, \emptyset \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(n - n_1, H_{s2}', H_{t2}'') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge \exists {}^t v_2'''.{}^t v_2'' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v_2''' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v_1', {}^t v_2''') \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (NI-4.2)

Since we have $\exists {}^t v_2'''.{}^t v_2'' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v_2''' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v_2', {}^t v_2''') \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$, therefore from Definition 1.60 2 cases arise

- ${}^s v_2' = \mathsf{inl}^s v_{i2}'$ and ${}^t v_2''' = \mathsf{inl}^t v_{i2}'$:
  And from Definition 1.60 we know that
  $({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v_{i2}', {}^t v_{i2}') \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$
  which means ${}^s v_{i2}' = {}^t v_{i2}' = ()$
- ${}^s v_2' = \mathsf{inr}^s v_{i2}'$ and ${}^t v_2''' = \mathsf{inr}^t v_{i2}'$:
  Same reasoning as in the previous case

Thus no matter which case occurs we have ${}^{s}v_2' = {}^{t}v_2'''$ (NI-4.3)

From CG to FG translation we know that $\exists^{t} v_{i1}.{}^{t}v_1 = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^{t}v_{i1}$ and similarly $\exists^{t} v_{i2}.{}^{t}v_2 = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^{t}v_{i2}$

From (NI-1) since $\emptyset \vdash_\top {}^{t}v_1 : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top$ therefore from CG-inl we know that $\emptyset \vdash_\top {}^{t}v_{i1} : \mathsf{bool}^\bot$

And from CGsub-sum we know that $\emptyset \vdash_\top {}^{t}v_{i1} : \mathsf{bool}^\top$
Therefore we also have $\emptyset \vdash_\bot {}^{t}v_{i1} : \mathsf{bool}^\top$ (NI-5.1)

Similarly we also have $\emptyset \vdash_\bot {}^{t}v_{i2} : \mathsf{bool}^\top$ (NI-5.2)

Next, let $e_T = (\lambda x : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top.\mathsf{case}(e_t(), y.y, z.{}^{t}v_b))\ (\mathsf{case}(u, -.\mathsf{inl}\ true, -.\mathsf{inl}\ false)) : \mathsf{bool}^\bot$

where $true = \mathsf{inl}\ ()$ and $false = \mathsf{inr}\ ()$

We claim $u : \mathsf{bool}^\top \vdash_\bot e_T : \mathsf{bool}^\bot$

To show this we give its typing derivation

P2.3:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, - \vdash_\bot false : \mathsf{bool}^\bot}\ \text{FG-inl}}{u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, - \vdash_\bot \mathsf{inl}\ false : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\bot}\ \text{FG-inl}}{u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, - \vdash_\bot \mathsf{inl}\ false : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top}\ \text{FGSub-base}$$

P2.2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, - \vdash_\bot true : \mathsf{bool}^\bot}\ \text{FG-inl}}{u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, - \vdash_\bot \mathsf{inl}\ true : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\bot}\ \text{FG-inl}}{u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, - \vdash_\bot \mathsf{inl}\ true : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top}\ \text{FGSub-base}$$

P2.1:

$$\dfrac{}{u : \mathsf{bool}^\top \vdash_\bot u : \mathsf{bool}^\top}$$

P2:

$$\dfrac{P2.1 \qquad P2.2 \qquad P2.3 \qquad \dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \models (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top \searrow \bot}}{u : \mathsf{bool}^\top \vdash_\bot (\mathsf{case}(u, -.\mathsf{inl}\ true, -.\mathsf{inl}\ false)) : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top}$$

P1.2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, x : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top \vdash_\bot e_t : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\bot} (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\bot)^\bot}\ \text{NI-1}}{u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, x : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top \vdash_\bot () : \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{FG-unit} \qquad \dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \models \bot \sqcup \bot \sqsubseteq \bot} \qquad \dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \models (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\bot \searrow \bot}}{u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, x : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top \vdash_\bot e_t() : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\bot}\ \text{FG-app}$$

P1.1:

$$\dfrac{P1.2 \qquad \dfrac{}{u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, x : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top, y : \mathsf{bool}^\bot \vdash_\bot y : \mathsf{bool}^\bot}\ \text{FG-var} \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, x : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top, z : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\bot false : \mathsf{bool}^\bot}\ \text{FG-var} \qquad \dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \models \mathsf{bool}^\bot \searrow \bot}}{u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, x : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top \vdash_\bot \mathsf{case}(e_t(), y.y, z.{}^{t}v_b) : \mathsf{bool}^\bot}\ \text{FG-case}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P1.1}{u : \mathsf{bool}^{\top}, x : (\mathsf{bool}^{\bot} + \mathsf{unit})^{\top} \vdash_{\bot} \mathsf{case}(e_t(), y.y, z.{}^{t}v_b) : \mathsf{bool}^{\bot}}}{u : \mathsf{bool}^{\top} \vdash_{\bot} (\lambda x : (\mathsf{bool}^{\bot} + \mathsf{unit})^{\top}.\mathsf{case}(e_t(), y.y, z.{}^{t}v_b)) : ((\mathsf{bool}^{\bot} + \mathsf{unit})^{\top} \xrightarrow{\bot} \mathsf{bool}^{\bot})^{\bot}}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{P1 \qquad P2 \qquad \dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \models \bot \sqcup \bot \sqsubseteq \bot} \qquad \dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \models \mathsf{bool}^{\bot} \searrow \bot}}{u : \mathsf{bool}^{\top} \vdash_{\bot} (\lambda x : (\mathsf{bool}^{\bot} + \mathsf{unit})^{\top}.\mathsf{case}(e_t(), y.y, z.{}^{t}v_b))\ (\mathsf{case}(u, -.\mathsf{inl}\ true, -.\mathsf{inl}\ false)) : \mathsf{bool}^{\bot}}\ \text{FG-app}$$

Assuming $e_{b1}()$ reduces in n_{t1} steps in (NI-3.2) and $e_{b2}()$ reduces in n_{t2} steps in (NI-4.2).

We instantiate Theorem 1.87 with $e_T, {}^{t}v_{i1}, {}^{t}v_{i2}$, $n_{t1}+2, n_{t2}+2$, H''_{t1}, H''_{t2} and $\bot$ and therefore from (NI-3.3) and (NI-4.3) we get ${}^{t}v'''_1 = {}^{t}v'''_2$ and thus ${}^{s}v'_1 = {}^{s}v'_2$

□

1.4 FG to CG translation

1.4.1 Type directed (direct) translation from FG to CG

Definition 1.71.

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
(\!|\mathsf{b}|\!) & = & \mathsf{b} \\
(\!|\mathsf{unit}|\!) & = & \mathsf{unit} \\
(\!|\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2|\!) & = & (\!|\tau_1|\!) \to \mathbb{C}\ \ell_e\ \bot\ (\!|\tau_2|\!) \\
(\!|\tau_1 \times \tau_2|\!) & = & (\!|\tau_1|\!) \times (\!|\tau_2|\!) \\
(\!|\tau_1 + \tau_2|\!) & = & (\!|\tau_1|\!) + (\!|\tau_2|\!) \\
(\!|\mathsf{ref}\ \mathsf{A}^{\ell}|\!) & = & \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!) \\
(\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell}|\!) & = & \mathsf{Labeled}\ (\ell)\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)
\end{array}
$$

For $\Gamma = x_1 : \tau_1, \ldots, x_n : \tau_n$, define $(\!|\Gamma|\!) = x_1 : (\!|\tau_1|\!), \ldots, x_n : (\!|\tau_n|\!)$.
We use a coersion function defined as follows:

$\mathtt{coerce\_taint} : \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \ell_c\ \tau' \to \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \tau' \quad$ when $\tau' = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'_c\ \tau$ and $\ell_c \sqsubseteq \ell'_c$
$\mathtt{coerce\_taint} \triangleq \lambda x.\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(x, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y)))$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash_{pc} x : \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{ret}\ x}\ \text{FC-var}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_{c1}}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \lambda x.e : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\bot} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\lambda x.e_{c1}))}\ \text{FC-lam}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_{c1} \qquad \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_{c2} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqcup pc \sqsubseteq \ell_e \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 \searrow \ell}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1\ e_2 : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c\ b)))))}\ \text{FC-app}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_{c1} \qquad \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_{c2}}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\bot} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a, b))))}\ \text{FC-prod}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_c \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \searrow \ell}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{fst}(e) : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))))}\ \text{FC-fst}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_c \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 \searrow \ell}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{snd}(e) : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b)))))}\ \text{FC-snd}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_c}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{inl}(e) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\bot} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a)))}\ \text{FC-inl}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_c}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{inr}(e) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\bot} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinr}(a)))}\ \text{FC-inr}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c}\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_c \\ \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{pc \sqcup \ell} e_1 : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{c1} \qquad \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{pc \sqcup \ell} e_2 : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{c2} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau \searrow \ell\end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{case}(e, x.e_1, y.e_2) : \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2}))))}\ \text{FC-case}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_c \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau \searrow pc}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{new}\ (e) : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\bot} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}b)))}\ \text{FC-ref}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_c \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau' \searrow \ell}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} !e : \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b)))}\ \text{FC-deref}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_{c1} \qquad \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{c2} \qquad \tau \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 := e_2 : \mathsf{unit} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)))), d.\mathsf{ret}())}\ \text{FC-assign}$$

1.4.2 Type preservation for FG to CG translation

Theorem 1.72 (Type preservation: FG to CG)**.** *If $\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau$ in FG then there exists e' such that $\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau \rightsquigarrow e'$ such that there is a derivation of $(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash e' : \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|\tau|\!)$ in CG.*

Proof. Proof by induction on the $\rightsquigarrow$ relation

1. FC-var:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash_{pc} x : \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{ret}\ x}\ \text{FC-var}$$

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : (\!|\tau|\!) \vdash x : (\!|\tau|\!)}\ \text{CG-var}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : (\!|\tau|\!) \vdash \mathsf{ret}\ x : \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|\tau|\!)}\ \text{CG-ret}$$

2. FC-lam:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_{c1}}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \lambda x.e : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\bot} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\lambda x.e_{c1}))}\ \text{FC-lam}$$

$T_0 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\bot}|\!) = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \bot\ (\!|(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)|\!)$
$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \bot\ (\!|\tau_1|\!) \to \mathbb{C}\ \ell_e\ \bot\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)$
$T_{1.0} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \bot\ (\!|\tau_1|\!) \to \mathbb{C}\ \ell_e\ \bot\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)$
$T_{1.1} = (\!|\tau_1|\!) \to \mathbb{C}\ \ell_e\ \bot\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)$
$T_{1.2} = \mathbb{C}\ \ell_e\ \bot\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)$
P1:

$$\frac{\dfrac{P2}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : (\!|\tau_1|\!) \vdash e_{c1} : T_{1.2}}\ \text{IH}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \lambda x.e_{c1} : T_{1.1}}\ \text{CG-lam}$$

Main derivation:

$$\frac{\dfrac{P1}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash (\mathsf{Lb}(\lambda x.e_{c1})) : T_{1.0}}\ \text{CG-label}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\lambda x.e_{c1})) : T_1}\ \text{CG-ret}$$

3. FC-app:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_{c1} \qquad \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_{c2} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqcup pc \sqsubseteq \ell_e \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 \searrow \ell}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1\ e_2 : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c\ b)))))}\ \text{FC-app}$$

$T_0 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell}|\!) = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ (\!|(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)|\!)$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ (\!|\tau_1|\!) \to \mathbb{C}\ \ell_e\ \bot\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_{1.1} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ (\!|\tau_1|\!) \to \mathbb{C}\ \ell_e\ \bot\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_{1.2} = \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ (\!|\tau_1|\!) \to \mathbb{C}\ \ell_e\ \bot\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_{1.3} = (\!|\tau_1|\!) \to \mathbb{C}\ \ell_e\ \bot\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_{1.4} = \mathbb{C}\ \ell_e\ \bot\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_{1.5} = \mathbb{C}\ \ell_e\ \ell\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_{1.6} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \ell\ (\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)$

$T_{1.7} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \ell\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ (\ell_i)\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{1.9} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{1.10} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)$

$T_{c4} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{c3} = \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{c2} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{c1} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{c0} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \ell\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_c = T_{c0} \to T_{c1}$

Pc2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0}, y : T_{c4} \vdash y : T_{c4}}\ \text{CG-var}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0}, y : T_{c4} \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}(y) : T_{c3}}\ \text{CG-unlabel}$$

Pc1:

$$\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0} \vdash x : T_{c0}}\ \text{CG-var}$$

Pc0:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{Pc1 \qquad Pc2 \qquad \dfrac{P0}{\mathcal{L} \models \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_i}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(x, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y)) : T_{c2}}\ \text{CG-bind}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0} \vdash \mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(x, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y))) : T_{c1}}\ \text{CG-tolabeled}$$

Pc:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{Pc0}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \lambda x.\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(x, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y))) : T_c}\ \text{CG-lam}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \texttt{coerce\_taint} : T_c}\ \text{From Definition of } \texttt{coerce\_taint}$$

P6:

$$\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{1.1}, b : (\!|\tau_1|\!), c : T_{1.3} \vdash b : (\!|\tau_1|\!)}\ \text{CG-var}$$

P5:

$$\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{1.1}, b : (\!|\tau_1|\!), c : T_{1.3} \vdash c : T_{1.3}}\ \text{CG-var}$$

P4:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P5 \qquad P6}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{1.1}, b : (\!|\tau_2|\!), c : T_{1.3} \vdash c\ b : T_{1.4}}\ \text{CG-app}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{1.1}, b : (\!|\tau_2|\!), c : T_{1.3} \vdash c\ b : T_{1.5}}\ \text{CGSub-monad}$$

P3:

$$\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{1.1}, b : (\!|\tau_1|\!) \vdash a : T_{1.1}}\ \text{CG-var}$$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P3}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{1.1}, b : (\!|\tau_1|\!) \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}\ a : T_{1.2}}\ \text{CG-unlabel} \qquad P4}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{1.1}, b : (\!|\tau_1|\!) \vdash \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c\ b)) : T_{1.6}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{1.1} \vdash e_{c2} : T_2}\ \text{IH2, Weakening} \qquad P2}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{1.1} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c\ b))) : T_{1.6}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

P0:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash A^{\ell_i} \searrow \ell}\ \text{Given, } \tau_2 = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_i}\ \text{By inversion}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{Pc \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash e_{c1} : T_1}\ \text{IH1} \qquad P1}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c\ b)))) : T_{1.7}}\ \text{CG-bind}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c\ b))))) : T_{1.9}}\ \text{CG-app}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c\ b))))) : T_{1.10}}\ \text{Definition 1.71}$$

4. FC-prod:

$$\dfrac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_{c1} \qquad \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_{c2}}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a, b))))}\ \text{FC-prod}$$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \perp\ (\!|(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp}|\!)$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \perp\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \perp\ (\!|(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)|\!)$

$T_3 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \perp\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \perp\ (\!|\tau_1|\!) \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_{3.1} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \perp\ (\!|\tau_1|\!) \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_4 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \perp\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)$

$T_5 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \perp\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

P4:

$$\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : (\!|\tau_1|\!), b : (\!|\tau_1|\!) \vdash a : (\!|\tau_1|\!)}\ \text{CG-var}$$

P3:

$$\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : (\!|\tau_1|\!), b : (\!|\tau_1|\!) \vdash b : (\!|\tau_2|\!)}\ \text{CG-var}$$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{P3 \qquad P4}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : (\!|\tau_1|\!), b : (\!|\tau_1|\!) \vdash (a, b) : (\!|\tau_1|\!) \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)}\ \text{CG-prod}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : (\!|\tau_1|\!), b : (\!|\tau_2|\!) \vdash \mathsf{Lb}(a, b) : T_{3.1}}\ \text{CG-label}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : (\!|\tau_1|\!), b : (\!|\tau_2|\!) \vdash \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a, b)) : T_3}\ \text{CG-ret}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : (\!|\tau_1|\!) \vdash e_{c2} : T_5}\ \text{IH2} \qquad P2}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : (\!|\tau_1|\!) \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a, b))) : T_3}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash e_{c1} : T_4}\ \text{IH1} \qquad P1}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a, b)))) : T_3}\ \text{CG-bind}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a, b)))) : T_1}\ \text{Definition 1.71}$$

5. FC-fst:

$$\dfrac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_c \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \searrow \ell}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{fst}(e) : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))))}\ \text{FC-fst}$$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell}|\!)$

$T_{2.1} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ (\!|(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)|\!)$

$T_{2.2} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ (\!|\tau_1|\!) \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_{2.3} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ (\!|\tau_1|\!) \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_{2.4} = (\!|\tau_1|\!) \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_{2.5} = \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ (\!|\tau_1|\!) \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_3 = \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)$

$T_{3.1} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \ell\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)$

$T_{3.2} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \ell\ (\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)$

$T_{3.3} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \ell\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{3.5} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{3.6} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)$

$T_{c4} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{c3} = \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{c2} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{c1} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{c0} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \ell\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_c = T_{c0} \to T_{c1}$

Pg:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash A^{\ell_i} \searrow \ell}\ \text{Given, } \tau_1 = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_i}\ \text{By inversion}$$

Pc2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0}, y : T_{c4} \vdash y : T_{c4}}\ \text{CG-var}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0}, y : T_{c4} \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}(y) : T_{c3}}\ \text{CG-unlabel}$$

Pc1:

$$\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0} \vdash x : T_{c0}}\ \text{CG-var}$$

Pc0:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{Pc1 \qquad Pc2 \qquad \dfrac{Pg}{\mathcal{L} \models \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_i}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(x, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y)) : T_{c2}}\ \text{CG-bind}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0} \vdash \mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(x, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y))) : T_{c1}}\ \text{CG-tolabeled}$$

Pc:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{Pc0}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \lambda x.\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(x, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y))) : T_c}\ \text{CG-lam}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \texttt{coerce\_taint} : T_c}\ \text{From Definition of } \texttt{coerce\_taint}$$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.4} \vdash b : T_{2.4}}\ \text{CG-var}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.4} \vdash \mathsf{fst}(b) : (\!|\tau_1|\!)}\ \text{CG-fst}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.4} \vdash \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)) : T_3}\ \text{CG-ret}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.3} \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}\ (a) : T_{2.5}}\ \text{CG-unlabel} \qquad P2}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.3} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b))) : T_{3.1}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

P0:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash e_c : T_{2.2}}\ \text{IH} \qquad P1}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))) : T_{3.1}}\ \text{CG-bind}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))) : T_{3.2}}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))) : T_{3.3}}\ \text{Definition 1.71}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{Pc \qquad P0}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b))))) : T_{3.5}}\ \text{CG-app}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b))))) : T_{3.6}}\ \text{Definition 1.71}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b))))) : T_1}$$

6. FC-snd:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_c \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 \searrow \ell}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{snd}(e) : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b)))))}\ \text{FC-snd}$$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell}|\!)$

$T_{2.1} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ (\!|(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)|\!)$

$T_{2.2} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ (\!|\tau_1|\!) \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_{2.3} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ (\!|\tau_1|\!) \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_{2.4} = (\!|\tau_1|\!) \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_{2.5} = \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ (\!|\tau_1|\!) \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_3 = \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_{3.1} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \ell\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_{3.2} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \ell\ (\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)$

$T_{3.3} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \ell\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{3.5} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{3.6} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)$

$T_{c4} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{c3} = \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{c2} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{c1} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{c0} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \ell\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_c = T_{c0} \to T_{c1}$

Pg:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash A^{\ell_i} \searrow \ell}\ \text{Given, } \tau_2 = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_i}\ \text{By inversion}$$

Pc2:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0}, y : T_{c4} \vdash y : T_{c4}}\ \text{CG-var}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0}, y : T_{c4} \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}(y) : T_{c3}}\ \text{CG-unlabel}$$

Pc1:

$$\frac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0} \vdash x : T_{c0}}\ \text{CG-var}$$

Pc0:

$$\frac{\dfrac{Pc1 \qquad Pc2 \qquad \dfrac{Pg}{\mathcal{L} \models \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_i}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(x, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y)) : T_{c2}}\ \text{CG-bind}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0} \vdash \mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(x, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y))) : T_{c1}}\ \text{CG-tolabeled}$$

Pc:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{Pc0}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \lambda x.\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(x, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y))) : T_c}\ \text{CG-lam}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \texttt{coerce\_taint} : T_c}\ \text{From Definition of } \texttt{coerce\_taint}$$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.4} \vdash b : T_{2.4}}\ \text{CG-var}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.4} \vdash \mathsf{snd}(b) : (\!|\tau_2|\!)}\ \text{CG-snd}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.4} \vdash \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b)) : T_3}\ \text{CG-ret}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.3} \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}\ (a) : T_{2.5}}\ \text{CG-unlabel} \qquad P2}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.3} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b))) : T_{3.1}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

P0:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash e_c : T_{2.2}}\ \text{IH} \qquad P1}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b)))) : T_{3.1}}\ \text{CG-bind}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b)))) : T_{3.2}}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b)))) : T_{3.3}}\ \text{Definition 1.71}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{Pc \qquad P0}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b))))) : T_{3.5}}\ \text{CG-app}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b))))) : T_{3.6}}\ \text{Definition 1.71}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b))))) : T_1}$$

7. FC-inl:

$$\dfrac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_c}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{inl}(e) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a)))}\ \text{FC-inl}$$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \perp\ (\!|(\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp}|\!)$

$T_{1.1} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \perp\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \perp\ (\!|(\tau_1 + \tau_2)|\!)$

$T_{1.2} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \perp\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \perp\ (\!|\tau_1|\!) + (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_{1.3} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \perp\ (\!|\tau_1|\!) + (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \perp\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : (\!|\tau_1|\!) \vdash a : (\!|\tau_1|\!)}\ \text{CG-var}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : (\!|\tau_1|\!) \vdash \mathsf{inl}(a) : (\!|\tau_1|\!) + (\!|\tau_2|\!)}\ \text{CG-inl}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : (\!|\tau_1|\!) \vdash \mathsf{Lbinl}(a) : T_{1.3}}\ \text{CG-label}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : (\!|\tau_1|\!) \vdash \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a)) : T_{1.2}}\ \text{CG-ret}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash e_c : T_2}\ \text{IH} \qquad P1}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a))) : T_{1.2}}\ \text{CG-bind}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a))) : T_1}\ \text{Definition 1.71}$$

8. FC-inr:

$$\dfrac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_c}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{inr}(e) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\bot} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinr}(a)))}\ \text{FC-inr}$$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|(\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\bot}|\!)$

$T_{1.1} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \bot\ (\!|(\tau_1 + \tau_2)|\!)$

$T_{1.2} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \bot\ (\!|\tau_1|\!) + (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_{1.3} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \bot\ (\!|\tau_1|\!) + (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : (\!|\tau_2|\!) \vdash a : (\!|\tau_2|\!)}\ \text{CG-var}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : (\!|\tau_2|\!) \vdash \mathsf{inr}(a) : (\!|\tau_1|\!) + (\!|\tau_2|\!)}\ \text{CG-inr}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : (\!|\tau_2|\!) \vdash \mathsf{Lbinr}(a) : T_{1.3}}\ \text{CG-label}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : (\!|\tau_2|\!) \vdash \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinr}(a)) : T_{1.2}}\ \text{CG-ret}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash e_c : T_2}\ \text{IH} \qquad P1}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinr}(a))) : T_{1.2}}\ \text{CG-bind}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinr}(a))) : T_1}\ \text{Definition 1.71}$$

9. FC-case:

$$\dfrac{\begin{array}{c}\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_c \\ \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{pc \sqcup \ell} e_1 : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{c1} \qquad \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{pc \sqcup \ell} e_2 : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{c2} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau \searrow \ell\end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{case}(e, x.e_1, y.e_2) : \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2}))))}\ \text{FC-case}$$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|\tau|\!)$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|(\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\ell}|\!)$

$T_{2.1} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ (\!|\tau_1 + \tau_2|\!)$

$T_{2.2} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ ((\!|\tau_1|\!) + (\!|\tau_2|\!))$

$T_{2.3} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ ((\!|\tau_1|\!) + (\!|\tau_2|\!))$

$T_{2.4} = \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ ((\!|\tau_1|\!) + (\!|\tau_2|\!))$

$T_{2.5} = (\!|\tau_1|\!) + (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

$T_3 = \mathbb{C}\ (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \bot\ (\!|\tau|\!)$

$T_4 = \mathbb{C}\ (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \ell\ (\!|\tau|\!)$

$T_5 = \mathbb{C}\ (pc)\ \ell\ (\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)$

$T_{5.1} = \mathbb{C}\ (pc)\ \ell\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{5.3} = \mathbb{C}\ (pc)\ (\bot)\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{5.4} = \mathbb{C}\ (pc)\ (\bot)\ (\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)$

$T_{c4} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{c3} = \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{c2} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{c1} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{c0} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \ell\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_c = T_{c0} \to T_{c1}$

Pg:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash A^{\ell_i} \searrow \ell}\ \text{Given, } \tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_i}\ \text{By inversion}$$

Pc2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0}, y : T_{c4} \vdash y : T_{c4}}\ \text{CG-var}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0}, y : T_{c4} \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}(y) : T_{c3}}\ \text{CG-unlabel}$$

Pc1:

$$\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0} \vdash x : T_{c0}}\ \text{CG-var}$$

Pc0:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{Pc1 \qquad Pc2 \qquad \dfrac{Pg}{\mathcal{L} \models \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_i}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(x, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y)) : T_{c2}}\ \text{CG-bind}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0} \vdash \mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(x, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y))) : T_{c1}}\ \text{CG-tolabeled}}$$

Pc:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{Pc0}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \lambda x.\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(x, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y))) : T_c}\ \text{CG-lam}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \texttt{coerce\_taint} : T_c}\ \text{From Definition of } \texttt{coerce\_taint}$$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5} \vdash b : T_{2.5}}\ \text{CG-var}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5}, x : (\!|\tau_1|\!) \vdash e_{c1} : T_3}\ \text{IH2, Weakening}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5}, y : (\!|\tau_2|\!) \vdash e_{c2} : T_3}\ \text{IH3, Weakening}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5} \vdash \mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2}) : T_3}\ \text{CG-case}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.3} \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}\ a : T_{2.4}}\ \text{CG-unlabel} \qquad P2}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.3} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2})) : T_3}\ \text{CG-bind}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.3} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2})) : T_4}\ \text{CG-sub}$$

P0:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash e_c : T_{2.2}}\ \text{IH1} \qquad P1}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2}))) : T_5}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

P0.2:

$$\dfrac{P0}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2}))) : T_{5.1}}\ \text{Definition 1.71}$$

P0.1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{Pc \qquad P0.2}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2})))) : T_{5.3}}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2}))))T_{5.4}}\ \text{Definition 1.71}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{P0.1}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2})))) : T_1}$$

10. FC-ref:

$$\dfrac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_c \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau \searrow pc}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{new}\ (e) : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}b)))}\ \text{FC-ref}$$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \perp\ (\!|(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp}|\!)$

$T_{1.1} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \perp\ (\!|(\mathsf{ref}\ \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i})^{\perp}|\!)$

$T_{1.2} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \perp\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \perp\ (\!|(\mathsf{ref}\ \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i})|\!)$

$T_{1.3} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \perp\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \perp\ \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \perp\ (\!|\tau|\!)$

$T_{2.1} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \perp\ (\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)$

$T_{2.2} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \perp\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{2.3} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{2.4} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \perp\ \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{2.5} = \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{2.51} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \perp\ \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5} \vdash b : T_{2.5}}\ \text{CG-var}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5} \vdash \mathsf{Lb}b : T_{2.51}}\ \text{CG-label}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5} \vdash \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}b) : T_{1.3}}\ \text{CG-ret}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3} \vdash \mathsf{new}\ (a) : T_{2.4}}\ \text{CG-new} \qquad P2}{(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}b)) : T_{1.3}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash e_c : T_{2.2}}\ \text{IH} \qquad P1}{(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}b))) : T_{1.3}}\ \text{CG-bind}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}b))) : T_1}\ \text{Definition 1.71}$$

11. FC-deref:

$$\dfrac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_c \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau' \searrow \ell}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} !e : \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b)))}\ \text{FC-deref}$$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|\tau'|\!)$

$T_{1.1} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|\mathsf{A}'^{\ell'_i}|\!)$

$T_{1.2} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}'|\!)$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell}|\!)$

$T_{2.1} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ (\!|(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)|\!)$

$T_{2.2} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ (\!|(\mathsf{ref}\ \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i})|\!)$

$T_{2.3} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!))$

$T_{2.4} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!))$

$T_{2.5} = \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!))$

$T_{2.6} = \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{2.7} = \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \bot\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!))$

$T_{2.8} = \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}'|\!))$

$T_{2.9} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \ell\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}'|\!))$

$T_{c4} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{c3} = \mathbb{C}\ \top\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{c2} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{c1} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{c0} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \ell\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_c = T_{c0} \to T_{c1}$

Pg:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash A^{\ell_i} \searrow \ell}\ \text{Given, } \tau' = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_i}\ \text{By inversion}$$

Pc2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0}, y : T_{c4} \vdash y : T_{c4}}\ \text{CG-var}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0}, y : T_{c4} \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}(y) : T_{c3}}\ \text{CG-unlabel}$$

Pc1:

$$\frac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0} \vdash x : T_{c0}}\ \text{CG-var}$$

Pc0:

$$\frac{\dfrac{Pc1 \qquad Pc2 \qquad \dfrac{Pg}{\mathcal{L} \models \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_i}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(x, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y)) : T_{c2}}\ \text{CG-bind}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), x : T_{c0} \vdash \mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(x, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y))) : T_{c1}}\ \text{CG-tolabeled}$$

Pc:

$$\frac{\dfrac{Pc0}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \lambda x.\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(x, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y))) : T_c}\ \text{CG-lam}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \texttt{coerce\_taint} : T_c}\ \text{From Definition of } \texttt{coerce\_taint}$$

P2:

$$\frac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.4}, b : T_{2.6} \vdash b : T_{2.6}}\ \text{CG-var}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.4}, b : T_{2.6} \vdash !b : T_{2.7}}\ \text{CG-deref}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.4}, b : T_{2.6} \vdash !b : T_{2.8}}\ \text{CG-sub, Lemma 1.73}$$

P1:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.4} \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}\ a : T_{2.5}}\ \text{CG-unlabel} \qquad P2}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.4} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b) : T_{2.8}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

P0:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash e_c : T_{2.3}} \qquad P1}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b)) : T_{2.9}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

Main derivation:

$$\frac{\dfrac{Pc \qquad P0}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b))) : T_{1.2}}\ \text{CG-app}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b))) : T_{1.1}}\ \text{Definition 1.71}$$

12. FC-assign:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_{c1} \qquad \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{c2} \qquad \tau \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 := e_2 : \mathsf{unit} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)))), d.\mathsf{ret}())}\ \text{FC-assign}$$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|\mathsf{unit}|\!)$

$T_{1.1} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{unit}$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell}|\!)$

$T_{2.1} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ (\!|(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)|\!)$

$T_{2.2} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ (\!|(\mathsf{ref}\ \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i})|\!)$

$T_{2.3} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{2.4} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{2.5} = \mathbb{C}\ \top\ (\ell)\ \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{2.6} = \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{2.7} = \mathbb{C}\ (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \bot\ \mathsf{unit}$

$T_{2.71} = \mathbb{C}\ (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \ell\ \mathsf{unit}$

$T_{2.8} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ (\ell)\ \mathsf{unit}$

$T_{2.9} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \mathsf{unit}$

$T_3 = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|\tau|\!)$

$T_{3.1} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ (\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)$

$T_{3.2} = \mathbb{C}\ pc\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

$T_{3.3} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)$

P4:

$$\frac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.4}, b : T_{3.3}, c : T_{2.6} \vdash c : T_{2.6}}\ \text{CG-var}$$

P5:

$$\frac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.4}, b : T_{3.3}, c : T_{2.6} \vdash b : T_{3.3}}\ \text{CG-var}$$

P3:

$$\frac{\dfrac{P4 \qquad P5 \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)}\ \text{Given}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash (pc \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \ell_i}\ \text{By inversion}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.4}, b : T_{3.3}, c : T_{2.6} \vdash c := b : T_{2.7}}\ \text{CG-assign}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.4}, b : T_{3.3}, c : T_{2.6} \vdash c := b : T_{2.71}}\ \text{CGsub-monad}$$

P2:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.4}, b : T_{3.3} \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}\ a : T_{2.5}}\ \text{CG-unlabel} \qquad P3}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.4}, b : T_{3.3} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b) : T_{2.8}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

P1:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.4} \vdash e_{c2} : T_{3.2}}\ \text{IH2} \qquad P2}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), a : T_{2.4} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b))) : T_{2.8}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

P0:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash e_{c1} : T_{2.3}}\ \text{IH1} \qquad P1}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b))) : T_{2.8}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

P0.1:

$$\frac{P0}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)))) : T_{2.9}}\ \text{CG-toLabeled}$$

Main derivation:

$$\frac{P0.1 \qquad \dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!), d : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \mathsf{unit} \vdash \mathsf{ret}() : T_{1.1}}}{(\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)))), d.\mathsf{ret}()) : T_{1.1}}$$

□

Lemma 1.73 (Subtyping - Type preservation)**.** *The following holds:*

1. $\forall \tau, \tau'.$
   $\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \implies (\!|\tau|\!) <: (\!|\tau'|\!)$
2. $\forall \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{A}'.$
   $\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}' \implies \mathcal{L} \vdash (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!) <: (\!|\mathsf{A}'|\!)$

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on $\tau <: \tau$ and $\mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}$

Proof of statement (1)

Let $\tau = \mathsf{A}_1^{\ell_1}$ and $\tau' = \mathsf{A}_2^{\ell_2}$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathsf{A}_1^{\ell_1} <: \mathsf{A}_2^{\ell_2}}\ \text{Given}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{A}_1 <: \mathsf{A}_2}\ \text{By inversion} \qquad P1}{\mathcal{L} \vdash ((\!|\mathsf{A}_1|\!)) <: ((\!|\mathsf{A}_2|\!))}\ \text{IH(2) on } \mathsf{A}_1 <: \mathsf{A}_2$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathsf{A}_1^{\ell_1} <: \mathsf{A}_2^{\ell_2}}\ \text{Given}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell_2}\ \text{By inversion}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P1 \qquad P2}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_1\ ((\!|\mathsf{A}_1|\!)) <: \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_2\ ((\!|\mathsf{A}_2|\!))}\ \text{CGsub-labeled}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash (\!|\mathsf{A}_1^{\ell_1}|\!) <: (\!|\mathsf{A}_2^{\ell_2}|\!)}$$

Proof of statement (2)

We proceed by cases on $\mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}$

1. FGsub-base:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{b} <: \mathsf{b}}\ \text{CG-refl}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash (\!|\mathsf{b}|\!) <: (\!|\mathsf{b}|\!)}\ \text{Definition 1.71}$$

2. FGsub-ref:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!) <: \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)}\ \text{CG-refl}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash (\!|\mathsf{ref}\ \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!) <: (\!|\mathsf{ref}\ \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)}\ \text{Definition 1.71}$$

3. FGsub-prod:

   P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'}\ \text{Given}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1'}\ \text{By inversion}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash (\!|\tau_1|\!) <: (\!|\tau_1'|\!)}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 <: \tau_1'$$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'}\ \text{Given}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}\ \text{By inversion}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash (\!|\tau_2|\!) <: (\!|\tau_2'|\!)}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_2 <: \tau_2'$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P1 \qquad P2}{\mathcal{L} \vdash (\!|\tau_1|\!) \times (\!|\tau_2|\!) <: (\!|\tau_1'|\!) \times (\!|\tau_2'|\!)}\ \text{CGsub-prod}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash (\!|\tau_1 \times \tau_2|\!) <: (\!|\tau_1' \times \tau_2'|\!)}\ \text{Definition 1.71}$$

4. FGsub-sum:

   P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}\ \text{Given}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1'}\ \text{By inversion}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash (\!|\tau_1|\!) <: (\!|\tau_1'|\!)}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 <: \tau_1'$$

   P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}\ \text{Given}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}\ \text{By inversion}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash (\!|\tau_2|\!) <: (\!|\tau_2'|\!)}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_2 <: \tau_2'$$

   Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P1 \qquad P2}{\mathcal{L} \vdash (\!|\tau_1|\!) + (\!|\tau_2|\!) <: (\!|\tau_1'|\!) + (\!|\tau_2'|\!)}\ \text{CGsub-prod}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash (\!|\tau_1 + \tau_2|\!) <: (\!|\tau_1' + \tau_2'|\!)}\ \text{Definition 1.71}$$

5. FGsub-arrow:

   $T_1 = (\!|\tau_1|\!) \to \mathbb{C}\ \ell_e \perp (\!|\tau_2|\!)$

   $T_2 = (\!|\tau_1'|\!) \to \mathbb{C}\ \ell_e' \perp (\!|\tau_2'|\!)$

   P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'}\ \text{Given}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}\ \text{By inversion, Weakening} \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'}\ \text{Given}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \ell_e' \sqsubseteq \ell_e}\ \text{By inversion, Weakening}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathbb{C}\ \ell_e \perp (\!|\tau_2|\!) <: \mathbb{C}\ \ell_e' \perp (\!|\tau_2'|\!)}\ \text{IH(1), CGsub-monad}$$

   P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'}\ \text{Given}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1' <: \tau_1}\ \text{By inversion, Weakening}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash (\!|\tau_1'|\!) <: (\!|\tau_1|\!)}\ \text{IH(1)}$$

Main derivation:

$$\frac{P1 \qquad P2}{\mathcal{L} \vdash (\!|\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2|\!) <: (\!|\tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'|\!)}\ \text{Definition 1.71}$$

6. FGsub-unit:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{unit} <: \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{CGsub-unit}}{\mathcal{L} \vdash (\!|\mathsf{unit}|\!) <: (\!|\mathsf{unit}|\!)}\ \text{Definition 1.71}$$

□

1.4.3 Logical relation for FG to CG translation

Definition 1.74 ($^s\theta_2$ extends $^s\theta_1$)**.** $^s\theta_1 \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta_2 \triangleq$
$\forall a \in {}^s\theta_1.{}^s\theta_1(a) = \tau \implies {}^s\theta_2(a) = \tau$

Definition 1.75 ($\hat{\beta}_2$ extends $\hat{\beta}_1$)**.** $\hat{\beta}_1 \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_2 \triangleq$
$\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}_1.(a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}_2$

Definition 1.76 (Unary value relation)**.**

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
\lfloor \mathsf{b} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv, {}^tv) \mid {}^sv \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!] \wedge {}^tv \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!] \wedge {}^sv = {}^tv\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv, {}^tv) \mid {}^sv \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!] \wedge {}^tv \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2), ({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2)) \mid \\
 & & ({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge ({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, \mathsf{inl}\ {}^sv, \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv) \mid ({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}\} \cup \\
 & & \{({}^s\theta, m, \mathsf{inr}\ {}^sv, \mathsf{inr}\ {}^tv) \mid ({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, \lambda x.e_s, \lambda x.e_t) \mid \\
 & & \forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^sv, {}^tv, j < m, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.({}^s\theta', j, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \implies \\
 & & ({}^s\theta', j, e_s[{}^sv/x], e_t[{}^tv/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{ref}\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, a_s, a_t) \mid {}^s\theta(a_s) = \tau \wedge ({}^sa, {}^ta) \in \hat{\beta}\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv, \mathsf{Lb}({}^tv)) \mid ({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}\}
\end{array}$$

Definition 1.77 (Unary expression relation)**.**

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
\lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, n, e_s, e_t) \mid \\
 & & \forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.(H_s, e_s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^sv) \implies \\
 & & \exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, e_t) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \\
 & & \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}\}
\end{array}$$

Definition 1.78 (Unary heap well formedness)**.**

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta & \triangleq & dom({}^s\theta) \subseteq dom(H_s) \wedge \\
 & & \hat{\beta} \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta) \times dom(H_t)) \wedge \\
 & & \forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n - 1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a_1) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}
\end{array}$$

Definition 1.79 (Value substitution)**.** $\delta^s : Var \mapsto Val$, $\delta^t : Var \mapsto Val$

Definition 1.80 (Unary interpretation of Γ)**.**

$$\begin{aligned}\lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \triangleq\ & \{({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \mid dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^s) \wedge dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^t) \wedge \\ & \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s(x), \delta^t(x)) \in \lfloor\Gamma(x)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}\}\end{aligned}$$

1.4.4 Soundness proof for FG to CG translation

Lemma 1.81 (Monotonicity)**.** $\forall {}^s\theta, {}^s\theta', n, {}^s v, {}^t v, n', \beta, \beta'.$

1. $\forall \mathsf{A}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor\mathsf{A}\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n \implies ({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor\mathsf{A}\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
2. $\forall \tau.\ ({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n \implies ({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on A and τ

Proof of statement (1)

We case analyze A in the last step

1. Case b:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor\mathsf{b}\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor\mathsf{b}\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   Since $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor\mathsf{b}\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from Definition 1.76 we know that ${}^s v \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!] \wedge {}^t v \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!]$ and ${}^s v = {}^t v$

   Therefore from Definition 1.76 we get the desired

2. Case unit:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor\mathsf{unit}\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor\mathsf{unit}\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   Since $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor\mathsf{unit}\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from Definition 1.76 we know that ${}^s v \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!] \wedge {}^t v \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]$

   Therefore from Definition 1.76 we get the desired

3. Case $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   From Definition 1.76 we know that ${}^s v = ({}^s v_1, {}^s v_2)$ and ${}^t v = ({}^t v_1, {}^t v_2)$.

   We also know that $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ and $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   IH1: $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (From Statement (2))

   IH2: $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (From Statement (2))

   Therefore from Definition 1.76, IH1 and IH2 we get

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

4. Case $\tau_1 + \tau_2$:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   From Definition 1.76 two cases arise

   (a) ${}^s v = \mathsf{inl}({}^s v')$ and ${}^t v = \mathsf{inl}({}^t v')$:
   IH: $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v', {}^t v') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (From Statement (2))
   Therefore from Definition 1.76 and IH we get
   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   (b) ${}^s v = \mathsf{inr}({}^s v')$ and ${}^t v = \mathsf{inr}({}^t v')$:
   Symmetric reasosning as in the previous case

5. Case $\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2$:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   From Definition 1.76 we know that
   ${}^s v$ is of the form $\lambda x.e_s$ (for some e_s) and ${}^t v$ is of the form $\lambda x.e_t$ (for some e_t) s.t

$\forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1, j < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1'.({}^s\theta', j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'} \implies$
$({}^s\theta', j, e_s[{}^sv_1/x], e_t[{}^tv_1/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (A0)

Similarly from Definition 1.76 we are required to prove
$\forall {}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2, k < n', \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.({}^s\theta'', k, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''} \implies$
$({}^s\theta'', k, e_s[{}^sv_2/x], e_t[{}^tv_2/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''}$

This means we are given some
${}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2, k < n', \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''$ s.t $({}^s\theta'', k, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$
and we are required to prove
$({}^s\theta'', k, e_s[{}^sv_2/x], e_t[{}^tv_2/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''}$

Instantiating (A0) with ${}^s\theta'', {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2, k, \hat{\beta}''$ since
${}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta$, $k < n' < n$ and $\hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''$ therefore we get
$({}^s\theta'', k, e_s[{}^sv_2/x], e_t[{}^tv_2/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''}$

6. Case $\mathsf{ref}\ \tau$:

   Given:
   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{ref}\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:
   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{ref}\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   From Definition 1.76 we know that ${}^sv = a_s$ and ${}^tv = a_t$. We also know that
   ${}^s\theta(a_s) = \tau \wedge (a_s, a_t) \in \hat{\beta}$

   From Definition 1.76, Definition 1.74 and Definition 1.75 we get
   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{ref}\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Proof of Statement (2)
Let $\tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell''}$:

Given:
$({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A}^{\ell''} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

From Definition 1.76 we know that
$\exists {}^tv_i.{}^tv = \mathsf{Lb}({}^tv_i)$ and $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv_i) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove:
$({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A}^{\ell''} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means from Definition 1.76 we need to prove
$({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv_i) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

IH: $({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv_i) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (From Statement (1))

Therefore we get the desired directly from IH.

□

Lemma 1.82 (Unary monotonicity for Γ)**.** $\forall \theta, \theta', \delta, \Gamma, n, n', \hat{\beta}, \hat{\beta}'.$
$(\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge n' < n \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \implies (\theta', n', \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Proof. Given: $(\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge n' < n \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$
To prove: $(\theta', n', \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

From Definition 1.80 it is given that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^s) \wedge dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^t) \wedge \forall x_i \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s(x_i), \delta^t(x_i)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x_i) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

And again from Definition 1.80 we are required to prove that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^s) \wedge dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^t) \wedge \forall x_i \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta', n', \delta^s(x_i), \delta^t(x_i)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x_i) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

- $dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^s) \wedge dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^t)$:
  Given
- $\forall x_i \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta', n', \delta^s(x_i), \delta^t(x_i)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x_i) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
  Since we know that $\forall x_i \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s(x_i), \delta^t(x_i)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x_i) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (given)
  Therefore from Lemma 1.81 we get
  $\forall x_i \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta', n', \delta^s(x_i), \delta^t(x_i)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x_i) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

□

Lemma 1.83 (Unary monotonicity for H)**.** $\forall {}^s\theta, H_s, H_t, n, n', \hat{\beta}.$
$(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge n' < n \implies (n', H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Proof. Given: $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge n' < n$
To prove: $(n', H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

From Definition 1.78 it is given that
$dom({}^s\theta) \subseteq dom(H_S) \wedge \hat{\beta} \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta) \times dom(H_t)) \wedge \forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n-1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

And again from Definition 1.78 we are required to prove that
$dom({}^s\theta) \subseteq dom(H_S) \wedge \hat{\beta} \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta) \times dom(H_t)) \wedge \forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n'-1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

- $dom({}^s\theta) \subseteq dom(H_S)$:
  Given
- $\hat{\beta} \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta) \times dom(H_t))$:
  Given
- $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n'-1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$:
  Since we know that $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n-1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (given)
  Therefore from Lemma 1.81 we get
  $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n'-1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

□

Lemma 1.84 (Coercion lemma)**.** $\forall H, e, v.$
$(H, e) \Downarrow_{-}^{f} (H', \mathsf{Lb}\, v) \implies$
$(H, \texttt{coerce\_taint}\ e) \Downarrow_{-}^{f} (H', \mathsf{Lb}\, v)$

Proof. Given: $(H, e) \Downarrow_{-}^{f} (H', \mathsf{Lb}\, v)$
To prove: $(H, \texttt{coerce\_taint}\ e) \Downarrow_{-}^{f} (H', \mathsf{Lb}\, v)$

From Definition of `coerce_taint`and cg-app it suffices to prove that
$(H, \mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y)))) \Downarrow_{-}^{f} (H', \mathsf{Lb}\, v)$

From cg-tolabeled it suffices to prove that
$(H, \mathsf{bind}(e, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y))) \Downarrow_{-}^{f} (H', v)$

From cg-bind it suffices to prove that

1. $(H, e) \Downarrow_{-}^{f} (H_1', v_1)$:

   We are given that $(H, e) \Downarrow_{-}^{f} (H', v)$ therefore we have $H_1' = H'$ and $v_1' = \mathsf{Lb}\, v$

2. $(H_1', \mathsf{unlabel}(y)[v_1/y]) \Downarrow_{-}^{f} (H', v)$:

   It sufffices to prove that

   $(H', \mathsf{unlabel}(\mathsf{Lb}\, v)) \Downarrow_{-}^{f} (H', v)$:

   We get this directly from cg-unlabel

□

Theorem 1.85 (Fundamental theorem)**.** $\forall \Gamma, \tau, e_s, e_t, pc, \delta^s, \delta^t, {}^s\theta, n, \hat{\beta}.$
$\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_s : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t \wedge$
$({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
$\implies$
$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

Proof. Proof by induction on the $\rightsquigarrow$ relation

1. FC-var:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash_{pc} x : \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{ret}\ x}\ \text{FC-var}$$

   Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\Gamma \cup \{x \mapsto \tau\}) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, x\ \delta^s, \mathsf{ret}(x)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

   From Definition 1.77 it suffices to prove that

   $\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(H_s, x\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v) \implies$
   $\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{ret}(x)\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
   $({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $(H_s, x\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v)$

From fg-val we know that $i = 0$, ${}^s v = x\ \delta^s$. Also from cg-ret we know that ${}^t v = x\ \delta^t$ and $H_t' = H_t$

And we are required to prove

$$\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}.(n, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \qquad \text{(F-V0)}$$

We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}$

(a) $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$: Given

(b) $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$:

Since we are given $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\Gamma \cup \{x \mapsto \tau\}) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$, therefore from Definition 1.80 we get $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

2. FC-lam:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{\ell_e} e_s : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \lambda x.e_s : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}\lambda x.e_t)}\ \text{FC-lam}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, (\lambda x.e_s)\ \delta^s, \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}\lambda x.e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp} \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 1.77 it suffices to prove

$$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(H_s, (\lambda x.e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v) \implies$$
$$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\lambda x.e_t)))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge$$
$$\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $(H_s, (\lambda x.e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v)$

From fg-val we know that ${}^s v = (\lambda x.e_s)\ \delta^s$, $H_s' = H_s$ and $i = 0$. Also from cg-ret, cg-label and cg-FI we know that $H_t' = H_t$ and ${}^t v = (\mathsf{Lb}(\lambda x.e_t))\ \delta^t$

It suffices to prove that

$$\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$$

We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}$

(a) $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$: Given

(b) $({}^s\theta, n, \lambda x.e_s\ \delta^s, \mathsf{Lb}(\lambda x.e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^\perp\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$:
From Definition 1.76 it suffices to prove that
$({}^s\theta, n, \lambda x.e_s\ \delta^s, (\lambda x.e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Again from Definition 1.76 it suffices to prove that
$\forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^sv_d, {}^tv_d, j < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.({}^s\theta', j, {}^sv_d, {}^tv_d) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \implies$
$({}^s\theta', j, e_s[{}^sv_d/x]\ \delta^s, e_t[{}^tv_d/x]\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This further means that given ${}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^sv_d, {}^tv_d, j < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$ s.t $({}^s\theta', j, {}^sv_d, {}^tv_d) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

And we a re required to prove
$({}^s\theta', j, e_s[{}^sv_d/x]\ \delta^s, e_t[{}^tv_d/x]\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-L0)

Since we are given $({}^s\theta', j, {}^sv_d, {}^tv_d) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$, therefore from Definition 1.80 and Lemma 1.82 we have
$({}^s\theta', j, \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^sv_d\}, \delta^t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^tv_d\}) \in \lfloor(\Gamma \cup \{x \mapsto \tau_1\})\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$.
Therefore from IH we get
$({}^s\theta', j, e_s\ \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^sv_d\}, e_t\ \delta^t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^tv_d\}) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

We get (F-L0) directly from IH

3. FC-app:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{s1} : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^\ell \rightsquigarrow e_{t1} \qquad \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{s2} : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_{t2} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell \sqcup pc \sqsubseteq \ell_e \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau \searrow \ell}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{s1}\ e_{s2} : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c\ b))))}\ \text{FC-app}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove:

$({}^s\theta, n, (e_{s1}\ e_{s2})\ \delta^s, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c\ b))))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 1.77 it suffices to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.(H_s, (e_{s1}\ e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^sv) \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c\ b))))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge$
$\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This further means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n, {}^sv$ s.t $(H_s, (e_{s1}\ e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^sv)$

And we need to prove
$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c\ b))))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge$
$\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-A0)

IH1:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_{s1}\ \delta^s, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^\ell \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 1.77 we have

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^sv_1.(H_{s1}, e_{s1}) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s1}, {}^sv_1) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_{t1}) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge$
$({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^\ell \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$

We instantiate with H_s, H_t. And since we know that $(H_s, (e_{s1}\ e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^sv)$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $(H_{s1}, e_{s1}) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s1}, {}^sv_1)$.

This means we have

$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_{t1}) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^\ell \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ (F-A1.0)

Since we know that $({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^\ell \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ therefore from Definition 1.76 we know that $\exists {}^tv_i.{}^tv_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^tv_i)$ s.t

$({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_i) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ (F-A1.1)

From Definition 1.76 we know that ${}^sv_1 = \lambda x.e'_s$ and ${}^tv_i = \lambda x.e'_t$ s.t
$\forall {}^s\theta''_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_1, {}^sv', {}^tv', l < (n-j), \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''_1.$
$({}^s\theta''_1, l, {}^sv', {}^tv') \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''_1} \implies ({}^s\theta''_1, l, e'_s[{}^sv'/x], e'_t[{}^tv'/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''_1}$ (F-A1)

IH2:

$({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, e_{s2}\ \delta^s, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$

This means from Definition 1.77 we have

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n-j, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall k < n-j, {}^sv_2.(H_{s2}, e_{s2}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s2}, {}^sv_2) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^tv_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t2}) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^tv_2\delta^t) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_1, \hat{\beta}'_2 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'_1.(n-j-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-k, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$

We instantiate with $H'_{s1}, H'_{t1},$. And since we know that $(H_s, (e_{s1}\ e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^sv)$ therefore $\exists k < i - j < n - j$ s.t $(H'_{s1}, e_{s2}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_k (H'_{s2}, {}^sv_2)$.

This means we have

$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^tv_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t2}) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^tv_2) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_1, \hat{\beta}'_2 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'_1.(n-j-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-k, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$ (F-A2)

We instantiate (F-A1) with θ''_1 as θ'_2, ${}^sv'$ as ${}^sv_2$, ${}^tv'$ as ${}^tv_2$, l as $n-j-k$ and $\hat{\beta}''_1$ as $\hat{\beta}'_2$. Therefore we get

$({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-k, e'_s[{}^s v_2/x], e'_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$

From Definition 1.77 we have

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n-j-k, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2 \wedge \forall a < n-j-k, {}^s v.(H_s, e'_s[{}^s v_2/x]) \Downarrow_i (H'_{s3}, {}^s v_3) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t3}, {}^t v_3.(H_t, e'_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t3}, {}^t v_3) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_3 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_2, \hat{\beta}'_3 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'_2.$
$(n-j-k-a, H'_{s3}, H'_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_3}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_3 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_3, n-j-k-a, {}^s v_3, {}^t v_3) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_3}$

Instantiating with H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}. since we know that $(H_s, (e_{s1}\ e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v)$ therefore $\exists a < i-j-k < n-j-k$ s.t $(H'_{s2}, e'_s[{}^s v/x]\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_a (H'_{s3}, {}^s v_3)$

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t3}, {}^t v_3.(H_t, e'_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t3}, {}^t v_3) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_3 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_2, \hat{\beta}'_3 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'_2.$
$(n-j-k-a, H'_{s3}, H'_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_3}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_3 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_3, n-j-k-a, {}^s v_3, {}^t v_3) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_3}$ (F-A3)

Let $\tau_2 = \mathsf{A}_2^{\ell_i}$, since $\tau_2 \searrow \ell$ therefore $\ell \sqsubseteq \ell_i$ and

$({}^s\theta'_3, n-j-k-a, {}^s v_3, {}^t v_3) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_3}$
Therefore from Definition 1.76 we know that
$({}^s\theta'_3, n-j-k-a, {}^s v_3, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{3i}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_3}$ (F-A3.1)

In order to prove (F-A0) we choose H'_t as H'_{t3} and ${}^t v$ as $\mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_{3i})$. We need to prove:

(a) $(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c\ b))))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t3}, \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_{3i}))$:

From Lemma 1.84 it suffices to prove that
$(H_t, \mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c\ b)))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t3}, \mathsf{Lb}\ ({}^t v_3))$

From cg-bind it further suffices to show that

- $(H_t, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1)$:
  We get this directly from (F-A1.0)
- $(H'_{t1}, \mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c\ b))[{}^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t3}, \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_{3i}))$:
  From cg-bind it suffices to prove that
  - $(H'_{t1}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2)$:
    We get this directly from (F-A2)
  - $(H'_{t2}, \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c\ b)[{}^t v_1/a][{}^t v_2/b]\delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t3}, \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_{3i}))$:
    From cg-bind again it suffices to prove
    - $(H'_{t2}, (\mathsf{unlabel}\ a)[{}^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t31}, {}^t v_{t2})$:
      Since from (F-A1.1) we know that $\exists {}^t v_i.{}^t v_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i)$

      Therefore from cg-unlabel and (F-A1) we know that $H'_{t31} = H'_{t2}$ and ${}^t v_{t2} = {}^t v_i = \lambda x.e'_t$
    - $((c\ b)[{}^t v_2/b][{}^t v_{t2}/c]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow {}^t v_{t21}$:
      It suffices to prove that
      $((\lambda x.e'_t)\ {}^t v_2\ \delta^t) \Downarrow {}^t v_{t21}$

      From cg-app we know that
      ${}^t v_{t21} = e'_t[{}^t v_2/x]\ \delta^t$

$*$ $(H'_{t2}, {}^t v_{21}) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t3}, \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_{3i}))$:
From (F-A3) and (F-A3.1) we get the desired

(b) $\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta'_3$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}'_3$. From fg-app we know that $i = j+k+a+1$, ${}^s v = {}^s v_3$ and $H'_s = H'_{s3}$. Also from the termination proof (previous point) we know that $H'_t = H'_{t3}$ and ${}^t v = \mathsf{Lb}\ ({}^t v_3)$

We get $(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'$ from (F-A3) and Lemma 1.83

Since ${}^t v = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_3)$ therefore from Definition 1.76 it suffices to prove that
$({}^s\theta'_3, n-j-k-a-1, {}^s v_3, {}^t v_3) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_3}$

We get this directly from (F-A3) and Lemma 1.81

4. FC-prod:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{s1} : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_{t1} \qquad \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{s2} : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_{t2}}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} (e_{s1}, e_{s2}) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^\perp \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a,b))))}\ \text{prod}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, (e_{s1}, e_{s2})\ \delta^s, (\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a,b))))) \ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^\perp \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 1.77 we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v_1, {}^s v_2.(H_s, (e_{s1}, e_{s2})) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, ({}^s v_1, {}^s v_2)) \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, (\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a,b))))) \ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, ({}^s v_1, {}^s v_2), ({}^t v_1, {}^t v_2)) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^\perp \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n, {}^s v_1, {}^s v_2$ s.t $(H_s, (e_{s1}, e_{s2})) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, ({}^s v_1, {}^s v_2))$

And we need to prove
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, (\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a,b))))) \ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, ({}^s v_1, {}^s v_2), {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^\perp \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-P0)

IH1:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_{s1}\ \delta^s, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 1.77 we need to prove

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^s v_1.(H_{s1}, e_{s1}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s1}, {}^s v_1) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_{t1}) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(H_s, (e_{s1}, e_{s2})) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, ({}^s v_1, {}^s v_2))$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $(H_{s1}, e_{s1}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s1}, {}^s v_1)$

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_{t1}) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$

$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ (F-P1)

IH2:

$({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, e_{s2}\ \delta^s, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$

This means from Definition 1.77 we need to prove

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge \forall k < n-j, {}^s v_1.(H_{s2}, e_{s2}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s2}, {}^s v_1) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_1.(H_{t2}, e_{t2}) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_1, \hat{\beta}'_2 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'_1.$

$(n-j-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$

Instantiating with H'_{s1}, H'_{t1} and since we know that $(H_s, (e_{s1}, e_{s2})) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, ({}^s v_1, {}^s v_2))$ therefore $\exists k < i-j < n-j$ s.t $(H_{s2}, e_{s2}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_k (H'_{s2}, {}^s v_2)$

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_1.(H_{t2}, e_{t2}) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_1, \hat{\beta}'_2 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'_1.$

$(n-j-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$ (F-P2)

In order to prove (F-P0) we choose H_t as H'_{t2} and ${}^t v$ as $\mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_1, {}^t v_2)$

(a) $(H_t, (\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a,b))))))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_1, {}^t v_2))$:
From cg-bind it suffices to prove that

- $(H_t, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{tb1}, {}^t v_{tb1})$:
  From (F-P1) we know that $H'_{tb1} = H'_{t1}$ and ${}^t v_{tb1} = {}^t v_1$
- $(H'_{t1}, \mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a,b)))[{}^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_1, {}^t v_2))$:
  From cg-bind it suffices to prove that
  - $(H'_{t1}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{tb2}, {}^t v_{tb2})$:
    From (F-P2) we know that $H'_{tb2} = H'_{t2}$ and ${}^t v_{tb2} = {}^t v_2$
  - $(H'_{t2}, \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a,b))[{}^t v_1/a][{}^t v_2/b]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_1, {}^t v_2))$:
    We get this from cg-ret, (F-P1) and (F-P2)

(b) $\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, ({}^s v_1, {}^s v_2), {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^\perp \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta'_2$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}'_2$ and since from fg-prod $i = j+k+1$ and $H'_s = H'_{s2}$. Therefore from (F-P2) and Lemma 1.83 we get

$(n-i, H'_s, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'$

In order to prove $({}^s\theta', n-i, ({}^s v_1, {}^s v_2), {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^\perp \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
From Definition 1.76 it suffices to prove

$\exists {}^t v_i.{}^t v = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i) \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, ({}^s v_1, {}^s v_2), {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$

Since ${}^t v = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_1, {}^t v_2)$ therefore we get the desired from (F-P1), (F-P2), Definition 1.76 and Lemma 1.81

5. FC-fst:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_s : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_t \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \searrow \ell}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{fst}(e_s) : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))))}\ \text{fst}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{fst}(e_s)\ \delta^s, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 1.77 we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(H_s, \mathsf{fst}(e_s)) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v) \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))))) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge$
$\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\gamma, \hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $(H_s, \mathsf{fst}(e_s)) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v)$

We need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))))) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge$
$\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-F0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 1.77 we have

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v_1.(H_{s1}, e_s) \Downarrow_j (H_{s1}', {}^s v_1) \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}_1' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n - j, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1' \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n - j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(H_s, \mathsf{fst}(e_s)) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v)$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $(H_s, e_s) \Downarrow_j (H_{s1}', {}^s v_1)$

This means we have

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}_1' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n - j, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1' \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n - j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (F-F1)

Since we know that $({}^s\theta_1', n - j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ therefore from Definition 1.76 we know that ${}^t v_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i)$ s.t

$({}^s\theta_1', n - j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (F-F1.1)

From Definition 1.76 we know that ${}^s v_1 = ({}^s v_{i1}, {}^s v_{i2})$ and ${}^t v_i = ({}^t v_{i1}, {}^t v_{i2})$ s.t

$({}^s\theta_1', n - j, {}^s v_{i1}, {}^t v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (F-F1.2)

Let $\tau_1 = \mathsf{A}_1^{\ell_i}$, since $\tau_1 \searrow \ell$ therefore $\ell \sqsubseteq \ell_i$ and

$({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^s v_{i1}, {}^t v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A}_1^{\ell_i} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Therefore from Definition 1.76 we know that

$({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^s v_{i1}, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{i11}) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A}_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (F-F1.3)

In order to prove (F-F0) we choose H_t' as H_{t1}' and ${}^t v$ as ${}^t v_{i1} (= \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{i11})$ as we need to prove

(a) $(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b))))))) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{i11})$:
From Lemma 1.84 it suffices to prove that
$(H_t, \mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b))))) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', \mathsf{Lb}\ ({}^t v_{i11}))$
From cg-bind it suffices to prove that

- $(H_t, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t11}', {}^t v_{t11})$:
  From (F-F1) we know that $H_{t11}' = H_{t1}'$ and ${}^t v_{t11} = {}^t v_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i)$
- $(H_{t1}', \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))[{}^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{i11})$:
  Again from cg-bind it suffices to prove that
  - $(H_{t1}', \mathsf{unlabel}\ (a)[{}^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t21}', {}^t v_{t21})$:
    Since ${}^t v_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_{i1}, {}^t v_{i2})$ from (F-F1.1) and (F-F1.2) therefore we get the desired from cg-unlabel

    So, $H_{t21} = H_{t1}'$ and ${}^t v_{t21} = ({}^t v_{i1}, {}^t v_{i2})$
  - $(H_{t1}', \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b))[({}^t v_{i1}, {}^t v_{i2})/b]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{i11})$:
    We get the desired from cg-fst and cg-ret and (F-F1.3)

(b) $\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H_s', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta_1'$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}_1'$. And from fg-fst we know that $i = j+1$ and $H_s' = H_{s1}'$ therefore from (F-F1) and Lemma 1.83 we get

$(n-i, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1'$

Since from fg-fst we know that ${}^s v = {}^s v_{i1}$ therefore from (F-F1.2) and Lemma 1.81 we get

$({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v_{i1}, {}^t v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

6. FC-snd:

   Symmetric reasoning as in the FC-fst case

7. FC-inl:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{inl}(e_s) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^\perp \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a)))}\ \text{inl}$$

   Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{inl}(e_s)\ \delta^s, \mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a)))\delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^\perp \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

   This means from Definition 1.77 we have

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.(H_s, \mathsf{inl}(e_s)) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^sv) \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^tv.(H_t, \mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a))) \ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^tv) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means that we are given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\gamma, \hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n, {}^sv$ s.t $(H_s, \mathsf{inl}(e_s)) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^sv)$

And we need to prove

$\exists H'_t, {}^tv.(H_t, \mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a)))\delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^tv) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^\perp \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-IL0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_s \ \delta^s, e_t \ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 1.77 we need to prove

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^sv_1.(H_{s1}, e_s \ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s1}, {}^sv_1) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(H_s, \mathsf{inl}(e_s)) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^sv)$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $(H_s, e_s \ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s1}, {}^sv_1)$

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1.(H_t, e_{t1}) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ (F-IL1)

In order to prove (F-IL0) we choose H'_t as H'_{t1} and ${}^tv$ as $(\mathsf{Lb}\ \mathsf{inl}({}^tv_1))$ and we need to prove:

(a) $(H_t, \mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a))) \ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, (\mathsf{Lb}\ \mathsf{inl}({}^tv_1)))$:
From cg-bind it suffices to prove that

i. $(H_t, e_t \ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t11}, {}^tv_{t11})$:
From (F-IL1) we know that $H'_{t11} = H'_{t1}$ and ${}^tv_{t11} = {}^tv_1$

ii. $(H'_{t1}, \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a))[{}^tv_1/a] \ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, (\mathsf{Lb}\ \mathsf{inl}({}^tv_1)))$:
From cg-ret and (F-IL1)

(b) $\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^\perp \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta'_1$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}'_1$. Since from fg-inl we know that $i = j + 1$ and $H'_s = H'_{s1}$ therefore from (F-IL1) and Lemma 1.83 we get

$(n-i, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1$

Now we need to prove $({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^\perp \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
Since ${}^sv = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^sv_1$ and ${}^tv = \mathsf{Lb}(\mathsf{inl}({}^tv_1))$ therefore from Definition 1.76 it suffices to prove that

$({}^s\theta', n-i, \mathsf{inl}\ {}^s v_1, \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor(\tau_1+\tau_2)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Since from (F-IL1) we know that $({}^s\theta', n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
Therefore from Lemma 1.81 and Definition 1.76 we get
$({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor(\tau_1+\tau_2)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

8. FC-inr:

   Symmetric reasoning as in the FC-inl case

9. FC-case:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_s : (\tau_1+\tau_2)^\ell \rightsquigarrow e_t \qquad \Gamma, x:\tau_1 \vdash_{pc\sqcup\ell} e_{s1} : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{t1} \qquad \Gamma, x:\tau_1 \vdash_{pc\sqcup\ell} e_{s2} : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{t2} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau \searrow \ell}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2}) : \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2}))))}\ \text{case}$$

   Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2})\ \delta^s, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2}))))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

   This means from Definition 1.77 we need to prove

   $\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(H_s, \mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v) \implies$
   $\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2}))))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge$

   $\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   This means we are given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\gamma,\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $(H_s, \mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v)$

   And we need to prove

   $\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2}))))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge$

   $\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-C0)

   IH1:

   $({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\tau_1+\tau_2)^\ell\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

   This means from Definition 1.77 we have

   $\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^s v_1.(H_{s1}, e_s) \Downarrow_j (H_{s1}', {}^s v_1) \implies$
   $\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}_1' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
   $(n-j, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1' \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

   Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(H_s, \mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v)$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $(H_{s1}, e_s) \Downarrow_j (H_{s1}', {}^s v_1)$

Therefore we have
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1+\tau_2)^\ell \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ (F-C1)

Since from (F-C1) we have $({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1+\tau_2)^\ell \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ therefore from Definition 1.76 we know that
$\exists {}^t v_i.{}^t v_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i) \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor (\tau_1+\tau_2) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ (F-C1.1)

2 cases arise

(a) ${}^s v_1 = \mathsf{inl}({}^s v_{i1})$ and ${}^t v_i = \mathsf{inl}({}^t v_{i1})$:

Also from Lemma 1.82 and Definition 1.80 we know that
$({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^s v_1\}, \delta^t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^t v_{i1}\}) \in \lfloor (\Gamma, \{x \mapsto {}^s v_1\}) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$
IH2:
$({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, e_{s1}\ \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^s v_1\}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^t v_{i1}\}) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$

This means from Definition 1.77 we have
$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge \forall k < n-j, {}^s v_2.(H_{s2}, e_{s1}\ \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^s v_1\}) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s2}, {}^s v_2) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^t v_{i1}\}) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_1, \hat{\beta}'_2 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'_1.$
$(n-j-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$

Instantiating with H'_{s1}, H'_{t1} and since we know that $(H_s, \mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2})\ \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^s v_1\}) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v)$ therefore $\exists k < i-j < n-j$ s.t $(H'_{s1}, e_{s1}) \Downarrow_k (H'_{s2}, {}^s v_2)$
Therefore we have
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^t v_1\}) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_1, \hat{\beta}'_2 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'_1.$
$(n-j-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$ (F-C2)

Let $\tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$ and since we know that $\tau \searrow \ell$ therefore we have $\ell \sqsubseteq \ell_i$

Since we have $({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$
Therefore from Definition 1.76 we have
$({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-k, {}^s v_2, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i}) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$ (F-C2.1)

In order to prove (F-C0) we choose H'_t as H'_{t2} and ${}^t v$ as ${}^t v_2 = \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i}$
And we need to prove:

i. $(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2}))))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i})$:
From Lemma 1.84 it suffices to prove that
$(H_t, (\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2}))))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i})$

From cg-bind it suffices to prove that

- $(H_t, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t11}, {}^t v_{t11})$:
From (F-C1) we know that $H'_{t11} = H'_{t1}$ and ${}^t v_{t11} = {}^t v_1$
- $(H'_{t1}, \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2}))[{}^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i})$:
From cg-bind it suffices to prove that

– $(H'_{t1}, (\mathsf{unlabel}\ a)[^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t21}, {}^t v_{t21})$:
Since from (F-C1.1) we know that ${}^t v_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i)$ therefore from cg-unlabel we know that
$H'_{t21} = H'_{t1}$ and ${}^t v_{t21} = {}^t v_i$
– $(\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2})[^t v_i/b]\delta^t) \Downarrow {}^t v_{t22}$:
Since we know that in this case ${}^t v_i = \mathsf{inl}({}^t v_{i1})$
Therefore from cg-case we know that ${}^t v_{t22} = e_{t1}[^t v_{i1}/x]\ \delta^t$
– $(H'_{t1}, e_{t1}[^t v_{i1}/x]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i})$:
From (F-C2) and (F-C2.1) we get the desired

ii. $\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta'_2$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}'_2$. Since from fg-case we know that $i = j + k + 1$ and $H'_s = H'_{s2}$ therefore from (F-C2) and Lemma 1.83 we get
$(n-i, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2$

Now we need to prove $({}^s\theta'_2, n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$
Since ${}^s v = {}^s v_2$ and ${}^t v = {}^t v_2$ and since from (F-C2) we know that
$({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$
Therefore from Lemma 1.81 and Definition 1.76 we get
$({}^s\theta'_2, n-i, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$

(b) ${}^s v_1 = \mathsf{inr}({}^s v_{i1})$ and ${}^t v_1 = \mathsf{inr}({}^t v_{i1})$:
Symmetric reasoning as in the previous case

10. FC-ref:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_s : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau \searrow pc}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{new}\ (e_s) : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^\perp \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}b)))}\ \text{ref}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{new}\ (e_s)\ \delta^s, \mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}b))\ \delta^t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^\perp\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 1.77 we have

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(H_s, \mathsf{new}\ (e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v) \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}b)))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^\perp\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\gamma, \hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $(H_s, \mathsf{new}\ (e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v)$.

And we are required to prove

$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}b)))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^\perp\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-R0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 1.77 we have

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^sv_1.(H_{s1}, e_s\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s1}, {}^sv_1) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(H_s, \mathsf{new}\ (e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^sv)$ therefore we know that $\exists j < n$ s.t $(H_s, e_s\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s1}, {}^sv_1)$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1.(H_t, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ (F-R1)

In order to prove (F-R0) we choose H'_t as $H'_1 \cup \{a_t \mapsto {}^tv_1\}$, ${}^tv = \mathsf{Lb}(a_t)$, ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta'_1 \cup \{a_s \mapsto \tau\}$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}'_1 \cup \{(a_s, a_t)\}$

And we need to prove:

(a) $(H_t, \mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}\ b)))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^tv)$:
From cg-bind it suffices to prove that

- $(H_t, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t11}, {}^tv_{t1})$:
  From (F-R1) we know that $H'_{t11} = H'_{t1}$ and ${}^tv_{t1} = {}^tv_1$
- $(H'_{t1}, \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}\ b))[{}^tv_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^tv)$:
  From cg-bind it suffices to prove that
  i. $(H'_{t1}, \mathsf{new}\ (a)[{}^tv_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^tv_{t2})$:
  From cg-new we know that $H'_t = H'_{t1} \cup \{a_t \mapsto {}^tv_1\}$ and ${}^tv = a_t$
  ii. $(H'_1 \cup \{a_t \mapsto {}^tv_1\}, \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}b))[{}^tv_1/a][a_t/b]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^tv_t)$:
  From cg-ret we know that $H'_t = H'_{t1} \cup \{a_t \mapsto {}^tv_1\}$ and ${}^tv_t = \mathsf{Lb}(a_t)$

(b) $\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\tau)^\perp\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:

From (F-R1) we know that $(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1$ and since $H'_s = H'_{s1} \cup \{a_s \mapsto {}^sv_1\}$, $H'_t = H'_{t1} \cup \{a_t \mapsto {}^tv_1\}$, ${}^s\theta' = {}^s\theta'_1 \cup \{a_s \mapsto \tau\}$

Therefore from Definition 1.78 and Lemma 1.83 we get $(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'$

To prove: $({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^\perp\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Since we know that ${}^sv = a_s$ and ${}^tv = \mathsf{Lb}\ a_t$ therefore we need to prove

$({}^s\theta', n-i, a_s, \mathsf{Lb}(a_t)) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^\perp\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

From Definition 1.76 it suffices to prove that
$({}^s\theta', n-i, a_s, a_t) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Again from Definition 1.76 it suffices to prove that
${}^s\theta'(a_s) = \tau \wedge (a_s, a_t) \in \hat{\beta}'$
We get this by construction

11. FC-deref:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_s : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_t \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau' \searrow \ell}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} !e_s : \tau' \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b)))}\ \text{deref}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, !e\ \delta^s, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b)))\delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 1.77 we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.(H_s, !e_s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^sv) \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b)))) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means that we are given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n, {}^sv$ s.t $(H_s, !e_s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^sv)$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b)))) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-DR0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 1.77 we need to prove

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^sv_1.(H_{s1}, e_s) \Downarrow_j (H_{s1}', {}^sv_1) \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}_1' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1' \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(H_s, !e_s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^sv)$ therefore $\exists j < n$ s.t $(H_{s1}, e_s) \Downarrow_j (H_{s1}', {}^sv)$

Therefore we have

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}_1' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1' \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (F-DR1)

From (F-DR1) we have $({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

From Definition 1.76 we have

$\exists {}^tv_i.{}^tv_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^tv_i) \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_i) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (F-DR1.1)

From Definition 1.76 we know that ${}^sv_1 = a_s$ and ${}^tv_i = a_t$

${}^s\theta_1'(a_s) = \tau \wedge (a_s, a_t) \in \hat{\beta}_1'$ (F-DR1.2)

Since we are given that $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ therefore from Definition 1.78 we know that
$({}^s\theta, n-1, H_s(a_s), H_t(a_t)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a_s) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
which means we have
$({}^s\theta, n-1, H_s(a_s), H_t(a_t)) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Lemma 1.86 we know that
$({}^s\theta, n-1, H_s(a_s), H_t(a_t)) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Let $\tau' = \mathsf{A}'^{\ell_i}$ since $\tau' \searrow \ell$ therefore $\ell \sqsubseteq \ell_i$
Let $v_g = H_t(a_t)$ therefore from Definition 1.76 we have
$({}^s\theta, n-1, H_s(a_s), \mathsf{Lb}\, v_{gi}) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (F-DR1.3)

In order to prove (F-DR0) we choose H_t' as H_{t1}' and ${}^t v$ as $H_{t1}'(a_t) = v_g = \mathsf{Lb}\, v_{gi}$

(a) $(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b)))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', \mathsf{Lb}\, v_{gi})$:

From Lemma 1.84 it suffices to prove that
$(H_t, (\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b)))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', \mathsf{Lb}\, v_{gi})$
From cg-bind it suffices to prove

i. $(H_t, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t11}', {}^t v_{t1})$:
From (F-DR1) we know that $H_{t11}' = H_{t1}'$ and ${}^t v_{t1} = {}^t v_1$

ii. $(H_{t1}', \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b)[{}^t v_1/a]\delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', \mathsf{Lb}\, v_{gi})$:
From cg-bind it suffices to prove that

A. $(H_{t1}', (\mathsf{unlabel}\ a)[{}^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t21}', {}^t v_{t21})$:
From (F-DR1.1) we know that ${}^t v_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i)$
Therefore from cg-unlabel we know that $H_{t21}' = H_{t1}'$ and ${}^t v_{t21} = {}^t v_i$

B. $(H_{t1}', (!b)[{}^t v_1/a][{}^t v_i/b]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', \mathsf{Lb}\, v_{gi})$:
We get the desired from CG-deref, (F-DR1.2) and (F-DR1.3)

(b) $\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, \mathsf{Lb}\, v_{gi}) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta_1'$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}_1'$

Therefore from (F-DR1) we get $(n-j, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1'$ and snce $i = j+1$ therefore from Lemma 1.83 we get $(n-i, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1'$

Since from (F-DR1.2) we know that $(a_s, a_t) \in \hat{\beta}_1'$ and ${}^s\theta_1'(a_s) = \tau$. Also from (F-DR1) we have $(n-j, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1'$. Therefore from Definition 1.77 we have $(n-j-1, H_{s1}'(a_s), H_{t1}'(a_t)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta_1'(a_s) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

Since $i = j+1$, ${}^s\theta_1'(a_s) = \tau$, $H_{s1}'(a_s) = {}^s v$ and $H_{t1}'(a_t) = {}^t v_g = \mathsf{Lb}\, v_{gi}$
Therefore we get $({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
from (F-DR1.3) and Lemma 1.81

12. FC-assign:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{s1} : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_{t1} \qquad \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{s2} : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{t2} \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)}{\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{s1} := e_{s2} : \mathsf{unit} \rightsquigarrow \\ \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)))), d.\mathsf{ret}())}\ \text{assign}$$

Also given is: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove:

$({}^s\theta, n, (e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s, \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)))), d.\mathsf{ret}())\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 1.77 we are required to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(H_s, (e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v) \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)))), d.\mathsf{ret}())\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f$
$(H_t', {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\gamma, \hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $(H_s, (e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v)$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)))), d.\mathsf{ret}())\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f$
$(H_t', {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-AN0)

IH1:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_{s1}\ \delta^s, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\tau)^{\ell} \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 1.77 we are required to prove

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\gamma, \hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^s v_1.(H_{s1}, e_{s1}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H_{s1}', {}^s v_1) \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}_1' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n - j, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1' \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n - j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(H_s, (e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v)$ therefore $\exists j < n$ s.t $(H_{s1}, e_{s1}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H_{s1}', {}^s v_1)$

Therefore we have

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}_1' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n - j, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1' \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n - j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (F-AN1)

Since from (F-AN1) we know that $({}^s\theta_1', n - j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ therefore from Definition 1.76 we have

$\exists {}^t v_i.{}^t v_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i) \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n - j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (F-AN1.1)

From Definition 1.76 this further means that

${}^s\theta'_1(a_s) = \tau \wedge (a_s, a_t) \in \hat{\beta}'_1$ where ${}^s v_1 = a_s$ and ${}^t v_1 = a_t$ (F-AN1.2)

IH2:

$({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, e_{s2}\ \delta^s, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$

This means from Definition 1.77 we are required to prove

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge \forall k < n-j, {}^s v_2.(H_{s2}, e_{s2}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_k (H'_{s2}, {}^s v_2) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_1, \hat{\beta}'_2 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'_1.$
$(n-j-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$

Instantiating with H'_{s1}, H'_{t1} and since we know that $(H_s, (e_{s2} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v)$ therefore $\exists k < n-j$ s.t $(H_{s2}, e_{s2}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_k (H'_{s2}, {}^s v_2)$

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_1, \hat{\beta}'_2 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'_1.$
$(n-j-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$ (F-AN2)

In order to prove (F-AN0) we choose H'_t as $H'_{t2}[a_t \mapsto {}^s v_2]$, ${}^t v$ as ()

We need to prove

(a) $(H_t, \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)))), d.\mathsf{ret}())\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v)$:
From cg-bind it suffices to prove that
- $(H_t, \mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b))))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_T, {}^t v_T)$:

From cg-toLabeled it suffices to prove that
$(H_t, \mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)))\delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_T, {}^t v_{Ti})$
where ${}^t v_T = \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{Ti}$
From cg-bind it further suffices to prove that:

- $(H_t, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t11}, {}^t v_{t11})$:
  From (F-AN1) we know that $H'_{t11} = H'_{t1}$ and ${}^t v_{t11} = {}^t v_1$
- $(H'_{t1}, \mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b))[{}^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t12}, {}^t v_{t12})$:
  From cg-bind it suffices to prove
  - $(H'_{t1}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t13}, {}^t v_{t13})$:
    From (F-AN2) we know that $H'_{t13} = H'_{t2}$ and ${}^t v_{t13} = {}^t v_2$
  - $(H'_{t1}, \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)[{}^t v_1/a][{}^t v_2/b]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v_{t12})$:
    From cg-bind it suffices to prove that
    * $(H'_{t1}, \mathsf{unlabel}\ a[{}^t v_1/a][{}^t v_2/b]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t21}, {}^t v_{t21})$:
      From (F-AN1.1) we know that
      ${}^t v_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i) \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$
      Therefore from cg-unlabel we know that $H'_{t21} = H'_{t1}$ and ${}^t v_{t21} = {}^t v_i = a_t$
    * $(H'_{t1}, (c := b)[{}^t v_1/a][{}^t v_2/b][{}^t v_i/c]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v)$:
      From cg-assign we know that $H'_t = H'_{t1}[a_t \mapsto {}^t v_2]$ and ${}^t v_{t12} = ()$

Since ${}^t v_{t12} = {}^t v_{Ti} = ()$ therefore ${}^t v_T = \mathsf{Lb}()$
- $(H'_T, \mathsf{ret}()[{}^t v_T/d])\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, ())$:
From cg-ret and cg-val

(b) $\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta'_2$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}'_2$

In order to prove $(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2$ it suffices to prove

- $dom({}^s\theta'_2) \subseteq dom(H'_s)$:

  Since from (F-AN2) we know that $(n-j-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2$ therefore from Definition 1.78 we get $dom({}^s\theta'_2) \subseteq dom(H'_s)$
- $\hat{\beta}'_2 \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta'_2) \times dom(H'_t))$:

  Since from (F-AN2) we know that $(n-j-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2$ therefore from Definition 1.78 we get
  $\hat{\beta}'_2 \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta'_2) \times dom(H'_t))$
- $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}'_2.({}^s\theta'_2, n-i-1, H'_s(a_1), H'_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta'_2(a_1) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$:
  $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}'_2$.
  - $a_1 = a_s$ and $a_1 = a_t$:
    Since from (F-AN2) we know that $({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$

    Also from (F-AN1.2) and Definition 1.74 we know that ${}^s\theta'_2(a_1) = \tau$
    Therefore from Lemma 1.81 we get
    $({}^s\theta'_2, n-i-1, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$
  - $a_1 \neq a_s$ and $a_1 \neq a_t$:

    From (F-AN2) since we know that $(n-j-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2$ therefore from Definition 1.78 we get
    $({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-k-1, H'_{s2}(a_1), H'_{t2}(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta'_2(a_1) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$

    Since $i = j+k+1$ therefore from Lemma 1.81 we get
    $({}^s\theta'_2, n-i-1, H'_{s2}(a_1), H'_{t2}(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta'_2(a_1) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$
  - $a_1 = a_s$ and $a_1 \neq a_t$:
    This case cannot arise
  - $a_1 \neq a_s$ and $a_1 = a_t$:
    This case cannot arise

And in order to prove $({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
Since we know that ${}^s v = ()$ and ${}^t v = ()$ therefore from Definition 1.76 we get $({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

□

Lemma 1.86 (Subtyping lemma)**.** *The following holds:*
$\forall \mathcal{L}, \hat{\beta}$.

1. $\forall \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{A}'$.

   (a) $\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}' \implies \lfloor (\mathsf{A}) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor (\mathsf{A}') \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

2. $\forall \tau, \tau'$.

(a) $\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \implies \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau')\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

(b) $\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau' \implies \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau')\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on $\mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}'$ and $\tau <: \tau'$

Proof of statement 1(a)

We analyse the different cases of $\mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}'$ in the last step:

1. FGsub-arrow:

Given:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1' <: \tau_1 \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \ell_e' \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'} \text{ FGsub-arrow}$$

To prove: $\lfloor((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor((\tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

IH1: $\lfloor(\tau_1')\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_1)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement 2(a))

It suffices to prove: $\forall({}^s\theta, m, \lambda x.e_s, (\lambda x.e_t)) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$.
$({}^s\theta, m, \lambda x.e_s, (\lambda x.e_t)) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means that given some ${}^s\theta, m$ and $\lambda x.e_s, (\lambda x.e_t)$ s.t
$({}^s\theta, m, \lambda x.e_s, (\lambda x.e_t)) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
Therefore from Definition 1.76 we are given:
$\forall {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1, j < m, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1'.({}^s\theta_1', j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'} \implies$
$({}^s\theta_1', j, e_s[{}^s v_1/x]\ \delta^s, e_t[{}^t v_1/x]\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (S-L0)

And it suffices to prove: $({}^s\theta, m, \lambda x.e_s, (\lambda x.e_t)) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Again from Definition 1.76, it suffices to prove:
$\forall {}^s\theta_2' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2, k < m, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_2'.({}^s\theta_2', k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_2'} \implies$
$({}^s\theta_2', k, e_s[{}^s v_2/x]\ \delta^s, e_t[{}^t v_2/x]\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$ (S-L1)

This means that given ${}^s\theta_2' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2, k < m, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_2'$ s.t $({}^s\theta_2', k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$
And we need to prove

$({}^s\theta_2', k, e_s[{}^s v_2/x]\ \delta^s, e_t[{}^t v_2/x]\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$ (S-L2)

Instantiating (S-L0) with ${}^s\theta_2', {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2, k, \hat{\beta}_2'$. Since we have $({}^s\theta_2', k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$ therefore from IH1 we also have
$({}^s\theta_2', k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$

Therefore we get

$({}^s\theta_2', k, e_s[{}^s v_2/x]\ \delta^s, e_t[{}^t v_2/x]\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$

IH2: $\lfloor (\tau_2) \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_2') \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement 2(b))

Finally using IH2 we get

$({}^s\theta_2', k, e_s[{}^s v_2/x]\ \delta^s, e_t[{}^t v_2/x]\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$

2. FGsub-prod:

   Given:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'}\ \text{FGsub-prod}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2)) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2')) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   IH1: $\lfloor (\tau_1) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_1') \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement 2(a))

   IH2: $\lfloor (\tau_2) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_2') \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement 2(a))

   It suffices to prove:

   $\forall ({}^s\theta, m, ({}^s v_1, {}^s v_2), ({}^t v_1, {}^t v_2)) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2)) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, m, ({}^s v_1, {}^s v_2), ({}^t v_1, {}^t v_2)) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2')) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   This means that given some ${}^s\theta, n$ and ${}^s v_1, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_1, {}^t v_2$ s.t

   $({}^s\theta, m, ({}^s v_1, {}^s v_2), ({}^t v_1, {}^t v_2)) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2)) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   Therefore from Definition 1.76 we are given:

   $({}^s\theta, m, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge ({}^s\theta, m, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (S-P0)

   And it suffices to prove: $({}^s\theta, m, ({}^s v_1, {}^s v_2), ({}^t v_1, {}^t v_2)) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2')) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   Again from Definition 1.76, it suffices to prove:

   $({}^s\theta, m, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge ({}^s\theta, m, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (S-P1)

   Since from (S-P0) we know that $({}^s\theta, m, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from IH1 we have $({}^s\theta, m, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   Similarly since we have $({}^s\theta, m, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ from (S-P0) therefore from IH2 we have $({}^s\theta, m, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

3. FGsub-sum:

   Given:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}\ \text{FGsub-sum}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor ((\tau_1 + \tau_2)) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor ((\tau_1' + \tau_2')) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   IH1: $\lfloor (\tau_1) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_1') \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement 2(a))

IH2: $\lfloor(\tau_2)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_2')\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement 2(a))

It suffices to prove: $\forall({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 + \tau_2))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' + \tau_2'))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means that given: $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 + \tau_2))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

And it suffices to prove: $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' + \tau_2'))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

2 cases arise

(a) ${}^sv = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^sv_i$ and ${}^tv = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv_i$:
From Definition 1.76 we are given:
$({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv_i, {}^tv_i) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (S-S0)

And we are required to prove that:
$({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv_i, {}^tv_i) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
From (S-S0) and IH1 get this

(b) ${}^sv = \mathsf{inr}\ {}^sv_i$ and ${}^tv = \mathsf{inr}\ {}^tv_i$:
Symmetric reasoning as in the previous case

4. FGsub-ref:

Given:

$$\frac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{ref}\ \tau <: \mathsf{ref}\ \tau}\ \text{FGsub-ref}$$

To prove: $\lfloor((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

It suffices to prove: $\forall({}^s\theta, n, a_s, a_t) \in \lfloor((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, a_s, a_t) \in \lfloor((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
We get this directly from Definition 1.76

5. FGsub-base:

Given:

$$\frac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{b} <: \mathsf{b}}\ \text{FGsub-base}$$

To prove: $\lfloor((\mathsf{b}))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor((\mathsf{b}))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Directly from Definition 1.76

6. FGsub-unit:

Given:

$$\frac{}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{unit} <: \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{FGsub-unit}$$

To prove: $\lfloor((\mathsf{unit}))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor((\mathsf{unit}))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Directly from Definition 1.76

Proof of statement 2(a)

Given:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} \vdash \ell' \sqsubseteq \ell'' \qquad \mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}'}{\mathcal{L} \vdash \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} <: \mathsf{A}'^{\ell''}} \text{ FGsub-label}$$

To prove: $\lfloor((\mathsf{A}^{\ell'}))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor((\mathsf{A}'^{\ell''}))\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 1.76 we need to prove
$\forall({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i)) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i)) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A}'^{\ell''} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means that given $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i)) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
From Definition 1.76 it further means that we are given
$({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (S-LB0)

And we need to prove
$({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i)) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A}'^{\ell''} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Again from Definition 1.76 it suffices to prove that
$({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A}' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Since $\ell' \sqsubseteq \ell''$ and $\mathsf{A}' <: \mathsf{A}''$ therefore from IH (Statement 1(a)) and (S-LB0) we get the desired

Proof of statement 2(b)

Given: $\mathcal{L} \vdash \tau <: \tau'$
To prove: $\lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau')\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$
This means we need to prove that
$\forall(\theta, n, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}.\ (\theta, n, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor(\tau')\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means given $(\theta, n, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor(\tau)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 1.77 we have

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(H_s, e_s) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v) \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, e_t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n - i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (S-E0)

And it suffices to prove that $({}^s\theta, n, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor(\tau')\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

Again from Definition 1.77 it means we need to prove

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^s v_1.(H_{s1}, e_s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s1}, {}^s v_1) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n - j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n - j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor\tau'\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$

This means that given some H_{s1}, H_{t1} s.t $(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\ell_2, \hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $j < n, {}^s v_1$ s.t $(H_{s1}, e_s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s1}, {}^s v_1)$

And we need to prove
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n - j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n - j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor\tau'\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ (S-E1)

Instantiating (S-E0) with H_{s1}, H_{t1} and with $j, {}^s v_1$. Then we get

$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, e_t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$

Since we have $\tau <: \tau'$. Therefore from IH (Statement 2(a)) we get
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$

□

Theorem 1.87 (Deriving FG NI via compilation)**.** $\forall e_s, {}^s v_1, {}^s v_2, n_1, n_2, H'_{s1}, H'_{s2}, \bot.$
Let $\mathsf{bool} = (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})$
$x : \mathsf{bool}^\top \vdash_\bot e_s : \mathsf{bool}^\bot \wedge$
$\emptyset \vdash_\bot {}^s v_1 : \mathsf{bool}^\top \wedge \emptyset \vdash_\bot {}^s v_2 : \mathsf{bool}^\top \wedge$
$(\emptyset, e_s[{}^s v_1/x]) \Downarrow_{n_1} (H'_{s1}, {}^s v'_1) \wedge$
$(\emptyset, e_s[{}^s v_2/x]) \Downarrow_{n_2} (H'_{s2}, {}^s v'_2) \wedge$
$\implies$
${}^s v'_1 = {}^s v'_2$

Proof. From the FG to CG translation we know that $\exists e_t$ s.t
$x : \mathsf{bool}^\top \vdash e_s : \mathsf{bool}^\bot \rightsquigarrow e_t$
Similarly we also know that $\exists {}^t v_1, {}^t v_2$ s.t
$\emptyset \vdash {}^s v_1 : \mathsf{bool}^\top \rightsquigarrow {}^t v_1$ and $\emptyset \vdash {}^s v_2 : \mathsf{bool}^\top \rightsquigarrow {}^t v_2$ (NI-0)

From type preservation theorem (choosing $\alpha = \overline{\beta} = \bot$) we know that
$x : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \vdash e_t : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool}$
$\emptyset \vdash {}^t v_1 : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool}$
$\emptyset \vdash {}^t v_2 : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool}$ (NI-1)

Since we have $\emptyset \vdash {}^s v_1 : \mathsf{bool}^\top \rightsquigarrow {}^t v_1$
And since ${}^s v_1$ and ${}^t v_1$ are closed terms (from given and NI-1)
Therefore from Theorem 1.85 we have (we choose $n > n_1$ and $n > n_2$)
$(\emptyset, n, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\top \rfloor_E^\emptyset$ (NI-2)

Therefore from Definition 1.77 we have
$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\emptyset}{\triangleright} \emptyset \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(H_s, {}^s v_1) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v) \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v_{11}.(H_t, {}^t v_1) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v_{11}) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq \emptyset, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \emptyset.$
$(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v_{11}) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\top \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Instantiating with $\emptyset, \emptyset$ and from fg-val we know that $H'_s = H_s = \emptyset$, ${}^s v = {}^s v_1$. Therefore we have
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v_{11}.(H_t, {}^t v_1) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v_{11}) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq \emptyset, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \emptyset.$
$(n, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_{11}) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\top \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (NI-2.1)

From Definition 1.76 we know that
${}^t v_{11} = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_{i11}) \wedge ({}^s\theta', n, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_{i11}) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit}) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Again from Definition 1.76 we know that
Either a) ${}^s v_1 = \mathsf{inl}()$ and ${}^t v_{i11} = \mathsf{inl}()$ or b)${}^s v_1 = \mathsf{inr}()$ and ${}^t v_{i11} = \mathsf{inr}()$
But in either case we have that $\emptyset \vdash {}^t v_{i11} : (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})$ (NI-2.2)

As a result we have $\emptyset \vdash {}^t v_{11} : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})$ (NI-2.3)
We give it typing derivation

$$\frac{\overline{\emptyset \vdash {}^t v_{i11} : (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})}\ (\text{NI-2.2})}{\emptyset \vdash \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_{i11}) : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})}$$

From Definition 1.80 and (NI-2.1) we know that
$(\emptyset, n, (x \mapsto {}^s v_1), (x \mapsto {}^t v_{11})) \in \lfloor x \mapsto \mathsf{bool}^\top \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Therefore we can apply Theorem 1.85 to get
$(\emptyset, n, e_s[{}^s v_1/x], e_t[{}^t v_{11}/x]) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\perp \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (NI-2.4)

From Definition 1.77 we get

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} \emptyset \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v_1''.(H_s, e_s[{}^s v_1/x]) \Downarrow_i (H'_{s1}, {}^s v_1'') \implies$
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1''.(H_t, e_t[{}^t v_{11}/x]) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1'') \wedge \exists^s \theta' \sqsupseteq \emptyset, \hat{\beta}'' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(n - i, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^s v_1'', {}^t v_1'') \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\perp \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

Instantiating with $\emptyset, \emptyset, n_1, {}^s v_1'$ we get
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1''.(H_t, e_t[{}^t v_{11}/x]) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1'') \wedge \exists^s \theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(n - n_1, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v_1', {}^t v_1'') \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\perp \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (NI-2.5)

Since we have $({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v_1', {}^t v_1'') \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\perp \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ therefore from Definition 1.76 we have
$\exists {}^t v_{i1}.{}^t v'' = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_{i1}) \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v_1', {}^t v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$
Since $({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v_1', {}^t v_{i1}) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit}) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ therefore from Definition 1.76 two cases arise

- ${}^s v_1' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^s v_{i11}$ and ${}^t v_{i1} = \mathsf{inl} {}^t v_{i11}$:
  From Definition 1.76 we have
  $({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v_{i11}, {}^t v_{i11}) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$
  which means we have ${}^s v_{i11} = {}^t v_{i11}$
- ${}^s v_1' = \mathsf{inr}\ {}^s v_{i11}$ and ${}^t v_{i1} = \mathsf{inr} {}^t v_{i11}$:
  Symmetric reasoning as in the previous case

So no matter which case arise we have ${}^s v_1' = {}^t v_{i1}$

Similarly with other substitution we have $(\emptyset, n, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\top \rfloor_E^{\emptyset}$ (NI-3)

Therefore from Definition 1.77 we have
$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\emptyset}{\triangleright} \emptyset \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(H_s, {}^s v_2) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v) \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v_{22}.(H_t, {}^t v_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v_{22}) \wedge \exists^s \theta' \sqsupseteq \emptyset, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \emptyset.$
$(n - i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v_{22}) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\top \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Instantiating with $\emptyset, \emptyset$ and from fg-val we know that $H'_s = H_s = \emptyset$, ${}^s v = {}^s v_1$. Therefore we have
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v_{22}.(H_t, {}^t v_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v_{22}) \wedge \exists^s \theta' \sqsupseteq \emptyset, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \emptyset.$
$(n, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_{22}) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\top \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (NI-3.1)

From Definition 1.76 we know that
${}^t v_2 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_{i22}) \wedge ({}^s\theta', n, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_{i22}) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit}) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Again from Definition 1.76 we know that
Either a) ${}^s v_2 = \mathsf{inl}()$ and ${}^t v_{i22} = \mathsf{inl}()$ or b)${}^s v_2 = \mathsf{inr}()$ and ${}^t v_{i22} = \mathsf{inr}()$
But in either case we have that $\emptyset \vdash {}^t v_{i22} : (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})$ (NI-3.2)

As a result we have $\emptyset \vdash {}^t v_{22} : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})$ (NI-3.3)
We give it typing derivation

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\emptyset \vdash {}^t v_{i22} : (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})}\ (\text{NI-3.2})}{\emptyset \vdash \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_{i22}) : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})}$$

From Definition 1.80 and (NI-3.1) we know that
$(\emptyset, n, (x \mapsto {}^s v_2), (x \mapsto {}^t v_{22})) \in \lfloor x \mapsto \mathsf{bool}^\top \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Therefore we can apply Theorem 1.85 to get
$(\emptyset, n, e_s[{}^s v_2/x], e_t[{}^t v_{22}/x]) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\bot \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (NI-3.4)

From Definition 1.77 we get

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} \emptyset \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v_2''.(H_s, e_s[{}^s v_2/x]) \Downarrow_i (H'_{s2}, {}^s v_2'') \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2''.(H_t, e_t[{}^t v_{22}/x]) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2'') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq \emptyset, \hat{\beta}'' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(n - i, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^s v_2'', {}^t v_2'') \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\bot \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

Instantiating with $\emptyset, \emptyset, n_2, {}^s v_2'$ we get
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2''.(H_t, e_t[{}^t v_{22}/x]) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2'') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(n - n_1, H'_s, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v_2', {}^t v_2'') \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\bot \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (NI-3.5)

Since we have $({}^s\theta', n - n_2, {}^s v_2', {}^t v_2'') \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\bot \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ therefore from Definition 1.76 we have
$\exists {}^t v_{i2}.{}^t v_2'' = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_{i2}) \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - n_2, {}^s v_2', {}^t v_{i2}) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$
Since $({}^s\theta', n - n_2, {}^s v_2', {}^t v_{i2}) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit}) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ therefore from Definition 1.76 two cases arise

- ${}^s v_2' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^s v_{i22}$ and ${}^t v_{i2} = \mathsf{inl}{}^t v_{i22}$:
  From Definition 1.76 we have
  $({}^s\theta', n - n_2, {}^s v_{i22}, {}^t v_{i22}) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$
  which means we have ${}^s v_{i22} = {}^t v_{i22}$
- ${}^s v_1' = \mathsf{inr}\ {}^s v_{i22}$ and ${}^t v_{i2} = \mathsf{inr}{}^t v_{i22}$:
  Symmetric reasoning as in the previous case

So no matter which case arise we have ${}^s v_2' = {}^t v_{i2}$

We know that $\emptyset \vdash {}^t v_{11} : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool}$ (NI-2.3)
Also we have $\emptyset \vdash {}^t v_{22} : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool}$ (NI-3.3)

Let $e_T = \mathsf{bind}(e_t, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y))$
We show that $x : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \vdash e_T : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool}$ by giving a typing derivation

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{x : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool}, y : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \vdash y : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool}}\ \text{CG-var}}{x : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool}, y : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}(y) : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool}}\ \text{CG-unlabel}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{}{x : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \vdash e_t : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool}}\ \text{From (NI-1)}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{P1 \qquad P2}{x : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_t, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y)) : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool}}$$

Say $e_t[{}^t v_{11}/x]$ reduces in n_{t1} steps in (NI-2.5) and $e_t[{}^t v_{22}/x]$ reduces in n_{t2} steps in (NI-3.5)
We instantiate Theorem 1.70 with $e_T, {}^t v_{11}, {}^t v_{22}, {}^t v_{i1}, {}^t v_{i2}, n_{t1} + 2, n_{t2} + 2, H'_{t1}, H'_{t2}$ and from (NI-2.5) and (NI-3.5) we have ${}^t v_{i1} = {}^t v_{i2}$ and thus ${}^s v'_1 = {}^s v'_2$

□

2 Part II: Alternate development with original HLIO in place of CG

2.1 Fine-grained IFC enforcement (FG)

2.1.1 FG type system

Syntax, types, constraints:

Expressions	e	::=	$x \mid \lambda x.e \mid e\ e \mid (e,e) \mid \mathsf{fst}(e) \mid \mathsf{snd}(e) \mid \mathsf{inl}(e) \mid \mathsf{inr}(e) \mid \mathsf{case}(e, x.e, x.e) \mid \mathsf{new}\ e \mid !e \mid e := e \mid \Lambda e \mid e\ [] \mid \nu\ e \mid e \bullet$
Labels	ℓ, pc	::=	$l \mid \alpha \mid \ell \sqcup \ell \mid \ell \sqcap \ell$
(Labeled) Types	τ	::=	A^ℓ
Unlabeled types	A	::=	$\mathsf{b} \mid \tau \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau \mid \tau \times \tau \mid \tau + \tau \mid \mathsf{ref}\ \tau \mid \mathsf{unit} \mid \forall\alpha.(\ell_e, \tau) \mid c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau$
Constraints	c	::=	$\ell \sqsubseteq \ell \mid (c, c)$

Lemma 2.1 (FG: Reflexivity of subtyping)**.** *The following hold:*

1. *For all* Σ, Ψ, τ*:* $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau$
2. *For all* Σ, Ψ, A*:* $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}$

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on τ and A.

Proof of statement (1)

Let $\tau = \mathsf{A}^\ell$. Then, we have:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}}\ \text{IH(2)} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A}^\ell <: \mathsf{A}^\ell}\ \text{FGsub-label}$$

Proof of statement (2)

We proceed by cases on A.

1. $\mathsf{A} = \mathsf{b}$:

$$\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{b} <: \mathsf{b}}\ \text{FGsub-base}$$

2. $\mathsf{A} = \mathsf{ref}\ \tau$:

$$\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{ref}\ \tau <: \mathsf{ref}\ \tau}\ \text{FGsub-ref}$$

3. $\mathsf{A} = \tau_1 \times \tau_2$:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1 \times \tau_2}$$

Type system: $\boxed{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau}$

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash_{pc} x : \tau}\ \text{FG-var} \qquad \frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \lambda x.e : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp}}\ \text{FG-lam}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 \searrow \ell \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1\ e_2 : \tau_2}\ \text{FG-app}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : \tau_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp}}\ \text{FG-prod}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{fst}(e) : \tau_1}\ \text{FG-fst} \qquad \frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau_1}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{inl}(e) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp}}\ \text{FG-inl}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c}\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\ell} \\ \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{pc \sqcup \ell} e_1 : \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, y : \tau_2 \vdash_{pc \sqcup \ell} e_2 : \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell\end{array}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{case}(e, x.e_1, y.e_2) : \tau}\ \text{FG-case}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc'} e : \tau' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash pc \sqsubseteq pc' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau' <: \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau}\ \text{FG-sub}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow pc}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{new}\ e : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp}}\ \text{FG-ref}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau' \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} !e : \tau'}\ \text{FG-deref}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 := e_2 : \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{FG-assign}$$

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} () : \mathsf{unit}^{\perp}}\ \text{FG-unitI} \qquad \frac{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \Lambda e : (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\perp}}\ \text{FG-FI}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c}\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\ell} \\ \mathrm{FV}(\ell') \subseteq \Sigma \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e[\ell'/\alpha] \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \searrow \ell\end{array}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e\ [] : \tau[\ell'/\alpha]}\ \text{FG-FE}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi, c; \Gamma \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \nu\ e : (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\perp}}\ \text{FG-CI}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash c \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e \bullet : \tau}\ \text{FG-CE}$$

Figure 8: Type system for FG

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A}^{\ell} <: \mathsf{A}'^{\ell'}}\ \text{FGsub-label} \qquad \frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{b} <: \mathsf{b}}\ \text{FGsub-base}$$

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{ref}\ \tau <: \mathsf{ref}\ \tau}\ \text{FGsub-ref} \qquad \frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'}\ \text{FGsub-prod}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}\ \text{FGsub-sum}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1' <: \tau_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell_e' \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'}\ \text{FGsub-arrow}$$

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{unit} <: \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{FGsub-unit} \qquad \frac{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \forall \alpha.\tau_1 <: \forall \alpha.\tau_2}\ \text{FGsub-forall}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c_2 \implies c_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi, c_2 \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1 <: c_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2}\ \text{FGsub-constraint}$$

Figure 9: FG subtyping

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A}\ WF \qquad \mathrm{FV}(\ell) \in \Sigma}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A}^{\ell}\ WF}\ \text{FG-wff-label} \qquad \frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{b}\ WF}\ \text{FG-wff-base}$$

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{unit}\ WF}\ \text{FG-wff-unit} \qquad \frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1\ WF \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2\ WF \qquad \mathrm{FV}(\ell_e) \in \Sigma}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2\ WF}\ \text{FG-wff-arrow}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1\ WF \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2\ WF}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2\ WF}\ \text{FG-wff-prod} \qquad \frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1\ WF \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2\ WF}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2\ WF}\ \text{FG-wff-sum}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{FV}(\tau) = \emptyset}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\ WF}\ \text{FG-wff-ref} \qquad \frac{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \tau\ WF \qquad \mathrm{FV}(\ell_e) \in \Sigma}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))\ WF}\ \text{FG-wff-forall}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau\ WF \qquad \mathrm{FV}(c) \in \Sigma \qquad \mathrm{FV}(\ell_e) \in \Sigma}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (c\ \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow}\ \tau))\ WF}\ \text{FG-wff-constraint}$$

Figure 10: Well-formedness relation for FG

4. $\mathsf{A} = \tau_1 + \tau_2$:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1 + \tau_2}$$

5. $\mathsf{A} = \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2$:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2}\ \text{IH(2) on } \tau_2 \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell_e \sqsubseteq \ell_e}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 <: \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2}$$

6. $\mathsf{A} = \mathsf{unit}$:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{unit} <: \mathsf{unit}}$$

7. $\mathsf{A} = \forall \alpha.\tau_i$:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \tau_i <: \tau_i}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_i}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \forall \alpha.\tau_i <: \forall \alpha.\tau_i}$$

8. $\mathsf{A} = c \Rightarrow \tau_i$:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c \implies c} \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi, c \vdash \tau_i <: \tau_i}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_i}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c \Rightarrow \tau <: c \Rightarrow \tau_i}$$

□

2.1.2 FG semantics

Judgement: $(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', v)$

The semantics are described in Figure 11

2.1.3 Logical relation for FG

$W : ((Loc \mapsto Type) \times (Loc \mapsto Type) \times (Loc \leftrightarrow Loc))$

Definition 2.2 (FG: θ_2 extends θ_1)**.** $\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_2 \triangleq$
$\forall a \in \theta_1.\theta_1(a) = \tau \implies \theta_2(a) = \tau$

Definition 2.3 (FG: W_2 extends W_1)**.** $W_1 \sqsubseteq W_2 \triangleq$

1. $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\ W_1.\theta_i \sqsubseteq W_2.\theta_i$
2. $\forall p \in (W_1.\hat{\beta}).p \in (W_2.\hat{\beta})$

$$\frac{(H, e_1) \Downarrow_i (H', \lambda x.e_i) \qquad (H', e_2) \Downarrow_j (H'', v_2) \qquad (H'', e_i[v_2/x]) \Downarrow_k (H''', v_3)}{(H, e_1\ e_2) \Downarrow_{i+j+k+1} (H''', v_3)}\ \text{fg-app}$$

$$\frac{(H, e_1) \Downarrow_i (H', v_1) \qquad (H', e_2) \Downarrow_j (H'', v_2)}{(H, (e_1, e_2)) \Downarrow_{i+j+1} (H'', (v_1, v_2))}\ \text{fg-prod} \qquad \frac{(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', (v_1, v_2))}{(H, \mathsf{fst}(e)) \Downarrow_{i+1} (H', v_1)}\ \text{fg-fst}$$

$$\frac{(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', (v_1, v_2))}{(H, \mathsf{snd}(e)) \Downarrow_{i+1} (H', v_2)}\ \text{fg-snd} \qquad \frac{(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', v)}{(H, \mathsf{inl}(e)) \Downarrow_{i+1} (H', \mathsf{inl}(v))}\ \text{fg-inl}$$

$$\frac{(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', v)}{(H, \mathsf{inr}(e)) \Downarrow_{i+1} (H', \mathsf{inr}(v))}\ \text{fg-inr} \qquad \frac{(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', \mathsf{inl}\ v) \qquad (H', e_1[v/x]) \Downarrow_j (H'', v_1)}{(H, \mathsf{case}(e, x.e_1, y.e_2)) \Downarrow_{i+j+1} (H'', v_1)}\ \text{fg-case1}$$

$$\frac{(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', \mathsf{inr}\ v) \qquad (H', e_2[v/x]) \Downarrow_j (H'', v_2)}{(H, \mathsf{case}(e, x.e_1, y.e_2)) \Downarrow_{i+j+1} (H'', v_2)}\ \text{fg-case2}$$

$$\frac{(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', \Lambda\ e_i) \qquad (H', e_i) \Downarrow_j (H'', v)}{(H, e[]) \Downarrow_{i+j+1} (H'', v)}\ \text{fg-FE}$$

$$\frac{(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', \nu\ e_i) \qquad (H', e_i) \Downarrow_j (H'', v)}{(H, e\bullet) \Downarrow_{i+j+1} (H'', v)}\ \text{fg-CE}$$

$$\frac{(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', v) \qquad a \notin dom(H)}{(H, \mathsf{new}\ (e)) \Downarrow_{i+1} (H'[a \mapsto v], a)}\ \text{fg-ref} \qquad \frac{(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', a)}{(H, !e) \Downarrow_{i+1} (H', H(a))}\ \text{fg-deref}$$

$$\frac{(H, e_1) \Downarrow_i (H', a) \qquad (H', e_2) \Downarrow_j (H'', v)}{(H, e_1 := e_2) \Downarrow_{i+j+1} (H''[a \mapsto v], ())}\ \text{fg-assign} \qquad \frac{e \in \{x, \lambda y.-, \Lambda-, \nu-\}}{(H, e) \Downarrow_0 (H, e)}\ \text{fg-val}$$

Figure 11: FG semantics

Definition 2.4 (FG: Binary value relation)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\lceil \mathsf{b} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W,n,v_1,v_2) \mid v_1 = v_2 \wedge \{v_1,v_2\} \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!]\} \\
\lceil \mathsf{unit} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W,n,(),()) \mid () \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!]\} \\
\lceil \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W,n,(v_1,v_2),(v_1',v_2')) \mid (W,n,v_1,v_1') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W,n,v_2,v_2') \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}\} \\
\lceil \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W,n,\mathsf{inl}\ v,\mathsf{inl}\ v') \mid (W,n,v,v') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}\} \cup \\
 & & \{(W,n,\mathsf{inr}\ v,\mathsf{inr}\ v') \mid (W,n,v,v') \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}\} \\
\lceil \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W,n,\lambda x.e_1,\lambda x.e_2) \mid \\
 & & \forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2. \\
 & & ((W',j,v_1,v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies (W',j,e_1[v_1/x],e_2[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge \\
 & & \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j, v_c. \\
 & & ((\theta_l,j,v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l,j,e_1[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}) \wedge \\
 & & \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j, v_c. \\
 & & ((\theta_l,j,v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l,j,e_2[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e})\} \\
\lceil \forall \alpha.(\ell_e,\tau) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W,n,\Lambda e_1,\Lambda e_2) \mid \\
 & & \forall W' \sqsupseteq W, n' < n, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}. \\
 & & ((W',n',e_1,e_2) \in \lceil \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge \\
 & & \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta_l,j,e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]}) \wedge \\
 & & \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta_l,j,e_2) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]})\} \\
\lceil c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W,n,\nu e_1,\nu e_2) \mid \\
 & & \forall W' \sqsupseteq W, n' < n. \\
 & & \mathcal{L} \models c \implies (W',n',e_1,e_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge \\
 & & \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l,j,e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\ell_e} \wedge \\
 & & \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l,j,e_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}\} \\
\lceil \mathsf{ref}\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W,n,a_1,a_2) \mid \\
 & & (a_1,a_2) \in W.\hat{\beta} \wedge W.\theta_1(a_1) = W.\theta_2(a_2) = \tau\}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\lceil \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \triangleq \begin{cases} \{(W,n,v_1,v_2) \mid (W,n,v_1,v_2) \in \lceil \mathsf{A} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}\} & \ell' \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A} \\ \{(W,n,v_1,v_2) \mid \forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.(W(n).\theta_i,m,v_i) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V\} & \ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A} \end{cases}
$$

Definition 2.5 (FG: Binary expression relation)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\lceil \tau \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W,n,e_1,e_2) \mid \\
 & & \forall H_1,H_2,j < n.(n,H_1,H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \\
 & & (H_1,e_1) \Downarrow_j (H_1',v_1') \wedge (H_2,e_2) \Downarrow (H_2',v_2') \implies \\
 & & \exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-j,H_1',H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W',n-j,v_1',v_2') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}\}
\end{array}
$$

Definition 2.6 (FG: Unary value relation)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\lfloor \mathsf{b} \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta,m,v) \mid v \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!]\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta,m,v \mid v \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta,m,(v_1,v_2)) \mid (\theta,m,v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \wedge (\theta,m,v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta,m,\mathsf{inl}\ v) \mid (\theta,m,v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V\} \cup \{(\theta,m,\mathsf{inr}\ v) \mid (\theta,m,v) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta,m,\lambda x.e) \mid \forall \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j < m.\forall v.(\theta',j,v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies \\
 & & (\theta',j,e[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}\} \\
\lfloor \forall \alpha.(\ell_e,\tau) \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta,m,\Lambda e) \mid \forall \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'.\forall m' < m.\forall \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.(\theta',m',e) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell'/\alpha]}\} \\
\lfloor c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau) \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta,m,\nu e) \mid \forall \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'.\forall m' < m.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta',m',e) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{ref}\ \tau \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta,m,a) \mid \theta(a) = \tau\}
\end{array}
$$

$$\lfloor \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \rfloor_V \triangleq \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V$$

Definition 2.7 (FG: Unary expression relation)**.**

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{pc} & \triangleq & \{(\theta, n, e) \mid \forall H.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall j < n.(H, e) \Downarrow_j (H', v') \implies \\ & & \exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge \\ & & (\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge \\ & & (\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)\} \end{array}$$

Definition 2.8 (FG: Unary heap well formedness)**.**

$$(n, H) \triangleright \theta \quad \triangleq \quad dom(\theta) \subseteq dom(H) \wedge \forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta(a) \rfloor_V$$

Definition 2.9 (FG: Binary heap well formedness)**.**

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W & \triangleq & dom(W.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1) \wedge dom(W.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2) \wedge \\ & & (W.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W.\theta_1) \times dom(W.\theta_2)) \wedge \\ & & \forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W.\hat{\beta}).(W.\theta_1(a_1) = W.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge \\ & & (W, n-1, H_1(a_1), H_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge \\ & & \forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i \in dom(W.\theta_i).(W.\theta_i, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V \end{array}$$

Definition 2.10 (FG: Label substitution)**.** $\sigma : Lvar \mapsto Label$

Definition 2.11 (FG: Value substitution to value pairs)**.** $\gamma : Var \mapsto (Val, Val)$

Definition 2.12 (FG: Value substitution to values)**.** $\delta : Var \mapsto Val$

Definition 2.13 (FG: Unary interpretation of Γ)**.**

$$\lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V \quad \triangleq \quad \{(\theta, n, \delta) \mid dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta, n, \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V\}$$

Definition 2.14 (FG: Binary interpretation of Γ)**.**

$$\lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \quad \triangleq \quad \{(W, n, \gamma) \mid dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W, n, \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}\}$$

2.1.4 Soundness proof for FG

Lemma 2.15 (FG: Binary value relation subsumes unary value relation)**.** $\forall W, v_1, v_2, \mathcal{A}, n.$ *The following holds:*

1. $\forall \mathsf{A}.$

   $(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \mathsf{A} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies \forall i \in \{1,2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, v_i) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V$

2. $\forall \tau.$

   $(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies \forall i \in \{1,2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on A and τ

Proof of statement (1)

We analyze the various cases of A in the last step:

1. Case b:

   From Definition 2.6

2. Case $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$:

   Given: $(W, n, (v_{i1}, v_{i2}), (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lceil \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   To prove:

   $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, (v_{i1}, v_{i2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ (P01)

   and

   $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_2, m, (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ (P02)

   From Definition 2.4 we know that we are given

   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W, n, v_{i2}, v_{j2}) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (P1)

   IH1a: $\forall m_1.\ (W.\theta_1, m_1, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ and

   IH1b: $\forall m_1.\ (W.\theta_2, m_1, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

   IH2a: $\forall m_2.\ (W.\theta_1, m_2, v_{i2}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ and

   IH2b: $\forall m_2.\ (W.\theta_2, m_2, v_{j2}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   From (P01) we know that given some m we need to prove

   $(W.\theta_1, m, (v_{i1}, v_{i2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   Similarly from (P02) we know that given some m we need to prove

   $(W.\theta_2, m, (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   We instantiate IH1a and IH2a with the given m from (P01) to get

   $(W.\theta_1, m, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_1, m, v_{i2}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   Then from Definition 2.6, we get

   $(W.\theta_1, m, (v_{i1}, v_{i2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   Similarly we instantiate IH1b and IH2b with the given m from (P02) to get

   $(W.\theta_2, m, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, m, v_{j2}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   Then from Definition 2.6, we get

   $(W.\theta_2, m, (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

3. Case $\tau_1 + \tau_2$:

   2 cases arise:

   (a) $v_1 = \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1})$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{inl}(v_{j1})$

   Given: $(W, n, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1}), \mathsf{inl}(v_{j1})) \in \lceil \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   To prove:

   $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ (S01)

   and

   $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_2, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ (S02)

   From Definition 2.4 we know that we are given

   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (S0)

   IH1: $\forall m_1.\ (W.\theta_1, m_1, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ and

IH2: $\forall m_2.\ (W.\theta_2, m_2, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

From (S01) we know that given some m and we are required to prove:
$(W.\theta_1, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$
Also from (S02) we know that given some m and we are required to prove:
$(W.\theta_2, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

We instantiate IH1 with m from (S01) to get
$(W.\theta_1, m, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
Therefore from Definition 2.6, we get
$(W.\theta_1, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

We instantiate IH2 with m from (S02) to get
$(W.\theta_2, m, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
Therefore from Definition 2.6, we get
$(W.\theta_2, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{j1})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

(b) $v_1 = \mathsf{inr}(v_{i2})$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{inr}(v_{j2})$
Symmetric case as (a)

4. Case $\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2$:

Given: $(W, n, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means from Definition 2.4 we know that

$$\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2.((W', j, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies (W', j, e_1[v_1/x], e_2[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$$
$$\wedge\ \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, i, v_c.((\theta_l, i, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, i, e_1[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E)$$
$$\wedge\ \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, v_c.((\theta_l, k, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, k, e_2[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E) \qquad \text{(L0)}$$

To prove:

(a) $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \lambda x.e_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rfloor_V$:
This means from Definition 2.6 we need to prove:
$\forall \theta'. W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j < m. \forall v.(\theta', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta', j, e_1[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E$
This further means that we have some θ', j and v s.t
$W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge j < m \wedge (\theta', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
And we need to prove: $(\theta', j, e_1[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E$

Instantiating θ_l, i and v_c in the second conjunct of L0 with θ', j and v respectively and since we know that $W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'$ and $(\theta', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

Therefore we get $(\theta', j, e_1[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E$

(b) $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_2, m, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rfloor_V$:
Similar reasoning with e_2

5. Case $\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau)$:

Given: $(W, n, \Lambda e_1, \Lambda e_2) \in \lceil \forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means from Definition 2.4 we know that

$\forall\, W_b \sqsupseteq W, n_b < n, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.((W_b, n_b, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}})$
$\wedge\ \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, i, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta_l, i, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]})$
$\wedge\ \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, i, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta_l, i, e_2) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]})$ (F0)

To prove:

(a) $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \Lambda e_1) \in \lfloor \forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau) \rfloor_V$:
This means from Definition 2.6 we need to prove:
$\forall \theta'. W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'. \forall m' < m. \forall \ell_u \in \mathcal{L}.(\theta', m', e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell_u/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell_u/\alpha]}$
This further means that we are given some θ', m' and ℓ_u s.t $W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'$, $m' < m$ and $\ell_u \in \mathcal{L}$
And we need to prove: $(\theta', m', e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell_u/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell_u/\alpha]}$

Instantiating θ_l, i and ℓ'' in the second conjunct of F0 with θ', m' and ℓ_u respectively and since we know that $W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'$ and $\ell_u \in \mathcal{L}$

Therefore we get $(\theta', m', e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell_u/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell_u/\alpha]}$

(b) $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_2, m, \Lambda e_2) \in \lfloor \forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau) \rfloor_V$:
Symmetric reasoning for e_2

6. Case $c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau$:

Given: $(W, n, \nu e_1, \nu e_2) \in \lceil c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 2.4 we know that

$\forall\, W_b \sqsupseteq W, n' < n. \mathcal{L} \models c \implies (W_b, n', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$
$\wedge\, \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j. \mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l, j, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\ell_e})$
$\wedge\, \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j. \mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l, j, e_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\ell_e})$ (C0)

To prove:

(a) $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \nu e_1) \in \lfloor c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau \rfloor_V$:
This means from Definition 2.6 we need to prove:
$\forall \theta'. W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'. \forall m' < m. \mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta', m', e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$
This further means that we are given some θ' and m' s.t $W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'$, $m' < m$ and $\mathcal{L} \models c$
And we need to prove: $(\theta', m', e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

Instantiating θ_l, j in the second conjunct of C0 with θ', m' respectively and since we know that $W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'$ and $\mathcal{L} \models c$

Therefore we get $(\theta', m', e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

(b) $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_2, m, \nu e_2) \in \lfloor c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau \rfloor_V$:
Symmetric reasoning for e_2

7. Case $\mathsf{ref}\ \tau$:

From Definition 2.4 and 2.6

Proof of statement (2)
Let $\tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell}$
2 cases arise:

1. $\ell \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

   From IH (statement(1))

2. $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

   Directly from Definition 2.4

□

Lemma 2.16 (FG: Monotonicity Unary)**.** *The following holds:*
$\forall \theta, \theta', v, m, m'.$

1. $\forall \mathsf{A}.\ (\theta, m, v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V \wedge m' < m \wedge \theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \implies (\theta', m', v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V$

2. $\forall \tau.\ (\theta, m, v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge m' < m \wedge \theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \implies (\theta', m', v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on A and τ
Proof of statement (1)
We analyze the various cases of A in the last step:

1. case b:

   Directly from Definition 2.6

2. case $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$:

   Given: $(\theta, m, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   To prove: $(\theta', m', (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   This means from Definition 2.6 we know that

   $(\theta, m, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \wedge (\theta, m, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   IH1 : $(\theta', m', v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

   IH2 : $(\theta', m', v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   We get the desired from IH1, IH2 and Definition 2.6

3. case $\tau_1 + \tau_2$:

   2 cases arise:

   (a) $v = \mathsf{inl}(v_1)$:
   Given: $(\theta, m, (\mathsf{inl}\ v_1)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$
   To prove: $(\theta', m', \mathsf{inl}\ v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$
   This means from Definition 2.6 we know that
   $(\theta, m, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
   IH : $(\theta', m', v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
   Therefore from IH and Definition 2.6 we get the desired

   (b) $v = \mathsf{inr}(v_2)$
   Symmetric case

4. case $\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2$:

   Given: $(\theta, m, (\lambda x.e_1)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   To prove: $(\theta', m', (\lambda x.e_1)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   This means from Definition 2.6 we know that

$$\forall \theta''.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'' \wedge \forall j < m.\forall v.(\theta'', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta'', j, e_1[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e} \tag{69}$$

   Similarly from Definition 2.6 we know that we are required to prove
   $\forall \theta'''.\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta''' \wedge \forall k < m'.\forall v_1.(\theta''', k, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta''', k, e_1[v_1/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

   This means that given some θ''', k and v_1 such that $\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta''' \wedge k < m' \wedge (\theta''', k, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

   And we are required to prove $(\theta''', k, e_1[v_1/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

   Instantiating Equation 143 with θ''', k and v_1 and since we know that $\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta'''$ and $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'$ therefore we have $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'''$. Also, we know that $k < m' < m$ and $(\theta''', k, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

   Therefore we get $(\theta''', k, e_1[v_1/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

5. case $\mathsf{ref}\ \tau$:

   From Definition 2.6 and Definition 2.2

6. case $\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau)$:

   Given: $(\theta, m, (\Lambda e_1)) \in \lfloor \forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau) \rfloor_V$

   To prove: $(\theta', m', (\Lambda e_1)) \in \lfloor \forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau) \rfloor_V$

   This means from Definition 2.6 we know that

$$\forall \theta''.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'' \wedge \forall j < m.\forall \ell_i \in \mathcal{L}.(\theta'', j, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell_i/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell_i/\alpha]} \tag{70}$$

   Similarly from Definition 2.6 we know that we are required to prove
   $\forall \theta'''.\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta''' \wedge \forall k < m'.\forall \ell_j \in \mathcal{L}.(\theta''', k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell_j/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell_j/\alpha]}$

   This means that given some θ''', k and ℓ_j such that $\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta''' \wedge k < m' \wedge \ell_j \in \mathcal{L}$

   And we are required to prove $(\theta''', k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell_j/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell_j/\alpha]}$

   Instantiating Equation 70 with θ''', k and ℓ_j and since we know that $\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta'''$ and $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'$ therefore we have $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'''$. Also, we know that $k < m' < m$ and $\ell_j \in \mathcal{L}$

   Therefore we get $(\theta''', k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell_j/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell_j/\alpha]}$

7. case $c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau$:

   Given: $(\theta, m, (\nu e_1)) \in \lfloor c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau \rfloor_V$

   To prove: $(\theta', m', (\nu e_1)) \in \lfloor c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau \rfloor_V$

   This means from Definition 2.6 we know that

$$\forall \theta''.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'' \wedge \forall j < m.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta'', j, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\ell_e} \tag{71}$$

Similarly from Definition 2.6 we know that we are required to prove
$\forall \theta'''.\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta''' \wedge \forall k < m'.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta''', k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

This means that given some θ''', k and ℓ_j such that $\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta''' \wedge k < m' \wedge \ell_j \in \mathcal{L}$

And we are required to prove $(\theta''', k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

Instantiating Equation 71 with θ''', k and since we know that $\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta'''$ and $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'$ therefore we have $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'''$. Also, we know that $k < m' < m$ and $\mathcal{L} \models c$

Therefore we get $(\theta''', k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

Proof of statement (2)
Let $\tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell}$
Since $\lfloor \mathsf{A}^{\ell} \rfloor_V = \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V$, therefore from IH (statement 1) □

Lemma 2.17 (FG: Monotonicity binary)**.** *The following holds:*
$\forall W, W', v_1, v_2, \mathcal{A}, n, n'.$

1. $\forall \mathsf{A}.\ (W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \mathsf{A} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge n' < n \wedge W \sqsubseteq W' \implies (W', n', v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \mathsf{A} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
2. $\forall \tau.\ (W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge n' < n \wedge W \sqsubseteq W' \implies (W', n', v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on A and τ
Proof of statement (1)
We analyze the different cases of A in the last step:

1. Case b:

   From Definition 2.4

2. Case $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$:

   Given: $(W, n, (v_{i1}, v_{i2}), (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lceil \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   To prove: $(W', n', (v_{i1}, v_{i2}), (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lceil \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   From Definition 2.4 we know that we are given
   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W, n, v_{i2}, v_{j2}) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   IH1 : $(W', n', v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   IH2 : $(W', n', v_{i2}, v_{j2}) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   From IH1, IH2 and Definition 2.4 we get the desired.

3. Case $\tau_1 + \tau_2$:

   2 cases arise:

(a) $v_1 = \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i1}$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i2}$:
Given: $(W, n, (\mathsf{inl}\ v_{i1}, \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i2})) \in \lceil \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
To prove: $(W', n', (\mathsf{inl}\ v_{i1}, \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i2})) \in \lceil \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

From Definition 2.4 we know that we are given
$(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
IH : $(W', n', v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
Therefore from Definition 2.4 we get
$(W', n', \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i1}, \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

(b) $v_1 = \mathsf{inr}(v_{12})$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{inr}(v_{22})$:
Symmetric case

4. Case $\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2$:

Given: $(W, n, (\lambda x.e_1), (\lambda x.e_2)) \in \lceil \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

To prove: $(\theta', n', (\lambda x.e_1), (\lambda x.e_1)) \in \lceil \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means from Definition 2.4 we know that the following holds

$\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2.((W', j, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies (W', j, e_1[v_1/x], e_2[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$ (BM-A0)

$\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j, v_c.((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_1[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E)$ (BM-A1)

$\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j, v_c.((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_2[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E)$ (BM-A2)

Similarly from Definition 2.4 we know that we are required to prove

(a) $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W', k < n', v_1', v_2'.((W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies (W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$:
This means that we are given some $W'' \sqsupseteq W'$, $k < n'$ and v_1', v_2' s.t
$(W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
And we a required to prove: $(W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

Instantiating BM-A0 with W'', k and v_1', v_2' we get
$(W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

(b) $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_1, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E)$:
This means that we are given some $\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_1$, k and v_c' s.t
$(\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
And we a required to prove: $(\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E$

Instantiating BM-A1 with θ_l', k and v_c' we get
$(\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E$

(c) $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_2[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E)$:
This means that we are given some $\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_2$, k and v_c' s.t
$(\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
And we a required to prove: $(\theta_l', k, e_2[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E$

Instantiating BM-A1 with θ_l', k and v_c' we get
$(\theta_l', k, e_2[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E$

5. Case $\mathsf{ref}\ \tau$:

   From Definition 2.4 and Definition 2.3

6. Case $\forall\alpha.(\ell_e, \tau)$:

   Given: $(W, n, (\Lambda e_1), (\Lambda e_2)) \in \lceil \forall\alpha.(\ell_e, \tau) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   To prove: $(\theta', n', (\Lambda e_1), (\Lambda e_1)) \in \lceil \forall\alpha.(\ell_e, \tau) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   This means from Definition 2.4 we know that the following holds

   $\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, n' < n, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.((W', n', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$ (BM-F0)

   $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta_l, j, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rfloor^{\ell_e[\ell'/\alpha]}_E)$ (BM-F1)

   $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta_l, j, e_2) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rfloor^{\ell_e[\ell'/\alpha]}_E)$ (BM-F2)

   Similarly from Definition 2.4 we know that we are required to prove

   (a) $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W', n'' < n', \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$:
   This means that we are given some $W'' \sqsupseteq W'$, $n'' < n'$ and $\ell'' \in \mathcal{L}$
   And we a required to prove: $((W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$

   Instantiating BM-F0 with W'', n'' and ℓ''. And since $W'' \sqsupseteq W'$ and $W' \sqsupseteq W$ therefore $W'' \sqsupseteq W$. Also since $n'' < n'$ and $n' < n$ therefore $n'' < n$. And finally since $\ell'' \in \mathcal{L}$ therefore we get
   $((W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$

   (b) $\forall \theta'_l \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_1, k, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta'_l, k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor^{\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]}_E)$:
   This means that we are given some $\theta'_l \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_1$, k and $\ell'' \in \mathcal{L}$
   And we a required to prove: $((\theta'_l, k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor^{\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]}_E)$

   Instantiating BM-F1 with θ'_l, k and ℓ''. And since $\theta'_l \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_1$ and $W' \sqsupseteq W$ therefore $\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1$. And since $\ell'' \in \mathcal{L}$ therefore we get
   $((\theta'_l, k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor^{\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]}_E)$

   (c) $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta'_l, k, e_2) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor^{\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]}_E)$:
   This means that we are given some $\theta'_l \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_2$, k and $\ell'' \in \mathcal{L}$
   And we a required to prove: $((\theta'_l, k, e_2) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor^{\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]}_E)$

   Instantiating BM-F1 with θ'_l, k and ℓ''. And since $\theta'_l \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_2$ and $W' \sqsupseteq W$ therefore $\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2$. And since $\ell'' \in \mathcal{L}$ therefore we get
   $((\theta'_l, k, e_2) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor^{\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]}_E)$

7. Case $c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau$:

   Given: $(W, n, (\nu e_1), (\nu e_2)) \in \lceil c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   To prove: $(\theta', n', (\nu e_1), (\nu e_1)) \in \lceil c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   This means from Definition 2.4 we know that the following holds

   $\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, n' < n.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (W', n', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$ (BM-C0)

   $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l, j, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E$ (BM-C1)

   $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l, j, e_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E$ (BM-C2)

   Similarly from Definition 2.4 we know that we are required to prove

(a) $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W', n'' < n.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$:
This means that we are given some $W'' \sqsupseteq W'$, $n'' < n'$ and $\mathcal{L} \models c$
And we a required to prove: $(W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating BM-C0 with W'', n''. And since $W'' \sqsupseteq W'$ and $W' \sqsupseteq W$ therefore $W'' \sqsupseteq W$. And since $\mathcal{L} \models c$ therefore we get
$(W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

(b) $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_1, k.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l', k, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$:
This means that we are given some $\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_1$, k and $\mathcal{L} \models c$
And we a required to prove: $(\theta_l', k, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

Instantiating BM-F1 with θ_l', k. And since $\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_1$ and $W' \sqsupseteq W$ therefore $\theta_1' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1$. And since $\mathcal{L} \models c$ therefore we get
$(\theta_l', k, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

(c) $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_2, k.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l, k, e_2) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$:
This means that we are given some $\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_2$, k and $\mathcal{L} \models c$
And we a required to prove: $(\theta_l', k, e_2) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

Instantiating BM-F1 with θ_l', k. And since $\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_2$ and $W' \sqsupseteq W$ therefore $\theta_2' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2$. And since $\mathcal{L} \models c$ therefore we get
$(\theta_l', k, e_2) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_E^{\ell_e}$

Proof of statement (2)
Let $\tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell}$
2 cases arise:

1. $\ell \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

   From IH (statement 1)

2. $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

   From Lemma 2.16 and Definition 2.4

□

Lemma 2.18 (FG: Unary monotonicity for Γ)**.** $\forall \theta, \theta', \delta, \Gamma, n, n'.$
$(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V \wedge n' < n \wedge \theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \implies (\theta', n', \delta) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$

Proof. Given: $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V \wedge n' < n \wedge \theta \sqsubseteq \theta'$
To prove: $(\theta', n', \delta) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.13 it is given that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta, n, \delta(x)) \in \lfloor\Gamma(x)\rfloor_V$

And again from Definition 2.13 we are required to prove that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta', n', \delta(x)) \in \lfloor\Gamma(x)\rfloor_V$

- $dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta)$:

  Given

- $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta', n', \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$:

  Since we know that $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta, n, \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$ (given)

  Therefore from Lemma 2.16 we get

  $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta', n', \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$

□

Lemma 2.19 (FG: Binary monotonicity for Γ)**.** $\forall W, W', \delta, \Gamma, n, n'.$
$(W, n, \gamma) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V \wedge n' < n \wedge W \sqsubseteq W' \implies (W', n', \gamma) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Proof. Given: $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V \wedge n' < n \wedge W \sqsubseteq W'$
To prove: $(W', n', \gamma) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.14 it is given that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W, n, \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

And again from Definition 2.13 we are required to prove that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W', n', \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

- $dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma)$:

  Given

- $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W', n', \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:

  Since we know that $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W, n, \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (given)

  Therefore from Lemma 2.17 we get

  $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W', n', \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

□

Lemma 2.20 (FG: Unary monotonicity for H)**.** $\forall \theta, H, n, n'.$
$(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge n' < n \implies (n', H) \triangleright \theta$

Proof. Given: $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge n' < n$
To prove: $(n', H) \triangleright \theta$

From Definition 2.8 it is given that
$dom(\theta) \subseteq dom(H) \wedge \forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta(a) \rfloor_V$

And again from Definition 2.13 we are required to prove that
$dom(\theta) \subseteq dom(H) \wedge \forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n'-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta'(a) \rfloor_V$

- $dom(\theta) \subseteq dom(H)$:

  Given

- $\forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n'-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta'(a) \rfloor_V$:

  Since we know that $\forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta(a) \rfloor_V$ (given)

  Therefore from Lemma 2.16 we get

  $\forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n'-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta'(a) \rfloor_V$

□

Lemma 2.21 (FG: Binary monotonicity for heaps)**.** $\forall W, H_1, H_2, n, n'.$
$(n, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W \wedge n' < n \implies (n', H_1, H_2) \triangleright W$

Proof. Given: $(n, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W \wedge n' < n \wedge W \sqsubseteq W'$
To prove: $(n', H_1, H_2) \triangleright W$

From Definition 2.9 it is given that
$dom(W.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1) \wedge dom(W.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2) \wedge$
$(W.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W.\theta_1) \times dom(W.\theta_2)) \wedge$
$\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W.\hat{\beta}).(W.\theta_1(a_1) = W.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge$
$(W, n-1, H_1(a_1), H_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge$
$\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i \in dom(W.\theta_i).(W.\theta_i, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$

And again from Definition 2.9 we are required to prove:

- $dom(W.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1) \wedge dom(W.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2)$:
  Given
- $(W.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W.\theta_1) \times dom(W.\theta_2))$:
  Given
- $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W.\hat{\beta}).(W.\theta_1(a_1) = W.\theta_2(a_2)$ and $(W, n'-1, H_1(a_1), H_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}})$:
  $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W.\hat{\beta}).$
  - $(W.\theta_1(a_1) = W.\theta_2(a_2))$: Given
  - $(W, n'-1, H_1(a_1), H_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}})$:
    Given and from Lemma 2.17
- $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i \in dom(W.\theta_i).(W.\theta_i, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$:
  Given

□

Theorem 2.22 (FG: Fundamental theorem unary)**.** $\forall \Sigma, \Psi, \Gamma, pc, \theta, \mathcal{L}, e, \tau, \sigma, \delta, n.$
$\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau \wedge$
$\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge$
$(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies$
$(\theta, n, e\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{pc}$

Proof. Proof by induction on FG typing derivation

1. FG-var:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash_{pc} x : \tau}\ \text{FG-var}$$

   To prove: $(\theta, n, x\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{pc}$
   This means that from Definition 2.7 we need to prove

$\forall H.(n,H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall j < n.(H,e) \Downarrow_j (H',v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-j,H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$

This means that given some heap H and $j < n$ s.t $(n,H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, x\ \delta) \Downarrow_j (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-j,H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-V0)

In order to prove FU-V0 we instantiate θ' with θ. From reduction relation we know that $H' = H$, $v' = \delta(x)$ and $j = 1$

We need to prove the following:

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta \wedge (n-1,H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (\theta, n-1, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:
- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta$: From Definition 2.2
- $(n-1,H) \triangleright \theta$: From Lemma 2.20
- $(\theta, n-1, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:
  Since we are given that $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ and $v' = \delta(x)$
  Therefore $(\theta, n, v') \in \lfloor \Gamma(x)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$, where $\Gamma(x) = \tau$
  And finally from Lemma 2.16 we get $(\theta, n-1, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

(b) $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
Since $H' = H$, so we are done

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta(a) \searrow pc)$:
Since $\theta' = \theta$, so we are done

2. FG-lam:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{\ell_e} e_i : \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \lambda x.e_i : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp}}$$

To prove: $(\theta, \lambda x.e_i\ \delta) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp})\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means that from Definition 2.7 we need to prove

$\forall H.(n,H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall j < n.(H, (\lambda x.e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_j (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-j,H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-j, v') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$

This means that given some heap H and $j < n$ s.t $(n,H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (\lambda x.e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_j (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-j,H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-j, v') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-L0)

IH1:

$\forall \theta_i, v_x, n.\ (\theta_i, n, e_i\ \delta \cup \{x \mapsto v_x\}) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$, s.t $(\theta_i, n, v_x) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

In order to prove FU-L0 we instantiate θ' with θ. From reduction relation we know that $H' = H$, $j = 0$ and $v' = \lambda x.e_i\ \delta$

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta \wedge (n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (\theta, n, v') \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp})\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:

- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta$: From Definition 2.2
- $(n, H) \triangleright \theta$: Given
- $(\theta, n, (\lambda x.e_i)\delta) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp})\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:
  From Definition 2.6 it suffices to prove that
  $\forall \theta''.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'' \wedge \forall j < n. \forall v.(\theta'', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta'', j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$

  This means given some θ'', j and v such that $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta''$, $j < n$ and $(\theta'', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
  It suffices to prove that $(\theta'', j, e_i[v/x]\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$

  Since $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ and $j < n$ therefore from Lemma 2.18 we have $(\theta, j, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

  So we can apply IH1 instantiated with θ'', v and j we get
  $(\theta'', j, e_i[v/x]\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$

(b) $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
Since $H' = H$ so we are done

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta(a) \searrow pc)$:
Since $\theta' = \theta$ so we are done

3. FG-app:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 \searrow \ell \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1\ e_2 : \tau_2}$$

To prove: $(\theta, n, (e_1\ e_2)\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means that from Definition 2.7 we need to prove

$\forall H.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall n' < n.(H, (e_1\ e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$

This means that given some heap H s.t $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (e_1\ e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$ (FU-P0)

IH1:

$\forall n_1, H_1.(n_1, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall i < n_1.(H_1, (e_1)\ \delta) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1') \implies$
$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n_1 - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n_1 - i, v_1') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$

$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$

Instantiating IH1 with n, H and since we know that $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (e_1\ e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n-i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n-i, v_1') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$ (FU-P1)

From evaluation rule we know that $v_1' = \lambda x.e_i$. Since from FU-P1 we know that

$(\theta_1', n-i, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

This means from Definition 2.6 we have

$$\forall \theta''.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta'' \wedge \forall j < (n-i).\forall v.(\theta'', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta'', j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma} \quad (72)$$

IH2:

$\forall n_2, \forall H_2.(n_2, H_2) \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge \forall k < n_2.(H_2, (e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_k (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists \theta_2'.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (n_2 - k, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n_2 - k, v_2') \in \lfloor (\tau_1)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_2(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2')\backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_2'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$

Instantiating IH2 with $n-i$, H_1' and since we know that $(n-i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (H, (e_1\ e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta_2'.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (n-i-k, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n-i-k, v_2') \in \lfloor (\tau_1)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_2(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2')\backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_2'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$ (FU-P2)

Instantiating θ'', j and v in Equation 72 with θ_2', $n-i-k$ and v_2' from FU-P2 respectively, we get

$(\theta_2', n-i-k, e_i[v_2'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$

This means from Definition 2.7 we have

$\forall H_3.(n-i-k, H_3) \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge \forall l < (n-i-k).(H_3, e_i[v_2'/x]) \Downarrow_l (H_3', v_3') \implies$
$\exists \theta_3'.\theta_2' \sqsubseteq \theta_3' \wedge ((n-i-k-l), H_3') \triangleright \theta_3' \wedge (\theta_3', (n-i-k-l), v_3') \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_3(a) \neq H_3'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_2'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge \ell_e\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_3')\backslash dom(\theta_2').\theta_3'(a) \searrow \ell_e\ \sigma)$

Instantiating H_3 with H_2' from FU-P2 and since we know that $((n-i-k), H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$ and since the reduction happens therefore we have

$\exists \theta_3'.\theta_2' \sqsubseteq \theta_3' \wedge ((n-i-k-l), H_3') \triangleright \theta_3' \wedge (\theta_3', (n-i-k-l), v_3') \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_3(a) \neq H_3'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_2'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge \ell_e\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_3')\backslash dom(\theta_2').\theta_3'(a) \searrow \ell_e\ \sigma)$ (FU-P3)

In order to prove FU-P0 we choose θ' as θ_3' from FU-P3. Also we know that $H' = H_3'$, $v' = v_3'$ and $n' = i + k + l$. Now we are required to show

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_3' \wedge ((n-i-k-l), H_3') \triangleright \theta_3' \wedge (\theta_3', (n-i-k-l), v_3') \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:

- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_3'$:
  Since $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$ from FU-P1, $\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$ from FU-P2 and $\theta_2' \sqsubseteq \theta_3'$ from FU-P3 therefore from Definition 2.2 we get $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_3'$
- $((n-i-k-l), H_3') \triangleright \theta_3'$:
  From FU-P3 we get $((n-i-k-l), H_3') \triangleright \theta_3'$
- $(\theta_3', (n-i-k-l), v_3') \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:
  From FU-P3 we get $(\theta_3', (n-i-k-l), v_3') \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

(b) $(\forall a \in dom(H).H(a) \neq H_3'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell')$
Since $pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_e\ \sigma$ therefore we get the desired from FU-P1, FU-P2 and FU-P3

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_3') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_3'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$
Since $pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_e\ \sigma$ therefore we get the desired from FU-P1, FU-P2 and FU-P3

4. FG-prod:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : \tau_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp}}$$

To prove: $(\theta, n, (e_1, e_2)\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means that from Definition 2.7 we need to prove

$\forall H.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall n' < n.(H, (e_1, e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$

This means that given some heap H s.t $H \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (e_1, e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$ (FU-PA0)

IH1:

$\forall H_1, n_1.(n_1, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall i < n_!.(H_1, (e_1)\ \delta) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1') \implies$
$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n_1 - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n_1 - i, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$

We instantiate IH1 with H and n. And since we know that $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (e_1, e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n - i, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$ (FU-PA1)

IH2:

$\forall H_2, n_2.(n_2, H_2) \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge \forall j < n_2.(H_2, (e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_k (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists \theta_2'.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (n_2 - j, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n_2 - j, v_2') \in \lfloor (\tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$

$(\forall a.H_2(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_2'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$

We instantiate IH2 with H_1' and $n - i$. And since we know that $(n - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (H, (e_1, e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta_2'.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (n - i - j, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n - i - j, v_2') \in \lfloor (\tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_2(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_2'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$ (FU-PA2)

In order to prove FU-PA0 we choose θ' as θ_2' from FU-PA2. Also we know from the evaluation rule, that let $v' = (v_1', v_2')$, $H' = H_2'$ and $n' = i + j + 1$. Now we are required to show

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (n - i - j - 1, H') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n - i - j - 1, v') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp} \rfloor_V$:

- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$:
  Since $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$ from FU-PA1 and $\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$ from FU-PA2 therefore from Definition 2.2 we get $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$
- $(n - i - j - 1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$:
  From FU-PA2 we get $(n - i - j, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$ therefore from Lemma 2.20 we get $(n - i - j - 1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$
- $(\theta_2', n - i - j, v') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:
  From Definition 2.6 it suffices to show
  i. $(\theta_2', n - i - j - 1, v_1') \in \lfloor (\tau_1)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:
  Since from FU-PA1 we know that $(\theta_1', n - i, v_1') \in \lfloor (\tau_1)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ and since $\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$ (from FU-PA2) therefore from Lemma 2.16 we get $(\theta_2', n - i - j - 1, v_1') \in \lfloor (\tau_1)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
  ii. $(\theta_2', n - i - j - 1, v_2') \in \lfloor (\tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:
  From FU-PA2 we know that $(\theta_2', n - i - j, v_2') \in \lfloor (\tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ therefore from Lemma 2.16 we get $(\theta_2', n - i - j - 1, v_2') \in \lfloor (\tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

(b) $(\forall a \in dom(H).H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell')$
From FU-PA1 and FU-PA2

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_2'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$
From FU-PA1 and FU-PA2

5. FG-fst:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{fst}(e_i) : \tau_1}$$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{fst}(e_i)\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{pc\ \sigma}$

This means that from Definition 2.7 we need to prove

$\forall H.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall n' < n.(H, \mathsf{fst}(e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$

This means that given some heap H s.t $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, \mathsf{fst}(e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$ (FU-F0)

IH1:

$\forall H_1, n_1.(n_1, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall i < n_1.(H_1, (e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1') \implies$
$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n_1 - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n_1 - i, v_1') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$

Instantiating IH1 with H and n. Since we know that $H \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, \mathsf{fst}(e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n - i, v_1') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$ (FU-F1)

From evaluation rule we know that $v_1' = (v_1'', v_2'')$

In order to prove FU-F0 we choose θ' as θ_1' from FU-P1. Also we know that $H' = H_1'$ and $v' = v_1''$. Now we are required to show

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n - i - 1, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n - i - 1, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:
- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$:
  From FU-F1
- $(n - i - 1, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$:
  From FU-F1 we know $(n - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$ therefore from Lemma 2.20 we get $(n - i - 1, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$
- $(\theta_1', n - i, v_1'') \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:
  Since from FU-F1 we know that $(\theta_1', n - i, (v_1'', v_2'')) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
  Therefore from Definition 2.6 we know that $(\theta_1', n - i, v_1'') \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
  From Lemma 2.16 we get $(\theta_1', n - i - 1, v_1'') \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

(b) $(\forall a \in dom(H).H(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell')$
From FU-F1

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$
From FU-F1

6. FG-snd:

   Symmetric case to FG-fst

7. FG-inl:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i : \tau_1}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{inl}(e_i) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp}}$$

   To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{inl}(e_i)\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{pc\ \sigma}$

   This means that from Definition 2.7 we need to prove

$\forall H, n.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall n' < n.(H, \mathsf{inl}(e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$

This means that given some heap H and n s.t $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, \mathsf{inl}(e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$ (FU-LE0)

IH1:

$\forall H_1, n_1.(n_1, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall i < n_1.(H_1, (e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1') \implies$
$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n_1 - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n_1 - i, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$

Instantiating IH1 with H and n. Since we know that $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, \mathsf{inl}(e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n - i, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$ (FU-LE1)

In order to prove FU-LE0 we choose θ' as θ_1' from FU-LE1. Also we know from the evaluation rule, that let $v' = \mathsf{inl}(v_1')$, $H' = H_1'$ and $n' = i + 1$. Now we are required to show

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n - i - 1, H') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n - i - 1, v') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \rfloor_V$:

- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$:
  From FU-LE1
- $(n - i - 1, H') \triangleright \theta_1'$:
  From FU-LE1 we know that $(n - i, H') \triangleright \theta_1'$ therefore from Lemma 2.20 we get $(n - i - 1, H') \triangleright \theta_1'$
- $(\theta_1', n - i - 1, v') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:
  Since $v' = \mathsf{inl}(v_1')$ and from FU-LE1 we know that $(\theta_1', n - i, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
  Therefore from Definition 2.6 we get $(\theta_1', n - i, v') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
  From Lemma 2.16 we get $(\theta_1', n - i - 1, v') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

(b) $(\forall a \in dom(H).H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell')$
From FU-LE1

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$
From FU-LE1

8. FG-inr:

   Symmetric case to FG-inl

9. FG-case:

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\ell} \quad \Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma, x:\tau_1 \vdash_{pc\sqcup\ell} e_1 : \tau \quad \Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma, y:\tau_2 \vdash_{pc\sqcup\ell} e_2 : \tau \quad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{case}(e, x.e_1, y.e_2) : \tau}$$

To prove: $(\theta, n, (\mathsf{case}\ e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means that from Definition 2.7 we need to prove

$\forall H, n.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall n' < n.(H, (\mathsf{case}\ e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$

This means that given some heap H and n s.t $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (\mathsf{case}\ e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'}$ (H', v')

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$ (FU-C0)

IH1:

$\forall H_1, n_1.(n_1, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall i < n_1.(H_1, (e_c)\ \delta) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_c') \implies$
$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n_1 - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n_1 - i, v_c') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$

Instantiating IH1 with H and n. Since we know that $H \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (\mathsf{case}\ e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'}$ (H', v') therefore we have

$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n - i, v_c') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$ (FU-C1)

2 cases arise:

(a) $v_c' = \mathsf{inl}(v_{ci})$:

IH2:

$\forall H_2, n_2.(n_2, H_2) \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge \forall j < n_2.(H_2, (e_1)\ \delta \cup \{x \mapsto v_{ci}\}) \Downarrow_j (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists \theta_2'.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (n_2 - j, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n_2 - j, v_2') \in \lfloor (\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_2(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_2'(a) \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma)$

Instantiating IH2 with H_1' and $n-i$ since we know that $H_1' \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (H, (\mathsf{case}\ e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow$ (H', v') therefore we have

$\exists \theta_2'.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (n - i - j, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n - i - j, v_2') \in \lfloor (\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_2(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_2'(a) \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma)$ (FU-C2)

In order to prove FU-C0 we choose θ' as θ_2' from FU-C2. Also we know that $H' = H_2'$, $v' = v_2'$ and $n' = i + j + 1$. Now we are required to show

i. $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (n-i-j-1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n-i-j-1, v_2') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:
   - $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$:
     Since $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$ from FU-C1 and $\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$ from FU-C2 therefore from Definition 2.2 we get $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$
   - $(n-i-j-1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$:
     From FU-C2 we know that $(n-i-j, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$ therefore from Lemma 2.20 we get $(n-i-j-1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$
   - $(\theta_2', n-i-j-1, v_2') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:
     From FU-C2 we know that $(\theta_2', n-i-j, v_2') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ therefore from Lemma 2.16 we get $(\theta_2', n-i-j-1, v_2') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

ii. $(\forall a \in dom(H).H(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
   Since from FU-C2 we know that
   $(\forall a.H_1'(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell')$
   therefore we also have
   $(\forall a.H_1'(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc)\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell')$

   and from FU-C1 we know that
   $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc)\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell')$

   Combining the two we get
   $(\forall a \in dom(H).H(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell')$

iii. $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_2'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$:
   Since from FU-C2 we know that
   $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_2'(a) \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma)$
   therefore we also have
   $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_2'(a) \searrow (pc)\ \sigma)$

   and from FU-C1 we know that
   $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma)$

   Combining the two we get
   $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_2'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$

(b) $v_c' = \mathsf{inr}(v_{ci})$:
   Symmetric case as $v_c' = \mathsf{inl}(v_{ci})$

10. FG-ref:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i : \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow pc}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{new}\ e_i : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp}}$$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{new}\ (e_i)\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means that from Definition 2.7 we need to prove

$\forall H, n.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall n' < n.(H, \mathsf{new}\ (e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-n', v') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$

This means that given some heap H and n s.t $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, \mathsf{new}\ (e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists\theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-n', v') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp}\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$ (FU-R0)

IH1:

$\forall H_1, n_1.(n_1, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall i < n_1.(H_1, (e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1') \implies$
$\exists\theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n_1 - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n_1 - i, v_1') \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$

Instantiating IH1 with H and n. Since we know that $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, \mathsf{new}\ (e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists\theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n - i, v_1') \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$ (FU-R1)

From the evaluation rule we know that $H' = H_1'[a \mapsto v_1']$ where $a \notin dom(H_1')$, $v' = a$ and $n' = i + 1$. In order to prove FU-R0 we choose θ' as $\theta_2' = (\theta_1' \cup \{a \mapsto \tau\ \sigma\})$. Now we are required to show

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (n - i - 1, H') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n - i - 1, v') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp}\ \sigma\rfloor_V$:

- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$:
  From FU-R1 we know that $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$ therefore from Definition 2.2 $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$
- $(n - i - 1, H') \triangleright \theta_2'$:
  From FU-R1 we know that $(n - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$. Therefore from Lemma 2.20 we get $(n - i - 1, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$.
  We also know that $(\theta_1', n-i, v_1') \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V$ (from FU-R1) therefore from Lemma 2.16 we get $(\theta_1', n - i - 1, v_1') \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V$
  Since $H' = H_1'[a \mapsto v_1']$ and $\theta_2' = (\theta_1' \cup \{a \mapsto \tau\ \sigma\})$ therefore from Definition 2.8 we get $(n - i - 1, H') \triangleright \theta_2'$
- $(\theta_2', n - i - 1, a) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp}\ \sigma\rfloor_V$:
  Since $\theta_2'(a) = \tau\ \sigma$ therefore from Definition 2.6 we get $(\theta_2', n - i - 1, a) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp}\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

(b) $(\forall a \in dom(H).H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell')$
From FU-R1

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_2'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$:
We get this from FU-R1 and $\tau\ \sigma \searrow pc\ \sigma$ (given)

11. FG-deref:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau' \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} !e_i : \tau'}$$

To prove: $(\theta, n, (!e_i)\ \delta) \in \lfloor\tau'\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means that from Definition 2.7 we need to prove

$\forall H, n.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall n' < n.(H, (!e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v') \implies$
$\exists\theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor\tau'\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$

$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$

This means that given some heap H and n s.t $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (!e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-D0)

IH1:

$\forall H_1, n_1.(n_1, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall i < n_1.(H_1, (e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1') \implies$
$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n_1 - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n_1 - i, v_1') \in \lfloor ((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau))^{\ell}\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$

Instantiating IH1 with H and n. Since we know that $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, !(e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n - i, v_1') \in \lfloor ((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau))^{\ell}\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-D1)

In order to prove FU-D0 we choose θ' as θ_1' from FU-D1. Also we know from the evaluation rule, that $H' = H_1'$, $v' = H_1'(a)$, $v_1' = a$ and $n' = i + 1$. Now we are required to show

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n - i - 1, H') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n - i - 1, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:
- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$:
  From FU-D1
- $(n - i - 1, H') \triangleright \theta_1'$:
  From FU-D1 we know that $(n - i, H') \triangleright \theta_1'$ therefore from Lemma 2.20 we get $(n - i - 1, H') \triangleright \theta_1'$
- $(\theta_1', n - i - 1, v') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:
  Since from FU-D1 we know that $(n - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$ therefore from the Definition 2.8 we get $(\theta_1', n - i, H_1'(a)) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
  From Lemma 2.16 we get $(\theta_1', n - i - 1, H_1'(a)) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
  Since $\tau\ \sigma <: \tau'\ \sigma$ therefore from Lemma 2.24 we get $(\theta_1', n - i - 1, H_1'(a)) \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

(b) $(\forall a \in dom(H).H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$
From FU-D1

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$
From FU-D1

12. FG-assign:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 := e_2 : \mathsf{unit}}$$

To prove: $(\theta, n, (e_1 := e_2)\ \delta) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit}\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means that from Definition 2.7 we need to prove

$\forall H, n.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall n' < n.(H, (e_1 := e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$

This means that given some heap H and n s.t $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (e_1 := e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-A0)

IH1:

$\forall H_1, n_1.(n_1, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall i < n_1.(H_1, (e_1)\ \delta) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1') \implies$
$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n_1 - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n_1 - i, v_1') \in \lfloor ((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau))^{\ell}\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$

Instantiating IH1 with H and n. Since we know that $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (e_1 := e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n - i, v_1') \in \lfloor ((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau))^{\ell}\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-A1)

IH2:

$\forall H_2, n_2.(n_2, H_2) \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge \forall j < n_2.(H_2, (e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_j (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists \theta_2'.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq (n_2 - j, \theta_2') \wedge H_2' \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n_2 - j, v_2') \in \lfloor (\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_2(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_2'(a) \searrow pc)$

Instantiating IH2 with H_1' and since we know that $H_1' \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (H, (e_1 := e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta_2'.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq (n - i - j, \theta_2') \wedge H_2' \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n - i - j, v_2') \in \lfloor (\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_2(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_2'(a) \searrow pc)$ (FU-A2)

In order to prove FU-A0 we choose θ' as θ_2' from FU-A2. Also we know from the evaluation rule, assign, that let $v_1' = a_1$, $H' = H_2'[a_1 \mapsto v_2']$, $v' = ()$ and $n' = i + j + 1$. Now we are required to show

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (n - i - j - 1, H') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', n - i - j - 1, ()) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V$:

- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$:
  Since $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$ from FU-A1 and $\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$ from FU-A2 therefore from Definition 2.2 we get $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$
- $(n - i - j - 1, H') \triangleright \theta_2'$:
  From Definition 2.8 it suffices to prove that
  i. $dom(\theta_2') \subseteq dom(H')$: From FU-A2
  ii. $\forall a \in dom(\theta_2').(\theta_2', n - i - j - 1, H'(a)) \in \lfloor \theta_2'(a) \rfloor_V$:
  $\forall a \in dom(\theta_2')$.

- $a = a_1$:
  From FU-A2 (since we know that $(\theta_2', n-i-j, v_2') \in \lfloor(\tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V$)
  Therefore from Lemma 2.16 we get $(\theta_2', n-i-j-1, v_2') \in \lfloor(\tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V$
- $a \neq a_1$:
  From FU-A2 (since we know that $(n-i-j, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$ therefore from Lemma 2.20 we get $(n-i-j-1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$)

- $(\theta_2', n-i-j-1, ()) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V$:
  From Definition 2.6

(b) $(\forall a \in dom(H).H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$
$\forall a \in dom(H).$

- $a = a_1$:
  Since we know that $H(a_1) \neq H'(a_1)$ and $\theta(a_1) = \tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$ (given)
  It is given that $\tau\ \sigma \searrow pc\ \sigma$ therefore $pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_i\ \sigma$
- $a \neq a_1$:
  From FU-A2

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_2'(a) \searrow pc)$
From FU-A2

13. FG-FI:

$$\frac{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{\ell_e} e_i : \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \Lambda e_i : (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\perp}}$$

To prove: $(\theta, n, (\Lambda e_i)\ \delta) \in \lfloor(\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\perp}\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means that from Definition 2.7 we need to prove

$\forall H, n.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall n' < n.(H, (\Lambda e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-n', v') \in \lfloor(\forall \alpha.(\ell, \tau))^{\perp}\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$

This means that given some heap H and n s.t $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (\Lambda e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-n', v') \in \lfloor(\forall \alpha.(\ell, \tau))^{\perp}\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$ (FU-FI0)

IH1:

$\forall n_1, \theta_i, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.\ (\theta_i, n_1, e_i\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \cup \{\alpha \mapsto \ell''\}\rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma \cup \{\alpha \mapsto \ell''\}}$

In order to prove FU-FI0 we choose θ' as θ. Also we know from the evaluation rule, that $H' = H$ and $n' = 0$. Now we are required to show

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta \wedge (n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (\theta, n, v') \in \lfloor(\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\perp}\rfloor_V\ \sigma$:

- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta$: From Definition 2.2
- $(n, H) \triangleright \theta$: Given

- $(\theta, n, (\Lambda e_i)\delta) \in \lfloor(\forall\alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\perp}\rfloor_V\ \sigma$:
  From Definition 2.6 it suffices to prove that
  $\forall\theta''.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'' \wedge \forall j < n.\forall\ell_d \in \mathcal{L} \implies (\theta'', j, e_i) \in \lfloor\tau[\ell_d/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell_d/\alpha]\ \sigma}$

  This means given some θ'', j and ℓ_d such that $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta''$, $j < n$ and $\ell_d \in \mathcal{L}$
  It suffices to prove that $(\theta'', j, e_i) \in \lfloor\tau[\ell_d/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell_d/\alpha]\ \sigma}$

  Instantiating IH1 with j, θ'' and ℓ_d we get $(\theta_i, j, e_i\ \delta) \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma \cup \{\alpha \mapsto \ell_d\}\rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma\cup\{\alpha\mapsto\ell_d\}}$

(b) $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists\ell'.\theta.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
Since $H' = H$ so we are done

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta(a) \searrow pc)$:
Since $\theta' = \theta$ so we are done

14. FG-FE:

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i : (\forall\alpha.(\ell_e,\tau))^{\ell} \qquad \ell'' \in \mathrm{FV}(\Sigma) \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e[\ell''/\alpha] \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \searrow \ell}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i\ [] : \tau[\ell''/\alpha]}$$

To prove: $(\theta, n, (e_i[])\ \delta) \in \lfloor\tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means that from Definition 2.7 we need to prove

$\forall H, n.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall n' < n.(H, (e_i[])\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v') \implies$
$\exists\theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor\tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists\ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$

This means that given some heap H and n s.t $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (e_i[])\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists\theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor\tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists\ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$ (FU-FE0)

IH:

$\forall H_1, n_1.(n_1, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall i < n_1.(H_1, (e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1') \implies$
$\exists\theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n_1 - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n_1 - i, v_1') \in \lfloor(\forall\alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\ell}\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists\ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$

Instantiating IH with H and n. Since we know that $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (e_i[])\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists\theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n - i, v_1') \in \lfloor(\forall\alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\ell}\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists\ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$ (FU-FE1)

From evaluation rule we know that $v_1' = \Lambda e_{i1}$. Since from FU-FE1 we know that
$(\theta_1', n - i, \Lambda e_{i1}) \in \lfloor(\forall\alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\ell}\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

This means from Definition 2.6 we have

$$\forall\theta''.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta'' \wedge \forall j < n-i.\forall \ell_g \in \mathcal{L} \implies (\theta'', j, e_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell_g/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell_g/\alpha]\ \sigma} \tag{73}$$

Instantiating Equation 73 with θ_1', $n-i-1$ and ℓ'' we get

$(\theta_1', n-i-1, e_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma}$

This means from Definition 2.7 we have

$\forall H_3.(n-i-1, H_3) \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge \forall k < n-i-1.(H_3, e_{i1}) \Downarrow_k (H_3', v_3') \implies$
$\exists \theta_3'.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_3' \wedge (n-i-1-k, H_3') \triangleright \theta_3' \wedge (\theta_3', n-i-1-k, v_3') \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_3(a) \neq H_3'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge \ell_e\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_3') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_3'(a) \searrow \ell_e\ \sigma)$

Instantiating H_3 with H_1' from FU-FE1 and since we know that $(n-i-1, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$ (Lemma 2.20)and since we know that $e_i[]\ \gamma \downarrow_1$ reduces in n' steps where $n' = i+k+1$ and since $n' < n$ therefore we have $k < n-i-1$ s.t $(H_1', e_{i1}) \Downarrow_k (H_3', v_3')$. Therefore we get

$\exists \theta_3'.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_3' \wedge (n-i-1-k, H_3') \triangleright \theta_3' \wedge (\theta_3', n-i-1-k, v_3') \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_3(a) \neq H_3'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge \ell_e\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_3') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_3'(a) \searrow \ell_e\ \sigma)$ (FU-FE2)

In order to prove FU-FE0 we choose θ' as θ_3' from FU-FE2. Also we know that $H' = H_3'$, $v' = v_3'$ and $n' = i+k+1$. Now we are required to show

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_3' \wedge (n-i-k-1, H_3') \triangleright \theta_3' \wedge (\theta_3', n-i-k-1, v_3') \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:
- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_3'$:
  Since $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$ from FU-FE1 and $\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_3'$ from FU-FE2 therefore from Definition 2.2 we get $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_3'$
- $(n-i-k-1, H_3') \triangleright \theta_3'$:
  From FU-FE2 we know that $(n-i-k-1, H_3') \triangleright \theta_3'$
- $(\theta_3', n-i-k-1, v_3') \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:
  From FU-FE2 we know that $(\theta_3', n-i-k-1, v_3') \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

(b) $(\forall a \in dom(H).H(a) \neq H_3'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell')$
Since $pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma$ therefore we get the desired from FU-FE1 and FU-FE2

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_3') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_3'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$
Since $pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma$ therefore we get the desired from FU-FE1 and FU-FE2

15. FG-CI:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi, c; \Gamma \vdash_{\ell_e} e_i : \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \nu\ e_i : (c\ \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow}\ \tau)^{\perp}}$$

To prove: $(\theta, n, (\nu e_i)\ \delta) \in \lfloor (c\ \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow}\ \tau)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means that from Definition 2.7 we need to prove

$\forall H, n.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall n' < n.(H, (\nu e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-n', v') \in \lfloor (c\ \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow}\ \tau)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$

This means that given some heap H and n s.t $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (\nu e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$ (FU-CI0)

IH1:

$\forall \theta_i, n_1.\ (\theta_i, n_1, e_i\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$ such that $\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma$

In order to prove FU-FI0 we choose θ' as θ. Also we know from the evaluation rule, that $H' = H$, $v' = \nu\ e_i\ \delta$ and $n' = 0$. Now we are required to show

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta \wedge (n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (\theta, n, v') \in \lfloor (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\perp} \rfloor_V\ \sigma$:

- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta$: From Definition 2.2
- $(n, H) \triangleright \theta$: Given
- $(\theta, n, (\nu e_i)\delta) \in \lfloor (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\perp} \rfloor_V\ \sigma$:
  From Definition 2.6 it suffices to prove that
  $\forall \theta''.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'' \wedge \forall j < n.\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma \implies (\theta'', j, e_i\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$

  This means given some θ'' such that $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta''$, $j < n$ and $\mathcal{L} \models c$
  It suffices to prove that $(\theta'', j, e_i\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$

  Instantiating IH1 with θ'' and j we get $(\theta'', j, e_i\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$

(b) $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
Since $H' = H$ so we are done

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta(a) \searrow pc)$:
Since $\theta' = \theta$ so we are done

16. FG-CE:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i : (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash c \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i \bullet : \tau}$$

To prove: $(\theta, n, (e_i \bullet)\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means that from Definition 2.7 we need to prove

$\forall H, n.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall n' < n.(H, (e_i \bullet)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$

This means that given some heap H and n s.t $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (e_i \bullet)\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - n', H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - n', v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$ (FU-CE0)

IH:

$\forall H_1, n_1.(n_1, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall i < n_1.(H_1, (e_i)\ \delta) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1') \implies$
$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n_1 - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n_1 - i, v_1') \in \lfloor (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$

Instantiating IH with H and n. And since we know that $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H, (e_i[])\ \delta) \Downarrow_{n'} (H', v')$ therefore we have

$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n - i, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n - i, v_1') \in \lfloor (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$ (FU-CE1)

From evaluation rule we know that $v_1' = \nu e_{i1}$. Since from FU-CE1 we know that

$(\theta_1', n - i, \nu e_{i1}) \in \lfloor (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

This means from Definition 2.6 we have

$$\forall \theta''.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta'' \wedge \forall j < n - i.\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma \implies (\theta'', j, e_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma} \tag{74}$$

Instantiating Equation 74 with θ_1' and $n - i - 1$ since we know that $\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma$ therefore we get

$(\theta_1', n - i - 1, e_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$

This means from Definition 2.7 we have

$\forall H_3.(n - i - 1, H_3) \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge \forall k < n - i - 1.(H_3, e_{i1}) \Downarrow_k (H_3', v_3') \implies$
$\exists \theta_3'.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_3' \wedge (n-i-1-k, H_3') \triangleright \theta_3' \wedge (\theta_3', n-i-1-k, v_3') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge (\forall a.H_3(a) \neq H_3'(a) \implies$
$\exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge \ell_e\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge (\forall a \in dom(\theta_3') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_3'(a) \searrow \ell_e\ \sigma)$

Instantiating H_3 with H_1' from FU-CE1 and since we know that $(n - i - 1, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$ (Lemma 2.20) and since we know that $e_i \bullet \gamma \downarrow_1$ reduces in n' steps where $n' = i + k + 1$ and since $n' < n$ therefore we have $k < n - i - 1$ s.t $(H_1', e_{i1}) \Downarrow_k (H_3', v_3')$. Therefore we get

$\exists \theta_3'.\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_3' \wedge (n-i-1-k, H_3') \triangleright \theta_3' \wedge (\theta_3', n-i-1-k, v_3') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge (\forall a.H_3(a) \neq H_3'(a) \implies$
$\exists \ell'.\theta_1'(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge \ell_e\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge (\forall a \in dom(\theta_3') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_3'(a) \searrow \ell_e\ \sigma)$ (FU-CE2)

In order to prove FU-CE0 we choose θ' as θ_3' from FU-CE2. Also we know that $H' = H_3'$, $v' = v_3'$ and $n' = i + k + 1$. Now we are required to show

(a) $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_3' \wedge (n - i - k - 1, H_3') \triangleright \theta_3' \wedge (\theta_3', n - i - k - 1, v_3') \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:

- $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_3'$:
  Since $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$ from FU-CE1 and $\theta_1' \sqsubseteq \theta_3'$ from FU-CE2 therefore from Definition 2.2 we get $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_3'$
- $(n - i - k - 1, H_3') \triangleright \theta_3'$:
  From FU-CE3 we know that $(n - i - k - 1, H_3') \triangleright \theta_3'$
- $(\theta_3', n - i - k - 1, v_3') \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:
  From FU-CE3 we know that $(\theta_3', n - i - k - 1, v_3') \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

(b) $(\forall a \in dom(H).H(a) \neq H_3'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell')$
Since $pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_e\ \sigma$ therefore we get the desired from FU-CE1 and FU-CE2

(c) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_3')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_3'(a) \searrow pc\ \sigma)$
Since $pc\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_e\ \sigma$ therefore we get the desired from FU-CE1 and FU-CE2

□

Lemma 2.23 (FG: Expression subtyping with closed labels and types)**.** $\forall pc, pc', \tau.$
$\mathcal{L} \models pc \sqsubseteq pc' \implies \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{pc'} \subseteq \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{pc}$

Proof. Given: $\mathcal{L} \models pc \sqsubseteq pc'$
To prove: $\lfloor (\tau) \rfloor_E^{pc'} \subseteq \lfloor (\tau) \rfloor_E^{pc}$
This means we need to prove that
$\forall (\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor (\tau) \rfloor_E^{pc'}.\ (\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor (\tau) \rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means given $\forall (\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor (\tau) \rfloor_E^{pc'}$
It suffices to prove that $(\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor (\tau) \rfloor_E^{pc}$

From Definition 2.7 for the chosen θ, n, e we are given:
$\forall H.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall j < n.(H, e) \Downarrow_j (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - j, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc' \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc')$ (A)

And we need prove that
$\forall H_1.(n, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall k < n.(H_1, e) \Downarrow_k (H_1', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n - k, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n - k, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$

This means that we are given some H_1 and k such that $(n, H_1) \triangleright \theta$, $k < n$ and $(H_1, e) \Downarrow_k (H_1', v')$
It suffices to prove:
$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n - k, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n - k, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$

Instantiate H in (A) with H_1 and then we choose θ_1' as θ'

- $\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - k, H_1') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - k, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$:
  Given
- $(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
  Since $pc \sqsubseteq pc'$ and we are given
  $(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc' \sqsubseteq \ell')$
  Therefore
  $(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$
- $(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$:
  We are given
  $(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc')$
  and since $pc \sqsubseteq pc'$ Therefore
  $(\forall a \in dom(\theta')\backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$

□

Lemma 2.24 (FG: Subtyping unary)**.** *The following holds:*
$\forall \Sigma, \Psi, \sigma.$

1. $\forall \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{A}'.$

   (a) $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}' \wedge \mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \implies \lfloor (\mathsf{A}\ \sigma) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor (\mathsf{A}'\ \sigma) \rfloor_V$

2. $\forall \tau, \tau'.$

   (a) $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \wedge \mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \implies \lfloor (\tau\ \sigma) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor (\tau'\ \sigma) \rfloor_V$
   (b) $\forall pc.\ \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \wedge \mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \implies \lfloor (\tau\ \sigma) \rfloor_E^{pc} \subseteq \lfloor (\tau'\ \sigma) \rfloor_E^{pc}$

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on $\mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}'$ and $\tau <: \tau'$
Proof of statement 1(a)
We analyse the different cases of $\mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}'$ in the last step:

1. FGsub-arrow:

   Given:
   $$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1' <: \tau_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell_e' \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'}\ \text{FGsub-arrow}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor ((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)\ \sigma) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor ((\tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2')\ \sigma) \rfloor_V$

   IH1: $\lfloor (\tau_1'\ \sigma) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_1\ \sigma) \rfloor_V$ (Statement 2(a))
   IH2: $\forall pc.\ \lfloor (\tau_2\ \sigma) \rfloor_E^{pc} \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_2'\ \sigma) \rfloor_E^{pc}$ (Statement 2(b))
   It suffices to prove: $\forall (\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)\ \sigma) \rfloor_V.\ (\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2')\ \sigma) \rfloor_V$

   This means that given some θ, n and $\lambda x.e_i$ s.t $(\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)\ \sigma) \rfloor_V$
   Therefore from Definition 2.6 we are given:

   $$\forall \theta_1.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1 \wedge \forall i < n. \forall v.(\theta_1, i, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_1, i, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma} \tag{75}$$

   And it suffices to prove: $(\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2')\ \sigma) \rfloor_V$

   Again from Definition 2.6, it suffices to prove:
   $\forall \theta_2.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2 \wedge \forall j < n. \forall v.(\theta_2, j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1'\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_2, j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2'\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e'\ \sigma}$

   This means that given some $\theta_2, j < n, v$ s.t $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2$ and $(\theta_2, j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
   And we are required to prove: $(\theta_2, j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2'\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e'\ \sigma}$

   Since $(\theta_2, j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ therefore from IH1 we know that $(\theta_2, j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
   As a result from Equation 75 we know that
   $(\theta_2, j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$

From IH2, we know that
$(\theta_2, j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e} \ \sigma$
Since $\mathcal{L} \models \ell_e' \ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_e \ \sigma$ therefore from Lemma 2.23 we know that
$(\theta_2, j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e' \ \sigma}$

2. FGsub-prod:
Given:
$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'} \text{ FGsub-prod}$$
To prove: $\lfloor ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \ \sigma) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2') \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$

IH1: $\lfloor (\tau_1 \ \sigma) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_1' \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$ (Statement 2(a))
IH2: $\lfloor (\tau_2 \ \sigma) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_2' \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$ (Statement 2(a))
It suffices to prove: $\forall (\theta, n, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \ \sigma) \rfloor_V. \ (\theta, n, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2') \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$

This means that given some θ, n and $(v_1, v_2 \ (\theta, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$
Therefore from Definition 2.6 we are given:
$$(\theta, n, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge (\theta, n, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \ \sigma \rfloor_V \tag{76}$$
And it suffices to prove: $(\theta, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2') \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$

Again from Definition 2.6, it suffices to prove:
$(\theta, n, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1' \ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge (\theta, n, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since from Equation 76 we know that $(\theta, n, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \ \sigma \rfloor_V$ therefore from IH1 we have $(\theta, n, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1' \ \sigma \rfloor_V$
Similarly since $(\theta, n, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \ \sigma \rfloor_V$ from Equation 76 therefore from IH2 we have $(\theta, n, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \ \sigma \rfloor_V$

3. FGsub-sum:
Given:
$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'} \text{ FGsub-sum}$$
To prove: $\lfloor ((\tau_1 + \tau_2) \ \sigma) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor ((\tau_1' + \tau_2') \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$

IH1: $\lfloor (\tau_1 \ \sigma) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_1' \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$ (Statement 2(a))
IH2: $\lfloor (\tau_2 \ \sigma) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_2' \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$ (Statement 2(a))
It suffices to prove: $\forall (\theta, n, v_s) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 + \tau_2) \ \sigma) \rfloor_V. \ (\theta, v_s) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1' + \tau_2') \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$

This means that given: $(\theta, n, v_s) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 + \tau_2) \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$
And it suffices to prove: $(\theta, n, v_s) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1' + \tau_2') \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$

2 cases arise

(a) $v_s = \mathsf{inl}\ v_i$:
From Definition 2.6 we are given:

$$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \tag{77}$$

And we are required to prove that:
$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau_1'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
From Equation 77 and IH1 we know that
$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau_1'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

(b) $v_s = \mathsf{inr}\ v_i$:
From Definition 2.6 we are given:

$$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V \tag{78}$$

And we are required to prove that:
$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau_2'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
From Equation 78 and IH2 we know that
$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau_2'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

4. FGsub-forall:
Given:

$$\frac{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2 \qquad \Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \ell_e' \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau_1) <: \forall \alpha.(\ell_e', \tau_2)}\ \text{FGsub-forall}$$

To prove: $\lfloor ((\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau_1))\ \sigma) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e', \tau_2))\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

IH1: $\forall pc.\ \lfloor (\tau_1\ \sigma) \rfloor_E^{pc} \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_2\ \sigma) \rfloor_E^{pc}$ (Statement 2(b))
It suffices to prove: $\forall (\theta, n, \Lambda e_i) \in \lfloor ((\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau_1))\ \sigma) \rfloor_V.\ (\theta, n, \Lambda e_i) \in \lfloor ((\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau_1))\ \sigma) \rfloor_V$

This means that given: $(\theta, n, \Lambda e_i) \in \lfloor ((\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau_1))\ \sigma) \rfloor_V$
Therefore from Definition 2.6 we are given:

$$\forall \theta_1.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1 \wedge \forall i < n.\forall \ell' \in \mathcal{L} \implies (\theta_1, i, e_i) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell']) \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell'])} \tag{79}$$

And it suffices to prove: $(\theta, n, \Lambda e_i) \in \lfloor ((\forall \alpha.(\ell_e', \tau_2))\ \sigma) \rfloor_V$

Again from Definition 2.6, it suffices to prove:
$\forall \theta_2.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2 \wedge \forall j < n.\forall \ell' \in \mathcal{L} \implies (\theta_2, j, e_i) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell']) \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell'])}$

This means that given some $\theta_2, j < n, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}$ s.t $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2$
And we are required to prove: $(\theta_2, j, e_i) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell']) \rfloor_E^{\ell_e'\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell'])}$

Since we are given $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2 \wedge j < n \wedge \ell' \in \mathcal{L}$ therefore from Equation 79 we have
$(\theta_2, j, e_i) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell']) \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell'])}$
From IH1, we know that

$(\theta_2, j, e_i) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell'])\rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell'])}$

Since $\mathcal{L} \models \ell'_e\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell']) \sqsubseteq \ell_e\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell'])$ therefore from Lemma 2.23 we know that

$(\theta_2, j, e_i) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell'])\rfloor_E^{\ell'_e\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell'])}$

5. FGsub-constraint:

   Given:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c_2 \implies c_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi, c_2 \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell'_e \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_1 <: c_2 \overset{\ell'_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_2}\ \text{FGsub-constraint}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor((c_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_1)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor((c_2 \overset{\ell'_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_2))\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

   IH1: $\forall pc.\ \lfloor(\tau_1\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{pc} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_2\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{pc}$ (Statement 2(b))

   It suffices to prove: $\forall(\theta, n, \nu e_i) \in \lfloor((c_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_1)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V.\ (\theta, n, \nu e_i) \in \lfloor((c_2 \overset{\ell'_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

   This means that given: $(\theta, n, \nu e_i) \in \lfloor((c_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_1)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

   Therefore from Definition 2.6 we are given:

$$\forall \theta_1.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1 \wedge \forall i < n.\mathcal{L} \models c_1\ \sigma \implies (\theta_1, i, e_i) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ (\sigma)\rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma} \tag{80}$$

   And it suffices to prove: $(\theta, n, \nu e_i) \in \lfloor((c_2 \overset{\ell'_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

   Again from Definition 2.6, it suffices to prove:

   $\forall \theta_2.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2 \wedge \forall j < n.\mathcal{L} \models c_2\ \sigma \implies (\theta_2, j, e_i) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ (\sigma)\rfloor_E^{\ell'_e\ \sigma}$

   This means that given some θ_2, j s.t $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2 \wedge j < n \wedge \mathcal{L} \models c_2\ \sigma$

   And we are required to prove: $(\theta_2, j, e_i) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ (\sigma)\rfloor_E^{\ell'_e\ \sigma}$

   Since we are given $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2 \wedge j < n \wedge \mathcal{L} \models c_2\ \sigma$ therefore from Equation 80 we have

   $(\theta_2, j, e_i) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ (\sigma)\rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$

   From IH1, we know that

   $(\theta_2, j, e_i) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ (\sigma)\rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$

   Since $\mathcal{L} \models \ell'_e\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_e\ \sigma$ therefore from Lemma 2.23 we know that

   $(\theta_2, j, e_i) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ (\sigma)\rfloor_E^{\ell'_e\ \sigma}$

6. FGsub-ref:

   Given:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{ref}\ \tau <: \mathsf{ref}\ \tau}\ \text{FGsub-ref}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

   It suffices to prove: $\forall(\theta, n, a) \in \lfloor((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V.\ (\theta, n, a) \in \lfloor((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

   Trivial

7. FGsub-base:

   Given:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{b} <: \mathsf{b}} \text{ FGsub-base}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor((\mathsf{b})\ \sigma)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor((\mathsf{b})\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

   Directly from Definition 2.6

8. FGsub-unit:

   Given:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{unit} <: \mathsf{unit}} \text{ FGsub-unit}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor((\mathsf{unit})\ \sigma)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor((\mathsf{unit})\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

   Directly from Definition 2.6

Proof of statement 2(a)
Given:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A}^{\ell} <: \mathsf{A}'^{\ell'}} \text{ FGsub-label}$$

To prove: $\lfloor((\mathsf{A}^{\ell})\ \sigma)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor((\mathsf{A}'^{\ell'}))\ \sigma\rfloor_V$
From Definition 2.6 it suffices to prove: $\lfloor((\mathsf{A})\ \sigma)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor((\mathsf{A}'))\ \sigma\rfloor_V$
This we get directly from IH (Statement 1(a))

Proof of statement 2(b)
Given: $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \wedge \mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma$
To prove: $\lfloor(\tau\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{pc} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau'\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{pc}$
This means we need to prove that
$\forall(\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor(\tau\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{pc}.\ (\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor(\tau'\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means given $(\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor(\tau\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{pc}$
It suffices to prove that $(\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor(\tau'\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{pc}$

From Definition 2.7 we know we are given:
$\forall H.(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall i < n.(H, e) \Downarrow_i (H', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n - i, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n - i, v') \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$ (A)

And we need prove that
$\forall H_1.(n, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge \forall j < n.(H_1, e) \Downarrow_j (H_1', v') \implies$
$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n - j, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n - j, v') \in \lfloor\tau'\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$

This means that we are given some H_1 and $j < n$ s.t $(n, H_1) \triangleright \theta \wedge (H_1, e) \Downarrow_j (H_1', v')$

It suffices to prove:
$\exists \theta_1'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (n-j, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', n-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta_1'(a) \searrow pc)$

Instantiate H in (A) with H_1 and i with j then we choose θ_1' as θ'
Also we have IH1 as $\lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (Statement 2(a))

- $\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-j, H_1') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:
  We are given $\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-j, H_1') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
  From IH1 we know that $\lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
  Therefore, $\exists \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge (n-j, H_1') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', n-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
- $(\forall a. H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge pc \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
  Given
- $(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta).\theta'(a) \searrow pc)$:
  Given

□

Lemma 2.25 (FG: Binary interpretation of Γ implies Unary interpretation of Γ)**.** $\forall W, \gamma, \Gamma, n.$ $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies \forall i \in \{1,2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, \gamma \downarrow_i) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Proof. Given: $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
To prove: $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, \gamma \downarrow_i) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.14 we know that we are given:
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W, n, \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
And we are required to prove:
$\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\ \forall m.$
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma \downarrow_i) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W.\theta_i, m, \gamma \downarrow_i (x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$

Case $i = 1$
Given some m we need to show:

- $dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma \downarrow_i)$:
  $dom(\gamma) = dom(\gamma \downarrow_i)$
  Therefore, $dom(\Gamma) \subseteq (dom(\gamma) = dom(\gamma \downarrow_i))$ (Given)
- $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W.\theta_i, m, \gamma \downarrow_i (x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$:
  We are given: $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W, n, \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  Therefore from Lemma 2.15 we know that
  $\forall m'.(W.\theta_i, m', \gamma \downarrow_i (x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$
  Instantiating m' with m we get
  $(W.\theta_i, m, \gamma \downarrow_i (x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$

Case $i = 2$
Symmetric case as $i = 1$

□

Theorem 2.26 (FG: Fundamental theorem binary)**.** $\forall \Sigma, \Psi, \Gamma, pc, W, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L}, e, \tau, \sigma, \gamma, n.$
$\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau \wedge \mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge (W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies$
$(W, n, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

Proof. Proof by induction on the typing derivation

1. FG-var:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash_{pc} x : \tau}\ \text{FG-var}$$

To prove: $(W, n, x\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), x\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$
Say $e_1 = x\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = x\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$

From Definition of $\lceil \tau \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$ it suffices to prove that

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall j < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n - j, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - j, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means given some H_1, H_2 and j s.t $(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$

We are required to prove: $\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n - j, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - j, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Here
- $H_1' = H_1$ and $H_2' = H_2$
- $e_1 = v_1' = \gamma(x) \downarrow_1$
- $e_2 = v_2' = \gamma(x) \downarrow_2$
- $j = 1$

We choose $W' = W$.

- $W \sqsubseteq W$: From Definition 2.3
- $(n - 1, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W$:
  Since we know that $(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W$ therefore from Lemma 2.21 we get
  $(n - 1, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W$
- $(W, n - 1, \gamma(x) \downarrow_1, \gamma(x) \downarrow_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
  We are given that $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from Lemma 2.19 we get
  $(W, n - 1, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  which means from Definition 2.14 we have
  $(W, n - 1, \gamma(x) \downarrow_1, \gamma(x) \downarrow_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

2. FG-lam:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{\ell_e} e_i : \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \lambda x.e_i : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp}}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

Say $e_1 = \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$

From Definition of $\lceil (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$ it suffices to prove that

$\forall H_1, H_2, j < n.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n - j, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - j, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means that given H_1, H_2 and j s.t $(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$

It suffices to prove:

$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n - j, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - j, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-L0)

IH1:

$\forall W, n.\ (W, n, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup \{x \mapsto v_1\}), e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup \{x \mapsto v_2\})) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

s.t

$(W, n, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

We know from the evaluation rules that $H_1' = H_1$, $H_2' = H_2$, $v_1' = e_1 = \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$, $v_2' = e_2 = \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$ and $j = 0$. In order to prove FB-L0 we choose $W' = W$ and we need to prove the following:

- $W \sqsubseteq W$: From Definition 2.3
- $(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W$: Given
- $(W, n, \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
  From Definition 2.4 it suffices to prove that:
  $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W, k < n, v_1, v_2.$
  $((W'', k, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies (W'', k, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)[v_1/x], e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E) \wedge$
  $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, v_c.$
  $((\theta_l, k, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, k, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor^{\ell_e\ \sigma}_E) \wedge$
  $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, , v_c.$
  $((\theta_l, k, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, k, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor^{\ell_e\ \sigma}_E)$

  This means that we need to prove the following:
  - $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W, k < n, v_1, v_2.((W'', k, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies$
    $(W'', k, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)[v_1/x], e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$:

    This means given $W'' \sqsupseteq W, k < n, v_1, v_2$ s.t $((W'', k, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
    We need to prove: $(W'', k, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)[v_1/x], e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

We instantiate IH1 with W'' and k
And since $(W'', k, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore we get
$(W'', k, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)[v_1/x], e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

– $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, v_c.((\theta_l, k, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies$
$(\theta_l, k, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma})$:

This means that we are given θ_l, k and v_c s.t
$\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1$ and $(\theta_l, k, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
And we are required to prove:
$(\theta_l, k, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$

It is given to us that
$\forall v_1, v_2.\ (W, n, \gamma \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Therefore from Lemma 2.25 we know that
$\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, (\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Therefore, we can apply Theorem 2.22 to obtain
$\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \lambda x.e\ \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.6 it means that we have
$\forall m.\ \forall \theta'. W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j < m. \forall v.(\theta', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies$
$(\theta', j, e[v/x]\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$

We instantiate m with some $l > k$, θ' with θ_l, j with k and v with v_c to get
$W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_l \wedge k < l \wedge (\theta_l, k, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, k, e[v_c/x]\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$

Since we thow that $W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_l \wedge k < l \wedge (\theta_l, k, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ therefore we get
$(\theta_l, k, e[v_c/x]\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$

– $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, , v_c.((\theta_l, k, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies$
$(\theta_l, k, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma})$:
Symmetric case as above

3. FG-app:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 \searrow \ell \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1\ e_2 : \tau_2}$$

To prove: $(W, n, (e_1\ e_2)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_1\ e_2)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 2.5 we need to prove:

$\forall H_1, H_2, n' < n. (n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_1, (e_1\ e_2)(\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, (e_1\ e_2)(\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
This further means that given $H_1, H_2, n' < n$ s.t
$(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_1, (e_1\ e_2)(\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, (e_1\ e_2)(\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$
It suffices to prove

$$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\tau_2)\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \qquad \text{(FB-A0)}$$

IH1 $(W, n, (e_1)\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), (e_1)\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil(\tau_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\to} \tau_2)^{\ell}\ \sigma\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 2.5 we get

$\forall H_{i1}, H_{i2}, i < n.(n, H_{i1}, H_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_{i1}, e_1\ (\gamma\downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_{i2}, e_1\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n-i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n-i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\tau_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\to} \tau_2)^{\ell}\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating H_{i1} with H_1 and H_{i2} with H_2 in IH1 and since the $(e_1\ e_2)$ reduces to value with $\gamma\downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps. Therefore $\exists i < n' < n$ s.t $(H_{i1}, e_1\ (\gamma\downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1')$. $(H_{i2}, e_1\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$ is known because $(e_1\ e_2)$ reduces to value with $\gamma\downarrow_2$. Hence we get

$$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n-i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n-i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\tau_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\to} \tau_2)^{\ell}\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \qquad (81)$$

IH2: $(W_1', n-i, (e_2)\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), (e_2)\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil(\tau_1)\ \sigma\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 2.5 we get

$\forall H_{j1}, H_{j2}, j < (n-i).(n-i, H_{j1}, H_{j2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (H_1, e_2\ (\gamma\downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_j (H_{j1}', v_{j1}') \wedge (H_2, e_2\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \Downarrow$
$(H_{j2}', v_{j2}') \implies \exists W_2' \sqsupseteq W_1'.(n-i-j, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2' \wedge (W_2', n-i-j, v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil(\tau_1)\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating H_{j1} with H_1' and H_{j2} with H_2' in IH2. Since the $(e_1\ e_2)$ reduces to value with $\gamma\downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps. Also, e_1 reduces to value $\gamma\downarrow_1$ in $i < n'$ steps. Therefore $\exists j < n' - i < n - i$ s.t $(H_{i1}, e_2\ (\gamma\downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_j (H_{j1}', v_{j1}')$. $(H_{i2}, e_2\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{j2}', v_{j2}')$ is known because $(e_1\ e_2)$ reduces to value with $\gamma\downarrow_2$. Hence we get

$$\exists W_2' \sqsupseteq W_1'.(n-i-j, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2' \wedge (W_2', n-i-j, v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil(\tau_1)\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \qquad (82)$$

We case analyze on $(W_1', n-i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\tau_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\to} \tau_2)^{\ell}\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ from Equation 81

- Case $\ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
  From Definition 2.4 we know that this would mean that
  $(W_1', n-i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\tau_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\to} \tau_2)\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  This means
  $(W_1', n-i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\tau_1\ \sigma \overset{\ell_e\ \sigma}{\to} \tau_2\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  Let $v_1' = \lambda x.e_{h1}$ and $v_2' = \lambda x.e_{h2}$

  Again from Definition 2.4 it means that
  $\forall W_{h1}' \sqsupseteq W_1', j_1 < (n-i), v_1, v_2.$
  $((W_{h1}', j_1, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\tau_1\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies (W_{h1}', j_1, e_{h1}[v_1/x], e_{h2}[v_2/x]) \in \lceil\tau_2\ \sigma\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge$
  $\forall \theta_{l1} \sqsupseteq W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, v_c.$
  $\wedge ((\theta_{l1}, m_1, v_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_V \implies (W_{h1}'.\theta_1, e_{h1}[v_1/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}) \wedge$
  $\forall \theta_{l1} \sqsupseteq W_1'.\theta_2, m_1, v_c.$
  $\wedge (\theta_{l1}, m_1, v_2) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_V \implies (W_{h1}'.\theta_2, e_{h2}[v_2/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma})$

We instantiate W'_{h1} with W'_2 obtained from Equation 82. Similarly we also instantiate v_1 and v_2 with v'_{j1} and v'_{j2} respectively from Equation 82, and j_1 with $n-i-j$. And we get
$(W'_2, n-i-j, e_{h1}[v'_{j1}/x], e_{h2}[v'_{j2}/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

From Definition 2.5 we get

$\forall H_1, H_2, k_e < (n-i-j).(n-i-j, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_2 \wedge$
$(H_1, e_{h1}[v'_{j1}/x]) \Downarrow_{k_e} (H'_{f1}, v_{f1}) \wedge (H_2, e_{h2}[v'_{j2}/x]) \Downarrow (H'_{f2}, v_{f2}) \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W'_2.(n-i-j-k_e, H'_{f1}, H'_{f2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-i-j-k_e, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Instantiating H_1 with H'_{j1} and H_2 with H'_{j2} obtained from Equation 82. And since we know that $e_1\ e_2$ reduces with $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps. And e_2 reduces to value $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $j < n' - 1 < n - i$ steps. Therefore $\exists k_e = n' - i - j < n - i - j$ s.t $(H_1, e_{h1}[v'_{j1}/x]) \Downarrow_{k_e} (H'_{f1}, v_{f1})$. $(H_2, e_{h2}[v'_{j2}/x]) \Downarrow (H'_{f2}, v_{f2})$ is known because $(e_1\ e_2)$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_2$. Hence we get

$$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W'_2.((n-i-j-k_e), H'_{f1}, H'_{f2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', (n-i-j-k_e), v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \tag{83}$$

This concludes the proof in this case.

- Case $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

From FB-A0 we know that we need to prove
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

In this case since we know that $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Let $\tau_2\ \sigma = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$ and since $\tau_2\ \sigma \searrow \ell\ \sigma$ therefore $\ell_i \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

Therefore from Definition 2.4 it will suffice to prove
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (\forall m_1.(W'.\theta_1, m_1, v'_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V) \wedge (\forall m_2.(W'.\theta_1, m_2, v'_2) \in \lfloor (\tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V)$

This means it suffices to prove
$(\forall m_1, m_2. \exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W'.\theta_1, m_1, v'_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V) \wedge ((W'.\theta_1, m_2, v'_2) \in \lfloor (\tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V)$

This means given m_1 and m_2 it suffices to prove:

$$(\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W'.\theta_1, m_1, v'_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V) \wedge (W'.\theta_1, m_2, v'_2) \in \lfloor (\tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V) \tag{84}$$

In this case from Definition 2.6 we know that

$$\forall m.(W'_1.\theta_1, m, \lambda x.e_{h1}) \in \lfloor (\tau_1\ \sigma \overset{\ell_e\ \sigma}{\rightarrow} \tau_2\ \sigma) \rfloor_V \tag{85}$$

$$\forall m.(W'_1.\theta_2, m, \lambda x.e_{h2}) \in \lfloor (\tau_1\ \sigma \overset{\ell_e\ \sigma}{\rightarrow} \tau_2\ \sigma) \rfloor_V \tag{86}$$

Applying Definition 2.6 on Equation 85 we get
$\forall m.\ \forall \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j_1 < m.\forall v.(\theta', j_1, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta', j_1, e_{h1}[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$
where $\theta = W_1'.\theta_1$

We instantiate m with m_1+2+t_1 where t_1 is the number of steps in which e_{h1} reduces
$\forall \theta'.W_1'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j_1 < (m_1+1+t_1).\forall v.(\theta', j_1, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies$
$(\theta', j_1, e_{h1}[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$ (FB-AC1)

Since from Equation 82 we have
$(W_2', n-i-j, v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil (\tau_1)\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Therefore from Lemma 2.15 we get
$\forall m.\ (W_2'.\theta_1, m, v_{j1}') \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Instantiating m with m_1+1+t_1 we get
$(W_2'.\theta_1, m_1+1+t_1, v_{j1}') \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Instantiating θ' with $W_2'.\theta_1$, $j1$ with m_1+t_1 and v with v_{j1}' from Equation 82.
Therefore we get $(W_2'.\theta_1, m_1+1+t_1, e_{h1}[v_{j1}'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$

From Definition 2.7, we get
$\forall H.(m_1+1+t_1, H) \triangleright W_2'.\theta_1 \wedge \forall k_c < (m_1+1+t_1).(H, e_{h1}[v_{j1}'/x]) \Downarrow_{k_c} (H_1', v_1') \implies$
$\exists \theta_1'.W_2'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge ((m_1+1+t_1-k_c), H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', (m_1+1+t_1-k_c), v_1') \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.W_2'.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(W_2'.\theta_1).\theta_1'(a) \searrow (\ell_e\ \sigma))$

Since from Equation 82 we have $(n-i-j, H_{j1}', H_{j1}') \triangleright W_2'$
Therefore from Lemma 2.27 we get $\forall m.(m, H_{j1}') \triangleright W_2'.\theta_1$
Instantiating m with m_1+1+t_1 we get $(m_1+1+t_1, H_{j1}') \triangleright W_2'.\theta_1$

Now instantiating H with H_{j1}' from Equation 82 and k_c with t_1 we get
$\exists \theta_1'.W_2'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge ((m_1+1), H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', (m_1+1), v_1') \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_{j1}'(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.W_2'.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(W_2'.\theta_1).\theta_1'(a) \searrow (\ell_e\ \sigma))$ (R1)

Similarly we can apply Definition 2.6 on Equation 86 to get
$\forall m.\ \forall \theta_2'.(m, W_1'.\theta_2) \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge \forall j_2 < m.\forall v.(\theta_2', j_2, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies$
$(\theta_2', j_2, e_{h2}[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$

We instantiate m with m_2+2+t_2 where t_2 is the number of steps in which e_{h2} reduces
$\forall \theta'.W_1'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j_1 < (m_2+2+t_2).\forall v.(\theta', j_1, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies$
$(\theta', j_1, e_{h2}[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$ (FB-AC2)

Since from Equation 82 we have
$(W_2', n-i-j, v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil (\tau_1)\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Therefore from Lemma 2.15 we get
$\forall m.\ (W_2'.\theta_2, m, v_{j2}') \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Instantiating m with m_2+1+t_2 we get
$(W_2'.\theta_2, m_2+1+t_2, v_{j2}') \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Instantiating θ' with $W_2'.\theta_2$, j_1 with m_2+1+t_2 and v with v'_{j2} from Equation 82 in FB-AC2 we get
$(W_2'.\theta_2, m_2+1+t_2, e_{h2}[v'_{j2}/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$

From Definition 2.7, we get
$\forall H.(m_2+1+t_2, H) \triangleright W_2'.\theta_2 \wedge \forall k_c < (m_2+1+t_2).(H, e_{h2}[v'_{j1}/x]) \Downarrow_{k_c} (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists \theta_2'.\, W_2'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge ((m_2+1+t_2-k_c), H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', (m_2+1+t_2-k_c)v_2') \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\, W_2'.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2')/dom(W_2'.\theta_2).\theta_2'(a) \searrow (\ell_e\ \sigma))$

Since from Equation 82 we have $(n-i-j, H'_{j1}, H'_{j1}) \triangleright W_2'$
Therefore from Lemma 2.27 we get $\forall m.(m, H'_{j2}) \triangleright W_2'.\theta_2$
Instantiating m with m_2+1+t_2 we get $(m_2+1+t_2, H'_{j2}) \triangleright W_2'.\theta_2$

Now Instantiating H with H'_{j2} from Equation 82 and and k_c with t_2.
$\exists \theta_2'.\, W_2'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (m_2+1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', (m_2+1), v_2') \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H'_{j2}(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\, W_2'.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(W_2'.\theta_2).\theta_2'(a) \searrow (\ell_e\ \sigma))$ (R2)

In order to prove FB-A0 we choose W' to be $(\theta_1', \theta_2', W_2'.\beta)$. Now we need to show two things:

(a) $(n-n', H_1', H_2') \triangleright W'$:
From Definition 2.9 it suffices to show that
- $dom(W'.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1') \wedge dom(W.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2')$:
From R1 we know that $(m_1+1, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$, therefore from Definition 2.8 we get $dom(W'.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1')$
Similarly, from R2 we know that $(m_2+1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$, therefore from Definition 2.8 we get $dom(W'.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2')$
- $(W'.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W'.\theta_1) \times dom(W'.\theta_1))$:
Since from Equation 82 we know that $(n-i-j, H'_{j1}, H'_{j2}) \triangleright W_2'$ therefore from Definition 2.9 we know that $(W_2'.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W_2'.\theta_1) \times dom(W_2'.\theta_2))$
From R1 and R2 we know that $W_2'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$ and $W_2'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$ therefore $(W_2'.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(\theta_1') \times dom(\theta_2'))$
- $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W'.\hat{\beta}).\, W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge$
$(W', n-n'-1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:

  4 cases arise for each $(a_1, a_2) \in W_2'.\hat{\beta}$

  i. $H'_{j1}(a_1) = H_1'(a_1) \wedge H'_{j2}(a_2) = H_2'(a_2)$:
    - $W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2)$:
      We know from Equation 82 that $(n-i-j, H'_{j1}, H'_{j2}) \triangleright W_2'$

      Therefore from Definition 2.9 we have
      $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W_2'.\hat{\beta}).\, W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_2'.\theta_2(a_2)$

      Since $W'.\hat{\beta} = W_2'.\hat{\beta}$ by construction therefore
      $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W'.\hat{\beta}).\, W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_2'.\theta_2(a_2)$

      From R1 and R2 we know that $W_2'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$ and $W_2'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$ respectively. Therefore from Definition 2.2
      $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W'.\hat{\beta}).\theta_1'(a_1) = \theta_2'(a_2)$

* $(W', n - n' - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
From Equation 82 we know that $(n - i - j, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2'$
This means from Definition 2.9 that
$\forall (a_{i1}, a_{i2}) \in (W_2'.\hat{\beta}).\, W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_2'.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge (W_2', n-i-j-1, H_{j1}'(a_1), H_{j2}'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating with a_1 and a_2 and since $W_2' \sqsubseteq W'$ and $n - n' - 1 < n - i - j - 1$ (since $n' = i + j + t_1$ where t_1 is the number of steps taken by e_{h1}, i is the number of steps taken by $e_1\ \gamma \downarrow_1$ to reduce and j is the number of steps taken by $e_2\ \gamma \downarrow_1$ to reduce) therefore from Lemma 2.17 we get
$(W', n - n' - 1, H_{j1}'(a_1), H_{j2}'(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

ii. $H_{j1}'(a_1) \neq H_1'(a_1) \vee H_{j2}'(a_2) \neq H_2'(a_2)$:

* $W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2)$
Same reasoning as in the previous case
* $(W', n - n' - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
From R1 and R2 we know that
$(\forall a. H_{j1}'(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\, W_2'.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
$(\forall a. H_{j2}'(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\, W_2'.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
This means we have
$\exists \ell'.\, W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell'$ and
$\exists \ell'.\, W_2'.\theta_2(a_2) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell'$

Since $pc\ \sigma \sqcup \ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_e\ \sigma$ (given) and $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $\ell_e\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. And thus, $\ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

Also from R1 and R2, $(m_1 + 1, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$ and $(m_2 + 1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$. Therefore from Definition 2.8 we have
$(\theta_1', m_1, H_1'(a_1)) \in \lfloor \theta_1'(a_1) \rfloor_V$ and
$(\theta_2', m_2, H_2'(a_1)) \in \lfloor \theta_2'(a_2) \rfloor_V$

Since m_1 and m_2 are arbitrary indices therefore from Definition 2.4 we get
$(W', n - n' - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil \theta_1'(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

iii. $H_{j1}'(a_1) = H_1'(a_1) \vee H_{j2}'(a_2) \neq H_2'(a_2)$:

* $W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2)$
Same reasoning as in the previous case
* $(W', n - n' - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
From R2 we know that
$(\forall a. H_{j2}'(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\, W_2'.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
This means that a_2 was protected at $\ell_e\ \sigma$ in the world before the modification. Since $pc\ \sigma \sqcup \ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_e\ \sigma$ (given) and $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $\ell_e\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. And thus, $\ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

Since from Equation 82 we know that $(n - i - j, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2'$ that means from Definition 2.9 that $(W_2', n - i - j - 1, H_{j1}'(a_1), H_{j2}'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$. Since $(\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell'$ therefore from Definition 2.4 we know that $H_{j1}'(a_1)$ must also be protected at some label $\not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

Therefore

$\forall m.\ (W_2'.\theta_1, m, H_{j1}'(a_1)) \in W_2'.\theta_1(a_1)$ (F)
and
$\forall m.\ (W_2'.\theta_2, m, H_{j2}'(a_2)) \in W_2'.\theta_2(a_1)$ (S)

Instantiating the (F) with m_1 and using Lemma 2.16 we get
$(\theta_1', m_1, H_{j1}'(a_1)) \in \theta_1'(a_1)$

Since from R2 we know that $(m_2{+}1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$ therefore from Definition 2.8 we know that $(\theta_2', m_2, H_2'(a_2)) \in \theta_2'(a_2)$
Therefore from Definition 2.4 we get
$(W', n - n' - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil \theta_1'(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

iv. $H_{j1}'(a_1) \neq H_1'(a_1) \vee H_{j2}'(a_2) = H_2'(a_2)$:
Symmetric case as above

– $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i \in dom(W'.\theta_i).(W'.\theta_i, m, H_i'(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$:

$\underline{i = 1}$
This means that given some m we need to prove
$\forall a_i \in dom(W'.\theta_i).(W'.\theta_i, m, H_i'(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$

Like before we instantiate Equation 85 and Equation 86 with $m + 2 + t_1$ and $m + 2 + t_2$ respectively. This will give us

$\exists \theta_1'. W_2'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge ((m_1 + 1), H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', (m_1 + 1), v_1') \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H_{j1}'(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W_2'.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(W_2'.\theta_1).\theta_1'(a) \searrow (\ell_e\ \sigma))$
and
$\exists \theta_2'. W_2'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (m_2 + 1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', (m_2 + 1), v_2') \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H_{j2}'(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W_2'.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(W_2'.\theta_2).\theta_2'(a) \searrow (\ell_e\ \sigma))$

Since we have $(m{+}1, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$ and $(m{+}1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$ therefore we get the desired from Definition 2.8

$\underline{i = 2}$
Symmetric to $i = 1$

(b) $(W', n - n' - 1, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
Let $\tau_2 = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$ Since $\tau_2\ \sigma \searrow \ell\ \sigma$ and since $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ therefore $\ell_i\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

From R1 and R2 we and Definition 2.4 we get the desired.

4. FG-prod:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : \tau_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp}}$$

To prove: $(W, n, (e_1, e_2)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_1, e_2)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

Say $e_1 = (e_1, e_2)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = (e_1, e_2)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$

From Definition of $\lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$ it suffices to prove that

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists W'. W \sqsubseteq W' \wedge (n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means that given some H_1, H_2 and $n' < n$ s.t

$(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$

We are required to prove:

$$\exists W'. W \sqsubseteq W' \wedge (n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp} \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \quad (87)$$

IH1 $(W, n, (e_1)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_1)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 2.5 we get

$\forall H_{p11}, H_{p12}.(n, H_{p11}, H_{p12}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall i < n.(H_{p11}, e_1\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_{p11}', v_{p11}') \wedge (H_{p12}, e_1\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{p12}', v_{p12}') \implies$

$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n - i, H_{p11}', H_{p12}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n - i, v_{p11}', v_{p12}') \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating H_{p11} with H_1 and H_{p22} with H_2 in IH1 and since the (e_1, e_2) reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps therefore we know that $\exists i < n' < n$ s.t $(H_{p11}, e_1\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_{p11}', v_{p11}')$. Similarly since we know that (e_1, e_2) reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_2$ therefore we know that $(H_{p12}, e_1\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{p12}', v_{p12}')$. Hence we get

$$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n - i, H_{p11}', H_{p12}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n - i, v_{p11}', v_{p12}') \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \quad (88)$$

IH2 $(W, n - i, (e_2)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_2)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 2.5 we get

$\forall H_{p21}, H_{p22}.(n-i, H_{p21}, H_{p22}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge \forall j < n-i.(H_{p21}, e_2\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_j (H_{p21}', v_{p21}') \wedge (H_{p22}, e_2\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{p22}', v_{p22}') \implies$

$\exists W_2' \sqsupseteq W_1'.(n - i - j, H_{p21}', H_{p22}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2' \wedge (W_2', n - i - j, v_{p21}', v_{p22}') \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating H_{p21} with H_{p11}' and H_{p22} with H_{p21}' and in IH2. Since (e_1, e_2) reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps and e_1 has reduced with $i < n'$ steps. Therefore we know that $\exists j < n' - i < n - i$ s.t $(H_{p21}, e_2\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_{p21}', v_{p11}')$. Similarly since we know that (e_1, e_2) reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_2$ therefore we know that $(H_{p22}, e_2\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{p22}', v_{p22}')$. Hence we get

since the (e_1, e_2) reduces to value with both $\gamma \downarrow_1$ and $\gamma \downarrow_2$ therefore we know that $(H_{p21}, e_2\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow (H_{p21}', v_{p21}') \wedge (H_{p22}, e_1\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{p22}', v_{p22}')$. Hence we get

$$\exists W_2' \sqsupseteq W_1'.(n - i - j, H_{p21}', H_{p22}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2' \wedge (W_2', n - i - j, v_{p21}', v_{p22}') \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \quad (89)$$

In order to prove Equation 87 we instantiate W' in Equation 87 with W_2' we are required to show the following:

- $W \sqsubseteq W_2'$:
  Since $W \sqsubseteq W_1'$ from Equation 88 and $W_1' \sqsubseteq W_2'$ from Equation 89
  Therefore, $W \sqsubseteq W_2'$ from Definition 2.3

- $(n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'$:
  Here $n' = i + j + 1$
  From evaluation rule of products we know that $H_1' = H_{p21}'$ and $H_2' = H_{p22}'$
  From Equation 89 we know that $(n - i - j, H_{p21}', H_{p22}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2'$
  Therefore from Lemma 2.21 we get $(n - i - j - 1, H_{p21}', H_{p22}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2'$
- $(W', n - i - j - 1, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp} \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
  From evaluation rule of products we know that $v_1' = (v_{p11}', v_{p21}')$ and $v_2' = (v_{p12}', v_{p22}')$
  We are required to show
  - $(W_2', n - i - j - 1, v_{p11}', v_{p12}') \in \lceil \tau_1 \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W_2', n - i - j - 1, v_{p21}', v_{p22}') \in \lceil \tau_2 \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
    From Equation 88 and Equation 89 we know that
    $(W_2', n - i - j, v_{p11}', v_{p12}') \in \lceil \tau_1 \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W_2', n - i - j, v_{p21}', v_{p22}') \in \lceil \tau_2 \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
    Therefore from Lemma 2.17 we get
    $(W_2', n - i - j - 1, v_{p11}', v_{p12}') \in \lceil \tau_1 \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W_2', n - i - j - 1, v_{p21}', v_{p22}') \in \lceil \tau_2 \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

5. FG-fst:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{fst}(e_i) : \tau_1}$$

To prove: $(W, n, (\mathsf{fst}(e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (\mathsf{fst}(e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau_1 \ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$
Say $e_1 = (\mathsf{fst}(e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = (\mathsf{fst}(e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$
From Definition 2.5 it suffices to prove that

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists W'.W \sqsubseteq W' \wedge (n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1 \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means that given

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$
We are required to prove:

$$\exists W'.W \sqsubseteq W' \wedge (n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1 \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{90}$$

IH1
$(W, (e_i)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_i)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$
This means from Definition 2.5 we get

$\forall H_{i1}, H_{i2}.(n, H_{i1}, H_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall i < n.(H_{i1}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_{i1}', v_{i1}') \wedge (H_{i2}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{i2}', v_{i2}') \implies$
$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n - i, H_{i1}', H_{i2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n - i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating H_{i1} with H_1 and H_{i2} with H_2 in IH1 and since the $\mathsf{fst}(e_i)$ reduces to value reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps therefore we know that $\exists i < n' < n$ s.t $(H_{i1}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_{i1}', v_{i1}')$. Similarly since we know that $\mathsf{fst}(e_i)$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_2$ therefore we know that $(H_{i2}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{i2}', v_{i2}')$. Hence we get

$$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n-i, H_{i1}', H_{i2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n-i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{91}$$

We case analyze on $(W_1', n-i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ from Equation 91

- Case $\ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
  From Definition 2.4 we know that this would mean that
  $(W_1', n-i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  This means
  $(W_1', n-i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil (\tau_1\ \sigma \times \tau_2\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  Let $v_{i1}' = (v_{i1}, v_{i2})$ and $v_{i2}' = (v_{j1}, v_{j2})$

  Again from Definition 2.4 it means that
  $(W_1', n-i, v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W_1', n-i, v_{i2}, v_{j2}) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (F1)

  Inroder to prove Equation 90 we choose W' as W_1' and from the evaluation rule of fst we know that $H_1' = H_{i1}'$ and $H_2' = H_{i2}'$. Also, from reduction rules we know that $n' = i+1$. And then we need to show:
  - $W \sqsubseteq W_1'$:
    Directly from Equation 91
  - $(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$:
    Since from Equation 91 we know that $(n-i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$
    Therefore from Lemma 2.21 we get $(n-i-1, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$
  - $(W_1', n-n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
    From the evaluation rule we know that $v_1' = v_{i1}$ and $v_2' = v_{j1}$
    From F1 we know that $(W_1', n-i, v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
    Therefore from Lemma 2.17 we get $(W_1', n-i-1, v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
- Case $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
  In this case from Definition 2.6 we know that
  (a) $\forall m.\ (W_1'.\theta_1, m, v_{i1}') \in \lfloor (\tau_1\ \sigma \times \tau_2\ \sigma) \rfloor_V$ and
  (b) $\forall m.\ (W_1'.\theta_2, m, v_{i2}') \in \lfloor (\tau_1\ \sigma \times \tau_2\ \sigma) \rfloor_V$
  where
  $v_{i1}' = (v_{i1}, v_{i2})$ and $v_{i2}' = (v_{j1}, v_{j2})$

  Inroder to prove Equation 90 we choose W' as W_1' and from the evaluation rule of fst we know that $H_1' = H_{i1}'$ and $H_2' = H_{i2}'$. And then we need to show:
  - $W \sqsubseteq W_1'$:
    Directly from Equation 91
  - $(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$:
    From Equation 91 we know that $(n-i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$
    Therefore from Lemma 2.21 we get
    $(n-i-1, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$

– $(W_1', n-n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
From the evaluation rule we know that $v_1' = v_{i1}$ and $v_2' = v_{j1}$
Let $\tau_1 = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$ Since $\tau_1\ \sigma \searrow \ell$ and since $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ therefore $\ell_i\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

Therefore from Definition 2.4 it suffices to prove that
$\forall m_1.\ (W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
and
$\forall m_2.\ (W_1'.\theta_2, m_2, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

This means given m_1 and it suffices to prove:

$$(W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \tag{92}$$

Similarly given m_2, it suffices to prove:

$$(W_1'.\theta_2, m_2, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \tag{93}$$

Instantiating (a) with m_1

$$(W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge (W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, v_{i2}) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V \tag{94}$$

Instantiating (b) with m_2

$$(W_1'.\theta_2, m_2, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge (W_1'.\theta_2, m_2, v_{j2}) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V \tag{95}$$

From Equation 94 and Equation 95 we get
$(W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ and $(W_1'.\theta_2, m_2, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

6. FG-snd:

   Symmetric case as FG-fst

7. FG-inl:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i : \tau_1}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{inl}(e_i) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp}}$$

   To prove: $(W, n, (\mathsf{inl}\ (e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (\mathsf{inl}\ (e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$
   Say $e_1 = (\mathsf{inl}\ (e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = (\mathsf{inl}\ (e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$
   From Definition of $\lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$ it suffices to prove that
   $\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
   $\exists W'.W \sqsubseteq W' \wedge (n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   This means that given
   $\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$
   We are required to prove:

$$\exists W'.W \sqsubseteq W' \wedge (n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{96}$$

IH1 $(W, (e_i)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_i)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 2.5 we get

$\forall H_{i1}, H_{i2}.(n, H_{i1}, H_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall i < n.(H_{i1}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H'_{i1}, v'_{i1}) \wedge (H_{i2}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_{i2}, v'_{i2}) \implies$
$\exists W'_1 \sqsupseteq W.(n - i, H'_{i1}, H'_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, n - i, v'_{i1}, v'_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Instantiating H_{i1} with H_1 and H_{i2} with H_2 in IH1 and since the $\mathsf{inl}(e_i)$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps therefore we know that $\exists i < n' < n$ s.t $(H_{i1}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H'_{i1}, v'_{i1})$. Similarly since we know that $\mathsf{inl}(e_i)$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_2$ therefore we know that $(H_{i2}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_{i2}, v'_{i2})$. Hence we get

$$\exists W'_1 \sqsupseteq W.(n - i, H'_{i1}, H'_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, n - i, v'_{i1}, v'_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \tag{97}$$

Instantiating W' in Equation 96 with W'_1. Also from reduction relation we know that $n' = i + 1$ we are required to show the following:

- $W \sqsubseteq W'_1$:
  Directly from Equation 97
- $(n - n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1$:
  From Equation 97 we know that $(n - i, H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1$
  Therefore from Lemma 2.21 we get
  $(n - n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1$
- $(W'_1, n - n', v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$:
  From evaluation rule of inl we know that $v'_1 = \mathsf{inl}(v'_{i1})$ and $v'_2 = \mathsf{inl}(v'_{i2})$
  We are required to show
  - $(W'_1, n - n', v'_{i1}, v'_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$:
    From Equation 97 we know that $(W'_1, n - i, v'_{i1}, v'_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
    Therefore from Lemma 2.17 we get
    $(W'_1, n - i - 1, v'_{i1}, v'_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

8. FG-inr:

   Symmetric case to FG-inl.

9. FG-case:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{pc \sqcup \ell} e_{i1} : \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, y : \tau_2 \vdash_{pc \sqcup \ell} e_{i2} : \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{case}(e_i, x.e_{i1}, y.e_{i2}) : \tau}$$

   To prove: $(W, (\mathsf{case}(e_i, x.e_{i1}, y.e_{i2}))\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (\mathsf{case}(e_i, x.e_{i1}, y.e_{i2}))\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$
   Say $e_1 = (\mathsf{case}(e_i, x.e_{i1}, y.e_{i2}))\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = (\mathsf{case}(e_i, x.e_{i1}, y.e_{i2}))\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$

   This means from Definition 2.5 we need to prove:

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\tau)\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This further means that given

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$

It suffices to prove

$$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\tau)\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{98}$$

IH1 $(W, n, (e_i)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_i)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil(\tau_1 + \tau_2)^\ell\ \sigma\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 2.5 we get

$\forall H_{i1}, H_{i2}.(n, H_{i1}, H_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall i < n.(H_{i1}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_{i2}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$

$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n - i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n - i, v_{s1}', v_{s2}') \in \lceil(\tau_1 + \tau_2)^\ell\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating H_{i1} with H_1 and H_{i2} with H_2 in IH1 and since the $(\mathsf{case}(e_i, x.e_{i1}, y.e_{i2}))$ reduces to value with both $\gamma \downarrow_1$ and $\gamma \downarrow_2$ therefore we know that $(H_{i1}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_{i2}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$. Hence we get

$$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n - i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n - i, v_{s1}', v_{s2}') \in \lceil(\tau_1 + \tau_2)^\ell\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{99}$$

IH2:

$(W_1', n - i, (e_{i1})\ (\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup\{x \mapsto v_{i1}\}), (e_{i1})\ (\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup\{x \mapsto v_{i2}\})) \in \lceil(\tau)\ \sigma\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 2.5 we get

$\forall H_{j1}, H_{j2}.(n - i, H_{j1}, H_{j2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge \forall j < n - i.(H_1, e_{i1}\ (\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup\{x \mapsto v_{i1}\})) \Downarrow_j (H_{j1}', v_{j1}') \wedge (H_2, e_{i1}\ (\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup\{x \mapsto v_{i2}\})) \Downarrow (H_{j2}', v_{j2}') \implies$
$\exists W_2' \sqsupseteq W_1'.(n - i - j, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2' \wedge (W_2', n - i - j, v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil(\tau)\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating H_{j1} with H_1' and H_{j2} with H_2' in IH2. Also instantiating W with W_1'. Since the $(\mathsf{case}(e_i, x.e_{i1}, y.e_{i2}))$ reduces to value in both runs therefore we know that $(H_1, e_{i1}\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow (H_{j1}', v_{j1}') \wedge (H_2, e_{i1}\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{j2}', v_{j2}')$. Hence we get

$$\exists W_2' \sqsupseteq W_1'.(n - i - j, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2' \wedge (W_2', n - i - j, v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil(\tau)\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{100}$$

IH3:

$(W_1', n - i, (e_{i2})\ (\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup\{y \mapsto v_{i1}\}), (e_{i2})\ (\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup\{y \mapsto v_{i2}\})) \in \lceil(\tau)\ \sigma\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 2.5 we get

$\forall H_{k1}, H_{k2}.(n - i, H_{k1}, H_{k2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge \forall k < n - i.(H_1, e_{i2}\ (\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup\{y \mapsto v_{i1}\})) \Downarrow_k (H_{k1}', v_{k1}') \wedge (H_2, e_{i2}\ (\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup\{y \mapsto v_{i2}\})) \Downarrow (H_{k2}', v_{k2}') \implies$
$\exists W_3' \sqsupseteq W_1'.(n - i - k, H_{k1}', H_{k2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_3' \wedge (W_3', n - i - k, v_{k1}', v_{k2}') \in \lceil(\tau)\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating H_{k1} with H'_1 and H_{k2} with H'_2 in IH2. Also instantiating W with W'_1. Since the $(\mathsf{case}(e_i, x.e_{i2}, y.e_{i2}))$ reduces to value in both runs therefore we know that $(H_1, e_{i2}\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow (H'_{k1}, v'_{k1}) \wedge (H_2, e_{i2}\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_{k2}, v'_{k2})$. Hence we get

$$\exists W'_3 \sqsupseteq W'_1.(n-i-k, H'_{k1}, H'_{k2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_3 \wedge (W'_3, n-i-k, v'_{k1}, v'_{k2}) \in \lceil(\tau)\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \tag{101}$$

We case analyze $(W'_1, n-i, v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil(\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\ell}\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ from Equation 99

- Case $\ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
  From Definition 2.4 2 further cases arise:
  - $v'_1 = \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1})$ and $v'_2 = \mathsf{inl}(v_{i2})$:
    In this case from Definition 2.4 we know that $(W, n-i, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil\tau_1\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

    Inroder to prove Equation 98 we choose W' as W'_2 from Equation 100 and from the first evaluation rule of case we know that $H'_1 = H'_{j1}$ and $H'_2 = H'_{j2}$. Also we know from the evaluation rule that $n' = i + j + 1$. And then we need to show:
    - $W \sqsubseteq W'_2$:
      Since $W \sqsubseteq W'_1$ from Equation 99 and $W'_1 \sqsubseteq W'_2$ from Equation 100
      Therefore, $W \sqsubseteq W'_2$ from Definition 2.3
    - $(n-n', H'_{j1}, H'_{j2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_2$:

      From Equation 100 we know that $(n-i-j, H'_{j1}, H'_{j2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_2$
      Therefore from Lemma 2.21 we get
      $(n-i-j-1, H'_{j1}, H'_{j2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_2$
    - $(W'_2, n-n', v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil\tau\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$:
      From the evalaution rule we know that $v'_1 = v'_{j1}$ and $v'_2 = v'_{j2}$
      From Equation 100 we know that $(W'_2, n-i-j, v'_{j1}, v'_{j2}) \in \lceil\tau\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
      Therefore from Lemma 2.17 we get
      $(W'_2, n-i-j-1, v'_{j1}, v'_{j2}) \in \lceil\tau\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
  - $v'_1 = \mathsf{inr}(v_{i1})$ and $v'_2 = \mathsf{inr}(v_{i2})$:
    In this case from Definition 2.4 we know that $(W, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil\tau_2\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

    Inorder to prove Equation 98 we choose W' as W'_3 from Equation 101 and from the second evaluation rule of case we know that $H'_1 = H'_{k1}$ and $H'_2 = H'_{k2}$. Also we know from the evaluation rule that $n' = i + k + 1$. And then we need to show:
    - $W \sqsubseteq W'_3$:
      Since $W \sqsubseteq W'_1$ from Equation 99 and $W'_1 \sqsubseteq W'_3$ from Equation 101
      Therefore, $W \sqsubseteq W'_3$ from Definition 2.3
    - $(n-n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_3$:

      From Equation 101 we know that $(n-i-k, H'_{k1}, H'_{k2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_3$
      Therefore from Lemma 2.21 we get
      $(n-i-k-1, H'_{k1}, H'_{k2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_3$
    - $(W'_3, n-n', v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil\tau\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$:
      From the evalaution rule we know that $v'_1 = v'_{k1}$ and $v'_2 = v'_{k2}$
      From Equation 101 we know that $(W'_3, n-i-k, v'_{k1}, v'_{k2}) \in \lceil\tau\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
      Therefore from Lemma 2.17 we get
      $(W'_3, n-i-k-1, v'_{k1}, v'_{k2}) \in \lceil\tau\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

- Case $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

  The following cases arise:

  (a) Reduction of e_1 happens via Case1 and Reduction of e_2 happens via Case1 : Exactly the same reasoning as in the $v_1' = \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1})$ and $v_2' = \mathsf{inl}(v_{i2})$ subscase of the $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ case before.

  (b) Reduction of e_1 happens via Case2 and Reduction of e_2 happens via Case2 : Exactly the same reasoning as in the $v_1' = \mathsf{inr}(v_{i1})$ and $v_2' = \mathsf{inr}(v_{i2})$ subscase of the $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ case before.

  (c) Reduction of e_1 happens via Case1 and Reduction of e_2 happens via Case2 :

  From Equation 98 we know that we need to prove
  $\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\tau)\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

  In this case since we know that $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Let $\tau\ \sigma = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$ and since $\tau\ \sigma \searrow \ell\ \sigma$ therefore $\ell_i \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

  This means inorder to prove $\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\tau)\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  From Definition 2.4 it will suffice to prove
  $\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (\forall m_1.(W'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor(\tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V) \wedge (\forall m_2.(W'.\theta_1, m_2, v_2') \in \lfloor(\tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V)$

  This means it suffices to prove
  $(\forall m_1, m_2. \exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor(\tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V) \wedge ((W'.\theta_1, m_2, v_2') \in \lfloor(\tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V)$

  This means given m_1 and m_2 it suffices to prove:

  $$(\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor(\tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V) \wedge (W'.\theta_1, m_2, v_2') \in \lfloor(\tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V) \tag{102}$$

  Since we know that $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil\Gamma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (given) therefore from Lemma 2.25 we know that $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, \gamma\downarrow_i) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$

  Therefore by instantiating it at $m_1 + 1 + j$ we know that

  $$(W.\theta_1, m_1 + 1 + j, \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V \tag{103}$$

  Next we apply Theorem 2.22 on $e_{i1}\ \gamma\downarrow_1$. Here j is the number of steps in which $e_{i1}\ \gamma\downarrow_1$ reduces. We use $\gamma\downarrow_1 \cup \{x \mapsto v_{s1}'\}$ as the unary substitution to get
  $(W.\theta_1, m_1 + 1 + j, e_{i1}\ \gamma\downarrow_1 \cup \{x \mapsto v_c'\}) \in \lfloor(\tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{pc}$

  This means from Definition 2.7 we get
  $\forall H_{c2}.(m_1+1+j, H_{c1}) \triangleright W_1.\theta_1 \wedge \forall l_c < (m_1+1+j).(H_{c2}, (e_{i1})\ \gamma\downarrow_1 \cup \{x \mapsto v_c'\}) \Downarrow_{k_c} (H_{c2}', v_c') \implies$
  $\exists \theta_1'.\ W_1.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (m_1+1+j-l_c, H_{c2}') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', m_1+1+j-l_c, v_c') \in \lfloor(\tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
  $(\forall a.H_{c2}(a) \neq H_{c2}'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\ W_1.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
  $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(W_1.\theta_1).\theta_1'(a) \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma)$

  Since from Equaiton 99 we know that $(n-i, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W_1'$ therefore from Lemma 2.27 we get $\forall m.(m, H_1') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_1$

Instantiating m with $m_1 + 1 + j$ we get $(m_1 + 1 + j, H_1') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_1$

Instantiating H_{c2} with H_1' from Equation 99 and l_c with j we get
$\exists \theta_1'. W_1.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (m_1 + 1, H_{c2}') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', m_1 + 1, v_c') \in \lfloor (\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H_{c2}(a) \neq H_{c2}'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W_1.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_1'(a) \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma)$ (CC1)

Similarly we apply Theorem 2.22 on $e_{i2}\ \gamma \downarrow_2$. Here j_2 is the number of steps in which $e_{i2}\ \gamma \downarrow_2$ reduces. We use $\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup \{y \mapsto v_{s2}'\}$ as the unary substitution to get $(W_1.\theta_2, m_2 + 1 + j_2, e_{i2}\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \cup \{y \mapsto v_c'\}) \in \lfloor (\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{pc}$

This means from Definition 2.7 we get
$\forall H_{c2}. (m_2 + 1 + j_2, H_{c1}) \triangleright W_1.\theta_2 \wedge \forall l_c < m_2 + 1 + j_2. (H_{c2}, (e_{i1})\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \cup \{x \mapsto v_c'\}) \Downarrow_{k_c}$
$(H_{c2}', v_c') \implies$
$\exists \theta_2'. W_1.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (m_2 + 1 + j_2 - l_c, H_{c2}') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_2', m_2 + 1 + j_2 - l_c, v_c') \in \lfloor (\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H_{c2}(a) \neq H_{c2}'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W_1.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_1'(a) \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma)$

Since from Equaiton 99 we know that $(n - i, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W_1'$ therefore from Lemma 2.27 we get $\forall m. (m, H_2') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_2$
Instantiating m with $m_2 + 1 + j_2$ we get $(m_2 + 1 + j_2, H_2') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_2$

Instantiating H_{c2} with H_2' (from Equation 99)and l_c with j_2 to get
$\exists \theta_2'. W_1.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (m_2 + 1, H_{c2}') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', m_2 + 1, v_c') \in \lfloor (\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H_{c2}(a) \neq H_{c2}'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W_1.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_1').\theta_1'(a) \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma)$ (CC2)

We choose
$W_n.\theta_1 = \theta_1'$ (from CC1)
$W_n.\theta_2 = \theta_2'$ (from CC2)
$W_n.\hat{\beta} = W_1'.\hat{\beta}$ (from Equation 99)

In order to prove Equation 98 we choose W' as W_n

i. $(n - n', H_1', H_2') \triangleright W'$:
From Definition 2.9 it suffices to show that
   - $dom(W'.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1') \wedge dom(W.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2')$:
     From (CC1) we know that $(m_1 + 1, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$, therefore from Definition 2.8 we get $dom(W'.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1')$
     Similarly, from (CC2) we know that $(m_2 + 1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$, therefore from Definition 2.8 we get $dom(W'.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2')$
   - $(W.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W'.\theta_1) \times dom(W'.\theta_1))$:
     Since from Equation 99 we have $(n - i, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W_1'$ therefore from Definition 2.9 we get $(W_1'.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W_1'.\theta_1) \times dom(W_1'.\theta_2))$
     From (CC1) and (CC2) we know that $W_1'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$ and $W_1'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$ therefore $(W_1'.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(\theta_1') \times dom(\theta_2'))$
   - $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W'.\hat{\beta}). W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge$
     $(W', n - n' - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:

     4 cases arise for each a_1 and a_2

A. $H'_{j1}(a_1) = H'_1(a_1) \wedge H'_{j2}(a_2) = H'_2(a_2)$:
$\underline{W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2)}$:
We know from Equation 99 that $(n-i, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W'_1$

Therefore from Definition 2.9 we have
$\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W'_1.\hat{\beta}).\, W'_1.\theta_1(a_1) = W'_1.\theta_2(a_2)$

Since $W'.\hat{\beta} = W'_1.\hat{\beta}$ by construction therefore
$\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W'.\hat{\beta}).\, W'_1.\theta_1(a_1) = W'_1.\theta_2(a_2)$

From (CC1) and (CC2) we know that $W'_1.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'_1$ and $W'_1.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta'_2$ respectively.
Therefore from Definition 2.2
$\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W'.\hat{\beta}).\theta'_1(a_1) = \theta'_2(a_2)$

$\underline{(W', n-n'-1, H'_1(a_1), H'_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V}$:
From Equation 99 we know that $(n-i, H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1$
This means from Definition 2.9 that
$\forall (a_{i1}, a_{i2}) \in (W'_1.\hat{\beta}).\, W'_1.\theta_1(a_1) = W'_1.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge (W'_1, n-i-1, H'_1(a_1), H'_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W'_1.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Instantiating with a_1 and a_2 and since $W'_1 \sqsubseteq W'$ and $n-n'-1 < n-i-1$ (since $n' = i + t_1 + 1$ where t_1 is the number of steps taken by e_{i1}, i is the number of steps taken by $e_1\ \gamma \downarrow_1$ to reduce) therefore from Lemma 2.17 we get
$(W', n-n'-1, H'_1(a_1), H'_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

B. $H'_{j1}(a_1) \neq H'_1(a_1) \vee H'_{j2}(a_2) \neq H'_2(a_2)$:

$\underline{W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2)}$:
Same as before

$\underline{(W', n-n'-1, H'_1(a_1), H'_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V}$:
From (CC1) and (CC2) we know that
$(\forall a. H'_1(a) \neq H'_{c1}(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\, W'_1.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge ((pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
$(\forall a. H'_2(a) \neq H'_{c2}(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\, W'_1.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge ((pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
This means we have
$\exists \ell'.\, W'_1.\theta_1(a_1) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge ((pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell'$ and
$\exists \ell'.\, W'_1.\theta_2(a_2) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge ((pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell'$

Since $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $(pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. And thus, $\ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

Also from (CC1) and (CC2), $(m_1 + 1, H'_{c1}) \triangleright \theta'_1$ and $(m_2 + 1, H'_{c2}) \triangleright \theta'_2$.
Therefore from Definition 2.8 we have
$(\theta'_1, m_1, H'_{c1}(a_1)) \in \lfloor \theta'_1(a_1) \rfloor_V$ and
$(\theta'_2, m_2, H'_{c2}(a_1)) \in \lfloor \theta'_2(a_2) \rfloor_V$

Since m_1 and m_2 are arbitrary indices therefore from Definition 2.4 we get (here $H'_1 = H'_{c1}$ and $H'_2 = H'_{c2}$)
$(W', n-n'-1, H'_1(a_1), H'_2(a_2)) \in \lceil \theta'_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

C. $H'_{j1}(a_1) = H'_1(a_1) \vee H'_{j2}(a_2) \neq H'_2(a_2)$:

$\underline{W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2)}$:
Same as before

$\underline{(W', n-n'-1, H'_1(a_1), H'_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V}$:

From (CC2) we know that
$(\forall a. H_2'(a) \neq H_{c2}'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W_1'.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge ((pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
This means that a_2 was protected at $(pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma$ in the world before the modification. Since $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $(pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. And thus, $\ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

Since from Equation 99 we know that $(n-i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$ that means from Definition 2.9 that $(W_1', n-i-1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_1'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$. Since $((pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell'$ therefore from Definition 2.4 we know that $H_1'(a_1)$ must also be protected at some label $\not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

Therefore
$\forall m.\ (W_1'.\theta_1, m, H_1'(a_1)) \in W_1'.\theta_1(a_1)$ (F)
and
$\forall m.\ (W_1'.\theta_2, m, H_2'(a_2)) \in W_1'.\theta_2(a_1)$ (S)

Instantiating the (F) with m_1 and using Lemma 2.16 we get
$(\theta_1', m_1, H_1'(a_1)) \in \theta_1'(a_1)$

Since from (CC2) we know that $(m_2+1, H_{c2}') \triangleright \theta_2'$ therefore from Definition 2.8 we know that $(\theta_2', m_2, H_{c2}'(a_2)) \in \theta_2'(a_2)$
Therefore from Definition 2.4 we get
$(W', n-n'-1, H_{c1}'(a_1), H_{c2}'(a_2)) \in \lceil \theta_1'(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

D. $H_{j1}'(a_1) \neq H_1'(a_1) \vee H_{j2}'(a_2) = H_2'(a_2)$:
Symmetric case as above

– $\forall i \in \{1,2\}. \forall m. \forall a_i \in dom(W'.\theta_i). (W'.\theta_i, m, H_i'(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$:

$\underline{i=1}$
This means that given some m we need to prove
$\forall a_i \in dom(W'.\theta_i). (W'.\theta_i, m, H_i'(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$

Like before we apply Theorem 2.22 on $e_{i1}\ \gamma 1$ and $e_{i2}\ \gamma 2$ but this time using $m+1+i$ and $m+1+j$ where i and j are the number of steps in which $e_{i1}\ \gamma 1$ and $e_{i2}\ \gamma 2$ reduces respectively. This will give us

$\exists \theta_1'. W_1.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge (m+1, H_{c2}') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', m+1, v_c') \in \lfloor (\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H_{c2}(a) \neq H_{c2}'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W_1.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta_1'). \theta_1'(a) \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma)$
and
$\exists \theta_2'. W_1.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge (m+1, H_{c2}') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', m+1, v_c') \in \lfloor (\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H_{c2}(a) \neq H_{c2}'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W_1.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\theta_1'). \theta_1'(a) \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma)$

Since we have $(m+1, H_{c1}') \triangleright \theta_1'$ and $(m+1, H_{c2}') \triangleright \theta_2'$ therefore we get the desired from Definition 2.8

$\underline{i=2}$
Symmetric to $i=1$

ii. $(W', n-n'-1, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
Let $\tau_2 = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$ Since $\tau_2\ \sigma \searrow \ell\ \sigma$ and since $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ therefore $\ell_i\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

From CC1 and CC2 we and Definition 2.4 we get the desired.

(d) Reduction of e_1 happens via Case2 and Reduction of e_2 happens via Case1 : Symmetric case as before

10. FG-ref:

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i : \tau \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau \searrow pc}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{new}\ e_i : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp}}$$

To prove: $(W, (\mathsf{new}\ (e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (\mathsf{new}\ (e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

Say $e_1 = (\mathsf{new}\ (e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = (\mathsf{new}\ (e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$

From Definition of $\lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$ it suffices to prove that

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists W'.W \sqsubseteq W' \wedge (n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

This means that given

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$

We are required to prove:

$$\exists W'.W \sqsubseteq W' \wedge (n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V} \tag{104}$$

IH1 $(W, n, (e_i)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_i)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

This means from Definition 2.5 we get

$\forall H_{i1}, H_{i2}.(n, H_{i1}, H_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall i < n.(H_{i1}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_{i1}', v_{i1}') \wedge (H_{i2}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{i2}', v_{i2}') \implies$
$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n - i, H_{i1}', H_{i2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n - i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

Instantiating H_{i1} with H_1 and H_{i2} with H_2 in IH1 and since the $\mathsf{ref}(e_i)$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps therefore $\exists i < n' < n.$ s.t $(H_{i1}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_{i1}', v_{i1}')$. Similarly since $\mathsf{ref}(e_i)$ reduces with $\gamma \downarrow_2$ therefore we know that $(H_{i2}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{i2}', v_{i2}')$. Hence we get

$$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n - i, H_{i1}', H_{i2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n - i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V} \tag{105}$$

From the evaluation rule of ref we know that $H_1' = H_{i1}' \cup \{a_{n1} \mapsto v_{i1}\}$ and $H_2' = H_{i2}' \cup \{a_{n2} \mapsto v_{i2}\}$

Inorder to prove Equation 104 we instantiate W' with W_n where W_n is

$W_n.\theta_1 = W_1'.\theta_1 \cup \{a_{n1} \mapsto \tau\}$

$W_n.\theta_2 = W_1'.\theta_2 \cup \{a_{n2} \mapsto \tau\}$

$W_n.\hat{\beta} = W_1'.\hat{\beta} \cup \{(a_{n1}, a_{n2})\}$

Also we know that $n' = i + 1$

We are now required to prove

- $W \sqsubseteq W_n$:
  From Equation 105 we know that $W \sqsubseteq W_1'$ and $W_1' \sqsubseteq W_n$ by construction.
  Therefore from Definition 2.3, $W \sqsubseteq W_n$
- $(n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_n$:
  From Definition 2.9 it suffices to show that
  - $dom(W_n.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1') \wedge dom(W.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2')$:
    From Equation 105 and by construction of W_n
  - $(W_n.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W_n.\theta_1) \times dom(W_n.\theta_1))$:
    From Equation 105 and by construction of W_n
  - $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W_n.\hat{\beta}).\, W_n.\theta_1(a_1) = W_n.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge (W_n, n-n', H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
    - $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W_n.\hat{\beta}).\, W_n.\theta_1(a_1) = W_n.\theta_2(a_2)$:
      From Equation 105 and by construction of W_n
    - $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W_n.\hat{\beta}).(W_n, n - n' - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
      From Equation 105 since we know that $(n - i, H_{i1}', H_{i2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$ that means
      $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W_1'.\hat{\beta}).(W_1', n - i - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_1'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

      Therefore from Lemma 2.17 we get $(n - i - 2 = n - n' - 1$, since $n' = i + 1)$
      $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W_1'.\hat{\beta}).(W_1', n - i - 2, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_1'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

      Since $W_n.\hat{\beta} = W_1'.\hat{\beta} \cup \{(a_{n1}, a_{n2})\}$ and from Equation 105 we know that $(W_1', n - i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

      Therefore combining the two we get
      $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W_n.\hat{\beta}).(W_n, n - n' - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  - $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\forall a_i \in dom(W_n.\theta_i).\forall m.(W_n, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$:
    From Equation 105 we have $(n - i, H_{i1}', H_{i2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$ that means from Definition 2.9 we have
    $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\forall a_i \in dom(W_1'.\theta_i).\forall m.(W_n, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$

    Also from Equation 105 we know that $(W_1', n - i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
    Therefore from Lemma 2.15 and Lemma 2.16 we get
    $\forall m.(W_1'.\theta_1, m, v_{i1}') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
    and
    $\forall m.(W_1'.\theta_2, m, v_{i2}') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

    Combining the two we get
    $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\forall a_i \in dom(W_n.\theta_i).\forall m.(W_n, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$
- $(W_n, n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
  Here $v_1' = a_{n1}$ and $v_2' = a_{n2}$
  Since $(a_{n1}, a_{n2}) \in W_n$ and also $W_n.\theta_1(a_{n1}) = W_n.\theta_1(a_{n1}) = \tau$
  Therefore from Definition 2.4 $(W_n, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

11. FG-deref:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_i : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau' \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} !e_i : \tau'}$$

To prove: $(W, n, (!(e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (!(e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau')\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

Say $e_1 = (!(e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = (!(e_i))\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$

This means from Definition 2.5 we need to prove:

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, !(e_i)(\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, !(e_i)(\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau')\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
This further means that given

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, !(e_i)(\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, !(e_i)(\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$
It suffices to prove

$$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau')\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{106}$$

IH1 $(W, n, (e_i)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_i)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$
This means from Definition 2.5 we get

$\forall H_{i1}, H_{i2}.(n, H_{i1}, H_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall i < n.(H_{i1}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_{i2}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$

$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n - i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n - i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
Instantiating H_{i1} with H_1 and H_{i2} with H_2 in IH1 and since the $!(e_i)$ reduces to value with both $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps therefore $\exists i < n' < n$ s.t $(H_{i1}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_1', v_1')$. Similarly since $!e_i$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_2$ therefore $(H_{i2}, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$. Hence we get

$$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n - i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n - i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{107}$$

We case analyze on $(W_1', n - i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ from Equation 107

- Case $\ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
  From Definition 2.4 we know that this would mean that
  $(W_1', n - i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  This means
  $(W_1', n - i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ (\tau\ \sigma)) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  Let $v_{i1}' = a_{i1}$ and $v_{i2}' = a_{i2}$

  Again from Definition 2.4 it means that
  $(a_{i1}, a_{i2}) \in W_1'.\hat{\beta} \wedge W_1'.\theta_1(a_{i1}) = W_1'.\theta_2(a_{i2}) = \tau$ (D1)

  Inorder to prove Equation 106 we instantiate W' with W_1'. Also we know that $n' = i + 1$

  - $W_1' \sqsupseteq W$:
    From Equation 107

– $(n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$:
From Equation 107 we know that
$(n - i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$
Therefore from Lemma 2.21 we get
$(n - i - 1, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$
– $(W_1', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\tau')\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
From the evaluation rule of deref we know that $v_1' = H_1'(a_{i1})$ and $v_1' = H_2'(a_{i2})$
Since from Equation 107 we know that $(n - i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$, therefore from Definition 2.9 we know that
$(W_1', n - i - 1, H_1'(a_{i1}), H_2'(a_{i2})) \in \lceil W_1'.\theta_1(a_{i1})\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
And from D1 we know that $W_1'.\theta_1(a_{i1}) = W_1'.\theta_2(a_{i2}) = \tau$
Therefore $(W_1', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\tau)\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
Since $\tau\ \sigma <: \tau'\ \sigma$ Therefore from Lemma 2.28, we get
$(W_1', n - i - 1, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\tau')\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

- Case $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
From the evaluation rule of deref we know that $v_{i1}' = a_1$ and $v_{i2}' = a_2$

In this case from Definition 2.4 we know that

$$\forall m_1.(W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, a_1) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V \tag{108}$$

and

$$\forall m_2.(W_1'.\theta_2, m_2, a_2) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V \tag{109}$$

Inroder to prove Equation 106 we choose W' as W_1'. And then we need to show:

– $W \sqsubseteq W_1'$:
Directly from Equation 107
– $(n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$:
From Equation 107 we know that $(n - i, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$
Therefore from Lemma 2.21 we get
$(n - i - 1, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$
– $(W_1', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil\tau'\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
Let $\tau' = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$ Since $\tau'\ \sigma \searrow \ell$ and since $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ therefore $\ell_i\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

Therefore from Definition 2.4 it suffices to prove that
$\forall m_1.\ (W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor\tau'\ \sigma\rfloor_V$
and
$\forall m_2.\ (W_1'.\theta_2, m_2, v_2') \in \lfloor\tau'\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

This means given m_1 and it suffices to prove:

$$(W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor\tau'\ \sigma\rfloor_V \tag{110}$$

Similarly given m_2, it suffices to prove:

$$(W_1'.\theta_2, m_2, v_2') \in \lfloor\tau'\ \sigma\rfloor_V \tag{111}$$

Since from Equation 107 we know that $(n-i, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W_1'$ therefore from Lemma 2.27 we get

$$\forall m_{h1}.(m_{h1}, H_1') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_1 \tag{112}$$

$$\forall m_{h2}.(m_{h2}, H_2') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_2 \tag{113}$$

Instantiating m_{h1} in Equation 112 with $m_1 + 1$ we get $(m_1, H_1') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_1$

Therefore from Definition 2.8, we get
$\forall a \in dom(W_1'.\theta_1).(W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, H_1'(a)) \in \lfloor W_1'.\theta_1(a) \rfloor_V$

Instantiating a with a_1 we get $(W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, H_1'(a_1)) \in \lfloor W_1'.\theta_1(a) \rfloor_V$

Since $W_1'.\theta_1(a_{i1}) = \tau$ therefore we get
$(W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
and since $\tau\ \sigma <: \tau'\ \sigma$ therefore from Lemma 2.24 we get
$(W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Similarly we also get
$(W_1'.\theta_2, m_2, v_2') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Finally from Definition 2.4 we get
$(W_1', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\tau')\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

12. FG-assign:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{i1} : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{i2} : \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{i1} := e_{i2} : \mathsf{unit}}$$

To prove: $(W, n, (e_{i1} := e_{i2})\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_{i1} := e_{i2})\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\mathsf{unit})\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$
Say $e_1 = (e_{i1} := e_{i2})\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = (e_{i1} := e_{i2})\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$

This means from Definition 2.5 we need to prove:

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, (e_{i1} := e_{i2})(\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, (e_{i1} := e_{i2})(\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\mathsf{unit})\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
This further means that given

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, (e_{i1} := e_{i2})(\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, (e_{i1} := e_{i2})(\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$
It suffices to prove

$$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\mathsf{unit})\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{114}$$

IH1 $(W, n, (e_{i1})\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_{i1})\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$
This means from Definition 2.5 we get

$\forall H_{i1}, H_{i2}.(n, H_{i1}, H_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall i < n.(H_{i1}, e_{i1}\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H'_{i1}, v'_1) \wedge (H_{i2}, e_{i1}\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_{i2}, v'_2) \implies$
$\exists W'_1 \sqsupseteq W.(n-i, H'_{i1}, H'_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, n-i, v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^\ell\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Instantiating H_{i1} with H_1 and H_{i2} with H_2 in IH1 and since the $(e_{i1} := e_{i2})$ reduces to value with both $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps therefore $\exists i < n' < n$ s.t $(H_{i1}, e_{i1}\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow (H'_{i1}, v'_{i1})$. Similarly since $(e_{i1} := e_{i2})$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_2$ therefore we also have $(H_{i2}, e_{i1}\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_{i2}, v'_{i2})$. Hence we get

$$\exists W'_1 \sqsupseteq W.(n-i, H'_{i1}, H'_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, n-i, v'_{i1}, v'_{i2}) \in \lceil(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^\ell\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \tag{115}$$

IH2 $(W, n-i, (e_{i2})\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_{i2})\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil(\tau)\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 2.5 we get

$\forall H_{j1}, H_{j2}.(n-i, H_{j1}, H_{j2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge \forall j < n-i.(H_{j1}, e_{i2}\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_j (H'_{j1}, v'_{j1}) \wedge (H_{j2}, e_{i2}\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_{j2}, v'_{j2}) \implies$
$\exists W'_2 \sqsupseteq W'_1.(n-i-j, H'_{j1}, H'_{j2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_2 \wedge (W'_2, n-i-j, v'_{j1}, v'_{j2}) \in \lceil(\tau)\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Instantiating H_{j1} with H'_{i1} and H_{j2} with H'_{i2} in IH2 and since the $(e_{i1} := e_{i2})$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps and e_1 reduces $\gamma \downarrow_1$ with $i < n'$ steps therefore $\exists j < (n'-i) < (n-i)$ s.t $(H_{j1}, e_{i2}\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow (H'_{j1}, v'_{j1})$. Similarly we also have $(H_{j2}, e_{i2}\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_{j2}, v'_{j2})$. Hence we get

$$\exists W'_2 \sqsupseteq W'_1.(n-i-j, H'_{j1}, H'_{j2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_2 \wedge (W'_2, n-i-j, v'_{j1}, v'_{j2}) \in \lceil(\tau)\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \tag{116}$$

We case analyze on $(W'_1, n-i, v'_{i1}, v'_{i2}) \in \lceil(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^\ell\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ from Equation 115

- Case $\ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
  From Definition 2.4 we know that this would mean that
  $(W'_1, n-i, v'_{i1}, v'_{i2}) \in \lceil(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
  This means
  $(W'_1, n-i, v'_{i1}, v'_{i2}) \in \lceil(\mathsf{ref}\ (\tau\ \sigma))\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
  Let $v'_{i1} = a_{i1}$ and $v'_{i2} = a_{i2}$

  Again from Definition 2.4 it means that
  $(a_{i1}, a_{i2}) \in W'_1.\hat{\beta} \wedge W'_1.\theta_1(a_{i1}) = W'_1.\theta_2(a_{i2}) = \tau\ \sigma$ (A1)

  In order to prove Equation 114 we instantiate W' with W'_2
  - $W'_2 \sqsupseteq W$:
    Since $W'_1 \sqsupseteq W$ from Equation 115 and $W'_2 \sqsupseteq W'_1$ from Equation 116
    Therefore from Definition 2.3 we get $W'_2 \sqsupseteq W$
  - $(n-n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_2$:
    From the evaluation rule assign we know that
    $H'_1 = H'_{j1}[a_{i1} \mapsto v'_{j1}]$ and $H'_2 = H'_{j2}[a_{i2} \mapsto v'_{j2}]$

    Inorder to prove $(n-n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_2$ we need to show:

* $dom(W_2'.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1') \wedge dom(W_2'.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2')$:
  Directly from Equation 116
* $W_2'.\hat{\beta} \subseteq (dom(W_2'.\theta_1) \times dom(W_2'.\theta_1))$:
  Directly from Equation 116
* $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (W_2'.\hat{\beta}).\, W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_2'.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge (W_2', n - n' - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_2.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:

(a) $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (W_2'.\hat{\beta}).\, W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_2'.\theta_2(a_2)$:
  $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (W_2'.\hat{\beta})$.

  i. When $a_1 = a_{i1}$ and $a_2 = a_{i2}$:
     From A1 we know that $W_1'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_1'.\theta_2(a_2) = \tau$
     and since $W_1' \sqsubseteq W_2'$ therefore from Lemma 2.16 we get $W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_2'.\theta_2(a_2) = \tau$
  ii. When $a_1 = a_{i1}$ and $a_2 \neq a_{i2}$: This case cannot arise
  iii. When $a_1 \neq a_{i1}$ and $a_2 = a_{i2}$: This case cannot arise
  iv. When $a_1 \neq a_{i1}$ and $a_2 \neq a_{i2}$: From Equation 116 and Lemma 2.17

(b) $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (W_2'.\hat{\beta}).(W_2', n - n', H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
  $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (W_2'.\hat{\beta})$.

  i. When $a_1 = a_{i1}$ and $a_2 = a_{i2}$:
     Since $H_1'(a_{i1}) = v_{j1}'$ and $H_1'(a_{i2}) = v_{j2}'$
     From A1 we know that $W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_2'.\theta_2(a_2) = \tau$
     And since from Equation 116 we know that $(W_2', n - i - j, v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil(\tau)\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
     Therefore from Lemma 2.17 we get
     $(W_2', n - j - i - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_2.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  ii. When $a_1 = a_{i1}$ and $a_2 \neq a_{i2}$: This case cannot arise
  iii. When $a_1 \neq a_{i1}$ and $a_2 = a_{i2}$: This case cannot arise
  iv. When $a_1 \neq a_{i1}$ and $a_2 \neq a_{i2}$: From Equation 116 and from Lemma 2.17

* $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i \in dom(W_2'.\theta_i).(W_2'.\theta_i, m, H_i'(a_i)) \in \lfloor W_2'.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$:
  <u>When $i = 1$</u>
  Given some m
  $\forall a_1 \in dom(W_2'.\theta_1)$.

  · when $a_1 = a_{i1}$:
    From Equation 116 we know that $(W_2', n - i - j, v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil(\tau)\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ thus from Lemma 2.15 we know that
    $\forall m_1.\ (W_2'.\theta_1, m_1, H_1'(a_1)) \in \lfloor W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) \rfloor_V$

    Instantiating with m we get
    $(W_2'.\theta_1, m, H_1'(a_1)) \in \lfloor W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) \rfloor_V$
  · Otherwise:
    From Equation 116 and Lemma 2.27

  <u>When $i = 2$</u>
  Similar reasoning as with $i = 1$

– $(W_1', n - n', val_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\mathsf{unit})\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
  From evaluation rule assign we know that $v_1' = v_2' = ()$
  Directly from Definition 2.4

- Case $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
  From Definition 2.4 we know that this would mean that

$$\forall m_1.(\,W_1'.\theta_1, m_1, a_{i1}) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V \tag{117}$$

$$\forall m_2.(\,W_1'.\theta_2, m_2, a_{i2}) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V \tag{118}$$

  In order to prove Equation 114 we instantiate W' with W_2' and then we need to show that:

  - $W_2' \sqsupseteq W$:
    Since $W_1' \sqsupseteq W$ from Equation 115 and $W_2' \sqsupseteq W_1'$ from Equation 116
    Therefore from Definition 2.3 we get $W_2' \sqsupseteq W$
  - $(n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2'$:
    From the evaluation rule assign we know that
    $H_1' = H_{j1}'[a_{i1} \mapsto v_{j1}']$ and $H_2' = H_{j2}'[a_{i2} \mapsto v_{j2}']$

    In order to prove $(n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2'$ we need to show:
    * $dom(\,W_2'.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1') \wedge dom(\,W_2'.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2')$:
      Directly from Equation 116
    * $W_2'.\hat{\beta} \subseteq (dom(\,W_2'.\theta_1) \times dom(\,W_2'.\theta_1))$:
      Directly from Equation 116
    * $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (\,W_2'.\hat{\beta}).\, W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_2'.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge (\,W_2', n-n'-1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_2.\theta_1(a_1)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
      (a) When $(a_{i1}, a_{i2}) \in W_2'.\hat{\beta}$:
      $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (\,W_2'.\hat{\beta})$.
        i. When $a_1 = a_{i1}$ and $a_2 = a_{i2}$:
        Instantiating Equation 117 and Equation 118 with $n - n' - 1$ we get $W_1'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_1'.\theta_2(a_2) = \tau$
        and since $W_1' \sqsubseteq W_2'$ therefore from Definition 2.3 we get $W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_2'.\theta_2(a_2) = \tau$

        From Equation 116 we know that $(\,W_2', v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil(\tau)\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
        Therefore $(\,W_2', H_1(a_{i1})', H_2(a_{i2})') \in \lceil(\tau)\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
        ii. When $a_1 = a_{i1}$ and $a_2 \neq a_{i2}$: This case cannot arise
        iii. When $a_1 \neq a_{i1}$ and $a_2 = a_{i2}$: This case cannot arise
        iv. When $a_1 \neq a_{i1}$ and $a_2 \neq a_{i2}$: From Equation 116
      (b) When $(a_{i1}, a_{i2}) \notin W_2'.\hat{\beta}$:
      $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (\,W_2'.\hat{\beta})$.
        i. When $a_1 = a_{i1}$ and $a_2 = a_{i2}$: This case cannot arise
        ii. When $a_1 = a_{i1}$ and $a_2 \neq a_{i2}$:
        From Equation 116 we know that $(n - i - j, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_2'$ and since $(a_{i1}, a_2) \in W_2'.\hat{\beta}$ therefore from Definition 2.9 we know that

$$(\,W_2'.\theta_1(a_{i1}) = W_2'.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge (\,W_2', n-i-j-1, H_{j1}'(a_{i1}), H_{j2}'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_2'.\theta_1(a_{i1})\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}) \tag{119}$$

Instantiating Equation 117 and Equation 118 with $n-i-j-1$ we get $W_1'.\theta_1(a_{i1}) = \tau\ \sigma$ therefore from monotonicity we also have $W_2'.\theta_1(a_{i1}) = \tau\ \sigma$.
As a result from Equation 119 we get $W_2'.\theta_2(a_2) = \tau\ \sigma$

Also since from Equation 119 $(W_2', n-i-j-1, H_{j1}'(a_{i1}), H_{j2}'(a_2)) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tau\ \sigma \searrow \ell$, $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ therefore from Lemma 2.15 we know that

$$\forall m.(W_2'.\theta_1, m, H_{j1}'(a_{i1})) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \tag{120}$$

$$\forall m.(W_2'.\theta_2, m, H_{j2}'(a_2)) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \tag{121}$$

Instantiating m with $n-i-j-1$ in Equation 120 and Equation 121 to get
$(W_2'.\theta_1, n-i-j-1, H_{j1}'(a_{i1})) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
and
$(W_2'.\theta_2, n-i-j-1, H_{j2}'(a_2)) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since $H_1'(a_{i1}) = v_{j1}'$ and $H_2'(a_2) = H_{j2}'(a_2)$
Again from Equation 116 we know that $(W_2', n-i-j, v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil (\tau)\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$.
This means from Lemma 2.15 and instantiating it with $n-i-j-1$ we get

$$(W_2'.\theta_1, n-i-j-1, v_{j1}') \in \lfloor (\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \tag{122}$$

Therefore from Equation 121 and Equation 122 we have
$(W_2', n-i-j-1, H_1'(a_{i1}), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

iii. When $a_1 \neq a_{i1}$ and $a_2 = a_{i2}$:
Symmetric case as (ii)

iv. When $a_1 \neq a_{i1}$ and $a_2 \neq a_{i2}$:
From Equation 116 and Definition 2.9

* $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i \in dom(W_2'.\theta_i).(W_2'.\theta_i, m, H_i'(a_i)) \in \lfloor W_2'.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$:
<u>When $i=1$</u>
Given some m
$\forall a_1 \in dom(W_2'.\theta_i)$.

· when $a_1 = a_{i1}$:
From Equation 116 we know that $(W_2', v_{j1}', v_{j2}') \in \lceil (\tau)\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ thus from Lemma 2.15 we know that
$(W_2'.\theta_1, H_1'(a_1)) \in \lfloor W_2'.\theta_1(a_1) \rfloor_V$

· Otherwise:
From Equation 116 and Lemma 2.27

<u>When $i=2$</u>
Similar reasoning as with $i=1$

– $(W_1', n-n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\mathsf{unit})\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
From evaluation rule assign we know that $v_1' = v_2' = ()$
Directly from Definition 2.4

13. FG-FI:

$$\frac{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{\ell_e} e_i : \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \Lambda e_i : (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\perp}}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \Lambda\ e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \Lambda\ e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

Say $e_1 = \Lambda\ e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = \Lambda\ e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$

From Definition of $\lceil (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$ it suffices to prove that

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists W'.W \sqsubseteq W' \wedge (n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means that given $\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$

We are required to prove:

$$\exists W'.W \sqsubseteq W' \wedge (n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \quad (123)$$

IH1 $(W, n, (e_i)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_i)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 2.5 we get

$\forall H_{i1}, H_{i2}.(n, H_{i1}, H_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall i < n.(H_{i1}, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_{i1}', v_{i1}') \wedge (H_{i2}, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{i2}', v_{i2}') \implies$

$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n - i, H_{i1}', H_{i2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n - i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

We know from the evaluation rules that $H_1' = H_1$, $H_2' = H_2$, $v_1' = e_1 = \Lambda e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $v_2' = e_2 = \Lambda e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$. We choose $W' = W$ and we know that $n' = 0$ we need to show the following:

- $W \sqsubseteq W$: From Definition 2.3
- $(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W$: Given
- $(W, n, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
  Here $v_1' = \Lambda e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $v_2' = \Lambda e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$
  From Definition 2.4 it suffices to prove
  $\forall W' \sqsupseteq W.\forall \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.\forall j < n.$
  $((W', j, e_i(\gamma \downarrow_1), e(\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$
  $\wedge\ \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta_l, k, e_i[\ell''/\alpha]) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E\ \sigma)$
  $\wedge\ \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta_l, k, e_i[\ell''/\alpha]) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E\ \sigma)$

  This means given some $W' \sqsupseteq W$, $\ell' \in \mathcal{L}$ and $j < n$ we need to show that
  - $\forall W' \sqsupseteq W.\forall \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.\forall j < n.$
    $((W', j, e_i(\gamma \downarrow_1), e(\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$:

    This means that given some $W' \sqsupseteq W, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}, j < n$ we need to prove
    $((W', j, e_i(\gamma \downarrow_1), e(\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$

From Definition 2.5 it suffices to show that
$\forall H_{s1}, H_{s2}.(j, H_{s1}, H_{s2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall m < j.(H_{s1}, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_m (H'_{s1}, v'_{s1}) \wedge (H_{s2}, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_{s2}, v'_{s2}) \implies$
$\exists W'_1 \sqsupseteq W.(j - m, H'_{s1}, H'_{s2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, j - m, v'_{s1}, v'_{s2}) \in \lceil \tau[\ell'/\alpha]\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means for some H_{s1} and H_{s2} and some $m < j$ we are given $(j, H_{s1}, H_{s2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge m < j.(H_{s1}, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_m (H'_{s1}, v'_{s1}) \wedge (H_{s2}, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_{s2}, v'_{s2})$

And we need to show that
$\exists W'_1 \sqsupseteq W.(j - m, H'_{s1}, H'_{s2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, j - m, v'_{s1}, v'_{s2}) \in \lceil \tau[\ell'/\alpha]\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

We instantiate IH1 with H_{s1}, H_{s2}, m and $\sigma \cup \{\alpha \mapsto \ell'\}$ to obtain
$\exists W'_1 \sqsupseteq W.(n - m, H'_{i1}, H'_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, n - m, v'_{i1}, v'_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \cup \{\alpha \mapsto \ell'\}$

Since $j < n$ therefore from Lemma 2.21 and Lemma 2.17 we get
$\exists W'_1 \sqsupseteq W.(j - m, H'_{s1}, H'_{s2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, j - m, v'_{s1}, v'_{s2}) \in \lceil \tau[\ell'/\alpha]\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

- $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta_l, k, e_i[\ell''/\alpha]) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E\ ^{\sigma})$:
From Lemma 2.25 we know that $(W'.\theta_1, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$. Therefore, we can apply Theorem 2.22 with $\sigma \cup \{\alpha \mapsto \ell''\}$
$\forall k.\ (W'.\theta_1, k, e\ \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ (\sigma \cup \{\alpha \mapsto \ell'\}) \rfloor^{\ell_e\ (\sigma \cup \{\alpha \mapsto \ell'\})}_E$

From Lemma 2.16 we get
$\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_1.\ \forall k.\ (\theta_l, k, e\ \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ (\sigma \cup \{\alpha \mapsto \ell'\}) \rfloor^{\ell_e\ (\sigma \cup \{\alpha \mapsto \ell'\})}_E$

- $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta_l, k, e_i[\ell''/\alpha]) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor^{\ell_e}_E\ ^{\sigma})$:
Similar reasoning as in the previous case

14. FG-FE:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\ell} \qquad \ell'' \in \mathrm{FV}(\Sigma) \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e[\ell''/\alpha] \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e\ [] : \tau[\ell''/\alpha]}$$

To prove: $(W, n, (e[])\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e[])\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau[\ell''/\alpha])\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 2.5 we need to prove:

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, (e[])(\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_{n'} (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, (e[])(\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_2, v'_2) \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n - n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\tau[\ell''/\alpha])\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
This further means that given

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, (e[])(\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_{n'} (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, (e[])(\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_2, v'_2)$
It suffices to prove

$$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n - n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\tau[\ell''/\alpha])\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \tag{124}$$

IH $(W, n, (e)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\ell}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 2.5 we get

$$\forall H_{i1}, H_{i2}.(n, H_{i1}, H_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall i < n.(H_{i1}, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H'_{i1}, v'_{i1}) \wedge (H_{i2}, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_{i2}, v'_{i2}) \implies$$

$$\exists W'_1 \sqsupseteq W.(n-i, H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, n-i, v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\ell}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$$

Instantiating H_{i1} with H_1 and H_{i2} with H_2 in IH and since the $(e[])$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps therefore $\exists i < n' < n$ s.t $(H_{i1}, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H'_{i1}, v'_{i1})$. Similarly $(e[])$ also reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_2$ therefore we also have $(H_{i2}, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_{i2}, v'_{i2})$. Hence we get

$$\exists W'_1 \sqsupseteq W.(n-i, H'_{i1}, H'_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, n-i, v'_{i1}, v'_{i2}) \in \lceil (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\ell}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \tag{125}$$

We case analyze on $(W'_1, n-i, v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\ell}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ from Equation 125

- Case $\ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
  In this case from Definition 2.4 we know that
  $(W'_1, n-i, v'_{i1}, v'_{i2}) \in \lceil (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
  Here $v'_{i1} = \Lambda e_{i1}$ and $v'_{i2} = \Lambda e_{i2}$

  This further means that we have
  $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W'_1.\forall \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.\forall j < n-i.((W'', j, e_{i1}, e_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$
  $\wedge\ \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W'_1.\theta_1, j, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta_l, j, e_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor^{\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma}_E)$
  $\wedge\ \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W'_1.\theta_2, j, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta_l, j, e_{i2}) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor^{\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma}_E)\}$ (E1)

  Instantiating the first conjunct of (E1) with W'_1, ℓ'' and $n-i-1$ we get
  $((W'_1, n-i-1, e_{i1}, e_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau[\ell'/\alpha]\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$

  Therefore from Definition 2.5 we get

  $\forall H_1, H_2.(n-i-1, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge \forall k < (n-i-1).(H_1, (e_{i1})(\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_k (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, (e_{i2})(\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_2, v'_2) \implies$
  $\exists W''' \sqsupseteq W'_1.((n-i-1)-k, H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, (n-i-1)-k, v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\tau[\ell''/\alpha])\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

  Instantiating H_1 and H_2 with H'_{i1} and H'_{i2} and since $e[]$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps and e with $\gamma \downarrow_1$ reduces in $i < n' < n$ steps. Therefore $\exists k < (n'-i-1)$ steps in which e_{i1} reduces. Also since $e[]$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_2$ therefore e_{i2} must also reduce. As a result we get
  $\exists W''' \sqsupseteq W'_1.((n-i-1)-k, H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, (n-i-1)-k, v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\tau[\ell''/\alpha])\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
  Since $n' = i+k+1$ therefore we are done
- Case $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

  From Equation 124 we know that we need to prove
  $\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\tau[\ell''/\alpha])\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

  In this case since we know that $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Let $\tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$ and since $\tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma \searrow \ell\ \sigma$ therefore $\ell_i \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

  This means in order to prove $\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\tau[\ell''/\alpha])\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

From Definition 2.4 it will suffice to prove

$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (\forall m_1.(W'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor(\tau[\ell''/\alpha])\ \sigma\rfloor_V) \wedge (\forall m_2.(W'.\theta_1, m_2, v_2') \in \lfloor(\tau[\ell''/\alpha])\ \sigma\rfloor_V)$

This means it suffices to prove

$(\forall m_1, m_2.\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor(\tau[\ell''/\alpha])\ \sigma\rfloor_V) \wedge ((W'.\theta_1, m_2, v_2') \in \lfloor(\tau[\ell''/\alpha])\ \sigma\rfloor_V)$

This means given m_1 and m_2 it suffices to prove:

$$(\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor(\tau[\ell''/\alpha])\ \sigma\rfloor_V) \wedge (W'.\theta_1, m_2, v_2') \in \lfloor(\tau[\ell''/\alpha])\ \sigma \tag{126}$$

In this case from Definition 2.6 we know that

$$\forall m.(W_1'.\theta_1, m, \Lambda e_{h1}) \in \lfloor\forall\alpha.(\ell_e, \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V \tag{127}$$

$$\forall m.(W_1'.\theta_2, m, \Lambda e_{h2}) \in \lfloor\forall\alpha.(\ell_e, \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V \tag{128}$$

Applying Definition 2.6 on Equation 127 we get

$\forall m.\ \forall\theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j_1 < m.\forall\ell' \in \mathcal{L}.(\theta', j_1, e_{h1}) \in \lfloor\tau[\ell'/\alpha]\rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell'/\alpha]}$ where $\theta = W_1'.\theta_1$

We instantiate m with m_1+2+t_1 where t_1 is the number of steps in which e_{h1} reduces

$\forall\theta'.W_1'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j_1 < (m_1+2+t_1).\forall\ell' \in \mathcal{L}.(\theta', j_1, e_{h1}) \in \lfloor\tau[\ell'/\alpha]\rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell'/\alpha]}$ (FB-FE1)

Instantiating θ' with $W_1'.\theta_1$, $j1$ with m_1+t_1+1 and ℓ' with ℓ''

Therefore we get $(W_1'.\theta_1, m_1+t_1+1, e_{h1}) \in \lfloor\tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$

From Definition 2.7, we get

$\forall H.(m_1+t_1+1, H) \triangleright W_1'.\theta_1 \wedge \forall k_c < (m_1+t_1+1).(H, e_{h1}) \Downarrow_{k_c} (H_1', v_1') \implies$
$\exists\theta_1'.W_1'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge ((m_1+t_1+1-k_c), H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', (m_1+t_1+1-k_c), v_1') \in \lfloor\tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists\ell'.W_1'.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(W_1'.\theta_1).\theta_1'(a) \searrow (\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma))$

Since from Equation 125 we have

$(n-i, H_{i1}', H_{i2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$

Therefore from Lemma 2.27 we get

$\forall m.\ (m, H_{i1}') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_1$

Instantiating m with m_1+1+t_1 we get

$(m_1+1+t_1, H_{i1}') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_1$

Instantiating H with H_{j1}' from Equation 125 and k_c with t_1, we get

$\exists\theta_1'.W_1'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge ((m_1+1), H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', (m_1+1), v_1') \in \lfloor\tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists\ell'.W_1'.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(W_1'.\theta_1).\theta_1'(a) \searrow (\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma))$ (CF1)

Similarly applying Definition 2.6 to Equation 128 we get
$\forall m.\ \forall \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j_1 < m. \forall \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.(\theta', j_1, e_{h2}[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell'/\alpha]}$ where $\theta = W_1'.\theta_2$

We instantiate m with m_2+1+t_2 where t_2 is the number of steps in which e_{h2} reduces
$\forall \theta'.\, W_1'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j_1 < (m_2+2+t_2). \forall \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.(\theta', j_1, e_{h2}) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell'/\alpha]}$ (FB-FE2)

Instantiating θ' with $W_1'.\theta_2$, $j1$ with $m_2 + t_2 + 1$ and ℓ' with ℓ''
Therefore we get $(\, W_1'.\theta_2, m_2 + t_2 + 1, e_{h2}) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma}$

From Definition 2.7, we get
$\forall H.(m_2 + t_2 + 1, H) \triangleright W_1'.\theta_2 \wedge \forall k_c < (m_2 + t_2 + 1).(H, e_{h2}) \Downarrow_{k_c} (H_2', v_1') \implies$
$\exists \theta_2'.\, W_1'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge ((m_2+t_2+1-k_c), H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', (m_2+t_2+1-k_c), v_1') \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha]\, \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\, W_1'.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\, W_1'.\theta_2).\theta_2'(a) \searrow (\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma))$

Since from Equation 125 we have
$(n - i, H_{i1}', H_{i2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$

Therefore from Lemma 2.27 we get
$\forall m.\ (m, H_{i2}') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_2$

Instantiating m with $m_2 + 1 + t_2$ we get
$(m_2 + 1 + t_2, H_{i2}') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_2$

Instantiating H with H_{j2}' from Equation 125 and k_c with t_2, we get
$\exists \theta_2'.\, W_1'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge ((m_2 + 1), H_2') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', (m_2 + 1), v_1') \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\, W_1'.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2') \backslash dom(\, W_1'.\theta_2).\theta_2'(a) \searrow (\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma))$ (CF2)

In order to prove Equation 124 we choose W' to be $(\theta_1', \theta_2', W_1'.\beta)$. Now we need to show two things:

(a) $(n - n', H_1', H_2') \triangleright W'$:
From Definition 2.9 it suffices to show that
- $dom(\, W'.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1') \wedge dom(\, W.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2')$:
  From CF1 we know that $(m_1 + 1, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$, therefore from Definition 2.8 we get $dom(\, W'.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1')$
  Similarly, from CF2 we know that $(m_2 + 1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$, therefore from Definition 2.8 we get $dom(\, W'.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2')$
- $(\, W.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(\, W'.\theta_1) \times dom(\, W'.\theta_1))$:
  Since $(n - i, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \triangleright W_1'$ therefore from Definition 2.9 we know that $(\, W_1'.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(\, W_1'.\theta_1) \times dom(\, W_1'.\theta_2))$

  From CF1 and CF2 we know that $W_1'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$ and $W_1'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$ therefore $(\, W_1'.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(\theta_1') \times dom(\theta_2'))$
- $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (\, W'.\hat{\beta}).\, W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge$
  $(\, W', n - n' - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil\, W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:

  4 cases arise for each a_1 and a_2
  i. $H_{i1}'(a_1) = H_1'(a_1) \wedge H_{i2}'(a_2) = H_2'(a_2)$:

* $W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2)$:
We know from Equation 125 that $(n-i, H'_{i1}, H'_{i2}) \triangleright W'_1$

Therefore from Definition 2.9 we have
$\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W'_1.\hat{\beta}).\, W'_1.\theta_1(a_1) = W'_1.\theta_2(a_2)$

Since $W'.\hat{\beta} = W'_1.\hat{\beta}$ by construction therefore
$\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W'.\hat{\beta}).\, W'_1.\theta_1(a_1) = W'_1.\theta_2(a_2)$

From CF1 and CF2 we know that $W'_1.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'_1$ and $W'_1.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta'_2$ respectively.
Therefore from Definition 2.2
$\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W'.\hat{\beta}).\theta'_1(a_1) = \theta'_2(a_2)$

* $(W', n-n'-1, H'_1(a_1), H'_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$:
From Equation 125 we know that $(n-i, H'_{i1}, H'_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1$
This means from Definition 2.9 that
$\forall (a_{i1}, a_{i2}) \in (W'_1.\hat{\beta}).\, W'_1.\theta_1(a_1) = W'_1.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge (W'_1, n-i-1, H'_{i1}(a_1), H'_{i2}(a_2)) \in \lceil W'_1.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Instantiating with a_1 and a_2 and since $W'_1 \sqsubseteq W'$ and $n-n'-1 < n-i-1$ (since $i < n'$) therefore from Lemma 2.17 we get
$(W', n-n'-1, H'_{i1}(a_1), H'_{i2}(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

ii. $H'_{j1}(a_1) \neq H'_1(a_1) \vee H'_{j2}(a_2) \neq H'_2(a_2)$:
* $W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2)$:
Same as in the previous case
* $(W', n-n'-1, H'_1(a_1), H'_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$:
From CF1 and CF2 we know that
$(\forall a. H'_{j1}(a) \neq H'_1(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W'_1.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
$(\forall a. H'_{j2}(a) \neq H'_2(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W'_1.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
This means we have
$\exists \ell'. W'_1.\theta_1(a_1) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell'$ and
$\exists \ell'. W'_1.\theta_2(a_2) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell'$

Since $pc\ \sigma \sqcup \ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma$ (given) and $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. And thus, $\ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

Also from CF1 and CF2, $(m_1+1, H'_1) \triangleright \theta'_1$ and $(m_2+1, H'_2) \triangleright \theta'_2$. Therefore from Definition 2.8 we have
$(\theta'_1, m_1, H'_1(a_1)) \in \lfloor \theta'_1(a_1) \rfloor_V$ and
$(\theta'_2, m_2, H'_2(a_1)) \in \lfloor \theta'_2(a_2) \rfloor_V$

Since m_1 and m_2 are arbitrary indices therefore from Definition 2.4 we get
$(W', n-n'-1, H'_1(a_1), H'_2(a_2)) \in \lceil \theta'_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

iii. $H'_{i1}(a_1) = H'_1(a_1) \vee H'_{i2}(a_2) \neq H'_2(a_2)$:
* $W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2)$:
Same as in the previous case
* $(W', n-n'-1, H'_1(a_1), H'_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$:
From CF2 we know that
$(\forall a. H'_{i2}(a) \neq H'_2(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W'_1.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell')$

This means that a_2 was protected at $\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma$ in the world before the modification. Since $pc\ \sigma \sqcup \ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma$ (given) and $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. And thus, $\ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

Since from Equation 125 we know that $(n-i, H'_{i1}, H'_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1$ that means from Definition 2.9 that $(W'_1, n-i-1, H'_{i1}(a_1), H'_{i2}(a_2)) \in \lceil W'_1.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$. Since $(\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell'$ therefore from Definition 2.4 we know that $H'_{i1}(a_1)$ must also have a label $\not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

Therefore
$\forall m.\ (W'_1.\theta_1, m, H'_{i1}(a_1)) \in W'_1.\theta_1(a_1)$ (F)
and
$\forall m.\ (W'_1.\theta_2, m, H'_{i2}(a_2)) \in W'_1.\theta_2(a_1)$ (S)

Instantiating the (F) with m_1 and using Lemma 2.16 we get
$(\theta'_1, m_1, H'_{i1}(a_1)) \in \theta'_1(a_1)$

Since from CF2 we know that $(m_2+1, H'_2) \triangleright \theta'_2$ therefore from Definition 2.8 we know that $(\theta'_2, m_2, H'_2(a_2)) \in \theta'_2(a_2)$
Therefore from Definition 2.4 we get
$(W', n-n'-1, H'_1(a_1), H'_2(a_2)) \in \lceil \theta'_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

iv. $H'_{j1}(a_1) \neq H'_1(a_1) \vee H'_{j2}(a_2) = H'_2(a_2)$:
Symmetric case as above

– $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i \in dom(W'.\theta_i).(W'.\theta_i, m, H'_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$

$\underline{i=1}$
This means that given some m we need to prove
$\forall a_i \in dom(W'.\theta_i).(W'.\theta_i, m, H'_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$

Like before we apply Theorem 2.22 on e_{h1} and e_{h2} but this time $m+2+t_1$ and $m+2+t_2$ where t_1 and t_2 are the number of steps in which e_{h1} and e_{h2} reduces respectively. This will give us

$\exists \theta'_1.\ W'_1.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'_1 \wedge ((m_1+1), H'_1) \triangleright \theta'_1 \wedge (\theta'_1, (m_1+1), v'_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'_1(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\ W'_1.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta'_1) \backslash dom(W'_1.\theta_1).\theta'_1(a) \searrow (\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma))$
and
$\exists \theta'_2.\ W'_1.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta'_2 \wedge ((m_2+1), H'_2) \triangleright \theta'_2 \wedge (\theta'_2, (m_2+1), v'_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'_2(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\ W'_1.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta'_2) \backslash dom(W'_1.\theta_2).\theta'_2(a) \searrow (\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma))$

Since we have $(m+1, H'_1) \triangleright \theta'_1$ and $(m+1, H'_2) \triangleright \theta'_2$ therefore we get the desired from Definition 2.8

$\underline{i=2}$
Symmetric to $i=1$

(b) $(W', n-n'-1, v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil \tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$:
Let $\tau[\ell''/\alpha] = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$ Since $\tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma \searrow \ell\ \sigma$ and since $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ therefore $\ell_i\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

From CF1 and CF2 we and Definition 2.4 we get the desired.

15. FG-CI:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi, c; \Gamma \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \nu\ e : (c\ \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow}\ \tau)^{\perp}}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \nu\ e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \nu\ e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

Say $e_1 = \nu\ e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = \nu\ e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$

From Definition of $\lceil (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$ it suffices to prove that

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists W'. W \sqsubseteq W' \wedge (n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

This means that given $\forall H_1, H_2.(n', H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_{n'} (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2')$

We are required to prove:

$$\exists W'. W \sqsubseteq W' \wedge (n - n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - n', v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V} \quad (129)$$

IH1 $(W, n, (e)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

This means from Definition 2.5 we get

$\forall H_{i1}, H_{i2}.(n, H_{i1}, H_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall i < n.(H_{i1}, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H_{i1}', v_{i1}') \wedge (H_{i2}, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{i2}', v_{i2}') \implies$

$\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(n - i, H_{i1}', H_{i2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', n - i, v_{i1}', v_{i2}') \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

We know from the evaluation rules that $H_1' = H_1$, $H_2' = H_2$, $v_1' = e_1 = \nu e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $v_2' = e_2 = \nu e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$. We choose $W' = W$ and we know that $n' = 0$. We need to show the following:

- $W \sqsubseteq W$: From Definition 2.3
- $(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W$: Given
- $(W, n, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$
  Here $v_1' = \nu e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $v_2' = \nu e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$
  From Definition 2.4 it suffices to prove
  $\forall W' \sqsupseteq W. \forall j < n. \mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma \implies (W', j, e\ \gamma \downarrow_1, e\ \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E} \wedge$
  $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W. \theta_1, j. \mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l, e\ \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor^{\ell_e\ \sigma}_{E} \wedge$
  $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W. \theta_2, j. \mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l, e\ \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor^{\ell_e\ \sigma}_{E}$

  We need to prove:

  - $\forall W' \sqsupseteq W. \forall j < n. \mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma \implies (W', j, e\ \gamma \downarrow_1, e\ \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$:
    This means given some $W' \sqsupseteq W$, $j < n$ and given that $\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma$ we need to show that
    $(W', j, e\ \gamma \downarrow_1, e\ \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

    From Definition 2.5 it suffices to show that
    $\forall H_{s1}, H_{s2}.(j, H_{s1}, H_{s2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall m < j.(H_{s1}, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_m (H_{s1}', v_{s1}') \wedge (H_{s2}, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H_{s2}', v_{s2}') \implies$
    $\exists W_1' \sqsupseteq W.(j - m, H_{s1}', H_{s2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1' \wedge (W_1', j - m, v_{s1}', v_{s2}') \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

This means for some H_{s1}, H_{s2}, $m < j$ s.t
$(H_{s1}, H_{s2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_{s1}, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_m (H'_{s1}, v'_{s1}) \wedge (H_{s2}, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_{s2}, v'_{s2})$

And we need to show that
$\exists W'_1 \sqsupseteq W.(j-m, H'_{s1}, H'_{s2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, j-m, v'_{s1}, v'_{s2}) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
We instantiate IH1 with H_{s1}, H_{s2} and m to obtain
$\exists W'_1 \sqsupseteq W.(n-m, H'_{s1}, H'_{s2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, n-m, v'_{s1}, v'_{s2}) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since $j < n$ therefore from Lemma 2.21 and Lemma 2.17 we get
$\exists W'_1 \sqsupseteq W.(j-m, H'_{s1}, H'_{s2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, j-m, v'_{s1}, v'_{s2}) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

- $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l, j, e\ \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor^{\ell_e\ \sigma}_E$:
  This means given $\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j, \mathcal{L} \models c$
  We need to prove: $(\theta_l, e\ \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor^{\ell_e\ \sigma}_E$
  From Lemma 2.25 we know that $\forall m_1.\ (W'.\theta_1, m_1, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$. Therefore by instantiating m_1 at j we can apply Theorem 2.22 to get
  $(\theta_l, j, e\ \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor^{\ell_e\ \sigma}_E$
- $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l, j, e\ \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor^{\ell_e\ \sigma}_E$:
  Symmetric reasoning as in the previous case

16. FG-CE:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash c \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e \bullet : \tau}$$

To prove: $(W, n, (e\bullet)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e\bullet)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 2.5 we need to prove:

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, (e\bullet)(\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_{n'} (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, (e\bullet)(\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_2, v'_2) \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\tau)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
This further means that given

$\forall H_1, H_2.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall n' < n.(H_1, (e\bullet)(\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_{n'} (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, (e\bullet)(\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_2, v'_2)$
It suffices to prove

$$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\tau)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \tag{130}$$

IH $(W, n, (e)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$
This means from Definition 2.5 we get

$\forall H_{i1}, H_{i2}.(n, H_{i1}, H_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge \forall i < n.(H_{i1}, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H'_{i1}, v'_{i1}) \wedge (H_{i2}, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_{i2}, v'_{i2}) \implies$

$\exists W'_1 \sqsupseteq W.(n-i, H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, n-i, v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Instantiating H_{i1} with H_1 and H_{i2} with H_2 in IH and since the $(e\bullet)$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps therefore $\exists i < n' < n$ s.t $(H_{i1}, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_i (H'_{i1}, v'_{i1})$. Similarly since

$(e\bullet)$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_2$ therefore also have $(H_{i2}, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_{i2}, v'_{i2})$. Hence we get

$$\exists W'_1 \sqsupseteq W.(n-i, H'_{i1}, H'_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, n-i, v'_{i1}, v'_{i2}) \in \lceil (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V} \tag{131}$$

We case analyze on $(W'_1, n-i, v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$ from Equation 131

- Case $\ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
  In this case from Definition 2.4 we know that
  $(W'_1, n-i, v'_{i1}, v'_{i2}) \in \lceil (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$
  Here $v'_{i1} = \nu e_{i1}$ and $v'_{i2} = \nu e_{i2}$

  This further means that we have
  $\forall W' \sqsupseteq W. \forall j < n-i. \mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma \implies ((W', j, e_{i1}, e_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E})$
  $\wedge\ \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j. \mathcal{L} \models c \implies ((\theta_l, j, e_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor^{\ell_e\ \sigma}_{E})$
  $\wedge\ \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j. \mathcal{L} \models c \implies ((\theta_l, j, e_{i2}) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor^{\ell_e\ \sigma}_{E})\}$ (CE1)

  Instantiating the first conjunct of (CE1) with W'_1, ℓ'' and $n-i-1$ we get
  $((W'_1, n-i-1, e_{i1}, e_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E})$

  Therefore from Definition 2.5 we get
  $\forall H_1, H_2.(n-i-1, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge \forall k < (n-i-1).(H_1, (e_{i1})(\gamma \downarrow_1)) \Downarrow_k (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, (e_{i2})(\gamma \downarrow_2)) \Downarrow (H'_2, v'_2) \implies$
  $\exists W''' \sqsupseteq W'_1.((n-i-1)-k, H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, (n-i-1)-k, v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\tau)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

  Instantiating H_1 and H_2 with H'_{i1} and H'_{i2} and since $e[]$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_1$ in $n' < n$ steps and e with $\gamma \downarrow_1$ reduces in $i < n' < n$ steps. Therefore $\exists k < (n'-i-1)$ steps in which e_{i1} reduces. Also since $e[]$ reduces to value with $\gamma \downarrow_2$ therefore e_{i2} must also reduce. As a result we get
  $\exists W''' \sqsupseteq W'_1.((n-i-1)-k, H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1 \wedge (W'_1, (n-i-1)-k, v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\tau[\ell''/\alpha])\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$
  Since $n' = i+k+1$ therefore we are done
- Case $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

  From Equation 130 we know that we need to prove
  $\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\tau)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

  In this case since we know that $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Let $\tau\ \sigma = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$ and since $\tau\ \sigma \searrow \ell\ \sigma$ therefore $\ell_i \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

  This means in order to prove $\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-n', v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\tau)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$
  From Definition 2.4 it will suffice to prove
  $\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-n', H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (\forall m_1.(W'.\theta_1, m_1, v'_1) \in \lfloor (\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V) \wedge (\forall m_2.(W'.\theta_1, m_2, v'_2) \in \lfloor (\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V)$

  This means it suffices to prove

$(\forall m_1, m_2.\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n{-}n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor(\tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V) \wedge ((W'.\theta_1, m_2, v_2') \in \lfloor(\tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V)$

This means given m_1 and m_2 it suffices to prove:

$$(\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n{-}n', H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W'.\theta_1, m_1, v_1') \in \lfloor(\tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V) \wedge (W'.\theta_1, m_2, v_2') \in \lfloor(\tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V) \tag{132}$$

In this case from Definition 2.6 we know that

$$\forall m.(W_1'.\theta_1, m, \nu e_{h1}) \in \lfloor(c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V \tag{133}$$

$$\forall m.(W_1'.\theta_2, m, \nu e_{h2}) \in \lfloor(c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V \tag{134}$$

Applying Definition 2.6 to Equation 133 we get
$\forall m.\ \forall \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j_1 < m.\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma \implies (\theta', j_1, e_{h1}) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$ where $\theta = W_1'.\theta_1$

We instantiate m with $m_1{+}2{+}t_1$ where t_1 is the number of steps in which e_{h1} reduces
$\forall \theta'.W_1'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j_1 < (m_1 + 2 + t_1).\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma \implies (\theta', j_1, e_{h1}) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell'/\alpha]}$
(FB-CE1)

Instantiating θ' with $W_1'.\theta_1$, $j1$ with $m_1 + t_1 + 1$ and since we know that $\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma$. Therefore we get
$(W_1'.\theta_1, m_1 + t_1 + 1, e_{h1}) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$

From Definition 2.7, we get
$\forall H.(m_1 + t_1 + 1, H) \triangleright W_1'.\theta_1 \wedge \forall k_c < (m_1 + t_1 + 1).(H, e_{h1}) \Downarrow_{k_c} (H_1', v_1') \implies$
$\exists \theta_1'.W_1'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge ((m_1 + t_1 + 1 - k_c), H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', (m_1 + t_1 + 1 - k_c), v_1') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.W_1'.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(W_1'.\theta_1).\theta_1'(a) \searrow (\ell_e\ \sigma))$

Since from Equation 131 we have
$(n - i, H_{i1}', H_{i2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$

Therefore from Lemma 2.27 we get
$\forall m.\ (m, H_{i1}') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_1$

Instantiating m with $m_1 + 1 + t_1$ we get
$(m_1 + 1 + t_1, H_{i1}') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_1$

Instantiating H with H_{i1}' from Equation 131 and k_c with t_1, we get
$\exists \theta_1'.W_1'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1' \wedge ((m_1 + 1), H_1') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', (m_1 + 1), v_1') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.W_1'.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(W_1'.\theta_1).\theta_1'(a) \searrow (\ell_e\ \sigma))$ (CCE1)

Similarly applying Definition 2.6 to Equation 134 we get
$\forall m.\ \forall \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j_1 < m.\forall \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.(\theta', j_1, e_{h2}) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell'/\alpha]}$ where $\theta = W_1'.\theta_2$

We instantiate m with m_2+2+t_2 where t_2 is the number of steps in which e_{h2} reduces
$\forall\theta'.\, W_1'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j_1 < (m_2+2+t_2).\forall \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.(\theta', j_1, e_{h2}) \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_E^{\ell_e[\ell'/\alpha]}$ (FB-CE2)

Instantiating θ' with $W_1'.\theta_2$, $j1$ with m_2+t_2+1 and ℓ' with ℓ''
Therefore we get $(W_1'.\theta_2, m_2+t_2+1, e_{h2}) \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\ell_e\ \sigma}$

From Definition 2.7, we get
$\forall H.(m_2+t_2, H) \triangleright W_1'.\theta_2 \wedge \forall k_c < (m_2+t_2+1).(H, e_{h2}) \Downarrow_{k_c} (H_1', v_1') \implies$
$\exists\theta_2'.\, W_1'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge ((m_2+t_2+1-k_c), H_1') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', (m_2+t_2+1-k_c), v_1') \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\, W_1'.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2')\backslash dom(W_1'.\theta_2).\theta_2'(a) \searrow (\ell_e\ \sigma))$

Since from Equation 131 we have
$(n-i, H_{i1}', H_{i2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$

Therefore from Lemma 2.27 we get
$\forall m.\ (m, H_{i2}') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_2$

Instantiating m with m_2+1+t_2 we get
$(m_2+1+t_2, H_{i2}') \triangleright W_1'.\theta_2$

Instantiating H with H_{i2}' from Equation 125 and k_c with t_2, we get
$\exists\theta_2'.\, W_1'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2' \wedge ((m_2+1), H_1') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', (m_2+1), v_1') \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\, W_1'.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2')\backslash dom(W_1'.\theta_2).\theta_2'(a) \searrow (\ell_e\ \sigma))$ (CCE2)

In order to prove Equation 130 we choose W' to be $(\theta_1', \theta_2', W_1'.\beta)$. Now we need to show two things:

(a) $(n-n', H_1', H_2') \triangleright W'$:
From Definition 2.9 it suffices to show that
- $dom(W'.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1') \wedge dom(W.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2')$:
From CCE1 we know that $(m_1+1, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$, therefore from Definition 2.8 we get $dom(W'.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1')$
Similarly, from CCE2 we know that $(m_2+1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$, therefore from Definition 2.8 we get $dom(W'.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2')$
- $(W.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W'.\theta_1) \times dom(W'.\theta_1))$:
Since $(n-i, H_{j1}', H_{j2}') \triangleright W_1'$ therefore from Definition 2.9 we know that $(W_1'.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W_1'.\theta_1) \times dom(W_1'.\theta_2))$

  From CCE1 and CCE2 we know that $W_1'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$ and $W_1'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$ therefore $(W_1'.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(\theta_1') \times dom(\theta_2'))$
- $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W'.\hat{\beta}).\, W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge$
$(W', n-n'-1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:

  4 cases arise for each a_1 and a_2

  i. $H_{i1}'(a_1) = H_1'(a_1) \wedge H_{i2}'(a_2) = H_2'(a_2)$:
    - $W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2)$
      We know from Equation 125 that $(n-i, H_{i1}', H_{i2}') \triangleright W_1'$

      Therefore from Definition 2.9 we have

$\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (W_1'.\hat{\beta}).\, W_1'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_1'.\theta_2(a_2)$

Since $W'.\hat{\beta} = W_1'.\hat{\beta}$ by construction therefore
$\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (W'.\hat{\beta}).\, W_1'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_1'.\theta_2(a_2)$

From CCE1 and CCE2 we know that $W_1'.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_1'$ and $W_1'.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta_2'$ respectively.
Therefore from Definition 2.2
$\forall(a_1, a_2) \in (W'.\hat{\beta}).\theta_1'(a_1) = \theta_2'(a_2)$

* $(W', n - n' - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:

From Equation 131 we know that $(n - i, H_{i1}', H_{i2}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W_1'$
This means from Definition 2.9 that
$\forall(a_{i1}, a_{i2}) \in (W_1'.\hat{\beta}).\, W_1'.\theta_1(a_1) = W_1'.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge (W_1', n-i-1, H_{i1}'(a_1), H_{i2}'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_1'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating with a_1 and a_2 and since $W_1' \sqsubseteq W'$ and $n-n'-1 < n-i-1$ (since $i < n'$) therefore from Lemma 2.17 we get
$(W', n - n' - 1, H_{i1}'(a_1), H_{i2}'(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

ii. $H_{j1}'(a_1) \neq H_1'(a_1) \vee H_{j2}'(a_2) \neq H_2'(a_2)$:

* $W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2)$
Same as in the previous case

* $(W', n - n' - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:

From CCE1 and CCE2 we know that
$(\forall a. H_{j1}'(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W_1'.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
$(\forall a. H_{j2}'(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W_1'.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
This means we have
$\exists \ell'. W_1'.\theta_1(a_1) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell'$ and
$\exists \ell'. W_1'.\theta_2(a_2) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell'$

Since $pc\ \sigma \sqcup \ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_e\ \sigma$ (given) and $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $\ell_e\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. And thus, $\ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

Also from CCE1 and CCE2, $(m_1 + 1, H_1') \triangleright \theta_1'$ and $(m_2 + 1, H_2') \triangleright \theta_2'$. Therefore from Definition 2.8 we have
$(\theta_1', m_1, H_1'(a_1)) \in \lfloor \theta_1'(a_1) \rfloor_V$ and
$(\theta_2', m_2, H_2'(a_1)) \in \lfloor \theta_2'(a_2) \rfloor_V$

Since m_1 and m_2 are arbitrary indices therefore from Definition 2.4 we get
$(W', n - n' - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil \theta_1'(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

iii. $H_{i1}'(a_1) = H_1'(a_1) \vee H_{i2}'(a_2) \neq H_2'(a_2)$:

* $W'.\theta_1(a_1) = W'.\theta_2(a_2)$
Same as in the previous case

* $(W', n - n' - 1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W'.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:

From CCE2 we know that
$(\forall a. H_{i2}'(a) \neq H_2'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W_1'.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
This means that a_2 was protected at $\ell_e\ \sigma$ in the world before the modification. Since $pc\ \sigma \sqcup \ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_e\ \sigma$ (given) and $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $\ell_e\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. And thus, $\ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

Since from Equation 131 we know that $(n-i, H'_{i1}, H'_{i2}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'_1$ that means from Definition 2.9 that $(W'_1, n-i-1, H'_{i1}(a_1), H'_{i2}(a_2)) \in \lceil W'_1.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$. Since $(\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell'$ therefore from Definition 2.4 we know that $H'_{i1}(a_1)$ must have a label $\not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

Therefore
$\forall m.\ (W'_1.\theta_1, m, H'_{i1}(a_1)) \in W'_1.\theta_1(a_1)$ (F)
and
$\forall m.\ (W'_1.\theta_2, m, H'_{i2}(a_2)) \in W'_1.\theta_2(a_1)$ (S)

Instantiating the (F) with m_1 and using Lemma 2.16 we get
$(\theta'_1, m_1, H'_{i1}(a_1)) \in \theta'_1(a_1)$

Since from CCE2 we know that $(m_2+1, H'_2) \triangleright \theta'_2$ therefore from Definition 2.8 we know that $(\theta'_2, m_2, H'_2(a_2)) \in \theta'_2(a_2)$
Therefore from Definition 2.4 we get
$(W', n-n'-1, H'_1(a_1), H'_2(a_2)) \in \lceil \theta'_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

iv. $H'_{j1}(a_1) \neq H'_1(a_1) \vee H'_{j2}(a_2) = H'_2(a_2)$:
Symmetric case as above

– $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i \in dom(W'.\theta_i).(W'.\theta_i, m, H'_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$

$\underline{i=1}$
This means that given some m we need to prove
$\forall a_i \in dom(W'.\theta_i).(W'.\theta_i, m, H'_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$

Like before we apply Theorem 2.22 on e_{h1} and e_{h2} but this time $m+2+t_1$ and $m+2+t_2$ where t_1 and t_2 are the number of steps in which e_{h1} and e_{h2} reduces respectively. This will give us

$\exists \theta'_1. W'_1.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'_1 \wedge ((m_1+1), H'_1) \triangleright \theta'_1 \wedge (\theta'_1, (m_1+1), v'_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H(a) \neq H'_1(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W'_1.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta'_1) \backslash dom(W'_1.\theta_1).\theta'_1(a) \searrow (\ell_e\ \sigma))$
and
$\exists \theta'_2. W'_1.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta'_2 \wedge ((m_2+1), H'_1) \triangleright \theta'_2 \wedge (\theta'_2, (m_2+1), v'_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H(a) \neq H'_1(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W'_1.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \wedge (\ell_e\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta'_2) \backslash dom(W'_1.\theta_2).\theta'_2(a) \searrow (\ell_e\ \sigma))$

Since we have $(m+1, H'_1) \triangleright \theta'_1$ and $(m+1, H'_2) \triangleright \theta'_2$ therefore we get the desired from Definition 2.8

$\underline{i=2}$
Symmetric to $i=1$

(b) $(W', n-n'-1, v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$:
Let $\tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$ Since $\tau\ \sigma \searrow \ell\ \sigma$ and since $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ therefore $\ell_i\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

From CCE1 and CCE2 we and Definition 2.4 we get the desired.

□

Lemma 2.27 (FG: Binary heap well formedness implies unary heap well formedness)**.** $\forall H_1, H_2, W.$ $(n, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W \implies \forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.(m, H_i) \triangleright W.\theta_i$

Proof. Directly from Definition 2.9 □

Lemma 2.28 (FG: Subtyping binary)**.** *The following holds:*
$\forall \Sigma, \Psi, \sigma.$

1. $\forall \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{A}'.$

   (a) $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}' \wedge \mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \implies \lceil (\mathsf{A}\ \sigma) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V} \subseteq \lceil (\mathsf{A}'\ \sigma) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

2. $\forall \tau, \tau'.$

   (a) $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \wedge \mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \implies \lceil (\tau\ \sigma) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V} \subseteq \lceil (\tau'\ \sigma) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

   (b) $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \wedge \mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \implies \lceil (\tau\ \sigma) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E} \subseteq \lceil (\tau'\ \sigma) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on $\mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}'$ and $\tau <: \tau'$

Proof of statement 1(a)

We analyse the different cases of A in the last step:

1. FGsub-arrow:

   Given:
   $$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1' <: \tau_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell_e' \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'}\ \text{FGsub-arrow}$$

   To prove: $\lceil ((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)\ \sigma) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V} \subseteq \lceil ((\tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2')\ \sigma) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

   IH1: $\lceil (\tau_1'\ \sigma) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V} \subseteq \lceil (\tau_1\ \sigma) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$
   IH2: $\lceil (\tau_2\ \sigma) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E} \subseteq \lceil (\tau_2'\ \sigma) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

   It suffices to prove:

   $\forall (W, n, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lceil ((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)\ \sigma) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}.\ (W, n, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lceil ((\tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2')\ \sigma) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

   This means that given: $(W, n, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lceil ((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)\ \sigma) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

   And it suffices to prove: $(W, n, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lceil ((\tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2')\ \sigma) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

   From Definition 2.4 we are given:

   $\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2.((W', j, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V} \implies$
   $(W', j, e_1[v_1/x], e_2[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}) \wedge$
   $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j, v_c.((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_1[v_1/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor^{\ell_e\ \sigma}_{E}) \wedge$
   $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j, v_c.((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_2[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor^{\ell_e\ \sigma}_{E})$ (Sub-A1)

   Again from Definition 2.4 we are required to prove:

   $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W, k < n, v_1', v_2'.((W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1'\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V} \implies (W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2'\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}) \wedge$
   $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1'\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2'\ \sigma \rfloor^{\ell_e'\ \sigma}_{E}) \wedge$
   $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1'\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_2[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2'\ \sigma \rfloor^{\ell_e'\ \sigma}_{E})$

   This means given some $W'' \sqsupseteq W$, $k < n$ and v_1', v_2' we need to prove:

(a) $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W, k < n, v_1', v_2'.((W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1' \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies (W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2' \ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}})$:
Given: $W'' \sqsupseteq W$, $k < n$ and v_1', v_2'. We are also given $(W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1' \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
To prove: $(W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2' \ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating the first conjunct of Sub-A1 with W'', k, v_1' and v_2' we get

$$((W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1 \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies (W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}) \tag{135}$$

Since $(W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1' \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from IH1 we know that $(W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1 \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Thus from Equation 135 we get $(W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

Finally using IH2 we get $(W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2' \ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

(b) $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1' \ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e' \ \sigma})$:
Given: $\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, v_c'$. We are also given $(\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1' \ \sigma \rfloor_V$
To prove: $(\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e' \ \sigma}$

Since we are given $(\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1' \ \sigma \rfloor_V$ and since $\tau_1' \ \sigma <: \tau_1 \ \sigma$ therefore from Lemma 2.24 we get

$$(\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \ \sigma \rfloor_V \tag{136}$$

Instantiating the second conjunct of Sub-A1 with θ_l', k, v_1' and v_2' we get

$$((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e \ \sigma}) \tag{137}$$

Therefore from Equation 136 and 137 we get $(\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e \ \sigma}$

Since $\tau_2 \ \sigma <: \tau_2' \ \sigma$ and $\ell_e' \ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_e \ \sigma$ therefore from Lemma 2.24 and 2.23 we get $(\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e' \ \sigma}$

(c) $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1' \ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_2[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\ell_e' \ \sigma})$:
Similar reasoning as in the previous case

2. FGsub-prod:

Given:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'} \text{ FGsub-prod}$$

To prove: $\lceil ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2') \ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

IH1: $\lceil (\tau_1 \ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil (\tau_1' \ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

IH2: $\lceil (\tau_2 \ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil (\tau_2' \ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

It suffices to prove: $\forall (W, n, (v_1, v_2), (v_1', v_2')) \in \lceil ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}. \ (W, n, (v_1, v_2), (v_1', v_2')) \in \lceil ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2') \ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means that given: $(W, n, (v_1, v_2), (v_1', v_2')) \in \lceil ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Therefore from Definition 2.4 we are given:

$$(W, n, v_1, v_1') \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W, n, v_2, v_2') \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{138}$$

And it suffices to prove: $(W, n, (v_1, v_2), (v_1', v_2')) \in \lceil ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2')\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Again from Definition 2.4, it suffices to prove:
$(W, n, v_1, v_1') \in \lceil \tau_1'\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W, n, v_2, v_2') \in \lceil \tau_2'\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Since from Equation 138 we know that $(W, n, v_1, v_1') \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from IH1 we have $(W, n, v_1, v_1') \in \lceil \tau_1'\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
Similarly since $(W, n, v_2, v_2') \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ from Equation 138 therefore from IH2 we have $(W, n, v_2, v_2') \in \lceil \tau_2'\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

3. FGsub-sum:

   Given:
$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}\ \text{FGsub-sum}$$

   To prove: $\lceil ((\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil ((\tau_1' + \tau_2')\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   IH1: $\lceil (\tau_1\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil (\tau_1'\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   IH2: $\lceil (\tau_2\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil (\tau_2'\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   It suffices to prove: $\forall (W, n, v_{s1}, v_{s2}) \in \lceil ((\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}.\ (W, n, v_{s1}, v_{s2}) \in \lceil ((\tau_1' + \tau_2')\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   This means that given: $(W, n, v_{s1}, v_{s2}) \in \lceil ((\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   And it suffices to prove: $(W, n, v_{s1}, v_{s2}) \in \lceil ((\tau_1' + \tau_2')\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   2 cases arise

   (a) $v_{s1} = \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i1}$ and $v_{s1} = \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i2}$:
   From Definition 2.4 we are given:
$$(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{139}$$
   And we are required to prove that:
   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1'\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   From Equation 139 and IH1 we know that
   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1'\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   (b) $v_s = \mathsf{inr}\ v_{i1}$ and $v_{s2} = \mathsf{inr}\ v_{i2}$:
   From Definition 2.4 we are given:
$$(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{140}$$
   And we are required to prove that:
   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_2'\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   From Equation 140 and IH2 we know that
   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_2'\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

4. FGsub-forall:

   Given:
   $$\frac{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2 \qquad \Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \ell'_e \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \forall\alpha.(\ell_e, \tau_1) <: \forall\alpha.(\ell'_e, \tau_2)}\ \text{FGsub-forall}$$

   To prove: $\lceil((\forall\alpha.(\ell_e, \tau_1))\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \subseteq \lceil(\forall\alpha.(\ell'_e, \tau_2))\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   IH1: $\lceil(\tau_1\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \subseteq \lceil(\tau_2\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   IH2: $\lceil(\tau_1\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E \subseteq \lceil(\tau_2\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

   It suffices to prove: $\forall(W, n, \Lambda e_1, \Lambda e_2) \in \lceil((\forall\alpha.(\ell_e, \tau_1))\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$.
   $(W, n, \Lambda e_1, \Lambda e_2) \in \lceil((\forall\alpha.(\ell'_e, \tau_2))\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   This means that given: $(W, n, \Lambda e_1, \Lambda e_2) \in \lceil((\forall\alpha.(\ell_e, \tau_1))\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   Therefore from Definition 2.4 we are given:
   $\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, n' < n, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.((W', n', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau_1[\ell'/\alpha]\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E) \wedge$
   $\forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta_l, j, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1[\ell'/\alpha]\rfloor^{\ell_e[\ell'/\alpha]}_E) \wedge$
   $\forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta_l, j, e_2) \in \lfloor\tau_1[\ell''/\alpha]\rfloor^{\ell_e[\ell'/\alpha]}_E)$ (Sub-F1)
   And it suffices to prove: $(W, n, \Lambda e_1, \Lambda e_2) \in \lceil((\forall\alpha.(\ell'_e, \tau_2))\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   Again from Definition 2.4, it suffices to prove:
   $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W, n'' < n, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau_2[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E) \wedge$
   $\forall\theta'_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta'_l, k, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau_2[\ell''/\alpha]\rfloor^{\ell'_e[\ell''/\alpha]}_E) \wedge$
   $\forall\theta'_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta'_l, k, e_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2[\ell''/\alpha]\rfloor^{\ell'_e[\ell''/\alpha]}_E)$

   This means we are required to show:

   (a) $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W, n'' < n, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.((W'', n', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau_2[\ell'/\alpha]\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$:
   By instantiating the first conjunct of Sub-F1 with W'', n'' and ℓ'' we know that the following holds
   $((W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau_1[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$

   Therefore from IH1 instantiated at $\sigma \cup \{\alpha \mapsto \ell''\}$
   $((W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau_2[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$

   (b) $\forall\theta'_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta'_l, k, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau_2[\ell''/\alpha]\rfloor^{\ell'_e[\ell''/\alpha]}_E)$:
   By instantiating the second conjunct of Sub-F1 with θ'_l and ℓ'' we know that the following holds
   $((\theta'_l, k, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor^{\ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma}_E)$

   Since $\tau_1\ \sigma <: \tau_2\ \sigma$ and $\ell'_e\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_e\ \sigma$ therefore from Lemma 2.24 and Lemma 2.23 we know that
   $((\theta'_l, k, e1) \in \lfloor\tau_2[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor^{\ell'_e[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma}_E)$

   (c) $\forall\theta'_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta'_l, k, e_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2[\ell''/\alpha]\rfloor^{\ell'_e[\ell''/\alpha]}_E)$:
   Similar reasoning as in the previous case

5. FGsub-constraint:

   Given:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c_2 \implies c_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi, c_2 \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell'_e \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_1 <: c_2 \overset{\ell'_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_2} \text{ FGsub-constraint}$$

   To prove: $\lceil((c_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_1)\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \subseteq \lceil((c_2 \overset{\ell'_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_2))\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   IH: $\lceil(\tau_1\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E \subseteq \lceil(\tau_2\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

   It suffices to prove: $\forall(W, n, \nu e_1, \nu e_2) \in \lceil((c_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_1)\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V.\ \ (W, n, \nu e_1, \nu e_2) \in \lceil((c_2 \overset{\ell'_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   This means that given: $(W, n, \nu e_1, \nu e_2) \in \lceil((c_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_1)\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   Therefore from Definition 2.4 we are given:

$$\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, n' < n.\mathcal{L} \models c_1\ \sigma \implies (W', n', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau_1\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E \wedge$$
$$\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k.\mathcal{L} \models c_1 \implies (\theta_l, k, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor^{\ell_e\ \sigma}_E \wedge$$
$$\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k.\mathcal{L} \models c_1 \implies (\theta_l, k, e_2) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor^{\ell_e\ \sigma}_E \qquad \text{(Sub-C1)}$$

   And it suffices to prove: $(W, n, \nu e_1, \nu e_2) \in \lceil((c_2 \overset{\ell'_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   Again from Definition 2.4, it suffices to prove:

$$\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W, n'' < n.\mathcal{L} \models c_2\ \sigma \implies (W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau_2\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E \wedge$$
$$\forall \theta'_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j.\mathcal{L} \models c_2 \implies (\theta'_l, j, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor^{\ell'_e\ \sigma}_E \wedge$$
$$\forall \theta'_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j.\mathcal{L} \models c_2 \implies (\theta'_l, j, e_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor^{\ell'_e\ \sigma}_E$$

   This means that we are required to show the following:

   (a) $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W, n'' < n.\mathcal{L} \models c_2\ \sigma \implies (W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau_2\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$:
   We are given $W'' \sqsupseteq W, n'' < n$ also we know that $\mathcal{L} \models c_2\ \sigma$ and $c_2\ \sigma \implies c_1\ \sigma$ therefore we also know that $\mathcal{L} \models c_1\ \sigma$
   Hence by instantiating the first conjunct of Sub-C1 with W'' and n'' we know that the following holds
   $(W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau_1\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

   Therefore from IH we get $(W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau_2\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

   (b) $\forall \theta'_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k.\mathcal{L} \models c_2 \implies (\theta'_l, k, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor^{\ell'_e\ \sigma}_E$:
   We are given some $\theta'_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k$, also we know that $\mathcal{L} \models c_2\ \sigma$ and $c_2\ \sigma \implies c_1\ \sigma$ therefore we also know that $\mathcal{L} \models c_1\ \sigma$
   Hence by instantiating the second conjunct of Sub-C1 with θ'_l we know that the following holds
   $(\theta'_l, k, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor^{\ell_e\ \sigma}_E$
   Since $\tau_1\ \sigma <: \tau_2\ \sigma$ and $\ell'_e\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_e\ \sigma$ therefore from Lemma 2.23 and Lemma 2.24 we get
   $(\theta'_l, k, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor^{\ell'_e\ \sigma}_E$

   (c) $\forall \theta'_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j.\mathcal{L} \models c_2 \implies (\theta'_l, j, e_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor^{\ell'_e\ \sigma}_E$:
   Similar reasoning as in the previous case

6. FGsub-ref:

   Given:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{ref}\ \tau <: \mathsf{ref}\ \tau}\ \text{FGsub-ref}$$

   To prove: $\lceil((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   Directly from Definition 2.4

7. FGsub-base:

   Given:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{b} <: \mathsf{b}}\ \text{FGsub-base}$$

   To prove: $\lceil((\mathsf{b})\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil((\mathsf{b})\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   Directly from Definition 2.4

8. FGsub-unit:

   Given:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{unit} <: \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{FGsub-unit}$$

   To prove: $\lceil((\mathsf{unit})\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil((\mathsf{unit})\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   Directly from Definition 2.4

Proof of statement 2(a)

Given:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A}^{\ell} <: \mathsf{A}'^{\ell'}}\ \text{FGsub-label}$$

To prove: $\lceil((\mathsf{A}^{\ell})\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil((\mathsf{A}'^{\ell'}))\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

2 cases arise

1. $\ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell'\ \sigma$:

   From Definition 2.4 it suffices to prove: $\lceil((\mathsf{A})\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil((\mathsf{A}'))\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   This we get directly from IH (Statement (1))

2. $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \ell'\ \sigma$:

   We need to prove that

   $\forall(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\mathsf{A}\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}.(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\mathsf{A}'\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   From Definition 2.4 it suffices to prove:

   $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\forall m.(W(n).\theta_i, m, v_i) \in \lfloor\mathsf{A}\ \sigma\rfloor_V.\ (W(n).\theta_i, m, v_i) \in \lfloor\mathsf{A}\rfloor_V \in \lfloor\mathsf{A}'\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

   Since $\mathsf{A}\ \sigma <: \mathsf{A}'\ \sigma$ therefore from Lemma 2.24 we get the desired

Proof of statement 2(b)
Given: $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \wedge \mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma$
To prove: $\lceil(\tau\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E} \subseteq \lceil(\tau'\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

This means we need to prove that
$\forall(W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil(\tau\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}.\ (W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil(\tau'\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

This means given $\forall(W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil(\tau\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$
It suffices to prove that $(W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil(\tau'\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

From Definition 2.5 we know we are given:
$\forall H_1, H_2, j < n.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n - j, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - j, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil\tau\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$ (Sub-exp1)

And we need prove that
$\forall H_{21}, H_{22}, k < n.(n, H_{21}, H_{22}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_{21}, e_1) \Downarrow_k (H_{21}', v_{21}') \wedge (H_{22}, e_2) \Downarrow (H_{22}', v_{22}') \implies$
$\exists W'' \sqsupseteq W.(n - k, H_{21}', H_{22}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'' \wedge (W'', n - k, v_{21}', v_{22}') \in \lceil\tau\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

This means that we are given some H_{21}, H_{22} and $k < n$ such that $(n, H_{21}, H_{22}) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_{21}, e_1) \Downarrow_k (H_{21}', v_{21}') \wedge (H_{22}, e_2) \Downarrow (H_{22}', v_{22}')$
It suffices to prove:

$$\exists W'' \sqsupseteq W.(n - k, H_{21}', H_{22}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W'' \wedge (W'', n - k, v_{21}', v_{22}') \in \lceil\tau\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V} \tag{141}$$

Instantiating (Sub-exp1) with H_{21}, H_{22} and k we get

$$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n - k, H_{21}', H_{22}') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n - k, v_{21}', v_{22}') \in \lceil\tau\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V} \tag{142}$$

We choose W'' in Equation 141 as W' from Equation 142 and we are done

□

Theorem 2.29 (FG: NI)**.** *Say* $\mathsf{bool} = (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})$
$\forall v_1, v_2, e, \tau, n_1.$
$\emptyset; \emptyset; \emptyset \vdash_{\perp} v_1 : \mathsf{bool}^{\top} \wedge \emptyset; \emptyset; \emptyset \vdash_{\perp} v_2 : \mathsf{bool}^{\top}$
$\emptyset; \emptyset; x : \mathsf{bool}^{\top} \vdash_{\perp} e : \mathsf{bool}^{\perp} \wedge$
$(\emptyset, e[v_1/x]) \Downarrow_{n_1} (-, v_1') \wedge (\emptyset, e[v_2/x]) \Downarrow_{-} (-, v_2') \implies$
$v_1' = v_2'$

Proof. Given some
$\emptyset; \emptyset; \emptyset \vdash_{\perp} v_1 : \mathsf{bool}^{\top} \wedge \emptyset; \emptyset; \emptyset \vdash_{\perp} v_2 : \mathsf{bool}^{\top}$
$\emptyset; \emptyset; x : \mathsf{bool}^{\top} \vdash_{\perp} e : \mathsf{bool}^{\perp} \wedge$
$(\emptyset, e[v_1/x]) \Downarrow_{n_1} (-, v_1') \wedge (\emptyset, e[v_2/x]) \Downarrow (-, v_2')$

We need to prove
$v_1' = v_2'$

From Theorem 2.26 we have
$\forall n.\ (\emptyset, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\mathsf{bool}^{\top}\rceil^{\perp}_{E}$
Therefore from Theorem 2.26 and from Definition 2.14 we have
$\forall n.\ (\emptyset, n, e[v_1/x], e[v_1/x]) \in \lceil\mathsf{bool}^{\perp}\rceil^{\perp}_{E}$

Therefore from Definition 2.5 we know that

$\forall n.\big(\forall H_1, H_2, j < n.(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W \wedge (H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow (H_2', v_2') \implies \exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(n-j, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', n-j, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})^{\perp}\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}\big)$

Instantiating with $n_1 + 1$ and then with $\emptyset, \emptyset, n_1$ we get

$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W.(1, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W' \wedge (W', 1, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})^{\perp}\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Since we have $(W', 1, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil(\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})^{\perp}\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from Definition 2.4 we get $v_1' = v_2'$

□

2.2 Coarse-grained IFC enforcement (CG)

2.2.1 CG type system

Syntax, types, constraints:

Expressions	e	::=	$x \mid \lambda x.e \mid e\ e \mid (e,e) \mid \mathsf{fst}(e) \mid \mathsf{snd}(e) \mid \mathsf{inl}(e) \mid \mathsf{inr}(e) \mid \mathsf{case}(e, x.e, y.e) \mid \mathsf{new}\ e \mid\ !e \mid e := e \mid () \mid \Lambda e \mid e\ [] \mid \nu\ e \mid e \bullet \mid \mathsf{Lb}(e) \mid \mathsf{unlabel}(e) \mid \mathsf{toLabeled}(e) \mid \mathsf{ret}(e) \mid \mathsf{bind}(e, x.e)$
Labels	ℓ	::=	$l \mid \alpha \mid \ell \sqcup \ell \mid \ell \sqcap \ell$
Types	τ	::=	$\mathsf{b} \mid \tau \to \tau \mid \tau \times \tau \mid \tau + \tau \mid \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau \mid \mathsf{unit} \mid \forall\alpha.\tau \mid c \Rightarrow \tau \mid \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \mid \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau$
Constraints	c	::=	$\ell \sqsubseteq \ell \mid (c, c)$

Type system: $\boxed{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau}$

(All rules of the simply typed lambda-calculus pertaining to the types $\mathsf{b}, \tau \to \tau, \tau \times \tau, \tau + \tau, \mathsf{unit}$ are included.)

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{Lb}(e) : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau}\ \text{CG-label} \qquad \frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}(e) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ (\ell_i \sqcup \ell)\ \tau}\ \text{CG-unlabel}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{toLabeled}(e) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)}\ \text{CG-toLabeled} \qquad \frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{ret}(e) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \tau}\ \text{CG-ret}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell\ \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e_2 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell_o\ \tau'}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau'}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau' <: \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau}\ \text{CG-sub} \qquad \frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{new}\ e : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)}\ \text{CG-ref}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash\ !e : \mathbb{C}\ \ell'\ \ell'\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)}\ \text{CG-deref}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_1 := e_2 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{CG-assign}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash \Lambda e : \forall\alpha.\tau}\ \text{CG-FI} \qquad \frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \forall\alpha.\tau \qquad \mathrm{FV}(\ell) \in \Sigma}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e\ [] : \tau[\ell/\alpha]}\ \text{CG-FE}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi, c; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash \nu\ e : c \Rightarrow \tau}\ \text{CG-CI} \qquad \frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : c \Rightarrow \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash c}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e \bullet : \tau}\ \text{CG-CE}$$

Figure 12: Type system for CG

2.2.2 CG semantics

Judgement: $e \Downarrow_i v$ and $(H, e) \Downarrow_i^f (H', v)$

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau} \text{ CGsub-refl} \qquad \frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1' <: \tau_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \to \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \to \tau_2'} \text{ CGsub-arrow}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'} \text{ CGsub-prod}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'} \text{ CGsub-sum}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau <: \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'} \text{ CGsub-labeled}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell_i' \sqsubseteq \ell_i \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell_o \sqsubseteq \ell_o'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau <: \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i'\ \ell_o'\ \tau'} \text{ CGsub-monad}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \forall \alpha. \tau_1 <: \forall \alpha. \tau_2} \text{ CGsub-forall} \qquad \frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c_2 \implies c_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1 <: c_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2} \text{ CGsub-constraint}$$

Figure 13: CG subtyping

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{b}\ WF} \text{ CG-wff-base} \qquad \frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{unit}\ WF} \text{ CG-wff-unit}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1\ WF \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2\ WF}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)\ WF} \text{ CG-wff-arrow}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1\ WF \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2\ WF}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ WF} \text{ CG-wff-times}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1\ WF \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2\ WF}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ WF} \text{ CG-wff-sum} \qquad \frac{\mathrm{FV}(\ell) = \emptyset \qquad \mathrm{FV}(\tau) = \emptyset}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau)\ WF} \text{ CG-wff-ref}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \tau\ WF}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\forall \alpha.\ (\tau))\ WF} \text{ CG-wff-forall} \qquad \frac{\Sigma; \Psi, c \vdash \tau\ WF}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (c \xRightarrow{\ell_e} \tau)\ WF} \text{ CG-wff-constraint}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau\ WF}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ WF} \text{ CG-wff-labeled} \qquad \frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau\ WF}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ WF} \text{ CG-wff-monad}$$

Figure 14: Well-formedness relation for CG

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow_i \lambda x.e_i \qquad e_2 \Downarrow_j v_2 \qquad e_i[v_2/x] \Downarrow_k v_3}{e_1\ e_2 \Downarrow_{i+j+k+1} v_3}\ \text{cg-app} \qquad \frac{e_1 \Downarrow_i v_1 \qquad e_2 \Downarrow_j v_2}{(e_1, e_2) \Downarrow_{i+j+1} (v_1, v_2)}\ \text{cg-prod}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow_i (v_1, v_2)}{\mathsf{fst}(e) \Downarrow_{i+1} v_1}\ \text{cg-fst} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow_i (v_1, v_2)}{\mathsf{snd}(e) \Downarrow_{i+1} v_2}\ \text{cg-snd} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow_i v}{\mathsf{inl}(e) \Downarrow_{i+1} \mathsf{inl}(v)}\ \text{cg-inl}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow_i v}{\mathsf{inr}(e) \Downarrow_{i+1} \mathsf{inr}(v)}\ \text{cg-inr} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow_i \mathsf{inl}\ v \qquad e_1[v/x] \Downarrow_j v_1}{\mathsf{case}(e, x.e_1, y.e_2) \Downarrow_{i+j+1} v_1}\ \text{cg-case1}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow_i \mathsf{inr}\ v \qquad e_2[v/x] \Downarrow_j v_2}{\mathsf{case}(e, x.e_1, y.e_2) \Downarrow_{i+j+1} v_2}\ \text{cg-case2} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow_i v}{\mathsf{Lb}(e) \Downarrow_{i+1} \mathsf{Lb}(v)}\ \text{cg-Lb}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow_i \Lambda\ e_i \qquad e_i \Downarrow_j v}{e[] \Downarrow_{i+j+1} v}\ \text{cg-FE} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow_i \nu\ e_i \qquad e_i \Downarrow_j v}{e\bullet \Downarrow_{i+j+1} v}\ \text{cg-CE} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow_i v}{(H, \mathsf{ret}(e)) \Downarrow^f_{i+1} (H, v)}\ \text{cg-ret}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow_i v_1 \qquad (H, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v_1') \qquad e_2[v_1'/x] \Downarrow_k v_2 \qquad (H', v_2) \Downarrow^f_l (H'', v_2')}{(H, \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)) \Downarrow^f_{i+j+k+l+1} (H'', v_2')}\ \text{cg-bind}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow_i \mathsf{Lb}(v)}{(H, \mathsf{unlabel}(e)) \Downarrow^f_{i+1} (H, v)}\ \text{cg-unlabel} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow_i v \qquad (H, v) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v')}{(H, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e)) \Downarrow^f_{i+j+1} (H', \mathsf{Lb}(v'))}\ \text{cg-toLabeled}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow_i \mathsf{Lb}v \qquad a \notin dom(H)}{(H, \mathsf{new}\ (e)) \Downarrow^f_{i+1} (H[a \mapsto \mathsf{Lb}v], a)}\ \text{cg-ref} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow_i a}{(H, !e) \Downarrow^f_{i+1} (H, H(a))}\ \text{cg-deref}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow_i a \qquad e_2 \Downarrow_j \mathsf{Lb}v}{(H, e_1 := e_2) \Downarrow^f_{i+j+1} (H[a \mapsto \mathsf{Lb}v], ())}\ \text{cg-assign}$$

$$\frac{e \in \{x, \lambda y.-, \Lambda -, \nu -, \mathsf{ret}-, \mathsf{bind}(-, -.-), \mathsf{unlabel}(-), \mathsf{toLabeled}(-), \mathsf{new}\ (-), !-, - := -\}}{e \Downarrow_0 e}\ \text{cg-val}$$

2.2.3 Logical relation for CG

$W : ((Loc \mapsto Type) \times (Loc \mapsto Type) \times (Loc \leftrightarrow Loc))$

Definition 2.30 (CG: θ_2 extends θ_1)**.** $\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta_2 \triangleq$
$\forall a \in \theta_1.\theta_1(a) = \tau \implies \theta_2(a) = \tau$

Definition 2.31 (CG: W_2 extends W_1)**.** $W_1 \sqsubseteq W_2 \triangleq$

1. $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\ W_1.\theta_i \sqsubseteq W_2.\theta_i$
2. $\forall p \in (W_1.\hat{\beta}).p \in (W_2.\hat{\beta})$

Definition 2.32 (CG: Value Equivalence)**.**

$$ValEq(\mathcal{A}, W, \ell, n, v_1, v_2, \tau) \triangleq \begin{cases} (W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V & \ell \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A} \\ \forall j.(W.\theta_1, j, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge & \ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A} \\ (W.\theta_2, j, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V & \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.33 (CG: Binary value relation)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\lceil \mathsf{b} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W,n,v_1,v_2) \mid v_1 = v_2 \wedge \{v_1,v_2\} \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!]\} \\
\lceil \mathsf{unit} \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W,n,(),()) \mid () \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]\} \\
\lceil \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W,n,(v_1,v_2),(v_1',v_2')) \mid (W,n,v_1,v_1') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W,n,v_2,v_2') \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}\} \\
\lceil \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W,n,\mathsf{inl}\ v,\mathsf{inl}\ v') \mid (W,n,v,v') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}\} \cup \\
 & & \{(W,n,\mathsf{inr}\ v,\mathsf{inr}\ v') \mid (W,n,v,v') \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}\} \\
\lceil \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W,n,\lambda x.e_1,\lambda x.e_2) \mid \\
 & & \forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2. \\
 & & ((W',j,v_1,v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies (W',j,e_1[v_1/x],e_2[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge \\
 & & \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, v_c, j. \\
 & & ((\theta_l,j,v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l,j,e_1[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E) \wedge \\
 & & \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, v_c, j. \\
 & & ((\theta_l,j,v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l,j,e_2[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E)\} \\
\lceil \forall \alpha.\tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W,n,\Lambda e_1,\Lambda e_2) \mid \\
 & & \forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}. \\
 & & ((W',j,e_1,e_2) \in \lceil \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge \\
 & & \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}, j.(\theta_l,j,e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor_E \wedge \\
 & & \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}, j.(\theta_l,j,e_2) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor_E\} \\
\lceil c \Rightarrow \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W,n,\nu e_1,\nu e_2) \mid \\
 & & \forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n. \\
 & & \mathcal{L} \models c \implies (W',j,e_1,e_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge \\
 & & \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l,j,e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E \wedge \\
 & & \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l,j,e_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E\} \\
\lceil \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W,n,a_1,a_2) \mid \\
 & & (a_1,a_2) \in W.\hat{\beta} \wedge W.\theta_1(a_1) = W.\theta_2(a_2) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau\} \\
\lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W,n,\mathsf{Lb}(v_1),\mathsf{Lb}(v_2)) \mid \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A},W,\ell,n,v_1,v_2,\tau)\} \\
\lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} & \triangleq & \{(W,n,v_1,v_2) \mid \\
 & & \Big(\forall k \le n, W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2.(k,H_1,H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \\
 & & \forall v_1', v_2', j.(H_1,v_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1',v_1') \wedge (H_2,v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2',v_2') \wedge j < k \implies \\
 & & \exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j,H_1',H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A},W',k-j,\ell_2,v_1',v_2',\tau)\Big) \wedge \\
 & & \forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k,H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H,v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H',v_l') \wedge j < k \implies \\
 & & \exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j,H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta',k-j,v_l') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge \\
 & & (\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge \\
 & & (\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta') \backslash \mathit{dom}(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)\Big)\}
\end{array}
$$

Definition 2.34 (CG: Binary expression relation)**.**

$$\lceil \tau \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}} \triangleq \{(W,n,e_1,e_2) \mid \forall i < n.e_1 \Downarrow_i v_1 \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \implies (W,n-i,v_1,v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}\}$$

Definition 2.35 (CG: Unary value relation)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\lfloor \mathsf{b} \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta, m, v) \mid v \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!]\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta, m, v \mid v \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta, m, (v_1, v_2)) \mid (\theta, m, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \wedge (\theta, m, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta, m, \mathsf{inl}\ v) \mid (\theta, m, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V\} \cup \{(\theta, m, \mathsf{inr}\ v) \mid (\theta, m, v) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta, m, \lambda x.e) \mid \forall \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta, v, j < m. (\theta', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta', j, e[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E\} \\
\lfloor \forall \alpha.\tau \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta, m, \Lambda e) \mid \forall \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta', j < m. \forall \ell' \in \mathcal{L}. (\theta', j, e) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rfloor_E\} \\
\lfloor c \Rightarrow \tau) \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta, m, \nu e) \mid \mathcal{L} \models c \implies \forall \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta', j < m. (\theta', j, e) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta, m, a) \mid \theta(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta, m, \mathsf{Lb}(v) \mid (\theta, m, v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V\} \\
\lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rfloor_V & \triangleq & \{(\theta, m, e) \mid \\
 & & \forall k \leq m, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j. (k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies \\
 & & \exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e. (k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge \\
 & & (\forall a. H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. \theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge \\
 & & (\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e). \theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)\}
\end{array}
$$

Definition 2.36 (CG: Unary expression relation)**.**

$$\lfloor \tau \rfloor_E \triangleq \{(\theta, n, e) \mid \forall i < n. e \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V\}$$

Definition 2.37 (CG: Unary heap well formedness)**.**

$$(n, H) \triangleright \theta \triangleq dom(\theta) \subseteq dom(H) \wedge \forall a \in dom(\theta). (\theta, n - 1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta(a) \rfloor_V$$

Definition 2.38 (CG: Binary heap well formedness)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
(n, H_1, H_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W & \triangleq & dom(W.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1) \wedge dom(W.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2) \wedge \\
 & & (W.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W.\theta_1) \times dom(W.\theta_2)) \wedge \\
 & & \forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W.\hat{\beta}). (W.\theta_1(a_1) = W.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge \\
 & & (W, n - 1, H_1(a_1), H_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge \\
 & & \forall i \in \{1, 2\}. \forall m. \forall a_i \in dom(W.\theta_i). (W.\theta_i, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V
\end{array}
$$

Definition 2.39 (CG: Label substitution)**.** $\sigma : Lvar \mapsto Label$

Definition 2.40 (CG: Value substitution to value pairs)**.** $\gamma : Var \mapsto (Val, Val)$

Definition 2.41 (CG: Value substitution to values)**.** $\delta : Var \mapsto Val$

Definition 2.42 (CG: Unary interpretation of Γ)**.**

$$\lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V \triangleq \{(\theta, n, \delta) \mid dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma). (\theta, n, \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V\}$$

Definition 2.43 (CG: Binary interpretation of Γ)**.**

$$\lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \triangleq \{(W, n, \gamma) \mid dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma). (W, n, \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}\}$$

2.2.4 Soundness proof for CG

Lemma 2.44 (CG: Binary value relation subsumes unary value relation)**.** $\forall W, v_1, v_2, \mathcal{A}, n, \tau.$ $(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies \forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

Proof. Proof by induction on τ

1. Case b:

   From Definition 2.35

2. Case $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$:

   Given: $(W, n, (v_{i1}, v_{i2}), (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lceil \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   To prove:

   $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, (v_{i1}, v_{i2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ (P01)

   and

   $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_2, m, (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ (P02)

   From Definition 2.33 we know that we are given

   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W, n, v_{i2}, v_{j2}) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (P1)

   IH1a: $\forall m_1.\ (W.\theta_1, m_1, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ and

   IH1b: $\forall m_1.\ (W.\theta_2, m_1, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

   IH2a: $\forall m_2.\ (W.\theta_1, m_2, v_{i2}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ and

   IH2b: $\forall m_2.\ (W.\theta_2, m_2, v_{j2}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   From (P01) we know that given some m we need to prove

   $(W.\theta_1, m, (v_{i1}, v_{i2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   Similarly from (P02) we know that given some m we need to prove

   $(W.\theta_2, m, (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   We instantiate IH1a and IH2a with the given m from (P01) to get

   $(W.\theta_1, m, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_1, m, v_{i2}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   Then from Definition 2.35, we get

   $(W.\theta_1, m, (v_{i1}, v_{i2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   Similarly we instantiate IH1b and IH2b with the given m from (P02) to get

   $(W.\theta_2, m, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, m, v_{j2}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   Then from Definition 2.35, we get

   $(W.\theta_2, m, (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

3. Case $\tau_1 + \tau_2$:

   2 cases arise:

   (a) $v_1 = \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1})$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{inl}(v_{j1})$

   Given: $(W, n, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1}), \mathsf{inl}(v_{j1})) \in \lceil \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   To prove:

   $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ (S01)

   and

   $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_2, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$ (S02)

From Definition 2.33 we know that we are given
$(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (S0)
IH1: $\forall m_1.\ (W.\theta_1, m_1, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$ and
IH2: $\forall m_2.\ (W.\theta_2, m_2, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

From (S01) we know that given some m and we are required to prove:
$(W.\theta_1, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$
Also from (S02) we know that given some m and we are required to prove:
$(W.\theta_2, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i2})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

We instantiate IH1 with m from (S01) to get
$(W.\theta_1, m, v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
Therefore from Definition 2.35, we get
$(W.\theta_1, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{i1})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

We instantiate IH2 with m from (S02) to get
$(W.\theta_2, m, v_{j1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
Therefore from Definition 2.35, we get
$(W.\theta_2, m, \mathsf{inl}(v_{j1})) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

(b) $v_1 = \mathsf{inr}(v_{i2})$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{inr}(v_{j2})$
Symmetric reasoning as in the (a) case above

4. Case $\tau_1 \to \tau_2$:

Given: $(W, n, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means from Definition 2.33 we know that

$$\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2.((W', j, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies (W', j, e_1[v_1/x], e_2[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$$
$$\wedge\ \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, i, v_c.((\theta_l, i, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, i, e_1[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E)$$
$$\wedge\ \forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, v_c.((\theta_l, k, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, k, e_2[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E) \quad \text{(L0)}$$

To prove:

(a) $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \lambda x.e_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rfloor_V$:
This means from Definition 2.35 we need to prove:
$\forall \theta'. W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge \forall j < m. \forall v.(\theta', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta', j, e_1[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$
This further means that we have some θ', j and v s.t
$W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta' \wedge j < m \wedge (\theta', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
And we need to prove: $(\theta', j, e_1[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

Instantiating θ_l, i and v_c in the second conjunct of L0 with θ', j and v respectively and since we know that $W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'$ and $(\theta', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

Therefore we get $(\theta', j, e_1[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

(b) $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_2, m, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rfloor_V$:
Similar reasoning with e_2

5. Case $\forall\alpha.\tau$:

   Given: $(W, n, \Lambda e_1, \Lambda e_2) \in \lceil \forall\alpha.\tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   This means from Definition 2.33 we know that

   $\forall\, W_b \sqsupseteq W, n_b < n, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.((W_b, n_b, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$
   $\wedge\ \forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, i, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta_l, i, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor_E)$
   $\wedge\ \forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, i, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta_l, i, e_2) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor_E)$ (F0)

   To prove:

   (a) $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \Lambda e_1) \in \lfloor \forall\alpha.\tau \rfloor_V$:
   This means from Definition 2.35 we need to prove:
   $\forall\theta'. W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'. \forall m' < m. \forall \ell_u \in \mathcal{L}.(\theta', m', e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell_u/\alpha] \rfloor_E$
   This further means that we are given some θ', m' and ℓ_u s.t $W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'$, $m' < m$ and $\ell_u \in \mathcal{L}$
   And we need to prove: $(\theta', m', e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell_u/\alpha] \rfloor_E$

   Instantiating θ_l, i and ℓ'' in the second conjunct of F0 with θ', m' and ℓ_u respectively and since we know that $W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'$ and $\ell_u \in \mathcal{L}$

   Therefore we get $(\theta', m', e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell_u/\alpha] \rfloor_E$

   (b) $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_2, m, \Lambda e_2) \in \lfloor \forall\alpha.\tau \rfloor_V$:
   Symmetric reasoning for e_2

6. Case $c \Rightarrow \tau$:

   Given: $(W, n, \nu e_1, \nu e_2) \in \lceil c \Rightarrow \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   This means from Definition 2.33 we know that

   $\forall\, W_b \sqsupseteq W, n' < n. \mathcal{L} \models c \implies (W_b, n', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$
   $\wedge\, \forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j. \mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l, j, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E)$
   $\wedge\, \forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j. \mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l, j, e_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E)$ (C0)

   To prove:

   (a) $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \nu e_1) \in \lfloor c \Rightarrow \tau \rfloor_V$:
   This means from Definition 2.35 we need to prove:
   $\forall\theta'. W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'. \forall m' < m. \mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta', m', e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E$
   This further means that we are given some θ' and m' s.t $W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'$, $m' < m$ and $\mathcal{L} \models c$
   And we need to prove: $(\theta', m', e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E$

   Instantiating θ_l, j in the second conjunct of C0 with θ', m' respectively and since we know that $W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'$ and $\mathcal{L} \models c$

   Therefore we get $(\theta', m', e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E$

   (b) $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_2, m, \nu e_2) \in \lfloor c \Rightarrow \tau \rfloor_V$:
   Symmetric reasoning for e_2

7. Case $\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau$:

   From Definition 2.33 and 2.35

8. Case $\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau$:

   Given $(W, n, \mathsf{Lb}\, v_1, \mathsf{Lb}\, v_2) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   2 cases arise:

   (a) $\ell \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

   From Definition 2.32 we know that
   $(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   Therefore from IH we get $\forall m.(W.\theta_1, m, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$ and $\forall m.(W.\theta_2, m, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

   (b) $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

   Directly from Definition 2.32

9. Case $\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau$:

   Given: $(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   This means from Definition 2.33 we know that

$$\Big(\forall k \leq n,\, W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v_1', v_2', j.$$
$$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$$
$$\exists\, W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k - j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k - j, \ell_2, v_1', v_2', \tau)\Big) \wedge$$
$$\forall l \in \{1, 2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$$
$$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$$
$$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$$
$$(\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta') \backslash \mathit{dom}(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)\Big) \qquad \text{(CG0)}$$

   To prove: $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, v_i) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rfloor_V$

   This means from Definition 2.35 we need to prove

$$\forall l \in \{1, 2\}.\forall m.\Big(\forall k \leq m, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$$
$$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$$
$$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$$
$$(\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta') \backslash \mathit{dom}(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)\Big)$$

   Case $l = 1$

   And given some m and $k \leq m, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v_l') \wedge j < k$

   We need to prove that

$$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$$
$$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$$
$$(\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta') \backslash \mathit{dom}(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)$$

   Instantiating (CG0) with $l = 1$ and the given $k \leq m, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j$ we get the desired.

   Case $l = 2$

   Symmetric reasoning as in the previous case above

□

Lemma 2.45 (CG: Monotonicity Unary)**.** *The following holds:*
$\forall \theta, \theta', v, m, m', \tau.$
$(\theta, m, v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge m' < m \wedge \theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \implies (\theta', m', v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

Proof. Proof by induction on τ

1. case b:

   Directly from Definition 2.35

2. case $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$:

   Given: $(\theta, m, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   To prove: $(\theta', m', (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   This means from Definition 2.35 we know that

   $(\theta, m, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \wedge (\theta, m, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   IH1 : $(\theta', m', v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

   IH2 : $(\theta', m', v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   We get the desired from IH1, IH2 and Definition 2.35

3. case $\tau_1 + \tau_2$:

   2 cases arise:

   (a) $v = \mathsf{inl}(v_1)$:
   Given: $(\theta, m, (\mathsf{inl}\ v_1)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$
   To prove: $(\theta', m', \mathsf{inl}\ v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V$
   This means from Definition 2.35 we know that
   $(\theta, m, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
   IH : $(\theta', m', v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
   Therefore from IH and Definition 2.35 we get the desired

   (b) $v = \mathsf{inr}(v_2)$
   Symmetric case

4. case $\tau_1 \to \tau_2$:

   Given: $(\theta, m, (\lambda x.e_1)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   To prove: $(\theta', m', (\lambda x.e_1)) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rfloor_V$

   This means from Definition 2.35 we know that

   $$\forall \theta''.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'' \wedge \forall j < m.\forall v.(\theta'', j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta'', j, e_1[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E \tag{143}$$

   Similarly from Definition 2.35 we know that we are required to prove
   $\forall \theta'''.\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta''' \wedge \forall k < m'.\forall v_1.(\theta''', k, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta''', k, e_1[v_1/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

   This means that given some θ''', k and v_1 such that $\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta''' \wedge k < m' \wedge (\theta''', k, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

   And we are required to prove $(\theta''', k, e_1[v_1/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

Instantiating Equation 143 with θ''', k and v_1 and since we know that $\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta'''$ and $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'$ therefore we have $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'''$. Also, we know that $k < m' < m$ and $(\theta''', k, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$

Therefore we get $(\theta''', k, e_1[v_1/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

5. case $\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau$:

   From Definition 2.35 and Definition 2.30

6. case $\forall\alpha.\tau$:

   Given: $(\theta, m, (\Lambda e_1)) \in \lfloor \forall\alpha.\tau \rfloor_V$

   To prove: $(\theta', m', (\Lambda e_1)) \in \lfloor \forall\alpha.\tau \rfloor_V$

   This means from Definition 2.35 we know that

$$\forall\theta''.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'' \wedge \forall j < m.\forall \ell_i \in \mathcal{L}.(\theta'', j, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell_i/\alpha] \rfloor_E \tag{144}$$

   Similarly from Definition 2.35 we know that we are required to prove
   $\forall\theta'''.\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta''' \wedge \forall k < m'.\forall \ell_j \in \mathcal{L}.(\theta''', k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell_j/\alpha] \rfloor_E$

   This means that given some θ''', k and ℓ_j such that $\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta''' \wedge k < m' \wedge \ell_j \in \mathcal{L}$

   And we are required to prove $(\theta''', k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell_j/\alpha] \rfloor_E$

   Instantiating Equation 144 with θ''', k and ℓ_j and since we know that $\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta'''$ and $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'$ therefore we have $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'''$. Also, we know that $k < m' < m$ and $\ell_j \in \mathcal{L}$

   Therefore we get $(\theta''', k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell_j/\alpha] \rfloor_E$

7. case $c \Rightarrow \tau$:

   Given: $(\theta, m, (\nu e_1)) \in \lfloor c \Rightarrow \tau \rfloor_V$

   To prove: $(\theta', m', (\nu e_1)) \in \lfloor c \Rightarrow \tau \rfloor_V$

   This means from Definition 2.35 we know that

$$\forall\theta''.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'' \wedge \forall j < m.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta'', j, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E \tag{145}$$

   Similarly from Definition 2.35 we know that we are required to prove
   $\forall\theta'''.\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta''' \wedge \forall k < m'.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta''', k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E$

   This means that given some θ''', k and ℓ_j such that $\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta''' \wedge k < m' \wedge \ell_j \in \mathcal{L}$

   And we are required to prove $(\theta''', k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E$

   Instantiating Equation 145 with θ''', k and since we know that $\theta' \sqsubseteq \theta'''$ and $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'$ therefore we have $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta'''$. Also, we know that $k < m' < m$ and $\mathcal{L} \models c$

   Therefore we get $(\theta''', k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E$

8. case $\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau$:

   Given: $(\theta, m, (\mathsf{Lb}\, v)) \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rfloor_V$

   To prove: $(\theta', m', (\mathsf{Lb}\, v)) \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rfloor_V$

   This means from Definition 2.35 we know that $(\theta, m, v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

   IH: $(\theta', m', v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

   Therefore from IH and Definition 2.35 we get the desired

9. case $\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau$:

   Given: $(\theta, m, e) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rfloor_V$

   To prove: $(\theta', m', e) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rfloor_V$

   This means from Definition 2.35 we know that

   $\forall k \leq m, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies$
   $\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
   $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
   $(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1) \qquad$ (LB0)

   Similarly from Definition 2.35 we are required to prove

   $\forall k_1 \leq m', \theta_{e1} \sqsupseteq \theta', H_1, j_1.(k_1, H_1) \triangleright \theta_{e1} \wedge (H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_{j_1}^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge j_1 < k_1 \implies$
   $\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k_1 - j_1, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta_1', k_1 - j_1, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
   $(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_{e1}(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
   $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta_{e1}).\theta_1'(a) \searrow \ell_1)$

   This means we are given

   $k_1 \leq m', \theta_{e1} \sqsupseteq \theta', H_1, j_1$ s.t $(k_1, H) \triangleright \theta_{e1} \wedge (H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_{j_1}^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge j_1 < k_1$

   And we are required to prove:

   $\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k_1 - j_1, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta_1', k_1 - j_1, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
   $(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_{e1}(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
   $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta_{e1}).\theta_1'(a) \searrow \ell_1)$

   Instantiating (LB0), k with k_1, θ_e with θ_{e1}, H with H_1 and j with j_1. We know that $k_1 < m' < m$, $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \sqsubseteq \theta_{e1}$, $(k_1, H_1) \triangleright \theta_{e1}$, $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_{j_1}^f (H_1', v_1')$ and $i_1 + j_1 < k_1$. Therefore we get

   $\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k_1 - j_1, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta_1', k_1 - j_1, v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
   $(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_{e1}(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
   $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1') \backslash dom(\theta_{e1}).\theta_1'(a) \searrow \ell_1)$

□

Lemma 2.46 (CG: Monotonicity binary)**.** *The following holds:*
$\forall W, W', v_1, v_2, \mathcal{A}, n, n', \tau.$
$(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge n' < n \wedge W \sqsubseteq W' \implies (W', n', v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Proof. Proof by induction on τ

1. Case b, unit:

   From Definition 2.33

2. Case $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$:

   Given: $(W, n, (v_{i1}, v_{i2}), (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lceil \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   To prove: $(W', n', (v_{i1}, v_{i2}), (v_{j1}, v_{j2})) \in \lceil \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   From Definition 2.33 we know that we are given

   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \wedge (W, n, v_{i2}, v_{j2}) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   IH1 : $(W', n', v_{i1}, v_{j1}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   IH2 : $(W', n', v_{i2}, v_{j2}) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   From IH1, IH2 and Definition 2.33 we get the desired.

3. Case $\tau_1 + \tau_2$:

   2 cases arise:

   (a) $v_1 = \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i1}$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i2}$:

   Given: $(W, n, (\mathsf{inl}\ v_{i1}, \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i2})) \in \lceil \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   To prove: $(W', n', (\mathsf{inl}\ v_{i1}, \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i2})) \in \lceil \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   From Definition 2.33 we know that we are given

   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   IH : $(W', n', v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   Therefore from Definition 2.33 we get

   $(W', n', \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i1}, \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   (b) $v_1 = \mathsf{inr}(v_{12})$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{inr}(v_{22})$:

   Symmetric case

4. Case $\tau_1 \to \tau_2$:

   Given: $(W, n, (\lambda x.e_1), (\lambda x.e_2)) \in \lceil \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   To prove: $(\theta', n', (\lambda x.e_1), (\lambda x.e_1)) \in \lceil \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   This means from Definition 2.33 we know that the following holds

   $\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2.((W', j, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies (W', j, e_1[v_1/x], e_2[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$ (BM-A0)

   $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j, v_c.((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_1[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E)$ (BM-A1)

   $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j, v_c.((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_2[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E)$ (BM-A2)

   Similarly from Definition 2.33 we know that we are required to prove

   (a) $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W', k < n', v_1', v_2'.((W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies (W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$:

   This means that we are given some $W'' \sqsupseteq W'$, $k < n'$ and v_1', v_2' s.t

   $(W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   And we a required to prove: $(W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

   Instantiating BM-A0 with W'', k and v_1', v_2' we get

   $(W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

(b) $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_1, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E)$:
This means that we are given some $\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_1$, k and v_c' s.t
$(\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
And we a required to prove: $(\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

Instantiating BM-A1 with θ_l', k and v_c' we get
$(\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

(c) $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_2[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E)$:
This means that we are given some $\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_2$, k and v_c' s.t
$(\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V$
And we a required to prove: $(\theta_l', k, e_2[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

Instantiating BM-A1 with θ_l', k and v_c' we get
$(\theta_l', k, e_2[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E$

5. Case $\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau$:

From Definition 2.33 and Definition 2.31

6. Case $\forall \alpha.\tau$:

Given: $(W, n, (\Lambda e_1), (\Lambda e_2)) \in \lceil \forall \alpha.\tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
To prove: $(\theta', n', (\Lambda e_1), (\Lambda e_1)) \in \lceil \forall \alpha.\tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
This means from Definition 2.33 we know that the following holds
$\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, n' < n, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.((W', n', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}})$ (BM-F0)
$\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta_l, j, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rfloor_E)$ (BM-F1)
$\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta_l, j, e_2) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rfloor_E)$ (BM-F2)

Similarly from Definition 2.33 we know that we are required to prove

(a) $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W', n'' < n', \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}})$:
This means that we are given some $W'' \sqsupseteq W'$, $n'' < n'$ and $\ell'' \in \mathcal{L}$
And we a required to prove: $((W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}})$

Instantiating BM-F0 with W'', n'' and ℓ''. And since $W'' \sqsupseteq W'$ and $W' \sqsupseteq W$ therefore $W'' \sqsupseteq W$. Also since $n'' < n'$ and $n' < n$ therefore $n'' < n$. And finally since $\ell'' \in \mathcal{L}$ therefore we get
$((W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}})$

(b) $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_1, k, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta_l', k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor_E)$:
This means that we are given some $\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_1$, k and $\ell'' \in \mathcal{L}$
And we a required to prove: $((\theta_l', k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor_E)$

Instantiating BM-F1 with θ_l', k and ℓ''. And since $\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_1$ and $W' \sqsupseteq W$ therefore $\theta_1' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1$. And since $\ell'' \in \mathcal{L}$ therefore we get
$((\theta_l', k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor_E)$

(c) $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta'_l, k, e_2) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor_E)$:
This means that we are given some $\theta'_l \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_2$, k and $\ell'' \in \mathcal{L}$
And we a required to prove: $((\theta'_l, k, e_2) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor_E)$

Instantiating BM-F1 with θ'_l, k and ℓ''. And since $\theta'_l \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_2$ and $W' \sqsupseteq W$ therefore $\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2$. And since $\ell'' \in \mathcal{L}$ therefore we get
$((\theta'_l, k, e_2) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor_E)$

7. Case $c \Rightarrow \tau$:

Given: $(W, n, (\nu e_1), (\nu e_2)) \in \lceil c \Rightarrow \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
To prove: $(\theta', n', (\nu e_1), (\nu e_1)) \in \lceil c \Rightarrow \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
This means from Definition 2.33 we know that the following holds

$\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, n' < n.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (W', n', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$ (BM-C0)

$\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l, j, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E$ (BM-C1)

$\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l, j, e_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E$ (BM-C2)

Similarly from Definition 2.33 we know that we are required to prove

(a) $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W', n'' < n.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$:
This means that we are given some $W'' \sqsupseteq W'$, $n'' < n'$ and $\mathcal{L} \models c$
And we a required to prove: $(W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

Instantiating BM-C0 with W'', n''. And since $W'' \sqsupseteq W'$ and $W' \sqsupseteq W$ therefore $W'' \sqsupseteq W$. And since $\mathcal{L} \models c$ therefore we get
$(W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

(b) $\forall \theta'_l \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_1, k.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta'_l, k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E$:
This means that we are given some $\theta'_l \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_1$, k and $\mathcal{L} \models c$
And we a required to prove: $(\theta'_l, k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E$

Instantiating BM-F1 with θ'_l, k. And since $\theta'_l \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_1$ and $W' \sqsupseteq W$ therefore $\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1$. And since $\mathcal{L} \models c$ therefore we get
$(\theta'_l, k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E$

(c) $\forall \theta'_l \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_2, k.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l, k, e_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E$:
This means that we are given some $\theta'_l \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_2$, k and $\mathcal{L} \models c$
And we a required to prove: $(\theta'_l, k, e_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E$

Instantiating BM-F1 with θ'_l, k. And since $\theta'_l \sqsupseteq W'.\theta_2$ and $W' \sqsupseteq W$ therefore $\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2$. And since $\mathcal{L} \models c$ therefore we get
$(\theta'_l, k, e_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E$

8. Case $\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau$:

Given: $(W, n, (\mathsf{Lb}\, v_1), (\mathsf{Lb}\, v_2)) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
To prove: $(W', n', (\mathsf{Lb}\, v_1), (\mathsf{Lb}\, v_2)) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
From Definition 2.33 2 cases arise:

(a) $\ell \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
In this case we know that $(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
Therefore from IH we know that $(W', n', v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
Hence from Definition 2.33 we get $(W', n', (\mathsf{Lb}\, v_1), (\mathsf{Lb}\, v_2)) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

(b) $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
In this case we know that $\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, m, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$
Since $W.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq W'.\theta_1$ (from Definition 2.31). Therefore from Lemma 2.45 we know that $\forall m' < m.\ (W'.\theta_1, m', v_1) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$
Similarly since $W.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq W'.\theta_2$ (from Definition 2.31). Therefore from Lemma 2.45 we know that
$\forall m' < m.\ (W'.\theta_2, m', v_2) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

Finally from Definition 2.33 we get $(W', n', (\mathsf{Lb}\, v_1), (\mathsf{Lb}\, v_2)) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

9. Case $\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau$:

Given: $(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
To prove: $(W', n', v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

From Definition 2.33 we are given that

$\Big(\forall k \leq n,\ W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge$
$\forall v_1', v_2', j.(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k - j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k - j, \ell_2, v_1', v_2', \tau)\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1, 2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta') \backslash \mathit{dom}(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)\Big)$ (BM-M0)

Similarly from Definition 2.33 it suffices to prove that

(a) $\Big(\forall k \leq n,\ W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge$
$\forall v_1', v_2', j.(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k - j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k - j, \ell_2, v_1', v_2', \tau)\Big)$:
This means that given some $k \leq n$, $W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2, v_1', v_2', j$ s.t
$(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge (H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k$

It suffices to prove that
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k - j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k - j, \ell_2, v_1', v_2', \tau)$

Instantiating the first conjunct of (BM-M0) with the given k, $W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2, v_1', v_2', j$ and since we know that $n' \leq n$ and $W \sqsubseteq W'$ we get the desired

(b) $\forall l \in \{1, 2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta') \backslash \mathit{dom}(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)\Big)$:
Similar reasoning as in the previous case but using Lemma 2.45

□

Lemma 2.47 (CG: Unary monotonicity for Γ)**.** $\forall \theta, \theta', \delta, \Gamma, n, n'.$
$(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V \wedge n' < n \wedge \theta \sqsubseteq \theta' \implies (\theta', n', \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Proof. Given: $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V \wedge n' < n \wedge \theta \sqsubseteq \theta'$
To prove: $(\theta', n', \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.42 it is given that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta, n, \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$

And again from Definition 2.42 we are required to prove that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta', n', \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$

- $dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta)$:
  Given
- $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta', n', \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$:
  Since we know that $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta, n, \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$ (given)
  Therefore from Lemma 2.45 we get
  $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(\theta', n', \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$

□

Lemma 2.48 (CG: Binary monotonicity for Γ)**.** $\forall W, W', \delta, \Gamma, n, n'.$
$(W, n, \gamma) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V \wedge n' < n \wedge W \sqsubseteq W' \implies (W', n', \gamma) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Proof. Given: $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V \wedge n' < n \wedge W \sqsubseteq W'$
To prove: $(W', n', \gamma) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.43 it is given that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W, n, \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

And again from Definition 2.42 we are required to prove that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W', n', \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

- $dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma)$:
  Given
- $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W', n', \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$:
  Since we know that $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W, n, \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (given)
  Therefore from Lemma 2.46 we get
  $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W', n', \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

□

Lemma 2.49 (CG: Unary monotonicity for H)**.** $\forall \theta, H, n, n'.$
$(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge n' < n \implies (n', H) \triangleright \theta$

Proof. Given: $(n, H) \triangleright \theta \wedge n' < n$
To prove: $(n', H) \triangleright \theta$

From Definition 2.37 it is given that
$dom(\theta) \subseteq dom(H) \wedge \forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta(a) \rfloor_V$

And again from Definition 2.42 we are required to prove that
$dom(\theta) \subseteq dom(H) \wedge \forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n'-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta'(a) \rfloor_V$

- $dom(\theta) \subseteq dom(H)$:
  Given
- $\forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n'-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta'(a) \rfloor_V$:
  Since we know that $\forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta(a) \rfloor_V$ (given)
  Therefore from Lemma 2.45 we get
  $\forall a \in dom(\theta).(\theta, n'-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta'(a) \rfloor_V$

□

Lemma 2.50 (CG: Binary monotonicity for heaps)**.** $\forall W, H_1, H_2, n, n'.$
$(n, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W \wedge n' < n \implies (n', H_1, H_2) \triangleright W$

Proof. Given: $(n, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W \wedge n' < n \wedge W \sqsubseteq W'$
To prove: $(n', H_1, H_2) \triangleright W$

From Definition 2.38 it is given that
$dom(W.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1) \wedge dom(W.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2) \wedge$
$(W.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W.\theta_1) \times dom(W.\theta_2)) \wedge$
$\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W.\hat{\beta}).(W.\theta_1(a_1) = W.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge$
$(W, n-1, H_1(a_1), H_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge$
$\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i \in dom(W.\theta_i).(W.\theta_i, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$

And again from Definition 2.38 we are required to prove:

- $dom(W.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1) \wedge dom(W.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2)$:
  Given
- $(W.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W.\theta_1) \times dom(W.\theta_2))$:
  Given
- $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W.\hat{\beta}).(W.\theta_1(a_1) = W.\theta_2(a_2)$ and $(W, n'-1, H_1(a_1), H_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}})$:
  $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W.\hat{\beta}).$
  - $(W.\theta_1(a_1) = W.\theta_2(a_2))$: Given
  - $(W, n'-1, H_1(a_1), H_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}})$:
    Given and from Lemma 2.46
- $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i \in dom(W.\theta_i).(W.\theta_i, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$:
  Given

□

Theorem 2.51 (CG: Fundamental theorem unary)**.** $\forall \Sigma, \Psi, \Gamma, \theta, \mathcal{L}, e, \tau, \sigma, \delta, n.$
$\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \wedge$
$\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge$
$(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies$
$(\theta, n, e\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

Proof. Proof by induction on *CG* typing derivation

1. CG-var:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau}\ \text{CG-var}$$

Also given is $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge$ and $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, x\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove

$\forall i < n. x\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $x\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

(from $cg - val$ we know that $v = x\ \delta$ and $i = 0$)

It suffices to prove $(\theta, n, x\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-V0)

Since $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ where $\Gamma' = \Gamma \cup \{x : \tau\}$. Therefore from Definition 2.42 we know that $(\theta, n, \delta(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma'(x)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

So we are done.

2. CG-lam:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e' : \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \lambda x.e' : (\tau_1 \to \tau_2)}$$

Also given is $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge$ and $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove

$\forall i < n. \lambda x.e'\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\lambda x.e'\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

(from $cg - val$ we know that $v = \lambda x.e'\ \delta$ and $i = 0$)

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n, \lambda x.e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-L0)

From Definition 2.35 it further suffices to prove

$\forall \theta'' \sqsupseteq \theta, v', j < n. (\theta'', j, v') \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta'', j, (e'\ \delta)[v'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means given some θ'', v', j s.t $\theta'' \sqsupseteq \theta$, $j < n$ and $(\theta'', j, v') \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-L1)

We are required to prove

$(\theta'', j, (e'\ \delta)[v'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

Since $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ therefore from Lemma 2.47 we know that $(\theta, j, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ where $j < n$ (from FU-L1)

IH:

$\forall \theta_h, v_x.\ (\theta_h, j, e'\ \delta \cup \{x \mapsto v_x\}) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E$, s.t $(\theta_i, j, v_x) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Instantiating IH with θ'' and v' from (FU-L1) we get $(\theta'', j, (e'\ \delta)[v'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

3. CG-app:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_1 : (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_1}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_1\ e_2 : \tau_2}$$

Also given is $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge$ and $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, (e_1\ e_2)\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove

$\forall i < n. (e_1\ e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $(e_1\ e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-P0)

IH1:

$\forall j < n. e_1\ \delta \Downarrow_j v_1 \implies (\theta, n - j, v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(e_1\ e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_1\ \delta \Downarrow_j v_1$. This means we have $(\theta, n - j, v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

From $cg - app$ we know that $v_1 = \lambda x. e'$.Therefore we have

$(\theta, n - j, \lambda x. e') \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-P1)

This means from Definition 2.35 we have

$$\forall \theta'' \sqsupseteq \theta \wedge I < (n - j), v. (\theta'', I, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta'', I, e'[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E \qquad (146)$$

IH2:

$\forall k < (n - j). e_2\ \delta \Downarrow_k v_2 \implies (\theta, n - j - k, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(e_1\ e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ therefore $\exists k < i - j$ (since $i < n$ therefore $i - j < n - j$) s.t $e_2\ \delta \Downarrow_k v_2$. This means we have

$(\theta, n - j - k, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-P2)

Instantiating Equation 146 with $\theta, (n - j - k), v_2$ and since we know that $(\theta, n - j - k, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ therefore we get

$(\theta, n - j - k, e'[v_2/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means from Definition 2.36 we have

$\forall J < n - j - k.e'[v_2/x] \Downarrow_J v_f \implies (\theta, n - j - k - J, v_J) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

Since we know that $(e_1\ e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ therefore we know that $\exists J < i < n$ s.t $i = j + k + J$ (since $j + k + J < n$ therefore $J < n - j - k$) and $e'[v_2/x] \Downarrow_J v_f$

Therefore we have $(\theta, n - j - k - J, v_J) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

Since we know that $i = j + k + J$ and $v = v_J$ therefore we get $(\theta, n - i, v_J) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E$ (so FU-P0 is proved)

4. CG-prod:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)}$$

Also given is $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge$ and $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, (e_1, e_2)\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove

$\forall i < n.(e_1, e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $(e_1, e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-PA0)

IH1:

$\forall j < n.e_1\ \delta \Downarrow_j v_1 \implies (\theta, n - j, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(e_1, e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_1\ \delta \Downarrow_j v_1$. This means we have

$(\theta, n - j, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-PA1)

IH2:

$\forall k < (n - j).e_2\ \delta \Downarrow_k v_2 \implies (\theta, n - j - k, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(e_1\ e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ therefore $\exists k < i - j$ (since $i < n$ therefore $i - j < n - j$) s.t $e_2\ \delta \Downarrow_k v_2$. This means we have

$(\theta, n - j - k, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-PA2)

In order to prove (FU-PA0) from $cg - prod$ we know that $i = j + k + 1$ and $v = (v_1, v_2)$ therefore from Definition 2.35 it suffices to prove

$(\theta, n - j - k - 1, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ and $(\theta, n - j - k - 1, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

We get this from (FU-PA1) and Lemma 2.45 and from (FU-PA2) and Lemma 2.45

5. CG-fst:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{fst}(e') : \tau_1}$$

Also given is $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge$ and $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{fst}(e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove

$\forall i < n . \mathsf{fst}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{fst}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-F0)

IH1:

$\forall j < n . e'\ \delta \Downarrow_j (v_1, v_2) \implies (\theta, n-j, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $\mathsf{fst}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e'\ \delta \Downarrow_j (v_1, v_2)$. This means we have

$(\theta, n-j, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 we know the following holds

$(\theta, n-j, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ and $(\theta, n-j, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-F1)

From $cg - fst$ we know that $v = v_1$ and $i = j+1$. Therefore from (FU-F0), we are required to prove

$(\theta, n-j-1, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

We get this from (FU-F1) and Lemma 2.45

6. CG-snd:

   Symmetric reasoning as in the CG-fst case above

7. CG-inl:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : \tau_1}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{inl}(e') : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)}$$

   Also given is $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge$ and $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

   To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{inl}(e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

   This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove

   $\forall i < n . \mathsf{inl}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

   This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{inl}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

   It suffices to prove

   $(\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-LE0)

   IH1:

   $\forall j < n . e'\ \delta \Downarrow_j v_1 \implies (\theta, n-j, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

   Since we know that $\mathsf{inl}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e'\ \delta \Downarrow_j v_1$. This means we have

$(\theta, n-j, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-LE1)

From $cg - inl$ we know that $v = v_1$ and $i = j + 1$. Therefore from (FU-LE0) w we are required to prove

$(\theta, n-j-1, v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 it suffices to prove

$(\theta, n-j-1, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

We get this from (FU-LE1) and Lemma 2.45

8. CG-inr:

   Symmetric reasoning as in the CG-inl case above

9. CG-case:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_c : (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e_1 : \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, y : \tau_2 \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{case}(e, x.e_1, y.e_2) : \tau}$$

   Also given is $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge$ and $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

   To prove: $(\theta, n, (\mathsf{case}\ e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

   This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove

   $\forall i < n.(\mathsf{case}\ e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

   This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $(\mathsf{case}\ e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

   It suffices to prove

   $(\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-C0)

   IH1:

   $\forall j < n.e_c\ \delta \Downarrow_j v_c \implies (\theta, n-j, v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

   Since we know that $(\mathsf{case}\ e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_c\ \delta \Downarrow_j v_c$. This means we have

   $(\theta, n-j, v_c) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-C1)

   2 cases arise:

   (a) $v_c = \mathsf{inl}(v_l)$:

   IH2:

   $\forall k < (n-j).e_1\ \delta \cup \{x \mapsto v_l\} \Downarrow_k v_1 \implies (\theta, n-j-k, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

   Since we know that $(\mathsf{case}\ e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ therefore $\exists k < i - j$ (since $i < n$ therefore $i - j < n - j$) s.t $e_1\ \delta \cup \{x \mapsto v_l\} \Downarrow_k v_1$. This means we have

   $(\theta, n-j-k, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-C2)

   From $cg - case1$ we know that $i = j + k + 1$ and $v = v_1$. Therefore from (FU-C0) it suffices to prove

   $(\theta, n-j-k-1, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

   We get this from (FU-C2) and Lemma 2.45

(b) $v_c = \mathsf{inr}(v_r)$:
Symmetric reasoning as in the previous case

10. CG-FI:

$$\frac{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : \tau}{\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash \Lambda e' : \forall \alpha.\tau}$$

Also given is $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge$ and $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \Lambda e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove

$\forall i < n. \Lambda e'\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor (\forall \alpha.\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\lambda x.e'\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

(from $cg - val$ we know that $v = \Lambda e'\ \delta$ and $i = 0$)

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n, \Lambda e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\forall \alpha.\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-FI0)

From Definition 2.35 it further suffices to prove

$\forall \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta', j < n. \forall \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.(\theta', j, e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rfloor_E$

This means given some $\theta', j, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}$ s.t $\theta' \sqsupseteq \theta$, $j < n$ (FU-FI1)

We are required to prove

$(\theta', j, (e'\ \delta)) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_E$ (FU-FI2)

Since $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ therefore from Lemma 2.47 we know that $(\theta, j, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ where $j < n$ (from FU-L1)

IH: $(\theta', j, e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \cup \{\alpha \mapsto \ell'\} \rfloor_E$

(FU-FI2) is obtained directly from IH

11. CG-CI:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi, c; \Gamma \vdash e' : \tau}{\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash \nu\ e' : c \Rightarrow \tau}$$

Also given is $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge$ and $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \nu e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor (c \Rightarrow \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove

$\forall i < n. \nu e'\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor (c \Rightarrow \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\nu e'\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

(from $cg - val$ we know that $v = \nu e'\ \delta$ and $i = 0$)

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n, \nu e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor (c \Rightarrow \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-CI0)

From Definition 2.35 it further suffices to prove

$\mathcal{L} \models c \implies \forall \theta'.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta', j < n.(\theta', j, e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E$

This means given $\mathcal{L} \models c$ and some θ', j s.t $\theta' \sqsupseteq \theta$, $j < n$ (FU-CI1)

We are required to prove

$(\theta', j, (e'\ \delta)) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E$ (FU-CI2)

Since $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ therefore from Lemma 2.47 we know that $(\theta, j, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ where $j < n$ (from FU-L1). Also we know that $\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma$ therefore $\mathcal{L} \models (\Sigma \cup \{c\})\ \sigma$

IH: $(\theta', j, e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

(FU-CI2) is obtained directly from IH

12. CG-FE:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : \forall \alpha.\tau \qquad \text{FV}(\ell) \in \Sigma}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e'\ [] : \tau[\ell/\alpha]}$$

Also given is $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge$ and $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, e'[]\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove

$\forall i < n.e'[]\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $e'[]\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-FE0)

IH: $(\theta, n, e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor \forall \alpha.\tau \rfloor_E$

From Definition 2.36 we know that

$\forall h_1 < n.e'\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_1} \Lambda e_{h1} \implies (\theta, n - h_1, \Lambda e_{h1}) \in \lfloor (\forall \alpha.\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since $e'[]\ \delta$ reduces therefore we know that $\exists h_1 < i < n$ such that $e'\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_1} \Lambda e_i$

Therefore we know that $(\theta, n - h_1, \Lambda e_{h1}) \in \lfloor (\forall \alpha.\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 we know that

$\forall \theta'' \sqsupseteq \theta, x < (n - h_1), \ell_h \in \mathcal{L}.(\theta'', x, e_{h1}) \in \lfloor (\tau[\ell_h/\alpha])\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

Instantiating θ'' with θ, x with $n - h_1 - 1$ and ℓ_h with ℓ. So, we get

$(\theta, n - h_1 - 1, e_{h1}) \in \lfloor (\tau[\ell/\alpha])\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

From Definition 2.36 we know that the following holds

$\forall h_2 < n - h_1 - 1.e_{h1}\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_2} v \implies (\theta, n - h_1 - 1 - h_2, v) \in \lfloor (\tau[\ell/\alpha])\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since $e'[]\ \delta$ reduces in i steps therefore from cg-FE we know that $(i = h_1 + h_2 + 1)$ and since we know that $i < n$ therefore we have $h_2 < n - h_1 - 1$ such that $e_{h1}\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_2} v$. Therefore we get

$(\theta, n - h_1 - 1 - h_2, v) \in \lfloor (\tau[\ell/\alpha])\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since $i = h_1 + h_2 + 1$ therefore we get

$(\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor (\tau[\ell/\alpha])\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

13. CG-CE:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : c \Rightarrow \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash c}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' \bullet : \tau}$$

Also given is $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge$ and $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, e' \bullet\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove

$\forall i < n . e' \bullet\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $e' \bullet\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-CE0)

IH: $(\theta, n, e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor c \Rightarrow \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

From Definition 2.36 we know that

$\forall h_1 < n . e'\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_1} \nu e_{h1} \implies (\theta, n - h_1, \nu e_{h1}) \in \lfloor c \Rightarrow \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since $e' \bullet\ \delta$ reduces therefore we know that $\exists h_1 < i < n$ such that $e'\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_1} \nu e_{h_1}$

Therefore we know that $(\theta, n - h_1, \nu e_{h1}) \in \lfloor c \Rightarrow \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 we know that

$\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma \implies \forall \theta'' \sqsupseteq \theta, x < (n - h_1) . (\theta'', x, e_{h1}) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

Since we know that $\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma$ and then we instantiate θ'' with θ, x with $n - h_1 - 1$. So, we get

$(\theta, n - h_1 - 1, e_{h1}) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

From Definition 2.36 we know that the following holds

$\forall h_2 < n - h_1 - 1 . e_{h1}\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_2} v \implies (\theta, n - h_1 - 1 - h_2, v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since $e' \bullet\ \delta$ reduces in i steps therefore from cg-CE we know that $(i = h_1 + h_2 + 1)$ and since we know that $i < n$ therefore we have $h_2 < n - h_1 - 1$ such that $e_{h1}\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_2} v$. Therefore we get

$(\theta, n - h_1 - 1 - h_2, v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $i = h_1 + h_2 + 1$ therefore we get

$(\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

14. CG-ref:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{new}\ (e') : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)}$$

Also given is $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge$ and $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove

$\forall i < n.\mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

(from $cg - val$ we know that $v = \mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \delta$ and $i = 0$)

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n, \mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 it suffices to prove

$\forall k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, \mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$

This means given some $k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, \mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k$.
Also from $cg - ref$ we know that $v' = a$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, a) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$ (FU-R0)

IH:

$(\theta_e, k, e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

From Definition 2.36 this means we have

$\forall l < k.e'\ \delta \Downarrow_l v_h \implies (\theta_e, n-l, v_h) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(H, \mathsf{new}\ (e')) \Downarrow_j^f (H', a)$ therefore from $cg - ref$ we know that

$\exists l < j < k$ s.t $e'\ \delta \Downarrow_l v_h$

Therefore we have

$(\theta_e, n-l, v_h) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-R2)

In order to prove (FU-R0) we choose θ' as $\theta_n = \theta_e \cup \{a \mapsto \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau\}$

Now we need to prove:

(a) $(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta_n$:
From Definition 2.37 it suffices to prove that
$dom(\theta_n) \subseteq dom(H') \wedge \forall a \in dom(\theta_n).(\theta_n, (k-j)-1, H'(a)) \in \lfloor \theta_n(a) \rfloor_V$

- $dom(\theta_n) \subseteq dom(H')$:
  We know that $dom(H') = dom(H) \cup \{a\}$
  We know that $dom(\theta_n) = dom(\theta_e) \cup \{a\}$
  And $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e$ therefore from Definition 2.37 we know that $dom(\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H)$
  So we are done
- $\forall a \in dom(\theta_n).(\theta_n, (k-j)-1, H'(a)) \in \lfloor \theta_n(a) \rfloor_V$:
  Since from (FU-R2) we know that $(\theta_h, n-l, v_h) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
  Since $\theta_h \sqsubseteq \theta_n$ and $k-j-1 < n-l$ (since $k < n$ and $l < j$) therefore from Lemma 2.45 we know that $(\theta_n, k-j-1, v_h) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

(b) $(\theta_n, k-j-1, a) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\rfloor_V$:
From Definition 2.35 it suffices to prove that $\theta_n(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau$
We get this by construction of θ_n

(c) $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
Holds vacuously

(d) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_n) \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta_n(a) \searrow \ell)$:
From $CG - ref$ we know that $\ell \sqsubseteq \ell'$

15. CG-deref:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash\ !e' : \mathbb{C}\ \ell'\ \ell'\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)}$$

Also given is $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge$ and $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, (!e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell'\ \ell'\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove

$\forall i < n.!(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell'\ \ell'\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

(From $cg - val$ we know that $v = !e'\ \delta$ and $i = 0$)

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $!e'\ \delta \Downarrow_i !e'\ \delta$

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n, !e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell'\ \ell'\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 it suffices to prove

$\forall k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, (!e'\ \delta)) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell''.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau' \wedge \ell' \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell')$

This means given some $k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, (!e'\ \delta)) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k$.

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell''.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau' \wedge \ell' \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell')$ (FU-D0)

IH:

$(\theta_e, k, e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_E$

From Definition 2.36 this means we have

$\forall l < k.e'\ \delta \Downarrow_l v_h \implies (\theta_e, k-l, v_h) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(H, !(e')) \Downarrow_j^f (H', a)$ therefore from $cg - deref$ we know that

$\exists l < j < k$ s.t $e'\ \delta \Downarrow_l v_h$, $v_h = a$

Therefore we have

$(\theta_e, k-l, a) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V$ (FU-D1)

In order to prove (FU-D0) we choose θ' as θ_e

Now we need to prove:

(a) $(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta_e$:
From Definition 2.37 it suffices to prove that
$dom(\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H') \wedge \forall a \in dom(\theta_e).(\theta_e, (k-j)-1, H'(a)) \in \lfloor \theta_e(a) \rfloor_V$

- $dom(\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H')$:
  And $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e$ therefore from Definition 2.37 we know that $dom(\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H)$
  And since $H' = H$ (from $cg - deref$) so we are done
- $\forall a \in dom(\theta_e).(\theta_e, (k-j)-1, H'(a)) \in \lfloor \theta_e(a) \rfloor_V$:
  Since we know that $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e$ therefore from Definition 2.37 we know that
  $\forall a \in dom(\theta_e).(\theta_e, k-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta_e(a) \rfloor_V$
  Since $H' = H$ and from Lemma 2.45 we get
  $\forall a \in dom(\theta_e).(\theta_e, (k-j)-1, H'(a)) \in \lfloor \theta_e(a) \rfloor_V$

(b) $(\theta_e, k-j, v') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor_V$:
From $cg - deref$ we know that $H = H'$ and $v' = H(a)$
From (FU-D1) and Definition 2.35 we know that $\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau$
Since we know that $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e$ therefore from Definition 2.37 we know that
$\forall a \in dom(\theta_e).(\theta_e, k-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta_e(a) \rfloor_V$
Since from $cg - deref$ we know that $j \geq 1$. Therefore from Lemma 2.45 we get $(\theta_e, k-j, H(a)) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rfloor_V$

(c) $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
Holds vacuously

(d) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_e) \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta_e(a) \searrow \ell)$:
Holds vacuously

16. CG-assign:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_1 := e_2 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ \mathsf{unit}}$$

Also given is $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge$ and $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
To prove: $(\theta, n, (e_1 := e_2)\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ \mathsf{unit})\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{pc}$
This means that from Definition 2.7 we need to prove
$\forall i < n.(e_1 := e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ \mathsf{unit})\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $(e_1 := e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$.
It suffices to prove
$(\theta, n-i, ()) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ \mathsf{unit})\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
From Definition 2.35 it suffices to prove
$\forall k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, (e_1 := e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$

This means given some $k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, (e_1 := e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k$. Also from $cg - assign$ we know that $v' = ()$
It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, ()) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$ (FU-A0)

IH1:

$\forall l < k.e_1\ \delta \Downarrow_l v_1 \implies (\theta, k-l, a) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(e_1 := e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_j^f v$ therefore $\exists l < j < k$ s.t $e_1\ \delta \Downarrow_l a$. This means we have

$(\theta, k-l, a) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-A1)

IH2:

$\forall m < (k-l).e_2\ \delta \Downarrow_m v_2 \implies (\theta, k-l-m, v_2) \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(e_1 := e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_j^f v$ therefore $\exists m < j-l$ (since $j < k$ therefore $j-l < k-l$) s.t $e_2\ \delta \Downarrow_k v_2$. This means we have

$(\theta, k-l-m, v_2) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-A2)

In order to prove (FU-A0) we choose θ' as θ_e

Now we need to prove:

(a) $(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta_e$:
From Definition 2.37 it suffices to prove that
$dom(\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H') \wedge \forall a \in dom(\theta_e).(\theta_e, (k-j)-1, H'(a)) \in \lfloor \theta_e(a) \rfloor_V$

- $dom(\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H')$:
  We know that $dom(H') = dom(H)$
  And $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e$ therefore from Definition 2.37 we know that $dom(\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H)$
  So we are done
- $\forall a \in dom(\theta_e).(\theta_e, (k-j)-1, H'(a)) \in \lfloor \theta_e(a) \rfloor_V$:
  $\forall a \in dom(\theta_e)$.
  i. $H(a) = H'(a)$:
  Since $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e$ therefore from Definition 2.37 we know that
  $(\theta_e, k-1, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta_e(a) \rfloor_V$
  Therefore from Lemma 2.45 we get
  $(\theta_e, k-1-j, H(a)) \in \lfloor \theta_e(a) \rfloor_V$
  ii. $H(a) \neq H'(a)$:
  From $cg - assign$ we know that $H'(a) = v_2$
  From (FU-A1) we know that $\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau$
  Also we know that $j = l + m + 1$
  Since from (FU-A2) we know that
  $(\theta, k-l-m, v_2) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
  Therefore we get
  $(\theta, k-j+1, v_2) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
  Therefore from Lemma 2.45 we get
  $(\theta, k-j-1, v_2) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

(b) $(\theta_e, k-j-1, ()) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V$:
From Definition 2.35

(c) $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
From $CG - assign$ we know that $\ell \sqsubseteq \ell'$

(d) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_e) \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta_e(a) \searrow \ell)$:
Holds vacuously

17. CG-label:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{Lb}(e') : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau}$$

Also given is $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge$ and $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{Lb}(e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E$
This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove
$\forall i < n.\mathsf{Lb}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

This means we are given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{Lb}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ and we are required to prove
$(\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Let $v = \mathsf{Lb}(v_i)$. This means from Definition 2.35 we are required to prove
$(\theta, n - i, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

IH: $(\theta, n, e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means from Definition 2.36 we have
$\forall j < n.e'\ \delta \Downarrow_j v_i \implies (\theta, n - j, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $\mathsf{Lb}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e'\ \delta \Downarrow_j v_i$
Therefore we have $(\theta, n - j, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

From $cg - label$ we know that $i = j + 1$ therefore from Lemma 2.45 we have
$(\theta, n - i, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

18. CG-unlabel:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}(e') : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ (\ell_i \sqcup \ell)\ \tau}$$

Also given is $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge$ and $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ (\ell_i \sqcup \ell)\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove
$\forall i < n.\mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ (\ell_i \sqcup \ell)\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$
(from $cg - val$ we know that $v = \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \delta$ and $i = 0$)
It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ (\ell_i \sqcup \ell)\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 it suffices to prove

$\forall k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$

This means given some $k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k$. Also from $cg - unlabel$ we know that $H' = H$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H) \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$ (FU-U0)

IH:

$(\theta_e, k, e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove

$\forall h_1 < k.e'\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_1} v_h \implies (\theta_e, k - h_1, v_h) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(H, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')) \Downarrow_j^f (H, v')$ therefore from $cg - unlabel$ we know that

$\exists h_1 < j < k$ s.t $e'\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_1} \mathsf{Lb} v'$

This means we have

$(\theta_e, k - h_1, \mathsf{Lb} v') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

This means from Definition 2.35 we have

$(\theta_e, k - h_1, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V$ (FU-U1)

In order to prove (FU-U0) we choose θ' as θ_e. And we a required to prove:

(a) $(k-j, H) \triangleright \theta_e$:
Since have $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e$ therefore from Lemma 2.49 we get $(k-j, H) \triangleright \theta_e$

(b) $(\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V$:
Since from (FU-U1) we know that $(\theta_e, k - h_1, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V$
And since $j = h_1 + 1$, therefore from Lemma 2.45 we get $(\theta_e, k-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

(c) $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
Holds vacuously

(d) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$:
Holds vacuously

19. CG-ret:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{ret}(e') : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \tau}$$

Also given is $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge$ and $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{ret}(e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove

$\forall i < n.\mathsf{ret}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

This means we are given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{ret}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ and we are required to prove

$(\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

(from $cg - val$ we know that $v = \mathsf{ret}(e')\ \delta$ and $i = 0$)

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n, \mathsf{ret}(e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 it suffices to prove

$\forall k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, \mathsf{ret}(e')\ \delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$

This means given some $k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, \mathsf{ret}(e')\ \delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k$.
Also from $cg - ret$ we know that $H' = H$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H) \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$ (FU-R0)

IH:

$(\theta_e, k, e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove

$\forall h_1 < k.e'\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_1} v_h \implies (\theta_e, k-h_1, v_h) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(H, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')) \Downarrow_j^f (H, v')$ therefore from $cg - ret$ we know that

$\exists h_1 < j < k$ s.t $e'\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_1} v'$

This means we have

$(\theta_e, k-h_1, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FU-R1)

In order to prove (FU-U0) we choose θ' as θ_e. And we a required to prove:

(a) $(k-j, H) \triangleright \theta_e$:
Since have $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e$ therefore from Lemma 2.49 we get $(k-j, H) \triangleright \theta_e$

(b) $(\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:
Since from (FU-R1) we know that $(\theta_e, k-h_1, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
And since $j = h_1 + 1$, therefore from Lemma 2.45 we get $(\theta_e, k-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

(c) $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
Holds vacuously

(d) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$:
Holds vacuously

20. CG-bind:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell\ \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e_2 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell_o\ \tau'}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau'}$$

Also given is $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge$ and $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)\ \delta) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove

$\forall i < n. \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

This means we are given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$ and we are required to prove

$(\theta, n - i, v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

(from $cg - val$ we know that $v = \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)\ \delta$ and $i = 0$)

Therefore we need to prove

$(\theta, n, v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 it suffices to prove

$\forall k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$

This means we are given some $k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)\ \delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k$.

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$ (FU-B0)

IH1:

$(\theta_e, k, e_1\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove

$\forall h_1 < k. e_1\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_1} v_1 \implies (\theta_e, k - h_1, v_1) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(H, \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1, v_1)$ therefore from $cg - bind$ we know that

$\exists h_1 < j < k$ s.t $e_1\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_1} v_1$

This means we have

$(\theta_e, k - h_1, v_1) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 we know that

$\forall k_{h1} \leq (k-h_1), \theta'_e \sqsupseteq \theta_e, H, J.(k_{h1}, H) \triangleright \theta'_e \wedge (H, v_1) \Downarrow^f_J (H', v'_{h1}) \wedge J < k_{h1} \implies$
$\exists \theta'' \sqsupseteq \theta'_e.(k_{h1} - J, H') \triangleright \theta'' \wedge (\theta'', k_{h1} - J, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta'_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta'') \backslash dom(\theta'_e).\theta''(a) \searrow \ell)$

Instantiating k_{h1} with $k - h_1$, θ'_e with θ_e. Since we know that $(H, \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)) \Downarrow^f_j (H_1, v_1)$ therefore $\exists J < j - h_1 < k - h_1$ s.t $(H, v_1) \Downarrow^f_J (H', v'_{h1})$. And since we already knwo that $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e$ therefore from Lemma 2.49 we get $(k - h_1, H) \triangleright \theta_e$

This means we have

$\exists \theta'' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k_{h1} - J, H') \triangleright \theta'' \wedge (\theta'', k_{h1} - J, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta'') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta''(a) \searrow \ell)$ (FU-B1)

IH2:

$(\theta'', k - h_1 - J, e_2\ \delta \cup \{x \mapsto v'\}) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell\ \tau')\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove

$\forall h_2 < k - h_1 - J.e_2\ \delta \cup \{x \mapsto v'\} \Downarrow_{h_2} v'' \implies (\theta'', k - h_1 - J - h_2, v'') \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell_o\ \tau')\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(H, \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)) \Downarrow^f_j (H, v_1)$ therefore from $cg - bind$ we know that

$\exists h_2 < j - h_1 - J < k - h_1 - J$ s.t $e_2\ \delta \cup \{x \mapsto v'\} \Downarrow_{h_2} v''$

This means we have

$(\theta'', k - h_1 - J - h_2, v'') \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell_o\ \tau')\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 we know that

$\forall k_{h2} \leq (k - h_1 - J - h_2), \theta'_e \sqsupseteq \theta'', H, J'.(k_{h2}, H) \triangleright \theta'_e \wedge (H, v'') \Downarrow^f_{J'} (H'', v'_{h2}) \wedge J' < k_{h2} \implies$
$\exists \theta''' \sqsupseteq \theta'_e.(k_{h2} - J', H'') \triangleright \theta''' \wedge (\theta''', k_{h2} - J', v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H''(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta'_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta''') \backslash dom(\theta'_e).\theta'''(a) \searrow \ell)$

Since we know that $(H, \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2)) \Downarrow^f_j (H_1, v_1)$ therefore $\exists v_{h2}, i$ s.t $(v'' \Downarrow_i v_{h2})$. From $cg - val$ we know that $v_{h2} = v''$ and $i = 0$. Instantiating k_{h2} with $k - h_1 - J - h_2$, θ'_e with θ'', H with H' (from FU-B1) and $\exists J' < j - h_1 - J - h_2 < k - h_1 - J - h_2$ s.t $(H', v_{h2}) \Downarrow^f_J (H'', v'_{h2})$. And since we already know that $(k - h_1, H') \triangleright \theta''$ therefore from Lemma 2.49 we get $(k - h_1 - J - h_2, H') \triangleright \theta''$

This means we have

$\exists \theta''' \sqsupseteq \theta'_e.(k_{h2} - J', H'') \triangleright \theta''' \wedge (\theta''', k_{h2} - J', v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H''(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta'_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta''') \backslash dom(\theta'_e).\theta'''(a) \searrow \ell)$ (FU-B2)

We get (FU-B0) by choosing θ' as θ'' (from FU-B2)

21. CG-toLabeled:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{toLabeled}(e') : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)}$$

Also given is $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge$ and $(\theta, n, \delta) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

To prove: $(\theta, n, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove

$\forall i < n.\mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \delta \Downarrow_i v$

(from $cg - val$ we know that $v = \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \delta$ and $i = 0$)

It suffices to prove

$(\theta, n, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 it suffices to prove

$\forall k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$

And given some $k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \delta) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v') \wedge j < k$.
Also from $cg - tolabeled$ we know that $H' = H$

It suffices to prove

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)$ (FU-TL0)

IH:

$(\theta_e, k, e'\ \delta) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means that from Definition 2.36 we need to prove

$\forall h_1 < k.e'\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_1} v_1 \implies (\theta, k-h_1, v_1) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since $H, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e') \Downarrow_j^f H', v'$ therefore from $cg - tolabeled$ we know that $\exists h_1 < j < k$ s.t $e'\ \delta \Downarrow_{h_1} v_1$

Therefore we get $(\theta, k-h_1, v_1) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 we know that

$\forall k_{h1} \leq (k-h_1), \theta'_e \sqsupseteq \theta_e, H_h, J.(k_{h1}, H_h) \triangleright \theta'_e \wedge (H_h, v_1) \Downarrow_J^f (H', v'_{h1}) \wedge J < k_{h1} \implies$
$\exists \theta'' \sqsupseteq \theta'_e.(k_{h1} - J, H') \triangleright \theta'' \wedge (\theta'', k_{h1} - J, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_h(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta'_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta'') \backslash dom(\theta'_e).\theta''(a) \searrow \ell)$

Instantiating k_{h1} with $k - h_1$, H_h with H, θ'_e with θ_e. Since we know that $(H, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_1)$ therefore $\exists J < j - h_1 < k - h_1$ s.t $(H, v_1) \Downarrow_J^f (H', v'_{h1})$. And since we already knwo that $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e$ therefore from Lemma 2.49 we get $(k - h_1, H) \triangleright \theta_e$

This means we have

$\exists \theta'' \sqsupseteq \theta'_e.(k - h_1 - J, H') \triangleright \theta'' \wedge (\theta'', k - h1 - J, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta'_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta'') \backslash dom(\theta'_e).\theta''(a) \searrow \ell)$ (FU-TL1)

In order to prove (FU-TL0) we choose θ' as θ''. Now we need to prove the following

(a) $(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta''$:
Since $(k - h_1 - J, H') \triangleright \theta''$ and $j = h_1 + J + 1$ therefore from Lemma 2.49 we get $(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta''$

(b) $(\theta'', k-j-1, v') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$:
From $cg - tolabeled$ we know that $v' = \mathsf{toLabeled}(v_1)$
From Definition 2.33 it suffices to prove that $(\theta'', k-j-1, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

We get this from (FU-TL1) and Lemma 2.45

(c) $(\forall a. H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. \theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
Directly from (FU-TL1)

(d) $(\forall a \in dom(\theta_n) \backslash dom(\theta_e). \theta_n(a) \searrow \ell)$:
Directly from (FU-TL1)

□

Lemma 2.52 (CG: Subtyping unary)**.** *The following holds:*
$\forall \Sigma, \Psi, \sigma, \tau, \tau'.$

1. $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \wedge \mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \implies \lfloor(\tau\ \sigma)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor(\tau'\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$
2. $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \wedge \mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \implies \lfloor(\tau\ \sigma)\rfloor_E \subseteq \lfloor(\tau'\ \sigma)\rfloor_E$

Proof. Proof of Statement (1)
Proof by induction on $\tau <: \tau'$

1. CGsub-arrow:

Given:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1' <: \tau_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \to \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \to \tau_2'}$$

To prove: $\lfloor((\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor((\tau_1' \to \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

IH1: $\lfloor(\tau_1'\ \sigma)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_1\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$ (Statement (1))
$\lfloor(\tau_2\ \sigma)\rfloor_E \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_2'\ \sigma)\rfloor_E$ (Sub-A0, From Statement (2))
It suffices to prove: $\forall(\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V.\ (\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' \to \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

This means that given some θ, n and $\lambda x.e_i$ s.t $(\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$
Therefore from Definition 2.35 we are given:

$$\exists \theta_1. \theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1 \wedge \forall i < n. \forall v. (\theta_1, i, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_1, i, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E \tag{147}$$

And it suffices to prove: $(\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' \to \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

Again from Definition 2.35, it suffices to prove:
$\exists \theta_2. \theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2 \wedge \forall j < n. \forall v. (\theta_2, j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1'\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_2, j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2'\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means that given some $\theta_2, j < n, v$ s.t $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2$ and $(\theta_2, j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

And we are required to prove: $(\theta_2, j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \ \sigma \rfloor_E$

Since $(\theta_2, j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1' \ \sigma \rfloor_V$ therefore from IH1 we know that $(\theta_2, j, v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \ \sigma \rfloor_V$
As a result from Equation 147 we know that
$(\theta_2, j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \ \sigma \rfloor_E$
From (Sub-A0), we know that
$(\theta_2, j, e_i[v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \ \sigma \rfloor_E$

2. CGsub-prod:
   Given:
   $$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \ \sigma) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2') \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$

   IH1: $\lfloor (\tau_1 \ \sigma) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_1' \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$ (Statement (1))
   IH2: $\lfloor (\tau_2 \ \sigma) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_2' \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$ (Statement (1))
   It suffices to prove: $\forall (\theta, n, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \ \sigma) \rfloor_V .\ (\theta, n, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2') \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$

   This means that given some θ, n and $(v_1, v_2 \ (\theta, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$
   Therefore from Definition 2.35 we are given:

   $$(\theta, n, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge (\theta, n, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \ \sigma \rfloor_V \tag{148}$$

   And it suffices to prove: $(\theta, (v_1, v_2)) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2') \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$

   Again from Definition 2.35, it suffices to prove:
   $(\theta, n, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1' \ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge (\theta, n, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \ \sigma \rfloor_V$

   Since from Equation 148 we know that $(\theta, n, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \ \sigma \rfloor_V$ therefore from IH1 we have $(\theta, n, v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1' \ \sigma \rfloor_V$
   Similarly since $(\theta, n, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \ \sigma \rfloor_V$ from Equation 148 therefore from IH2 we have $(\theta, n, v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \ \sigma \rfloor_V$

3. CGsub-sum:
   Given:
   $$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor ((\tau_1 + \tau_2) \ \sigma) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor ((\tau_1' + \tau_2') \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$

   IH1: $\lfloor (\tau_1 \ \sigma) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_1' \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$ (Statement (1))
   IH2: $\lfloor (\tau_2 \ \sigma) \rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_2' \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$ (Statement (1))
   It suffices to prove: $\forall (\theta, n, v_s) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1 + \tau_2) \ \sigma) \rfloor_V .\ (\theta, v_s) \in \lfloor ((\tau_1' + \tau_2') \ \sigma) \rfloor_V$

This means that given: $(\theta, n, v_s) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$
And it suffices to prove: $(\theta, n, v_s) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' + \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

2 cases arise

(a) $v_s = \mathsf{inl}\ v_i$:
From Definition 2.35 we are given:

$$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_V \tag{149}$$

And we are required to prove that:
$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\ \sigma\rfloor_V$
From Equation 149 and IH1 we know that
$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

(b) $v_s = \mathsf{inr}\ v_i$:
From Definition 2.35 we are given:

$$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_V \tag{150}$$

And we are required to prove that:
$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\ \sigma\rfloor_V$
From Equation 150 and IH2 we know that
$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

4. CGsub-forall:
Given:

$$\frac{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \forall\alpha.\tau_1 <: \forall\alpha.\tau_2}$$

To prove: $\lfloor((\forall\alpha.\tau_1)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor(\forall\alpha.\tau_2)\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

It suffices to prove: $\forall(\theta, n, \Lambda e_i) \in \lfloor((\forall\alpha.\tau_1)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V.\ (\theta, n, \Lambda e_i) \in \lfloor((\forall\alpha.\tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

This means that given: $(\theta, n, \Lambda e_i) \in \lfloor((\forall\alpha.\tau_1)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$
Therefore from Definition 2.35 we are given:

$$\exists\theta_1.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1 \wedge \forall i < n.\forall\ell' \in \mathcal{L} \implies (\theta_1, i, e_i) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell'])\rfloor_E \tag{151}$$

And it suffices to prove: $(\theta, n, \Lambda e_i) \in \lfloor((\forall\alpha.\tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

Again from Definition 2.35, it suffices to prove:
$\exists\theta_2.\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2 \wedge \forall j < n.\forall\ell' \in \mathcal{L} \implies (\theta_2, j, e_i) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell'])\rfloor_E$

This means that given some $\theta_2, j < n, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}$ s.t $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2$
And we are required to prove: $(\theta_2, j, e_i) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell'])\rfloor_E$

Since we are given $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2 \wedge j < n \wedge \ell' \in \mathcal{L}$ therefore from Equation 151 we have
$(\theta_2, j, e_i) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell'])\rfloor_E$

$\lfloor (\tau_1\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell']))\rfloor_E \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_2\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell']))\rfloor_E$ (Sub-F0, Statement (2))

From (Sub-F0), we know that
$(\theta_2, j, e_i) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell'])\rfloor_E$

5. CGsub-constraint:

   Given:
$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c_2 \implies c_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1 <: c_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor ((c_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor ((c_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2))\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

   It suffices to prove: $\forall (\theta, n, \nu e_i) \in \lfloor ((c_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V.\ (\theta, n, \nu e_i) \in \lfloor ((c_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

   This means that given: $(\theta, n, \nu e_i) \in \lfloor ((c_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$
   Therefore from Definition 2.35 we are given:

$$\exists \theta_1 . \theta \sqsubseteq \theta_1 \wedge \forall i < n . \mathcal{L} \models c_1\ \sigma \implies (\theta_1, i, e_i) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ (\sigma)\rfloor_E \tag{152}$$

   And it suffices to prove: $(\theta, n, \nu e_i) \in \lfloor ((c_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

   Again from Definition 2.35, it suffices to prove:
   $\exists \theta_2 . \theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2 \wedge \forall j < n . \mathcal{L} \models c_2\ \sigma \implies (\theta_2, j, e_i) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ (\sigma)\rfloor_E$

   This means that given some θ_2, j s.t $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2 \wedge j < n \wedge \mathcal{L} \models c_2\ \sigma$
   And we are required to prove: $(\theta_2, j, e_i) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ (\sigma)\rfloor_E$

   Since we are given $\theta \sqsubseteq \theta_2 \wedge j < n \wedge \mathcal{L} \models c_2\ \sigma$ and $\mathcal{L} \models c_2\ \sigma \implies c_1\ \sigma$ therefore from Equation 152 we have
   $(\theta_2, j, e_i) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ (\sigma)\rfloor_E$

   $\lfloor (\tau_1\ \sigma)\rfloor_E \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_2\ \sigma)\rfloor_E$ (Sub-C0, Statement (2))

   From (Sub-C0), we know that
   $(\theta_2, j, e_i) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ (\sigma)\rfloor_E$

6. CGsub-label:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau <: \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor ((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor ((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ '\tau')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

   IH: $\lfloor (\tau\ \sigma)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor (\tau'\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$ (Statement (1))

It suffices to prove:

$\forall(\theta, n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_i)) \in \lfloor((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V.\ (\theta, n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_i)) \in \lfloor((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

This means that given some θ, n and $\mathsf{Lb}(e_i)$ s.t $(\theta, n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_i)) \in \lfloor((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

Therefore from Definition 2.35 we are given:

$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor(\tau\ \sigma)\rfloor_V \quad$ (SL)

And we are required to prove that

$(\theta, n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_i)) \in \lfloor((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 it suffices to prove

$(\theta, n, v_i) \in \lfloor(\tau'\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

We get this directly from (SL) and IH

7. CGsub-CG:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell'_i \sqsubseteq \ell_i \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell_o \sqsubseteq \ell'_o}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau <: \mathbb{C}\ \ell'_i\ \ell'_o\ \tau'}$$

To prove: $\lfloor((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor((\mathbb{C}\ \ell'_i\ \ell'_o\ \tau')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

IH: $\lfloor(\tau\ \sigma)\rfloor_V \subseteq \lfloor(\tau'\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$ (Statement (1))

It suffices to prove:

$\forall(\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V.\ (\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor((\mathbb{C}\ \ell'_i\ \ell'_o\ \tau')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

This means that given some θ, n and e s.t $(\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

Therefore from Definition 2.35 we are given:

$\forall k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, e) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v') \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i\ \sigma) \quad$ (SC0)

And we are required to prove

$(\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor((\mathbb{C}\ \ell'_i\ \ell'_o\ \tau')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V$

So again from Definition 2.35 we need to prove

$\forall k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, e) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v') \in \lfloor\tau'\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell'_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell'_i\ \sigma)$

This means we are given some $k \leq n, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j < k$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, e) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v')$ (SC1)

And we need to prove

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v') \in \lfloor\tau'\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell'_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell'_i\ \sigma)$

We instantiate (SC0) with k, θ_e, H, j from (SC1) and we get

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i\ \sigma)$

Since $\tau\ \sigma <: \tau'\ \sigma$ therefore from IH we get

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

And since $\ell_i' \sqsubseteq \ell_i$ therefore we also have

$(\forall a. H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_i'\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i'\ \sigma)$

8. CGsub-base:

   Trivial

Proof of Statement(2)
It suffice to prove that
$\forall (\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor (\tau\ \sigma) \rfloor_E.\ (\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor (\tau'\ \sigma) \rfloor_E$

This means that we are given $(\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor (\tau\ \sigma) \rfloor_E$
From Definition 2.36 it means we have
$\forall i < n.e \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (Sub-E0)
And we need to prove
$(\theta, n, e) \in \lfloor (\tau'\ \sigma) \rfloor_E$

From Definition 2.36 we need to prove
$\forall i < n.e \Downarrow_i v \implies (\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

This further means that given some $i < n$ s.t $e \Downarrow_i v$, it suffices to prove that
$(\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Instantiating (Sub-E0) with the given i we get $(\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Finally from Statement(1) we get $(\theta, n-i, v) \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

□

Lemma 2.53 (CG: Binary interpretation of Γ implies Unary interpretation of Γ)**.** $\forall W, \gamma, \Gamma, n.$
$(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies \forall i \in \{1,2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, \gamma \downarrow_i) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Proof. Given: $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
To prove: $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, \gamma \downarrow_i) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.43 we know that we are given:
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W, n, \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
And we are required to prove:
$\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\ \forall m.$
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma \downarrow_i) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W.\theta_i, m, \gamma \downarrow_i (x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$

Case $i = 1$
Given some m we need to show:

- $dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\gamma \downarrow_i)$:
  $dom(\gamma) = dom(\gamma \downarrow_i)$
  Therefore, $dom(\Gamma) \subseteq (dom(\gamma) = dom(\gamma \downarrow_i))$ (Given)
- $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W.\theta_i, m, \gamma \downarrow_i (x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$:
  We are given: $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).(W, n, \pi_1(\gamma(x)), \pi_2(\gamma(x))) \in \lceil \Gamma(x) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  Therefore from Lemma 2.44 we know that
  $\forall m'.(W.\theta_i, m', \gamma \downarrow_i (x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$
  Instantiating m' with m we get
  $(W.\theta_i, m, \gamma \downarrow_i (x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V$

Case $i = 2$
Symmetric reasoning as in the $i = 1$ case above

□

Theorem 2.54 (CG: Fundamental theorem binary)**.** $\forall \Sigma, \Psi, \Gamma, pc, W, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L}, e, \tau, \sigma, \gamma, n.$
$\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \wedge \mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge (W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies$
$(W, n, e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

Proof. Proof by induction on the typing derivation

1. CG-var:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau}\ \text{CG-var}$$

   To prove: $(W, n, x\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), x\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$
   Say $e_1 = x\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = x\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$

   From Definition 2.34 it suffices to prove that
   $\forall i < n.e_1 \Downarrow_i v_1' \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_2' \implies (W, n - i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   This means given some $i < n$ s.t $e_1 \Downarrow_i v_1' \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_2'$
   We are required to prove: $(W, n - i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   From $cg - val$ we know that $x\ (\gamma \downarrow_1) \Downarrow x\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $x\ (\gamma \downarrow_2) \Downarrow x\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$
   This means $v_1' = x\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $v_2' = x\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$
   Since $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$. Therefore from Definition 2.43 we know that
   $(W, n, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   From Lemma 2.46 we get
   $(W, n - i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

2. CG-lam:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e_i : \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \lambda x.e_i : (\tau_1 \to \tau_2)}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

Say $e_1 = \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_1)$ and $e_2 = \lambda x.e\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)$

From Definition of $\lceil (\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$ it suffices to prove that

$\forall i < n. e_1 \Downarrow_i v_1' \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_2' \implies (W, n - i, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means given some $i < n$ s.t $e_1 \Downarrow_i v_1' \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_2'$

From $cg - val$ we know that $v_1' = (\lambda x.e_i)\gamma \downarrow_1$ and $v_2' = (\lambda x.e_i)\gamma \downarrow_2$

We are required to prove:

$(W, n - i, (\lambda x.e_i)\gamma \downarrow_1, (\lambda x.e_i)\gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

From Definition 2.33 it suffices to prove

$\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2.$
$((W', j, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies (W', j, e_1[v_1/x]\ \gamma \downarrow_1, e_2[v_2/x]\ \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E) \wedge$
$\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, v_c, j.$
$((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_1[v_c/x]\ \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E) \wedge$
$\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, v_c, j.$
$((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_2[v_c/x]\ \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E)$ (FB-L0)

IH:

$\forall W, n.\ (W, n, e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup \{x \mapsto v_1\}), e_i\ (\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup \{x \mapsto v_2\})) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

s.t

$(W, n, (\gamma \cup \{x \mapsto (v_1, v_2)\})) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

In order to prove (FB-L0) we need to prove the following:

(a) $\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2.$
$((W', j, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies (W', j, e_1[v_1/x]\ \gamma \downarrow_1, e_2[v_2/x]\ \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$:

This means given some $W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2$ s.t. $(W', j, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
We need to prove $(W', j, e_1[v_1/x]\ \gamma \downarrow_1, e_2[v_2/x]\ \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

We get this by instantiating IH with W' and j

(b) $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, v_c, j.$
$((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_1[v_c/x]\ \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E)$:
This means given some $\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, v_c, j$ s.t $(\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
We need to prove: $(\theta_l, j, e_1[v_c/x]\ \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

It is given to us that
$(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Therefore from Lemma 2.53 we know that

$\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$
Intantiating m with j we get
$(W.\theta_1, j, \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$

From Lemma 2.48 we know that
$(\theta_l, j, \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$

Since we know that $(\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_V$
Therefore we also have
$(\theta_l, j, \gamma\downarrow_1 \cup\{x \mapsto v_c\}) \in \lfloor\Gamma \cup \{x \mapsto \tau_1\ \sigma\}\rfloor_V$

Therefore, we can apply Theorem 2.51 to obtain
$(\theta_l, j, e[v_c/x]\ \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

(c) $\forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, v_c, j.$
$((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_2[v_c/x]\ \gamma\downarrow_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_E)$:
Similar reasoning as in the previous case

3. CG-app:

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e_1 : (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \qquad \Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_1}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e_1\ e_2 : \tau_2}$$

To prove: $(W, n, (e_1\ e_2)\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), (e_1\ e_2)\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil(\tau_2)\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 2.34 we need to prove:
$\forall i < n.(e_1\ e_2)\ \gamma \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_{f2} \implies (W, n-i, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil\tau_2\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This further means that given some $i < n$ s.t $(e_1\ e_2)\ \gamma \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_{f2}$
It sufficies to prove:
$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil\tau_2\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

IH1: $(W, n, (e_1)\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), (e_1)\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil(\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$
This means from Definition 2.34 we know that
$\forall j < n.e_1\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_j v_{h1} \wedge e_1\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow v_{h2} \implies (W, n-j, v_{h1}, v_{h2}) \in \lceil(\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $(e_1\ e_2)\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_i v_{f1}$. Therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_1\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_j v_{h1}$. Similarly since $(e_1\ e_2)\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow v_{f2}$ therefore $e_1\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow v_{h2}$
This means we have $(W, n-j, v_{h1}, v_{h2}) \in \lceil(\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
From $cg-app$ we know that $val_{h1} = \lambda x.e_{h1}$ and $val_{h2} = \lambda x.e_{h2}$
From Definition 2.33 this further means
$\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, J < (n-j), v_1, v_2.$
$((W', J, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\tau_1\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies (W', J, e_{h1}[v_1/x], e_{h2}[v_2/x]) \in \lceil\tau_2\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E) \wedge$
$\forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, v_c, j.$
$((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_1[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_E) \wedge$
$\forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, v_c, j.$
$((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_2[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_E)$ (FB-A1)

IH2: $(W, n-j, (e_2)\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), (e_2)\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 2.34 we know that

$\forall k < n-j. e_2\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_j\ v_{h1'} \wedge e_2\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow\ v_{h2'} \implies (W, n-j-k, v_{h1'}, v_{h2'}) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $(e_1\ e_2)\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_i\ v_{f1}$. Therefore $\exists k < i-j < n-j$ s.t $e_2\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_k\ v_{h1'}$. Similarly since $(e_1\ e_2)\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow\ v_{f2}$ therefore $e_2\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow\ v_{h2'}$

This means we have $(W, n-j-k, v_{h1'}, v_{h2'}) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-A2)

Instantiating the first conjunct of (FB-A1) as follows W' with W, J with $n-j-k$, v_1 and v_2 with v'_{h1} and v'_{h2} respectively, we obtain

$(W, n-j-k, e_{h1}[v'_{h1}/x], e_{h2}[v'_{h2}/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

From Definition 2.34

$\forall l < n-j-k.(e_{h1}[v'_{h1}/x])\ \gamma \Downarrow_l\ v_{f1} \wedge\ e_{h2}[v'_{h2}/x] \Downarrow\ v_{f2} \implies (W, n-j-k-l, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $(e_1\ e_2)\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_i\ v_{f1}$. Therefore $\exists l < i-j-k < n-j-k$ s.t $e_{h1}[v'_{h1}/x] \Downarrow_l\ v_{f1}$. Similarly since $(e_1\ e_2)\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow\ v_{f2}$ therefore $e_{h2}[v'_{h2}/x] \Downarrow\ v_{f2}$

Therefore we have $(W, n-j-k-l, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since $i = j+k+l$ threfore we are done

4. CG-prod:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)}$$

To prove: $(W, n, (e_1, e_2)\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), (e_1, e_2)\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 2.34 we need to prove:

$\forall i < n.(e_1, e_2)\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_i\ (v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \wedge (e_1, e_2)\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow\ (v'_{f1}, v'_{f2}) \implies$
$(W, n-i, (v_{f1}, v_{f1}), (v'_{f1}, v'_{f2})) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $(e_1, e_2)\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_i\ (v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \wedge (e_1, e_2)\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow\ (v'_{f1}, v'_{f2})$

We are required to prove

$(W, n-i, (v_{f1}, v_{f1}), (v'_{f1}, v'_{f2})) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-P0)

IH1: $(W, n, e_1\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), e_1\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 2.34 we know that

$\forall j < n. e_1\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_i\ v_{f1} \wedge e_1\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow\ v'_{f1} \implies (W, n-j, (v_{f1}, v'_{f1})) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $(e_1, e_2)\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_i\ (v_{f1}, v_{f2})$. Therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_1\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_j\ v_{f1}$. Similarly since $(e_1\ e_2)\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow\ v_{f2}$ therefore $e_1\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow\ v'_{f1}$

This means we have

$(W, n-j, (v_{f1}, v'_{f1})) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-P1)

IH2: $(W, n-j, e_2\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), e_2\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 2.34 we know that

$\forall k < n - j.e_2\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f2} \wedge e_2\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f2} \implies (W, n-j-k, (v_{f2}, v'_{f2})) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $(e_1, e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i (v_{f1}, v_{f2})$. Therefore $\exists k < i - j < n - j$ s.t $e_2\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_j v_{f2}$. Similarly since $(e_1\ e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f2}$ therefore $e_2\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f2}$

This means we have

$(W, n-j-k, (v_{f2}, v'_{f2})) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-P2)

In order to prove (FB-P0) from Definition 2.33 it suffices to prove that

$(W, n-i, (v_{f1}, v'_{f1})) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ and $(W, n-i, (v_{f2}, v'_{f2})) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since $i = j + k + 1$ therefore from (FB-P1) and (FB-P2) and from Lemma 2.46 we get

$(W, n-i, (v_{f1}, v_{f1}), (v'_{f1}, v'_{f2})) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

5. CG-fst:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{fst}(e') : \tau_1}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \mathsf{fst}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \mathsf{fst}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 2.34 we need to prove:

$\forall i < n.\mathsf{fst}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{fst}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1} \implies$
$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{fst}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{fst}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1}$

We are required to prove

$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v_{f1}) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-F0)

IH:

$(W, n, e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 2.34 we have:

$\forall j < n.e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i (v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \wedge e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow (v'_{f1}, v'_{f2}) \implies$
$(W, n-j, (v_{f1}, v_{f2}), (v'_{f1}, v'_{f2})) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $\mathsf{fst}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1}$. Therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_j (v_{f1}, -)$. Similarly since $\mathsf{fst}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1}$ therefore $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow (v'_{f1}, -)$

This means we have

$(W, n-j, (v_{f1}, v_{f2}), (v'_{f1}, v'_{f2})) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

From Definition 2.33 we know that

$(W, n-j, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since from $cg - fst\ i = j + 1$ therefore from Lemma 2.46 we get

$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

6. CG-snd:

Symmetric reasoning as in the CG-fst case above

7. CG-inl:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : \tau_1}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{inl}(e') : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \mathsf{inl}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \mathsf{inl}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 2.34 we need to prove:

$\forall i < n . \mathsf{inl}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i \mathsf{inl}(v_{f1}) \wedge \mathsf{inl}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow \mathsf{inl}(v'_{f1}) \implies$
$(W, n - i, \mathsf{inl}(v_{f1}), \mathsf{inl}(v'_{f1})) \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{inl}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i \mathsf{inl}(v_{f1}) \wedge \mathsf{fst}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow \mathsf{inl}(v'_{f1})$

We are required to prove

$(W, n - i, \mathsf{inl}(v_{f1}), \mathsf{inl}(v_{f1})) \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-IL0)

IH:

$(W, n, e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 2.34 we have:

$\forall j < n . e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1} \implies$
$(W, n - j, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $\mathsf{inl}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i \mathsf{inl}(v_{f1})$. Therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_j v_{f1}$. Similarly since $\mathsf{fst}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow \mathsf{inl}(v'_{f1})$ therefore $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1}$

This means we have

$(W, n - j, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-IL1)

In order to prove (FB-IL0) from Definition 2.33 it suffices to prove

$(W, n - i, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

From $cg - inl$ since $i = j + 1$ therefore from (FB-IL1) and Lemma 2.46 we get (FB-IL0)

8. CG-inr:

Symmetric reasoning as in the CG-inl case above

9. CG-case:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_c : (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e_1 : \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, y : \tau_2 \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{case}(e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2) : \tau}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \mathsf{case}(e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \mathsf{inl}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 2.34 we need to prove:

$\forall i < n . \mathsf{case}(e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{case}(e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f2} \implies$
$(W, n - i, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{case}(e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{case}(e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f2}$

We are required to prove

$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$ (FB-C0)

IH1:

$(W, n, e_c\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e_c\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

This means from Definition 2.34 we have:

$\forall j < n . e_c\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{h1} \wedge e_c\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{h1} \implies$
$(W, n-j, v_{h1}, v'_{h1}) \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

Since we know that $\mathsf{case}(e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1}$. Therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_c\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_j v_{h1}$. Similarly since $\mathsf{case}(e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{h1}$ therefore $e_c\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{h1}$

This means we have

$(W, n-j, v_{h1}, v'_{h1}) \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$ (FB-C1)

2 cases arise

(a) $v_{h1} = \mathsf{inl}(v_1)$ and $v'_{h1} = \mathsf{inl}(v'_1)$:
IH2:
$(W, n, e_c\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e_c\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

This means from Definition 2.34 we have:
$\forall k < n - j . e_1\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \cup \{x \mapsto v_1\} \Downarrow_i v_{h2} \wedge e_1\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \cup \{x \mapsto v'_1\} \Downarrow v'_{h2} \implies$
$(W, n-j-k, v_{h2}, v'_{h2}) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$
Since we know that $\mathsf{case}(e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1}$. Therefore $\exists k < i - j < n - j$ s.t $e_1\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \cup \{x \mapsto v_1\} \Downarrow_j v_{h2}$. Similarly since $\mathsf{case}(e_c, x.e_1, y.e_2)\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \cup \{x \mapsto v'_1\} \Downarrow v'_{h2}$ therefore $e_1\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{h2}$
This means we have
$(W, n-j-k, v_{h2}, v'_{h2}) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

From $cg - case1$ we know that $i = j + k + 1$ therefore from Lemma 2.46 we get (FB-C0)

(b) $v_{h1} = \mathsf{inr}(v_1)$ and $v'_{h1} = \mathsf{inr}(v'_1)$:
Symmetric case

10. CG-FI:

$$\frac{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : \tau}{\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash \Lambda e' : \forall \alpha . \tau}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \Lambda e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \Lambda e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\forall \alpha . \tau)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

From Definition 2.34 it suffices to prove that

$\forall i < n . (\Lambda e')\gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge (\Lambda e')\gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f2} \implies (W, n-i, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil (\forall \alpha . \tau)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

This means given some $i < n$ s.t $(\Lambda e')\gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge (\Lambda e')\gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f2}$

From $cg - val$ we know that $v_{f1} = (\Lambda e')\gamma \downarrow_1$ and $v_{f2} = (\Lambda e')\gamma \downarrow_2$

We are required to prove:

$(W, n-i, (\Lambda e')\gamma\downarrow_1, (\Lambda e')\gamma\downarrow_2) \in \lceil(\forall\alpha.\tau)\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Let $e_1 = (\Lambda e')\gamma\downarrow_1$ and $e_2 = (\Lambda e')\gamma\downarrow_2$

From Definition 2.33 it suffices to prove

$\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < (n-i), \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.((W', j, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau[\ell'/\alpha]\ \sigma\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge$
$\forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}, j.(\theta_l, j, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor_E \wedge$
$\forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}, j.(\theta_l, j, e_2) \in \lfloor\tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor_E$ (FB-FI0)

IH: $\forall W, n.\ (W, n, e'\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), e'\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil\tau\ \sigma \cup \{\alpha \mapsto \ell'\}\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

In order to prove (FB-FI0) we need to prove the following

(a) $\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < (n-i), \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.((W', j, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau[\ell'/\alpha]\ \sigma\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}})$:
This means given $W' \sqsupseteq W, j < (n-i), \ell' \in \mathcal{L}$ and we are required to prove
$(W', j, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau[\ell'/\alpha]\ \sigma\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$
Instantiating IH with W' and j we get the desired

(b) $\forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}, j.(\theta_l, j, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor_E$:
This means given $\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}, j$ and we are required to prove
$(\theta_l, j, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor_E$
Since from Lemma 2.53
$(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil\Gamma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies \forall i \in \{1,2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, \gamma\downarrow_i) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$
Therefore we get
$(W.\theta_1, j, \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$
And from Lemma 2.46 we also get
$(\theta_l, j, \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$
Therefore we can apply Theorem 2.51 to get
$(\theta_l, j, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor_E$

(c) $\forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}, j.(\theta_l, j, e_2) \in \lfloor\tau[\ell''/\alpha]\rfloor_E$:
Symmetric reasoning as before

11. CG-FE:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : \forall\alpha.\tau \qquad \mathrm{FV}(\ell) \in \Sigma}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e'\ [] : \tau[\ell/\alpha]}$$

To prove: $(W, n, e'[]\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), e'[]\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil(\forall\alpha.\tau)\ \sigma\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

From Definition 2.34 it suffices to prove that

$\forall i < n.(e'[])\gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge (e'[])\gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow v_{f2} \implies (W, n-i, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil(\tau[\ell/\alpha])\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means given some $i < n$ s.t $(e'[])\gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge (e'[])\gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow v_{f2}$

We are required to prove:

$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil(\tau[\ell/\alpha])\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (FB-FE0)

IH: $(W, n, e'\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), e'\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil(\forall\alpha.\tau)\ \sigma\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

From Definition 2.34 it suffices to prove that

$\forall i < n.(e')\gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{h1} \wedge (e')\gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{h2} \implies (W, n-i, v_{h1}, v_{h2}) \in \lceil(\forall\alpha.\tau)\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Since we know that $(e'[])\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1}$. Therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_j v_{h1}$. Similarly since $(e'[])\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f2}$ therefore $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{h2}$

This means we have $(W, n-j, v_{h1}, v_{h2}) \in \lceil(\forall\alpha.\tau)\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

From $cg-FE$ we know that $v_{h1} = \Lambda e_{h1}$ and $v_{h2} = \Lambda e_{h2}$

From Definition 2.33 this further means

$\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, k < (n-j), \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.((W', k, e_{h1}, e_{h2}) \in \lceil\tau[\ell'/\alpha]\ \sigma\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge$
$\forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}, k.(\theta_l, k, e_{h1}) \in \lfloor\tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor_E \wedge$
$\forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}, k.(\theta_l, k, e_{h2}) \in \lfloor\tau[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor_E$ (FB-FE1)

Instantiating the first conjunct of (FB-FE1) with W, $n-j-1$ and ℓ we get
$(W, n-j-1, e_{h1}, e_{h2}) \in \lceil\tau[\ell/\alpha]\ \sigma\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 2.34 we know that
$\forall l < n-j-1.(e_{h1}) \Downarrow_l v_{f1} \wedge e_{h2} \Downarrow v_{f2} \implies (W, n-j-1-l, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil(\tau[\ell/\alpha])\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Since we know that $(e'[])\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1}$ therefore from cg-FE we know that $(i = j + l + 1)$ and since we know that $i < n$ therefore we have $l < n-j-1$ s.t $e_{h1}\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_j v_{f1}$. Similarly since $(e'[])\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f2}$ therefore $e_{h2}\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f2}$

Therefore we get
$(W, n-j-1-l, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil(\tau[\ell/\alpha])\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (FB-FE2)

Since we know that $i = j + l + 1$ therefore from (FB-FE2) we get (FB-FE0)

12. CG-CI:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi, c; \Gamma \vdash e' : \tau}{\Sigma; \Gamma \vdash \nu\ e' : c \Rightarrow \tau}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \nu e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \nu e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil(c \Rightarrow \tau)\ \sigma\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

From Definition 2.34 it suffices to prove that

$\forall i < n.(\nu e')\gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge (\nu e')\gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f2} \implies (W, n-i, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil(c \Rightarrow \tau)\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means given some $i < n$ s.t $(\nu e')\gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge (\nu e')\gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f2}$

From $cg-val$ we know that $v_{f1} = (\nu e')\gamma \downarrow_1$ and $v_{f2} = (\nu e')\gamma \downarrow_2$

We are required to prove:

$(W, n-i, (\nu e')\gamma \downarrow_1, (\nu e')\gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lceil(c \Rightarrow \tau)\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Let $e_1 = (\nu e')\gamma \downarrow_1$ and $e_2 = (\nu e')\gamma \downarrow_2$

From Definition 2.33 it suffices to prove

$\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (W', j, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau\ \sigma\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge$
$\forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l, j, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma\rfloor_E \wedge$
$\forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l, j, e_2) \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma\rfloor_E$ (FB-CI0)

IH: $\forall W, n.\ (W, n, e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil\tau\ \sigma\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

In order to prove (FB-CI0) we need to prove the following

(a) $\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n.\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma \implies (W', j, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$:
This means given $W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, \mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma$ and we are required to prove
$(W', j, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$
Instantiating IH with W' and j we get the desired

(b) $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j.\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma \implies (\theta_l, j, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E$:
This means given $\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j.\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma$ and we are required to prove
$(\theta_l, j, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E$
Since from Lemma 2.53 $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \implies \forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, \gamma \downarrow_i) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$
Therefore we get
$(W.\theta_1, j, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$
And from Lemma 2.46 we also get
$(\theta_l, j, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$
Therefore we can apply Theorem 2.51 to get
$(\theta_l, j, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

(c) $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j.\mathcal{L} \models c \implies (\theta_l, j, e_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E$:
Symmetric reasoning as before

13. CG-CE:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : c \Rightarrow \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash c}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e'\ \bullet : \tau}$$

To prove: $(W, n, e'\ \bullet\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e'\ \bullet\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

From Definition 2.34 it suffices to prove that

$\forall i < n.(e'\bullet)\gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge (e'\bullet)\gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f2} \implies (W, n - i, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means given some $i < n$ s.t $(e'\bullet)\gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge (e'\bullet)\gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f2}$

We are required to prove:

$(W, n - i, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ (FB-CE0)

IH: $(W, n, e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (c \Rightarrow \tau)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

From Definition 2.34 it suffices to prove that

$\forall i < n.e'\gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{h1} \wedge e'\gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{h2} \implies (W, n - i, v_{h1}, v_{h2}) \in \lceil (c \Rightarrow \tau)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $(e'\bullet)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1}$. Therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_j v_{h1}$. Similarly since $(e'\bullet)\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f2}$ therefore $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{h2}$

This means we have $(W, n - j, v_{h1}, v_{h2}) \in \lceil (c \Rightarrow \tau)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

From $cg - CE$ we know that $v_{h1} = \nu e_{h1}$ and $v_{h2} = \nu e_{h2}$

From Definition 2.33 this further means

$\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, k < n - j.\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma \implies (W', k, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E \wedge$
$\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k.\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma \implies (\theta_l, k, e_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E \wedge$
$\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k.\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma \implies (\theta_l, k, e_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E$ (FB-CE1)

Instantiating the first conjunct of (FB-CE1) with W, $n-j-1$ and since we know that $\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma$ therefore we get

$(W, n-j-1, e_{h1}, e_{h2}) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

This means from Definition 2.34 we know that

$\forall l < n-j-1.(e_{h1}) \Downarrow_l v_{f1} \wedge e_{h2} \Downarrow v_{f2} \implies (W, n-j-1-l, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

Since we know that $(e'\bullet)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1}$ therefore from cg-CE we know that $(i = j+l+1)$ and since we know that $i < n$ therefore we have $l < n-j-1$ s.t $e_{h1}\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_l v_{f1}$. Similarly since $(e'\bullet)\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f2}$ therefore $e_{h2}\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f2}$

Therefore we get

$(W, n-j-1-l, v_{f1}, v_{f2}) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$ (FB-CE2)

Since we know that $i = j+l+1$ therefore from (FB-CE2) we get (FB-CE0)

14. CG-label:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{Lb}(e') : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \mathsf{Lb}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \mathsf{Lb}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

This means from Definition 2.34 we need to prove:

$\forall i < n.\mathsf{Lb}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i \mathsf{Lb}(v_{f1}) \wedge \mathsf{Lb}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow \mathsf{Lb}(v'_{f1}) \implies$
$(W, n-i, \mathsf{Lb}(v_{f1}), \mathsf{Lb}(v'_{f1})) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{Lb}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i \mathsf{Lb}(v_{f1}) \wedge \mathsf{Lb}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow \mathsf{Lb}(v'_{f1})$

We are required to prove

$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$ (FB-LB0)

IH:

$(W, n, e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

This means from Definition 2.34 we have:

$\forall j < n.e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1} \implies (W, n-j, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

Since we know that $\mathsf{Lb}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i \mathsf{Lb}(v_{f1})$. Therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_j v_{f1}$. Similarly since $\mathsf{Lb}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow \mathsf{Lb}(v'_{f1})$ therefore $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1}$

This means we have

$(W, n-j, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$ (FB-LB1)

In order to prove (FB-LB0) from Definition 2.33 it suffices to prove that

$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

From $cg-label$ we know that $i = j+1$. Therefore we get the desired from (FB-LB1) and Lemma 2.46

15. CG-unlabel:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}(e') : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ (\ell_i \sqcup \ell)\ \tau}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ (\ell_i \sqcup \ell)\ \tau)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

This means from Definition 2.34 we need to prove:
$\forall i < n. \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1} \implies$
$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ (\ell_i \sqcup \ell)\ \tau)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1}$

From $cg - val$ we know that $v_{f1} = \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1$ and $v'_{f1} = \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2$. Also $i = 0$

We are required to prove
$(W, n, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lceil (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ (\ell_i \sqcup \ell)\ \tau)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$
This means from Definition 2.33 we need to prove
Let $e_1 = \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1$ and $e_2 = \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2$
$\Big(\forall k \leq n,\ W_e \sqsupseteq W. \forall H_1, H_2. (k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v'_1, v'_2.$
$(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e. (k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, (\ell_i \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma, v'_1, v'_2, \tau\ \sigma)\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1,2\}. \Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j. (k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, e_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v'_l) \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e. (k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v'_l) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. \theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \ell_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e). \theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i\ \sigma)\Big)$

We need to show

(a) $\forall k \leq n,\ W_e \sqsupseteq W. \forall H_1, H_2. (k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v'_1, v'_2.$
$(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e. (k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, (\ell_i \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma, v'_1, v'_2, \tau\ \sigma)$:

Also given is some $k \leq n$, $W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2, v'_1, v'_2, j$ s.t $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ and $(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2) \wedge j < k$

And we are required to prove
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e. (k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, (\ell_i \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma, v'_1, v'_2, \tau\ \sigma)$ (FB-U0)

IH: $(W_e, k, e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{E}$

This means from Definition 2.34 we are given
$\forall I < k. e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_I \mathsf{Lb}(v_{h1}) \wedge e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow \mathsf{Lb}(v'_{h1}) \implies$
$(W_e, k-I, \mathsf{Lb}(v_{h1}), \mathsf{Lb}(v'_{h1})) \in \lceil (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_{V}$

Since we know that
$(H_1, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, \mathsf{unlabel}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2) \wedge j < k$ therefore
$\exists I < j < k$ s.t $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_I \mathsf{Lb}(v_{h1}) \wedge e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow \mathsf{Lb}(v'_{h1})$

Therefore we have
$(W_e, k-I, \mathsf{Lb}(v_{h1}), \mathsf{Lb}(v'_{h1})) \in \lceil(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means from Definition 2.33 we have
$\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W_e, k-I, \ell\ \sigma, v_{h1}, v'_{h1}, \tau\ \sigma)$ (FB-U1)

In order to prove (FB-U0) we choose W' as W_e and from $cg-unlabel$ we know that $H'_1 = H_1$ and $H'_2 = H_2$. And we already know that $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$. Therefore from Lemma 2.50 we get $(k-j, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$

From $cg-unlabel$ we know that v'_1, v'_2 in (FB-U0) is v_{h1}, v'_{h1} respectively. And since from (FB-U1) we know that $\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W_e, k-I, \ell\ \sigma, v_{h1}, v'_{h1}, \tau\ \sigma)$. Therefore from Lemma 2.55 we get
$\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W_e, k-j, (\ell_i \sqcup \ell)\ \sigma, v_{h1}, v'_{h1}, \tau\ \sigma)$

(b) $\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k,H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, e_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v'_l) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v'_l) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \ell_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta') \backslash \mathit{dom}(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i\ \sigma)\Big)$:

Case $l = 1$
Given some $k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j$ s.t $(k,H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, e_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v'_l) \wedge j < k$

We need to prove
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v'_l) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \ell_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta') \backslash \mathit{dom}(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i\ \sigma)$

Since $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil\Gamma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ therefore from Lemma 2.53 we know that
$\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, m, \gamma\downarrow_2) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$

Instantiating m with k we get $(W.\theta_1, k, \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$

Now we can apply Theorem 2.51 to get
$(W.\theta_1, k, (\mathsf{unlabel}\ e')\gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i \sqcup \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_E$

This means from Definition 2.36 we get
$\forall c < k.(\mathsf{unlabel}\ e')\gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_c v \implies (W.\theta_1, k-c, v) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i \sqcup \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

This further means that given some $c < k$ s.t $(\mathsf{unlabel}\ e')\gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_c v$. From $cg-val$ we know that $c = 0$ and $v = (\mathsf{unlabel}\ e')\gamma\downarrow_1$

And we have $(W.\theta_1, k, (\mathsf{unlabel}\ e')\gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i \sqcup \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 we have
$\forall K \leq k, \theta'_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, H_1, J.(K, H_1) \triangleright \theta'_e \wedge (H_1, (\mathsf{unlabel}\ e')\gamma\downarrow_1) \Downarrow^f_J (H', v') \wedge J < K \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta'_e.(K-J, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', K-J, v') \in \lfloor\tau\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta'_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta') \backslash \mathit{dom}(\theta'_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)$

Instantiating K with k, θ'_e with θ_e, H_1 with H and J with j we get the desired

Case $l = 2$
Symmetric reasoning as in the $l = 1$ case above

16. CG-tolabeled:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{toLabeled}(e') : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 2.34 we need to prove:
$\forall i < n.\mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i\ v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow\ v'_{f1} \implies$
$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i\ v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow\ v'_{f1}$
From $cg - val$ we know that $v_{f1} = \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1$, $v_{f2} = \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2$ and $i = 0$
We are required to prove
$(W, n, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Let $v_1 = \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2$
This means from Definition 2.33 we are required to prove
$\Big(\forall k \leq n,\ W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v'_1, v'_2.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j\ (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f\ (H'_2, v'_2) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_i, v'_1, v'_2, (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma)\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j\ (H', v'_l) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v'_l) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_i \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i)\Big)$

We need to prove:

(a) $\forall k \leq n,\ W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v'_1, v'_2, j.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j\ (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f\ (H'_2, v'_2) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_2, v'_1, v'_2, (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma)$:

This means that we are given some $k \leq n$, $W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2, v'_1, v'_2, j < k$ s.t
$(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ and $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j\ (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f\ (H'_2, v'_2)$

And we need to prove
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_o, v'_1, v'_2, (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma)$ (FB-TL0)

IH:
$(W_e, k, e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 2.34 we need to prove:
$\forall J < k.e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_J\ v_{h1} \wedge e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow\ v'_{h1} \implies (W_e, n-J, v_{h1}, v'_{h1}) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $(H_1, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\gamma \downarrow_1) \Downarrow_j\ (H'_1, v'_1)$ and $(H_2, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\gamma \downarrow_1) \Downarrow_j\ (H'_2, v'_2)$. Therefore from $cg - val$ we know that $\exists J < j < k \leq n$ s.t $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_J\ v_{h1}$ and similarly we also know that $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow\ v'_{h1}$

This means we have
$(W_e, k-J, v_{h1}, v'_{h1}) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

From Definition 2.33 we know that
$\Big(\forall k_1 \leq (k-J),\, W''_e \sqsupseteq W_e.\forall H''_1, H''_2.(k_1, H''_1, H''_2) \triangleright W''_e \wedge \forall v''_1, v''_2, m.$
$(H''_1, v_{h1}) \Downarrow^f_m (H'_1, v''_1) \wedge (H''_2, v'_{h1}) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v''_2) \wedge m < k_1 \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W''_e.(k_1 - m, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k_1 - m, \ell_o, v''_1, v''_2, \tau\ \sigma)\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v'_l) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v'_l) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_i \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i)\Big) \qquad$ (FB-TL1)

We instantiate W''_e with W_e, H''_1 with H_1, H''_2 with H_2 and k_1 with k in (FB-TL1). Since we know that $(H_1, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\gamma \downarrow_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e')\gamma \downarrow_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2)$, therefore $\exists m < j < k \leq n$ s.t $(H_1, v_{h1}) \Downarrow^f_m (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v'_{h1}) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2)$
This means we have
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-m, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-m, \ell_o, v''_1, v''_2, \tau\ \sigma)$
(FB-TL2)

In order to prove (FB-TL0) we choose W' as W' from (FB-TL2). Since from $cg - tolabeled$ we know that $v'_1 = \mathsf{Lb}_{\ell_o}(v''_1)$, $v'_2 = \mathsf{Lb}_{\ell_o}(v''_2)$ and $j = m+1$, therefore from Lemma 2.50 we get $(k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W'$.
Since we have by assumption that $\ell_i \sqsubseteq \ell_o$ therefore the following cases arise

i. $\ell_i \sqsubseteq \ell_o \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
In this case from Definition 2.32 it suffices to prove that
$(W', k-j, v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
Since $v'_1 = \mathsf{Lb}_{\ell_o}(v''_1)$ and $v'_2 = \mathsf{Lb}_{\ell_o}(v''_2)$. Therefore from Definition 2.33 it suffices to prove that
$\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_o, v''_1, v''_2, \tau\ \sigma)$
We get this from (FB-TL2) and Lemma 2.55

ii. $(\ell_i \sqsubseteq \ell_o) \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
In this case from Definition 2.32 it suffices to prove that
$\forall m.(W', m, v'_1) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ and $\forall m.(W', m, v'_2) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
Since $\ell_o \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ therefore we get this from (FB-TL2), Definition 2.32 and Definition 2.35

iii. $(\ell_i \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A} \sqsubseteq \ell_o)$:
In this case from Definition 2.32 it suffices to prove that
$(W', k-j, v'_1, v'_2) \in \lceil (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
Since $v'_1 = \mathsf{Lb}_{\ell_o}(v''_1)$ and $v'_2 = \mathsf{Lb}_{\ell_o}(v''_2)$. Therefore from Definition 2.33 it suffices to prove that
$\forall m.(W', m, v''_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ and $\forall m.(W', m, v''_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$
We obtain this directly from (FB-TL2) and Definition 2.32

(b) $\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v'_l) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v'_l) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_i \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i)\Big)$:

Case $l = 1$

Given some $k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k$

We need to prove

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v_l') \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \ell_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i\ \sigma)$

Since $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from Lemma 2.53 we know that
$\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, m, \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Instantiating m with k we get $(W.\theta_1, k, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Now we can apply Theorem 2.51 to get
$(W.\theta_1, k, (\mathsf{toLabeled}\ e')\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means from Definition 2.36 we get
$\forall c < k.(\mathsf{toLabeled}\ e')\gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_c v \implies (W.\theta_1, k - c, v) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Instantiating c with 0 and from $cg - val$ we know $v = (\mathsf{toLabeled}\ e')\gamma \downarrow_1$

And we have $(W.\theta_1, k, (\mathsf{toLabeled}\ e')\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 we have
$\forall K \leq k, \theta_e' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, H_1, J.(K, H_1) \triangleright \theta_e' \wedge (H_1, (\mathsf{toLabeled}\ e')\gamma \downarrow_1) \Downarrow_J^f (H', v') \wedge J <$
$K \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e'.(K - J, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', K - J, v') \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e'(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e').\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i\ \sigma)$

Instantiating K with k, θ_e' with θ_e, H_1 with H and J with j we get the desired

Case $l = 2$

Symmetric reasoning as in the $l = 1$ case above

17. CG-ret:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{ret}(e') : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \tau}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \mathsf{ret}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \mathsf{ret}(e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 2.34 we need to prove:

$\forall i < n.\mathsf{ret}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{ret}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f1}' \implies$
$(W, n - i, v_{f1}, v_{f1}') \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{ret}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{ret}(e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f1}'$

From $cg - val$ we know that $v_{f1} = \mathsf{ret}(e')\gamma \downarrow_1$, $v_{f2} = \mathsf{ret}(e')\gamma \downarrow_2$ and $i = 0$

We are required to prove

$(W, n, \mathsf{ret}(e')\gamma \downarrow_1, \mathsf{ret}(e')\gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Let $v_1 = \mathsf{ret}(e')\gamma \downarrow_1$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{ret}(e')\gamma \downarrow_2$

From Definition 2.33 it suffices to prove

$\Big(\forall k \leq n,\, W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v_1', v_2'.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_i, v_1', v_2', \tau)\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall v, i.\ (e_l \Downarrow_i v_l) \implies$
$\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)\Big)$

It suffices to prove:

(a) $\forall k \leq n,\, W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v_1', v_2'.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_i, v_1', v_2', \tau)$:

We are given is some $k \leq n$, $W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2, v_1', v_2', j < k$ s.t $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ and $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2')$

From $cg - ret$ we know that $H_1' = H_1$ and $H_2' = H_2$

And we are required to prove:
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_i, v_1', v_2', \tau)$ (FB-R0)

IH: $(W_e, n, e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 2.34 we need to prove:
$\forall J < k.e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_J v_{h1} \wedge e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{h1}' \implies (W_e, k-J, v_{h1}, v_{h1}') \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Since we know that $(H_1, \mathsf{ret}(e')\gamma \downarrow_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1, v_1') \wedge (H_2, \mathsf{ret}(e')\gamma \downarrow_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2, v_2')$, therefore $\exists J < j < k$ s.t $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_J v_{h1}$ and similarly $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{h1}'$.
Therefore we have $(W_e, k-J, v_{h1}, v_{h1}') \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (FB-R1)

In order to prove (FB-R0) we choose W' as W_e and from $cg - ret$ we know that $v_1' = v_{h1}$ and $v_2' = v_{h1}'$. We need to prove the following:

i. $(k-j, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$:
Since we have $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ therefore from Lemma 2.50 we get $(k-j, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$

ii. $\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W_e, k-j, \ell_i, v_1', v_2', \tau)$:
2 cases arise:

A. $\ell_i \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
In this case from Definition 2.32 it suffices to prove
$(W_e, k-j, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Since $j = J + 1$ therefore we get this from (FB-R1) and Lemma 2.46

B. $\ell_i \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
In this case from Definition 2.32 it suffices to prove that
$\forall m.(W_e, m, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ and $\forall m.(W_e, m, v_2') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

We get this From (FB-R1) and Lemma 2.44

(b) $\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k,H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i\ \sigma)$:

Case $l = 1$

Given some $k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j$ s.t $(k,H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k$

We need to prove

$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \wedge \ell_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i\ \sigma)$

Since $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from Lemma 2.53 we know that
$\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, m, \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Instantiating m with k we get $(W.\theta_1, k, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Now we can apply Theorem 2.51 to get
$(W.\theta_1, k, (\mathsf{ret}\ e')\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means from Definition 2.36 we get
$\forall c < k.(\mathsf{ret}\ e')\gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_c v \implies (W.\theta_1, k-c, v) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Instantiating c with 0 and from $cg - val$ we know that $v = (\mathsf{ret}\ e')\gamma \downarrow_1$

And we have $(W.\theta_1, k, (\mathsf{ret}\ e')\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 we have
$\forall K \leq k, \theta_e' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, H_1, J.(K, H_1) \triangleright \theta_e' \wedge (H_1, v) \Downarrow_J^f (H', v') \wedge J < K \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e'.(K-J, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', K-J, v') \in \lfloor \tau) \ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e'(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e').\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i\ \sigma)$

Instantiating K with k, θ_e' with θ_e, H_1 with H and J with j we get the desired

Case $l = 2$

Symmetric reasoning as in the $l = 1$ case above

18. CG-bind:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_l : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell\ \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e_b : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell_o\ \tau'}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau'}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau'\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 2.34 we need to prove:

$\forall i < n.\mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f1}' \implies$
$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v_{f1}') \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau'\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f1}'$
From $cg - val$ we know that $v_{f1} = \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\gamma \downarrow_1$, $v_{f2} = \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\gamma \downarrow_2$ and $i = 0$

We are required to prove
$(W, n, \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\gamma\downarrow_1, \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\gamma\downarrow_2) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau'\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Let $v_1 = \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\gamma\downarrow_1$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_b)\gamma\downarrow_2$
This means from Definition 2.33 we need to prove
$\Big(\forall k \leq n,\ W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v_1', v_2'.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_o, v_1', v_2', \tau\ \sigma)\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'\ \sigma \wedge \ell_i \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta')\backslash\mathit{dom}(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i)\Big)$

This means we need to prove:

(a) $\forall k \leq n,\ W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v_1', v_2', j.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_o, v_1', v_2', \tau\ \sigma)$:

This means we are given some $k \leq n$, $W_e \sqsupseteq W$, H_1, H_2 s.t $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$

Also given some $v_1', v_2', j < k$ s.t $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2')$

And we are required to prove:
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_o, v_1', v_2', \tau'\ \sigma)$ (FB-B0)

IH1:
$(W_e, k, e_l\ (\gamma\downarrow_1), e_l\ (\gamma\downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 2.34 we need to prove:
$\forall f < k.e_l\ \gamma\downarrow_1\Downarrow_f v_{h1} \wedge e_l\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow v_{h1}' \implies$
$(W_e, k-f, v_{h1}, v_{h1}') \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2')$ therefore $\exists f < j < k$ s.t $e_l\ \gamma\downarrow_f\Downarrow_j v_{h1} \wedge e_l\ \gamma\downarrow_2\Downarrow v_{h1}'$

This means we have
$(W_e, k-f, v_{h1}, v_{h1}') \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means from Definition 2.33 we have
$\Big(\forall K \leq (k-f),\ W_e' \sqsupseteq W_e.\forall H_1'', H_2''.(K, H_1'', H_2'') \triangleright W_e' \wedge \forall v_1'', v_2'', J.$
$(H_1'', v_{h1}) \Downarrow^f_J (H_1', v_1'') \wedge (H_2'', v_{h1}') \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2'') \wedge J < K \implies$
$\exists W'' \sqsupseteq W_e'.(K-J, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W'' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W'', K-J, \ell\ \sigma, v_1'', v_2'', \tau\ \sigma)\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'\ \sigma \wedge \ell_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta')\backslash\mathit{dom}(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i\ \sigma)\Big)$

Instantiating K with $(k-f)$, W'_e with W_e, H''_1 with H_1 and H''_2 with H_2 in the first conjunct of the above equation. Since we know that $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ therefore from Lemma 2.50 we also have $(k-f, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$

Since we know that $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2)$ therefore $\exists J < j - f <$ $k - f$ s.t $(H_1, v_{h1}) \Downarrow^f_J (H'_1, v''_1) \wedge (H_2, v'_{h1}) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v''_2)$

This means we have
$\exists W'' \sqsupseteq W'_e.(k-f-J, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W'' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W'', k-f-J, \ell\ \sigma, v''_1, v''_2, \tau\ \sigma)$ (FB-B1)

From Definition 2.32 two cases arise:

i. $\ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

In this case we know that $(W'', k-f-J, v''_1, v''_2) \in \lceil \tau\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$
IH2:
$(W'', k-f-J, e_b\ (\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup\{x \mapsto v''_1\}), e_b\ (\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup\{x \mapsto v''_2\})) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell_o\ \tau'\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 2.34 we need to prove:
$\forall s < k-f-J. e_b\ (\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup\{x \mapsto v''_1\}) \Downarrow_s v_{h2} \wedge e_b\ (\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup\{x \mapsto v''_2\}) \Downarrow v'_{h2} \implies$
$(W'', k-f-J-s, v_{h2}, v'_{h2}) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell_o\ \tau'\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Since we know that $(H_1, \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\ \gamma \downarrow_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\ \gamma \downarrow_2$ $) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2)$ therefore $\exists s < j - f - J < k - f - J$ s.t $e_b\ (\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup\{x \mapsto v''_1\}) \Downarrow_s$ $v_{h2} \wedge e_b\ (\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup\{x \mapsto v''_2\}) \Downarrow v'_{h2}$

This means we have
$(W'', k-f-J-s, v_{h2}, v'_{h2}) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell_o\ \tau'\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means from Definition 2.33 we know that
$\Big(\forall K_s \leq (k-f-J-s), W_s \sqsupseteq W''.\forall H_1, H_2.(K_s, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_s \wedge \forall v'_{s1}, v'_{s2}, J_s.$
$(H_1, v_{h2}) \Downarrow^f_{J_s} (H'_{s1}, v'_{s1}) \wedge (H_2, v'_{h2}) \Downarrow^f (H'_{s2}, v'_{s2}) \wedge J_s < K_s \implies$
$\exists W'_s \sqsupseteq W_s.(K_s - J_s, H'_{s1}, H'_{s2}) \triangleright W'_s \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W'_s, K_s - J_s, \ell_i, v'_1, v'_2, \tau'\ \sigma)\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v'_l) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v'_l) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'\ \sigma \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in \mathit{dom}(\theta') \backslash \mathit{dom}(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)\Big)$

Instantiating K_s with $(k-f-J-s)$, W_s with W'', H_1 with H'_1 and H_2 with H_2. Since we know that $(k-f-J, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W''$ therefore from Lemma 2.50 we also have $(k-f-J-s, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W''$

Since we know that $(H_1, \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\ \gamma \downarrow_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, \mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\ \gamma \downarrow_2$ $) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2)$ therefore $\exists J_s < j - f - J - s < k - f - J - s$ s.t $(H'_1, v''_1) \Downarrow^f_{J_s}$ $(H'_{s1}, v'_{s1}) \wedge (H'_2, v''_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_{s2}, v'_{s2})$

This means we have
$\exists W'_s \sqsupseteq W_s.(k-f-J-s-J_s, H'_{s1}, H'_{s2}) \triangleright W'_s \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W'_s, k-f-J-s-$ $J_S, \ell_o, v'_{s1}, v'_{s2}, \tau'\ \sigma)$ (FB-B2)

In order to prove (FB-B0) we choose W' as W'_s. From $cg - bind$ we know that $H'_1 = H'_{s1}$, $H'_2 = H'_{s2}$, $v'_1 = v'_{s1}$, $v'_2 = v'_{s2}$ and $j = f + J + s + J_s + 1$. And we need to prove:

A. $(k-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{s2}) \triangleright W'_s$:
Since from (FB-B2) we know that $(k-f-J-s-J_s, H'_{s1}, H'_{s2}) \triangleright W'_s$ therefore from Lemma 2.50 we get
$(k-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{s2}) \triangleright W'_s$

B. $\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W'_s, k-j, \ell_o, v'_{s1}, v'_{s2}, \tau'\ \sigma)$:
Since from (FB-B2) we know that $\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W'_s, k-f-J-s-J_S, \ell_o, v'_{s1}, v'_{s2}, \tau'\ \sigma)$ therefore from Lemma 2.55 we get
$\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W'_s, k-j, \ell_o, v'_{s1}, v'_{s2}, \tau'\ \sigma)$

ii. $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

From (FB-B0) we know that we need to prove
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_o, v'_1, v'_2, \tau'\ \sigma)$
Since $\ell_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_o\ \sigma$ (by assumption) and $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ therefore we have $\ell_o\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

This means that from Definition 2.32 it suffices to prove
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \forall m_{u1}.(W'.\theta_1, m_{u1}, v'_1) \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge \forall m_{u2}.(W'.\theta_2, m_{u2}, v'_2) \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

This means given some m_{u1}, m_{u2} and we need to prove
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge (W'.\theta_1, m_{u1}, v'_1) \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge (W'.\theta_2, m_{u2}, v'_2) \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FB-B01)

In this case we know that
$\forall m.\ (W''.\theta_1, m, v''_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ and $\forall m.\ (W''.\theta_2, m, v''_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FB-B3)

Since $\mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_j v'_1$ therefore $\exists J_1 < j-f-J < k-f-J$ s.t $(e_b)\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup\{x \mapsto v''_1\} \Downarrow_{J_1} v'_1$. Similarly, $\exists J'_1 < j-f-J-J_1 < k-f-J-J_1$ s.t $(H'_1, v'_1) \Downarrow^f_{J'_1} -$

Instantiating m with $m_{u1}+1+J_1+J'_1$ in the first conjunct of (FB-B3)
$(W''.\theta_1, m_{u1}+1+J_1+J'_1, v''_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$ therefore from Lemma 2.53 we know that
$\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Instantiating m with $m_{u1}+1+J_1+J'_1$ we get $(W.\theta_1, m_{u1}+1+J_1+J'_1, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

From Lemma 2.47 we know that
$(W''.\theta_1, m_{u1}+1+J_1+J'_1, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$ (FB-B4)

Now we can apply Theorem 2.51 to get
$(W''.\theta_1, m_{u1}+1+J_1+J'_1, (e_b)\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup\{x \mapsto v''_1\}) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell_o\ \tau')\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means from Definition 2.36 we get
$\forall c_1 < m_{u1}+1+J_1+J'_1.(e_b)\gamma \downarrow_1 \cup\{x \mapsto v''_1\} \Downarrow_{c_1} v_{o1} \implies (W''.\theta_1, m_{u1}+1+J_1+J'_1-c_1, v_{o1}) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell_o\ \tau')\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FB-B5)

Instantiating c_1 with J_1 in (FB-B5)
Therefore we have $(W''.\theta_1, m_{u1}+1+J'_1, v_{o1}) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell_o\ \tau')\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 we have
$\forall K \leq (m_{u1}+1+J'_1), \theta'_e \sqsupseteq W''.\theta_1, H_1, J_2.(K, H_1) \triangleright \theta'_e \wedge (H_1, v_{o1}) \Downarrow^f_{J_2} (H''_1, v'_1) \wedge J_2 < K \implies$
$\exists \theta'_1 \sqsupseteq \theta'_e.(K-J_2, H''_1) \triangleright \theta'_1 \wedge (\theta'_1, K-J_2, v'_1) \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$

$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1''(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e'(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')/dom(\theta_e').\theta_1'(a) \searrow \ell_i\ \sigma)$

Instantiating K with $m_{u1} + 1 + J_1'$, θ_e' with $W''.\theta_1$, H_1 with H_1' (from FB-B1) and J_2 with J_1' we get

$\exists \theta_1' \sqsupseteq W''.\theta_1.(m_{u1} + 1, H_1'') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', m_{u1} + 1, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1''(a) \implies \exists \ell'.W''.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')/dom(\theta_e').\theta_1'(a) \searrow \ell_i\ \sigma)$ (FB-B6)

Since we know that $\mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_2'$. Say this reduction happens in t steps. Therefore $\exists t_1 < t < k \leq n$ s.t $(e_l)\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup\{x \mapsto v_2''\} \Downarrow_{t_1} v_{l2}$ and simialrly $\exists t_2 < t - t_1 < k - t_1$ s.t $(H, v_{l2})\gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow_{t_2}^{f} (H_2'', v_2'')$

Again since $\mathsf{bind}(e_l, x.e_b)\gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow_t v_2'$ therefore $\exists J_2 < t - t_1 - t_2 < k - t_1 - t_2$ s.t $(e_b)\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup\{x \mapsto v_2''\} \Downarrow_{J_2} v_2'$. Similarly $\exists J_2' < t - t_1 - t_2 - J_2 < k - t_1 - t_2 - J_2$ s.t $(H_2', v_2') \Downarrow_{J_2'}^{f} -$

Instantiating the second conjunct of (FB-B3) with $m_{u2} + 1 + J_2 + J_2'$ we get
$(W''.\theta_2, m_{u2} + 1 + J_2 + J_2', v_2'') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Again since $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from Lemma 2.53 we know that
$\forall m.\ (W.\theta_2, m, \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Instantiating m with $m_{u2}+1+J_2+J_2'$ we get $(W.\theta_2, m_{u2}+1+J_2+J_2', \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

From Lemma 2.47 we know that
$(W''.\theta_2, m_{u2} + 1 + J_2 + J_2', \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$ (FB-B7)

Now we can apply Theorem 2.51 to get
$(W''.\theta_2, m_{u2} + 1 + J_2 + J_2', (e_b)\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup\{x \mapsto v_2''\}) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell_o\ \tau')\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means from Definition 2.36 we get
$\forall c_2 < (m_{u2} + 1 + J_2 + J_2').(e_b)\gamma \downarrow_2 \cup\{x \mapsto v_2''\} \Downarrow_{c_2} v_{o2} \implies (W''.\theta_2, m_{u2} + 1 + J_2 - c_2, v_{o2}) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell_o\ \tau')\ \sigma \rfloor_V$ (FB-B8)

Instantiating c_2 with J_2 in (FB-B8) we get
$(W''.\theta_2, m_{u2} + 1 + J_2', v_{o2}) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell_o\ \tau')\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 we have
$\forall K \leq (m_{u2}+1+J_2'), \theta_e' \sqsupseteq W''.\theta_2, H_2, J_3.(K, H_2) \triangleright \theta_e' \wedge (H_2, v_{o2}) \Downarrow_{J_3}^{f} (H_2'', v_2') \wedge J_3 < K \implies$
$\exists \theta_2' \sqsupseteq \theta_e'.(K - J_3, H_2'') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', K - J_3, v_2') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_2(a) \neq H_2''(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e'(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2')/dom(\theta_e').\theta_2'(a) \searrow \ell\ \sigma)$

Instantiating K with $m_{u2} + 1 + J_2'$, θ_e' with $W''.\theta_2$, H_2 with H_2' (from FB-B1) and J_3 with J_2', we get

$\exists \theta_2' \sqsupseteq W''.\theta_2.(m_{u2} + 1, H_2'') \triangleright \theta_2' \wedge (\theta_2', m_{u2} + 1, v_2') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_2(a) \neq H_2''(a) \implies \exists \ell'.W''.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_2')/dom(\theta_e').\theta_2'(a) \searrow \ell\ \sigma)$ (FB-B9)

In order to prove (FB-B01) we chose W' as W_n where W_n is defined as follows:
$W_n.\theta_1 = \theta_1'$ (From (FB-B6))

$W_n.\theta_2 = \theta'_2$ (From (FB-B9))
$W_n.\hat{\beta} = W''.\hat{\beta}$ (From (FB-B1))

It suffices to prove

- $(k-j, H''_1, H''_2) \triangleright W_n$:
  From Definition 2.38 we need to prove the following
  - $dom(W_n.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H''_1) \wedge dom(W_n.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H''_2)$:

    From (FB-B6) we know that $(m_{u1}+1, H''_1) \triangleright \theta'_1$ therefore from Definition 2.37 we know that $dom(W_n.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H''_1)$
    Similarly from (FB-B9) we know that $(m_{u2}+1, H''_2) \triangleright \theta'_2$ therefore from Definition 2.37 we know that $dom(W_n.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H''_2)$
  - $(W_n.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W_n.\theta_1) \times dom(W_n.\theta_2))$:

    Since from (FB-B1) we know that $(k-f-J, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W''$ therefore from Definition 2.38 we know that $(W''.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W''.\theta_1) \times dom(W''.\theta_2))$

    Since from (FB-B6) and (FB-B9) we know that $W''.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq W_n.\theta_1$ and $W''.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq W_n.\theta_2$

    Therefore we get
    $(W_n.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W_n.\theta_1) \times dom(W_n.\theta_2))$
  - $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W_n.\hat{\beta}).(W_n.\theta_1(a_1) = W_n.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge (W_n, k-j-1, H''_1(a_1), H''_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$):

    4 cases arise for each $(a_1, a_2) \in W_n.\hat{\beta}$

    A. $H'_1(a_1) = H''_1(a_1) \wedge H'_2(a_2) = H''_2(a_2)$:

       To prove:
       $W_n.\theta_1(a_1) = W_n.\theta_2(a_2)$:
       We know from that $(k-f-J, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W''$

       Therefore from Definition 2.38 we have
       $\forall (a'_1, a'_2) \in (W''.\hat{\beta}).W''.\theta_1(a'_1) = W''.\theta_2(a'_2)$

       Since $W_n.\hat{\beta} = W''.\hat{\beta}$ by construction therefore
       $\forall (a'_1, a'_2) \in (W_n.\hat{\beta}).W''.\theta_1(a'_1) = W''.\theta_2(a'_2)$

       From (FB-B6) and (FB-B9) we know that $W''.\theta_1 \sqsubseteq \theta'_1$ and $W''.\theta_2 \sqsubseteq \theta'_2$ respectively.

       Therefore from Definition 2.30
       $\forall (a'_1, a'_2) \in (W_n.\hat{\beta}).\theta'_1(a_1) = \theta'_2(a_2)$

       To prove:
       $(W_n, k-j-1, H''_1(a_1), H''_2(a_2)) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$:
       From (FB-B1) we know that $(k-f-J, H'_1, H'_2) \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W''$

       This means from Definition 2.38 we know that
       $\forall (a_{i1}, a_{i2}) \in (W''.\hat{\beta}).W''.\theta_1(a_{i1}) = W''.\theta_2(a_{i2}) \wedge$
       $(W'', k-f-J-1, H'_1(a_{i1}), H'_2(a_{i2})) \in \lceil W''.\theta_1(a_{i1}) \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

       Instantiating with a_1 and a_2 and since $W'' \sqsubseteq W_n$ and $k-j-1 < k-f-J-1$ (since $j = f+J+J_1+1$ therefore from Lemma 2.46 we get

$(W_n, k-j-1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

B. $H_1'(a_1) \neq H_1''(a_1) \wedge H_2'(a_2) \neq H_2''(a_2)$:

To prove:
$W_n.\theta_1(a_1) = W_n.\theta_2(a_2)$
Same reasoning as in the previous case

To prove:
$(W_n, k-j-1, H_1''(a_1), H_2''(a_2)) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

From (FB-B6) and (FB-B9) we know that
$(\forall a. H_1'(a) \neq H_1''(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W''.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge (\ell\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
$(\forall a. H_2'(a) \neq H_2''(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W''.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge (\ell\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell')$
This means we have
$\exists \ell'. W''.\theta_1(a_1) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge (\ell\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell'$ and
$\exists \ell'. W''.\theta_2(a_2) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge (\ell\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell'$

Since $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $\ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$.

Also from (FB-B6) and (FB-B9), $(m_{u1}+1, H_1'') \triangleright \theta_1'$ and $(m_{u2}+1, H_2'') \triangleright \theta_2'$.
Therefore from Definition 2.37 we have
$(\theta_1', m_{u1}, H_1''(a_1)) \in \lfloor \theta_1'(a_1) \rfloor_V$ and
$(\theta_2', m_{u2}, H_2''(a_1)) \in \lfloor \theta_2'(a_2) \rfloor_V$

Since m_{u1} and m_{u2} are arbitrary indices therefore from Definition 2.33 we get
$(W_n, k-j-1, H_1''(a_1), H_2''(a_2)) \in \lceil \theta_1'(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

C. $H_1'(a_1) = H_1''(a_1) \wedge H_2'(a_2) \neq H_2''(a_2)$:

To prove:
$W_n.\theta_1(a_1) = W_n.\theta_2(a_2)$
Same reasoning as in the previous case

To prove:
$(W_n, k-j-1, H_1''(a_1), H_2''(a_2)) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

From (FB-B9) we know that
$(\forall a. H_2'(a) \neq H_2''(a) \implies \exists \ell'. W''.\theta_2(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge (\ell\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell')$

This means we have
$\exists \ell'. W''.\theta_2(a_2) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge (\ell\ \sigma) \sqsubseteq \ell'$
Since $\ell\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $\ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$.

Since from (FB-B1) we know that $(k-f-J, H_1', H_2') \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\triangleright} W''$ that means from Definition 2.38 that $(W'', k-f-J-1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W''.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$.
Since $W''.\theta_1(a_1) = W''.\theta_2(a_2) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau''$ and since $\ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ therefore from Definition 2.33 and Definition 2.32 we know that

Therefore
$\forall m.\ (W''.\theta_1, m, H_1'(a_1)) \in W''.\theta_1(a_1)$ (F)

Instantiating the (F) with m_{u1} and using Lemma 2.45 we get

$(\theta_1', m_{u1}, H_1'(a_1)) \in \theta_1'(a_1)$

Since from (FB-B9) we know that $(m_{u2}+1, H_2'') \triangleright \theta_2'$ therefore from Definition 2.37 we know that $(\theta_2', m_{u2}, H_2''(a_2)) \in \theta_2'(a_2)$
Therefore from Definition 2.33 we get
$(W', k-j-1, H_1''(a_1), H_2''(a_2)) \in \lceil \theta_1'(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

D. $H_1'(a_1) \neq H_1''(a_1) \wedge H_2'(a_2) = H_2''(a_2)$:
Symmetric reasoning as in the previous case

– $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i \in dom(W_n.\theta_i).(W_n.\theta_i, m, H_i''(a_i)) \in \lfloor W_n.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$:

Case $i=1$
Given some m we need to prove
$\forall a_i \in dom(W_n.\theta_i).(W_n.\theta_i, m, H_i''(a_i)) \in \lfloor W_n.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$

This further means that given some $a_1 \in dom(W_n.\theta_i)$ we need to show
$(W_n.\theta_1, m, H_1''(a_1)) \in \lfloor W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rfloor_V$

Since $W_n.\theta_1 = \theta_1'$, it suffices to prove
$(\theta_1', m, H_1''(a_1)) \in \lfloor \theta_1'(a_1) \rfloor_V$

Like before we apply Theorem 2.51 on $e_b\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \cup \{x \mapsto v_1''\}$ but this time at $m+1+J_1+J_1'$ to get
$\exists \theta_1' \sqsupseteq W''.\theta_1.(m+1, H_1'') \triangleright \theta_1' \wedge (\theta_1', m_{u1}+1, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H_1''(a) \implies \exists \ell'.W''.\theta_1(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta_1')/dom(\theta_e').\theta_1'(a) \searrow \ell_i\ \sigma)$

Since we have $(m+1, H_1'') \triangleright \theta_1'$ therefore from Definition 2.37 we get the desired.
Case $i=2$
Similar reasoning as in the $i=1$ case

- $(W'.\theta_1, m_{u1}, v_1') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge (W'.\theta_2, m_{u2}, v_2') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:
We get this from (FB-B6), (FB-B9) and Lemma 2.45 we get the desired

19. CG-ref:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{new}\ (e') : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)}$$

To prove: $(W, n, \mathsf{new}\ (e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), \mathsf{new}\ (e')\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau))\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 2.34 we need to prove:
$\forall i < n.\mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f1}' \implies$
$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v_{f1}') \in \lceil (\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau))\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge \mathsf{new}\ (e')\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{f1}'$
From $cg-val$ we know that $v_{f1} = \mathsf{new}\ (e')\gamma \downarrow_1$, $v_{f2} = \mathsf{new}\ (e')\gamma \downarrow_2$ and $i = 0$
We are required to prove
$(W, n, \mathsf{new}\ (e')\gamma \downarrow_1, \mathsf{new}\ (e')\gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lceil (\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau))\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Let $v_1 = \mathsf{new}\ (e')\gamma \downarrow_1$ and $v_2 = \mathsf{new}\ (e')\gamma \downarrow_2$

From Definition 2.33 we are required to prove

$\Big(\forall k \leq n,\, W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v_1', v_2'.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell, v_1', v_2', (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma)\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rfloor_V\ \sigma \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)\Big)$

This means we need to prove the following:

(a) $\forall k \leq n,\, W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v_1', v_2'.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell, v_1', v_2', (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma)$:

This means we are given some $k \leq n$, $W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2$ s.t $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$

Also we are given some $v_1', v_2', j < k$ s.t $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2')$

And we are required to prove:
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell, v_1', v_2', (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma)$ (FB-R0)

IH:
$(W_e, k, e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 2.34 we need to prove:
$\forall f < k.e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_f v_{h1} \wedge e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{h1}' \implies$
$(W_e, k-f, v_{h1}, v_{h1}') \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Since we know that $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2')$ therefore $\exists f < j < k$ s.t $e'\ \gamma \downarrow_f \Downarrow_j v_{h1} \wedge e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{h1}'$

This means we have
$(W_e, k-f, v_{h1}, v_{h1}') \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (FB-R1)

In order to prove (FB-R0) we choose W' as W_n where
$W_n.\theta_1 = W_e.\theta_1 \cup \{a_1 \mapsto (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma\}$
$W_n.\theta_2 = W_e.\theta_2 \cup \{a_2 \mapsto (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma\}$
$W_n.\hat{\beta} = W_e.\hat{\beta} \cup \{a_1, a_2\}$
Now we need to prove:

i. $(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W_n$:

From Definition 2.38 it suffices to prove:
$dom(W_n.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1') \wedge dom(W_n.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2') \wedge$
$(W_n.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W_n.\theta_1) \times dom(W_n.\theta_2)) \wedge$
$\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W_n.\hat{\beta}).(W_n.\theta_1(a_1) = W_n.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge$
$(W_n, (k-j)-1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge$
$\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i \in dom(W_n.\theta_i).(W_n.\theta_i, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W_n.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$
This means we need to prove

- $dom(W_n.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1') \wedge dom(W_n.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2') \wedge (W_n.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W_n.\theta_1) \times dom(W_n.\theta_2))$:

  We know that $dom(W_n.\theta_1) = dom(W_e.\theta_1) \cup \{a_1\}$ and $dom(W_n.\theta_2) = dom(W_e.\theta_2) \cup \{a_2\}$
  Also $dom(H_1') = dom(H_1) \cup \{a_1\}$ and $dom(H_2') = dom(H_2) \cup \{a_2\}$
  Therefore from $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ and from construction of W_n we get the desired.

- $\forall (a_1', a_2') \in (W_n.\hat{\beta}).(W_n.\theta_1(a_1') = W_n.\theta_2(a_2') \wedge (W_n, k-j-1, H_1'(a_1'), H_2'(a_2')) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1') \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}})$:

  $\forall (a_1', a_2') \in (W_n.\hat{\beta})$.

  A. When $a_1' = a_1$ and $a_2' = a_2$:
  From construction
  $(W_n.\theta_1(a_1) = W_n.\theta_2(a_2) = (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma$

  Since from (FB-R1) we know that $(W_e, k-f, v_{h1}, v_{h1}') \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  And since from $cg - ref$ we know that $H_1'(a_1) = v_{h1}$, $H_2'(a_2) = v_{h1}'$ and $j = f + 1$ threfore from Lemma 2.46 we get
  $(W_n, k-j-1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

  B. When $a_1' = a_1$ and $a_2' \neq a_2$: This case cannot arise

  C. When $a_1' \neq a_1$ and $a_2' = a_2$: This case cannot arise

  D. When $a_1' \neq a_1$ and $a_2' \neq a_2$:
  Since $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ therefore the desired is obtained directly from Definition 2.38

- $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i' \in dom(W_n.\theta_i).(W_n.\theta_i, m, H_i(a_i')) \in \lfloor W_n.\theta_i(a_i') \rfloor_V$:
  <u>When $i = 1$</u>
  Given some m
  $\forall a_1' \in dom(W_n.\theta_1)$.

  – when $a_1' = a_1$:
  From construction
  $(W_n.\theta_1(a_1) = W_n.\theta_2(a_2) = (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma$

  And from (FB-R1) we know that $(W_e, k-f, v_{h1}, v_{h1}') \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  Therefore from Lemma 2.44 get the desired

  – Otherwise:
  Since $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ therefore the desired is obtained directly from Definition 2.38

  <u>When $i = 2$</u>
  Similar reasoning as with $i = 1$

ii. $ValEq(\mathcal{A}, W_n, k-j, \ell, v_1', v_2', (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma)$:
From $cg - ref$ we know that $v_1' = a_1$ and $v_2' = a_2$
2 cases arise:

A. $\ell \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
In this case from Definition 2.32 it suffices to prove that
$(W_n, k-j, a_1, a_2) \in (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma$

From Definition 2.33 it suffices to prove
$(a_1, a_2) \in W_n.\hat{\beta} \wedge W_n.\theta_1(a_1) = W_n.\theta_2(a_2) = (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma$
This holds from construciton of W_n

B. $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

In this case from Definition 2.32 it suffices to prove that
$\forall m.\ (W_n.\theta_1, m, a_1) \in (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma$ and $(W_n.\theta_2, m, a_2) \in (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma$

From Definition 2.35 this means for any given m we need to prove that
$W_n.\theta_1(a_1) \in (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma$ and $W_n.\theta_2(a_2) \in (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma$

This holds from construction of W_n

(b) $\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k,H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)$:

Case $l = 1$

Given some $k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j$ s.t $(k,H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k$

We need to prove
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i\ \sigma)$

Since $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil\Gamma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from Lemma 2.53 we know that
$\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, m, \gamma\downarrow_2) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$

Instantiating m with k we get $(W.\theta_1, k, \gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor\Gamma\rfloor_V$

Now we can apply Theorem 2.51 to get
$(W.\theta_1, k, (\mathsf{ref}\ (e')\gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau))\ \sigma\rfloor_E$

This means from Definition 2.36 we get
$\forall c < k.\mathsf{ref}\ (e')\gamma\downarrow_1 \Downarrow_c v \implies (W.\theta_1, k-c, v) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau))\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

This further means that given some $c < k$ s.t $\mathsf{ref}\ (e')\gamma\downarrow_1 \Downarrow_c v$. From $cg-val$ we know that $c = 0$ and $v = \mathsf{ref}\ (e')\gamma\downarrow_1$

And we have $(W.\theta_1, k, \mathsf{ref}\ (e')\gamma\downarrow_1) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau))\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 we have
$\forall K \leq k, \theta_e' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, H_1, J.(K, H_1) \triangleright \theta_e' \wedge (H_1, \mathsf{ref}\ (e')\gamma\downarrow_1) \Downarrow_J^f (H', v') \wedge J < K \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e'.(K-J, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', K-J, v') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e'(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e').\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i\ \sigma)$

Instantiating K with k, θ_e' with θ_e, H_1 with H and J with j we get the desired

Case $l = 2$

Symmetric reasoning as in the $l = 1$ case above

20. CG-deref:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e' : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash\ !e' : \mathbb{C}\ \ell'\ \ell'\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)}$$

To prove: $(W, n, !e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), !e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell'\ \ell'\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 2.34 we need to prove:
$\forall i < n. !e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i\ v_{f1} \wedge !e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow\ v'_{f1} \implies$
$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell'\ \ell'\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $!e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i\ v_{f1} \wedge !e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow\ v'_{f1}$
From $cg - val$ we know that $v_{f1} = !e'\gamma \downarrow_1,\ v_{f2} = !e'\gamma \downarrow_2$ and $i = 0$
We are required to prove
$(W, n, !e'\gamma \downarrow_1, !e'\gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell'\ \ell'\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Let $v_1 = !e'\gamma \downarrow_1$ and $v_2 = !e'\gamma \downarrow_2$
From Definition 2.33 it suffices to prove
$\Big(\forall k \leq n, W_e \sqsupseteq W. \forall H_1, H_2. (k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v'_1, v'_2.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f\ (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f\ (H'_2, v'_2) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e. (k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell'\ \sigma, v'_1, v'_2, (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma)\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1,2\}. \Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j. (k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f\ (H', v'_l) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e. (k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v'_l) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a. H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. \theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau' \wedge \ell'\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e). \theta'(a) \searrow \ell'\ \sigma)\Big)$

This means we need to prove:

(a) $\forall k \leq n, W_e \sqsupseteq W. \forall H_1, H_2. (k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v'_1, v'_2.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f\ (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f\ (H'_2, v'_2) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e. (k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell'\ \sigma, v'_1, v'_2, (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma)$:

This means we are given is some $k \leq n$, $W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2$ s.t $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$

Also given some $v'_1, v'_2, j < k$ s.t $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f\ (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f\ (H'_2, v'_2)$

And we are required to prove:
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e. (k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell'\ \sigma, v'_1, v'_2, (\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma)$
(FB-D0)

IH:
$(W_e, k, e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e'\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 2.34 we need to prove:
$\forall f < k. e_l\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_f\ v_{h1} \wedge e_l\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow\ v'_{h1} \implies$
$(W_e, k-f, v_{h1}, v'_{h1}) \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Since we know that $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f\ (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f\ (H'_2, v'_2)$ therefore $\exists f < j < k$ s.t $e_l\ \gamma \downarrow_f \Downarrow_j\ v_{h1} \wedge e_l\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow\ v'_{h1}$

This means we have
$(W_e, k-f, v_{h1}, v'_{h1}) \in \lceil (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (FB-D1)

In order to prove (FB-D0) we choose W' as W_e. Also from $cg - deref$ we know that $H'_1 = H_1$ and $H'_2 = H_2$. Also we know that $v_{h1} = a_1$ and $v'_{h1} = a_2$.

- $(k-j, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$:
  Since we know that $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ therefore from Lemma 2.50 we get $(k-j, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$
- $ValEq(\mathcal{A}, W_e, k-j, \ell'\ \sigma, v_1', v_2', (\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma)$:
  From $cg-ref$ we know that $v_1' = H_1(a_1)$ and $v_2' = H_2(a_2)$
  2 cases arise:
  - $\ell'\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
    In this case from Definition 2.32 it suffices to prove that $(W_e, k-j, v_1', v_2') \in (\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma$

    Since from (FB-D1) we know that $(W_e, k-f, a_1, a_2) \in \lceil \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
    Therefore from Definition 2.33 we know that $(a_1, a_2) \in W_e.\hat{\beta} \wedge W_e.\theta_1(a_1) = W_e.\theta_2(a_2) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau\ \sigma$

    And since we know that $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ therefore from Definition we know that $(W_e, k, H_1(a_1), H_2(a_2)) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
    From Lemma 2.46 we get $(W_e, k-j, H_1(a_1), H_2(a_2)) \in \lceil (\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  - $\ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
    In this case from Definition 2.32 it suffices to prove that $\forall m.\ (W_e.\theta_1, m, H_1(a_1)) \in (\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma$ and $(W_e.\theta_2, m, H_2(a_2)) \in (\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma$ (FB-B2)

    Since from (FB-D1) we know that $(W_e, k-f, a_1, a_2) \in \lceil \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
    Therefore from Definition 2.33 we know that $(a_1, a_2) \in W_e.\hat{\beta} \wedge W_e.\theta_1(a_1) = W_e.\theta_2(a_2) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau\ \sigma$

    And since we know that $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ therefore from Definition we know that $(W_e, k, H_1(a_1), H_2(a_2)) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

    Finally from Lemma 2.44 we get (FB-B2)

(b) $\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau' \wedge \ell'\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell'\ \sigma)$:

Case $l = 1$
Given some $k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k$

We need to prove
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau'' \wedge \ell'\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell'\ \sigma)$

Since $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from Lemma 2.53 we know that
$\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, m, \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Instantiating m with k we get $(W.\theta_1, k, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Now we can apply Theorem 2.51 to get
$(W.\theta_1, k, (!e'\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell'\ \ell'\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau))\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means from Definition 2.36 we get

$\forall c < k.!e'\gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_c v \implies (W.\theta_1, k-c, v) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell'\ \ell'\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau))\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

Instantianting c with 0 and from $cg-val$ we know that $v = !e'\gamma \downarrow_1$

And we have $(W.\theta_1, k, !e'\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell'\ \ell'\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau))\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 we have
$\forall K \leq k, \theta'_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, H_1, J.(K, H_1) \triangleright \theta'_e \wedge (H_1, v) \Downarrow^f_J (H', v') \wedge J < K \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta'_e.(K-J, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', K-J, v') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta'_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau'' \wedge \ell'\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta'_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell'\ \sigma)$

Instantiating K with k, θ'_e with θ_e, H_1 with H and J with j we get the desired

Case $l = 2$
Symmetric reasoning as in the $l = 1$ case above

21. CG-assign:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_l : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_r : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_l := e_r : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ \mathsf{unit}}$$

To prove: $(W, n, (e_l := e_r)\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), (e_l := e_r)\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ \mathsf{unit}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$

This means from Definition 2.34 we need to prove:
$\forall i < n.(e_l := e_r)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge (e_l := e_r)\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1} \implies$
$(W, n-i, v_{f1}, v'_{f1}) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ \mathsf{unit}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

This means that given some $i < n$ s.t $(e_l := e_r)\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_i v_{f1} \wedge (e_l := e_r)\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v'_{f1}$
From $cg-val$ we know that $v_{f1} = (e_l := e_r)\gamma \downarrow_1$, $v_{f2} = (e_l := e_r)\gamma \downarrow_2$ and $i = 0$
We are required to prove
$(W, n, (e_l := e_r)\gamma \downarrow_1, (e_l := e_r)\gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lceil \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ \mathsf{unit}\ \sigma \rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

Let $e_1 = (e_l : -e_r)\ \gamma \downarrow_1$ and $e_2 = (e_l : -e_r)\ \gamma \downarrow_2$
From Definition 2.33 it suffices to prove
$\Big(\forall k \leq n, W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v'_1, v'_2.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow^f_j (H'_1, v'_1) \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H'_2, v'_2) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H'_1, H'_2) \triangleright W' \wedge ValEq(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell, v'_1, v'_2, \mathsf{unit})\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow^f_j (H', v'_l) \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v'_l) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell)\Big)$

This means we need to prove:

(a) $\forall k \leq n, W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v_1', v_2'.$
$(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge ValEq(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell, v_1', v_2', \mathsf{unit})$:

This means we are given some $k \leq n$, $W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2$ s.t $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$

And finally given some $v_1', v_2', j < k$ s.t $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2')$

And we are required to prove:
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge ValEq(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell, v_1', v_2', \mathsf{unit})$
(FB-A0)

IH1:
$(W_e, k, e_l\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e_l\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 2.34 we need to prove:
$\forall f < k.e_l\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_f v_{h1} \wedge e_l\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{h1}' \implies$
$(W_e, k-f, v_{h1}, v_{h1}') \in \lceil \mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Since we know that $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2')$ therefore $\exists f < j < k$ s.t $e_l\ \gamma \downarrow_f \Downarrow_j v_{h1} \wedge e_l\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{h1}'$

This means we have
$(W_e, k-f, v_{h1}, v_{h1}') \in \lceil \mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (FB-A1)

IH2:
$(W_e, k-f, e_r\ (\gamma \downarrow_1), e_r\ (\gamma \downarrow_2)) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means from Definition 2.34 we need to prove:
$\forall s < k - f.e'\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_s v_{h2} \wedge e'\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{h2}' \implies$
$(W_e, k-f-s, v_{h2}, v_{h2}') \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Since we know that $(H_1, v_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2')$ therefore $\exists s < j - f < k - f$ s.t $e_r\ \gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_s v_{h2} \wedge e_r\ \gamma \downarrow_2 \Downarrow v_{h2}'$

This means we have
$(W_e, k-f-s, v_{h2}, v_{h2}') \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (FB-A2)

In order to prove (FB-A0) we choose W' as W_e. Also from $cg - assign$ we know that $H_1' = H_1[v_{h1} \mapsto v_{h2}]$ and $H_2' = H_2[v_{h1}' \mapsto v_{h2}']$, and $j = f + s + 1$
We need to prove the following:

i. $(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W_e$:

Say $v_{h1} = a_1$ and $v_{h1}' = a_2$

From Definition 2.38 it suffices to prove:
$dom(W_e.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1') \wedge dom(W_e.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2') \wedge$
$(W_e.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W_e.\theta_1) \times dom(W_e.\theta_2)) \wedge$
$\forall (a_1, a_2) \in (W_e.\hat{\beta}).(W_e.\theta_1(a_1) = W_e.\theta_2(a_2) \wedge$
$(W_e, (k-j)-1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_e.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge$
$\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i \in dom(W_e.\theta_i).(W_e.\theta_i, m, H_i(a_i)) \in \lfloor W_e.\theta_i(a_i) \rfloor_V$
This means we need to prove

- $dom(W_e.\theta_1) \subseteq dom(H_1') \wedge dom(W_e.\theta_2) \subseteq dom(H_2') \wedge (W_e.\hat{\beta}) \subseteq (dom(W_e.\theta_1) \times dom(W_e.\theta_2))$:
  Since $dom(H_1) = dom(H_1')$ and $dom(H_2) = dom(H_2')$, and also we know that $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$. Therefore we obtain the desired direclty from Definition 2.38
- $\forall (a_1', a_2') \in (W_e.\hat{\beta}).(W_e.\theta_1(a_1') = W_e.\theta_2(a_2') \wedge (W_e, k-j-1, H_1'(a_1'), H_2'(a_2')) \in \lceil W_e.\theta_1(a_1') \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$):

  $\forall (a_1', a_2') \in (W_e.\hat{\beta})$.

  A. When $a_1' = a_1$ and $a_2' = a_2$:
  From (FB-A1) and from Definition 2.33 we get
  $(W_e.\theta_1(a_1) = W_e.\theta_2(a_2) = (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma$

  Since from (FB-A2) we know that $(W_e, k-f-s, v_{h2}, v_{h2}') \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
  And since from $cg-assign$ we know that $H_1'(a_1) = v_{h2}$, $H_2'(a_2) = v_{h2}'$ and $j = f + s + 1$ threfore from Lemma 2.46 we get
  $(W_e, k-j-1, H_1'(a_1), H_2'(a_2)) \in \lceil W_e.\theta_1(a_1) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

  B. When $a_1' = a_1$ and $a_2' \neq a_2$: This case cannot arise

  C. When $a_1' \neq a_1$ and $a_2' = a_2$: This case cannot arise

  D. When $a_1' \neq a_1$ and $a_2' \neq a_2$:
  Since $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ therefore the desired is obtained directly from Definition 2.38
- $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\forall m.\forall a_i' \in dom(W_e.\theta_i).(W_e.\theta_i, m, H_i(a_i')) \in \lfloor W_e.\theta_i(a_i') \rfloor_V$:
  <u>When $i = 1$</u>
  Given some m
  $\forall a_1' \in dom(W_e.\theta_1)$.
  - when $a_1' = a_1$:
    From (FB-A1) and from Definition 2.33 we get
    $(W_e.\theta_1(a_1) = W_e.\theta_2(a_2) = (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma$

    Since from (FB-A2) we know that $(W_e, k-f-s, v_{h2}, v_{h2}') \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
    Therefore from Lemma 2.44 get the desired
  - Otherwise:
    Since $(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$ therefore the desired is obtained directly from Definition 2.38

  <u>When $i = 2$</u>
  Similar reasoning as with $i = 1$

ii. $ValEq(\mathcal{A}, W_e, k-j, \ell, (), (), \mathsf{unit})$:
Holds directly from Definition 2.32 and Definition 2.33

(b) $\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell\ \sigma)$:

<u>Case $l = 1$</u>
Given some $k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k$

<u>We need to prove</u>
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{unit})\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau'' \wedge \ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell\ \sigma)$

Since $(W, n, \gamma) \in \lceil \Gamma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from Lemma 2.53 we know that
$\forall m.\ (W.\theta_1, m, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, m, \gamma \downarrow_2) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Instantiating m with k we get $(W.\theta_1, k, \gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V$

Now we can apply Theorem 2.51 to get
$(W.\theta_1, k, ((e_l := e_r)\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{unit}))\ \sigma \rfloor_E$

This means from Definition 2.36 we get
$\forall c < k.(e_l := e_r)\gamma \downarrow_1 \Downarrow_c v \implies (W.\theta_1, k - c, v) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{unit}))\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Instantiating c with 0 and from $cg - val$ we know that $v = (e_l := e_r)\gamma \downarrow_1$

And we have $(W.\theta_1, k, (e_l := e_r)\gamma \downarrow_1) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{unit}))\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

From Definition 2.35 we have
$\forall K \leq k, \theta'_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, H_1, J.(K, H_1) \triangleright \theta'_e \wedge (H_1, v) \Downarrow_J^f (H', v') \wedge J < K \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta'_e.(K - J, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', K - J, v') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\quad \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H_1(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta'_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell''\ \tau'' \wedge \ell'\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell'') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta'_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell'\ \sigma)$

Instantiating K with k, θ'_e with θ_e, H_1 with H and J with j we get the desired

Case $l = 2$
Symmetric reasoning as in the $l = 1$ case above

□

Lemma 2.55 (CG: Equivalence of values)**.** $\forall \mathcal{A}, W, W, \ell, \ell', v_1, v_2, \tau, i, j.$
ValEq$(\mathcal{A}, W, \ell, i, v_1, v_2, \tau) \wedge j < i \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \ell' \wedge W \sqsubseteq W' \implies$
ValEq$(\mathcal{A}, W', \ell', j, v_1, v_2, \tau)$

Proof. Given that *ValEq*$(\mathcal{A}, W, \ell, i, v_1, v_2, \tau)$. From Definition 2.32 two cases arise

1. $\ell \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
   In this case we know that $(W, i, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   2 cases arise
   (a) $\ell' \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
   Since $(W, i, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from Lemma 2.46 we know that $(W', j, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   And thus from Definition 2.32 we know that *ValEq*$(\mathcal{A}, W', \ell', j, v_1, v_2, \tau)$
   (b) $\ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
   Since $(W, i, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from Lemma 2.44 we know that $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\ \forall m.$ $(W.\theta_i, m, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$
   And from Lemma 2.45 we know that $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W'.\theta_i, m, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$
   Hence from Definition 2.32 we know that *ValEq*$(\mathcal{A}, W', \ell', j, v_1, v_2, \tau)$

2. $\ell \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:

   Given is $\ell \sqsubseteq \ell' \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$

   In this case we know that $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W.\theta_i, m, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

   And from Lemma 2.45 we know that $\forall i \in \{1,2\}.\ \forall m.\ (W'.\theta_i, m, v_i) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V$

   Hence from Definition 2.32 we know that $\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', \ell', j, v_1, v_2, \tau)$

□

Lemma 2.56 (CG: Subtyping binary)**.** *The following holds:*
$\forall \Sigma, \Psi, \sigma, \tau, \tau'.$

1. $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \wedge \mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \implies \lceil (\tau\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil (\tau'\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
2. $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \wedge \mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \implies \lceil (\tau\ \sigma) \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil (\tau'\ \sigma) \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

Proof. Proof of statement (1)
Proof by induction on the $\tau <: \tau'$

1. CGsub-arrow:

   Given:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1' <: \tau_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \to \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \to \tau_2'}$$

   To prove: $\lceil ((\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil ((\tau_1' \to \tau_2')\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   IH1: $\lceil (\tau_1'\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil (\tau_1\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (Statement 1)
   $\lceil (\tau_2\ \sigma) \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil (\tau_2'\ \sigma) \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$ (Sub-A0 From Statement 2)

   It suffices to prove:
   $\forall (W, n, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lceil ((\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}.\ (W, n, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lceil ((\tau_1' \to \tau_2')\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   This means that given: $(W, n, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lceil ((\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   And it suffices to prove: $(W, n, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in \lceil ((\tau_1' \to \tau_2')\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   From Definition 2.33 we are given:
   $\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, j < n, v_1, v_2.((W', j, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies$
   $(W', j, e_1[v_1/x], e_2[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge$
   $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j, v_c.((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_1[v_1/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E) \wedge$
   $\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j, v_c.((\theta_l, j, v_c) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l, j, e_2[v_c/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E)$ (Sub-A1)

   Again from Definition 2.33 we are required to prove:
   $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W, k < n, v_1', v_2'.((W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1'\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies (W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2'\ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}) \wedge$
   $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1'\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2'\ \sigma \rfloor_E) \wedge$
   $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1'\ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_2[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2'\ \sigma \rfloor_E)$

   This means need to prove:

(a) $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W, k < n, v_1', v_2'.((W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1' \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies (W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2' \ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}})$:
Given: $W'' \sqsupseteq W$, $k < n$ and v_1', v_2'. We are also given $(W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1' \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
To prove: $(W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2' \ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating the first conjunct of Sub-A1 with W'', k, v_1' and v_2' we get

$$((W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1 \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \implies (W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}) \tag{153}$$

Since $(W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1' \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from IH1 we know that $(W'', k, v_1', v_2') \in \lceil \tau_1 \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Thus from Equation 153 we get $(W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2 \ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

Finally using (Sub-A0) we get $(W'', k, e_1[v_1'/x], e_2[v_2'/x]) \in \lceil \tau_2' \ \sigma \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

(b) $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1' \ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \ \sigma \rfloor_E)$:
Given: $\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, v_c'$. We are also given $(\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1' \ \sigma \rfloor_V$
To prove: $(\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \ \sigma \rfloor_E$

Since we are given $(\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1' \ \sigma \rfloor_V$ and since $\tau_1' \ \sigma <: \tau_1 \ \sigma$ therefore from Lemma 2.52 we get

$$(\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \ \sigma \rfloor_V \tag{154}$$

Instantiating the second conjunct of Sub-A1 with θ_l', k, v_1' and v_2' we get

$$((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \ \sigma \rfloor_E) \tag{155}$$

Therefore from Equation 154 and 155 we get $(\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \ \sigma \rfloor_E$

Since $\tau_2 \ \sigma <: \tau_2' \ \sigma$ therefore from Lemma 2.52 we get
$(\theta_l', k, e_1[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \ \sigma \rfloor_E$

(c) $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, v_c'.((\theta_l', k, v_c') \in \lfloor \tau_1' \ \sigma \rfloor_V \implies (\theta_l', k, e_2[v_c'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2' \ \sigma \rfloor_E)$:
Similar reasoning as in the previous case

2. CGsub-prod:

Given:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'}$$

To prove: $\lceil ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2') \ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

IH1: $\lceil (\tau_1 \ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil (\tau_1' \ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (Statement (1))

IH2: $\lceil (\tau_2 \ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil (\tau_2' \ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (Statement (1))

It suffices to prove: $\forall (W, n, (v_1, v_2), (v_1', v_2')) \in \lceil ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}. \ (W, n, (v_1, v_2), (v_1', v_2')) \in \lceil ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2') \ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means that given: $(W, n, (v_1, v_2), (v_1', v_2')) \in \lceil ((\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Therefore from Definition 2.33 we are given:

$$(W, n, v_1, v_1') \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W, n, v_2, v_2') \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{156}$$

And it suffices to prove: $(W, n, (v_1, v_2), (v_1', v_2')) \in \lceil ((\tau_1' \times \tau_2')\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Again from Definition 2.33, it suffices to prove:
$(W, n, v_1, v_1') \in \lceil \tau_1'\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge (W, n, v_2, v_2') \in \lceil \tau_2'\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Since from Equation 156 we know that $(W, n, v_1, v_1') \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore from IH1 we have $(W, n, v_1, v_1') \in \lceil \tau_1'\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Similarly since $(W, n, v_2, v_2') \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ from Equation 156 therefore from IH2 we have $(W, n, v_2, v_2') \in \lceil \tau_2'\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

3. CGsub-sum:

   Given:
$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}$$

   To prove: $\lceil ((\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil ((\tau_1' + \tau_2')\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   IH1: $\lceil (\tau_1\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil (\tau_1'\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (Statement (1))
   IH2: $\lceil (\tau_2\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil (\tau_2'\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (Statement (1))
   It suffices to prove: $\forall (W, n, v_{s1}, v_{s2}) \in \lceil ((\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}.\ (W, n, v_{s1}, v_{s2}) \in \lceil ((\tau_1' + \tau_2')\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   This means that given: $(W, n, v_{s1}, v_{s2}) \in \lceil ((\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   And it suffices to prove: $(W, n, v_{s1}, v_{s2}) \in \lceil ((\tau_1' + \tau_2')\ \sigma) \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   2 cases arise

   (a) $v_{s1} = \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i1}$ and $v_{s1} = \mathsf{inl}\ v_{i2}$:
   From Definition 2.33 we are given:
$$(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{157}$$
   And we are required to prove that:
   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1'\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   From Equation 157 and IH1 we know that
   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_1'\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

   (b) $v_s = \mathsf{inr}\ v_{i1}$ and $v_{s2} = \mathsf{inr}\ v_{i2}$:
   From Definition 2.33 we are given:
$$(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_2\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \tag{158}$$
   And we are required to prove that:
   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_2'\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
   From Equation 158 and IH2 we know that
   $(W, n, v_{i1}, v_{i2}) \in \lceil \tau_2'\ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

4. CGsub-forall:

   Given:

$$\frac{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \forall\alpha.\tau_1 <: \forall\alpha.\tau_2}$$

   To prove: $\lceil((\forall\alpha.\tau_1)\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V \subseteq \lceil(\forall\alpha.\tau_2)\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   $\forall\sigma.\ \lceil(\tau_1\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E \subseteq \lceil(\tau_2\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E$ (Sub-F2, From Statement (2))

   It suffices to prove: $\forall(W, n, \Lambda e_1, \Lambda e_2) \in \lceil((\forall\alpha.\tau_1)\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$.

   $(W, n, \Lambda e_1, \Lambda e_2) \in \lceil((\forall\alpha.\tau_2)\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   This means that given: $(W, n, \Lambda e_1, \Lambda e_2) \in \lceil((\forall\alpha.(\tau_1))\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   Therefore from Definition 2.33 we are given:

$\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, n' < n, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.((W', n', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau_1[\ell'/\alpha]\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E) \wedge$
$\forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta_l, j, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1[\ell'/\alpha]\rfloor_E) \wedge$
$\forall\theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta_l, j, e_2) \in \lfloor\tau_1[\ell''/\alpha]\rfloor_E)$ (Sub-F1)

   And it suffices to prove: $(W, n, \Lambda e_1, \Lambda e_2) \in \lceil((\forall\alpha.\tau_2)\ \sigma)\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_V$

   Again from Definition 2.33, it suffices to prove:

$\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W, n'' < n, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau_2[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E) \wedge$
$\forall\theta'_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta'_l, k, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau_2[\ell''/\alpha]\rfloor_E) \wedge$
$\forall\theta'_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta'_l, k, e_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2[\ell''/\alpha]\rfloor_E)$

   This means we are required to show:

   (a) $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W, n'' < n, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}.((W'', n', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau_2[\ell'/\alpha]\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$:
   By instantiating the first conjunct of Sub-F1 with W'', n'' and ℓ'' we know that the following holds
   $((W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau_1[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$

   Therefore from Sub-F2 instantiated at $\sigma \cup \{\alpha \mapsto \ell''\}$
   $((W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau_2[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rceil^{\mathcal{A}}_E)$

   (b) $\forall\theta'_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta'_l, k, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau_2[\ell''/\alpha]\rfloor_E)$:
   By instantiating the second conjunct of Sub-F1 with θ'_l and ℓ'' we know that the following holds
   $((\theta'_l, k, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor_E)$

   Since $\tau_1\ \sigma \cup \{\alpha \mapsto \ell''\} <: \tau_2\ \sigma \cup \{\alpha \mapsto \ell''\}$ therefore from Lemma 2.52 we know that
   $((\theta'_l, k, e1) \in \lfloor\tau_2[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor_E)$

   (c) $\forall\theta'_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}.((\theta'_l, k, e_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2[\ell''/\alpha]\rfloor_E)$:
   Similar reasoning as in the previous case

5. CGsub-constraint:

   Given:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c_2 \implies c_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1 <: c_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2}$$

To prove: $\lceil((c_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1)\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil((c_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2))\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

$\lceil(\tau_1\ \sigma)\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil(\tau_2\ \sigma)\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$ (Sub-C0, From Statement (2))

It suffices to prove: $\forall(W, n, \nu e_1, \nu e_2) \in \lceil((c_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1)\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}.\ \ (W, n, \nu e_1, \nu e_2) \in \lceil((c_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means that given: $(W, n, \nu e_1, \nu e_2) \in \lceil((c_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1)\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Therefore from Definition 2.33 we are given:

$\forall W' \sqsupseteq W, n' < n.\mathcal{L} \models c_1\ \sigma \implies (W', n', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau_1\ \sigma\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge$
$\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k.\mathcal{L} \models c_1 \implies (\theta_l, k, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_E \wedge$
$\forall \theta_l \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, k.\mathcal{L} \models c_1 \implies (\theta_l, k, e_2) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_E$ (Sub-C1)

And it suffices to prove: $(W, n, \nu e_1, \nu e_2) \in \lceil((c_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Again from Definition 2.33, it suffices to prove:

$\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W, n'' < n.\mathcal{L} \models c_2\ \sigma \implies (W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau_2\ \sigma\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}} \wedge$
$\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, j.\mathcal{L} \models c_2 \implies (\theta_l', j, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_E \wedge$
$\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j.\mathcal{L} \models c_2 \implies (\theta_l', j, e_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_E$

This means that we are required to show the following:

(a) $\forall W'' \sqsupseteq W, n'' < n.\mathcal{L} \models c_2\ \sigma \implies (W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau_2\ \sigma\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$:
We are given $W'' \sqsupseteq W, n'' < n$ also we know that $\mathcal{L} \models c_2\ \sigma$ and $c_2\ \sigma \implies c_1\ \sigma$ therefore we also know that $\mathcal{L} \models c_1\ \sigma$
Hence by instantiating the first conjunct of Sub-C1 with W'' and n'' we know that the following holds
$(W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau_1\ \sigma\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

Therefore from (Sub-C0) we get $(W'', n'', e_1, e_2) \in \lceil\tau_2\ \sigma\rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

(b) $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k.\mathcal{L} \models c_2 \implies (\theta_l', k, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_E$:
We are given some $\theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_1, k$, also we know that $\mathcal{L} \models c_2\ \sigma$ and $c_2\ \sigma \implies c_1\ \sigma$ therefore we also know that $\mathcal{L} \models c_1\ \sigma$
Hence by instantiating the second conjunct of Sub-C1 with θ_l' we know that the following holds
$(\theta_l', k, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_E$
Since $\tau_1\ \sigma <: \tau_2\ \sigma$ therefore from Lemma 2.52 we get
$(\theta_l', k, e_1) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_E$

(c) $\forall \theta_l' \sqsupseteq W.\theta_2, j.\mathcal{L} \models c_2 \implies (\theta_l', j, e_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_E$:
Similar reasoning as in the previous case

6. CGsub-label:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau <: \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'}$$

To prove: $\lceil((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ '\tau')\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

IH: $\lceil(\tau\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil(\tau'\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

It suffices to prove: $\forall(W, n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_1), \mathsf{Lb}(v_2)) \in \lceil((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}.\ (W, n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_1), \mathsf{Lb}(v_2)) \in \lceil((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ '\tau')\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means we are given $(W, n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_1), \mathsf{Lb}(v_2)) \in \lceil((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

From Definition 2.33 it means we have $\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W, \ell\ \sigma, n, v_1, v_2, \tau\ \sigma)$ (Sub-L0)

and it suffices to prove $(W, n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_1), \mathsf{Lb}(v_2)) \in \lceil((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ '\tau')\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Again from Definition 2.33 it means w need to prove that

$\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W, \ell'\ \sigma, n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_1), \mathsf{Lb}_\ell(v_2), \tau'\ \sigma)$

Since we have (Sub-L0) and $\ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell'\ \sigma$ therefore from Lemma 2.55 we have

$\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W, \ell'\ \sigma, n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_1), \mathsf{Lb}_\ell(v_2), \tau\ \sigma)$

2 cases arise:

(a) $\ell'\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
In this case from Definition 2.32 we know that $(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\tau\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
From IH we also know that $(W, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil\tau'\ \sigma\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$
And from Definition 2.33 we get $\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W, \ell'\ \sigma, n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_1), \mathsf{Lb}_\ell(v_2), \tau'\ \sigma)$

(b) $\ell'\ \sigma \not\sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$:
In this case from Definition 2.32 we know that $\forall j.\ (W.\theta_1, j, v_1) \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, j, v_2) \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V$
Since $\tau\ \sigma <: \tau'\ \sigma$ therefore from Lemma 2.52 we get $(W.\theta_1, j, v_1) \in \lfloor\tau'\ \sigma\rfloor_V$ and $(W.\theta_2, j, v_2) \in \lfloor\tau'\ \sigma\rfloor_V$

And from Definition 2.33 we get $\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W, \ell'\ \sigma, n, \mathsf{Lb}(v_1), \mathsf{Lb}_\ell(v_2), \tau'\ \sigma)$

7. CGsub-CG:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell_i' \sqsubseteq \ell_i \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell_o \sqsubseteq \ell_o'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau <: \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i'\ \ell_o'\ \tau'}$$

To prove: $\lceil((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i'\ \ell_o'\ \tau')\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

IH: $\lceil(\tau\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \lceil(\tau'\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

It suffices to prove: $\forall(W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}.\ (W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i'\ \ell_o'\ \tau')\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means we are given $(W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

From Definition 2.33 it means we have

$\Big(\forall k \le n,\ W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v_1', v_2', j.$
$(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k - j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k - j, \ell_o\ \sigma, v_1', v_2', \tau\ \sigma)\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1, 2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, e_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k - j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k - j, v_l') \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i\ \sigma)\Big)$ (Sub-CG0)

And we need to prove

$(W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i'\ \ell_o'\ \tau')\ \sigma)\rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Again from Definition 2.33 it means we need to prove

$\Big(\forall k \leq n,\ W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v_1', v_2', j.$
$(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_o'\ \sigma, v_1', v_2', \tau'\ \sigma)\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_i'\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i'\ \sigma)\Big)$

It means we need to prove:

(a) $\forall k \leq n,\ W_e \sqsupseteq W.\forall H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge \forall v_1', v_2', j.$
$(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_o\ \sigma, v_1', v_2', \tau'\ \sigma)$:

This means we are given $k \leq n, W_e \sqsupseteq W, H_1, H_2, v_1', v_2', j < k$ s.t
$(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e$, $(H_1, e_1) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1') \wedge (H_2, e_2) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2')$

And we need to prove
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_o'\ \sigma, v_1', v_2', \tau'\ \sigma)$

Instantiating the first conjuct of (Sub-CG0) to get
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_o\ \sigma, v_1', v_2', \tau\ \sigma)$ (Sub-CG1)

Since from (Sub-CG1) $\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_o\ \sigma, v_1', v_2', \tau\ \sigma)$
Therefore from Lemma 2.55 we get $\mathit{ValEq}(\mathcal{A}, W', k-j, \ell_o'\ \sigma, v_1', v_2', \tau\ \sigma)$

(b) $\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, e_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i\ \sigma)$:
Case $l = 1$
Here we are given $k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq \theta, H, j < k$ s.t $(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, e_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l')$

And we need to prove

i. $\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$:
Instantiating the second conjunct of (Sub-CG0) with the given k, θ_e, H, j to get
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

Since $\tau\ \sigma <: \tau'\ \sigma$ therefore from Lemma 2.52 we get $(\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V$

ii. $(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_i'\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell')$:
Instantiating the second conjunct of (Sub-CG0) with the given v, i, k, θ_e, H, j to get
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_i\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell')$
Since $\ell_i'\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_i\ \sigma$ therefore we also get
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'' \wedge \ell_i'\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell')$

iii. $(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i' \ \sigma)$:
Instantiating the second conjunct of (Sub-CG0) with the given v, i, k, θ_e, H, j to get
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i \ \sigma)$
Since $\ell_i' \ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_i \ \sigma$ therefore we also get
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_i' \ \sigma)$

Case $l = 2$

Symmetric reasoning as in the previous $l = 1$ case

8. CGsub-base:

   Trivial

Proof of Statement (2)

It suffice to prove that
$\forall (W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil (\tau \ \sigma) \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}. \ (W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil (\tau' \ \sigma) \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means given $(W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil (\tau \ \sigma) \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$
From Definition 2.34 it means we have
$\forall i < n. e_1 \Downarrow_i v_1 \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \implies (W, n-i, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ (Sub-E0)

And it suffices to prove $(W, n, e_1, e_2) \in \lceil (\tau' \ \sigma) \rceil_E^{\mathcal{A}}$
Again from Definition 2.34 it means we need to prove
$\forall i < n. e_1 \Downarrow_i v_1 \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \implies (W, n-i, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau' \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

This means that given $i < n$ s.t $e_1 \Downarrow_i v_1 \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_2$ we need to prove $(W, n-i, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau' \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

Instantiating (Sub-E0) with the given i we get $(W, n-i, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$

From Statement (1) we get $(W, n-i, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \tau' \ \sigma \rceil_V^{\mathcal{A}}$ □

Theorem 2.57 (CG: NI)**.** $\forall v_1, v_2, e, \tau, n.$
$(\emptyset, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled} \ \top \ \mathsf{b} \rceil_V^{\perp} \wedge$
$(\emptyset, n, e[v_1/x], e[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \mathbb{C} \perp \perp \mathsf{b} \rceil_E^{\perp} \wedge$
$(\emptyset, e[v_1/x]) \Downarrow_{n'}^{f} (-, v_1') \wedge n' < n \wedge (\emptyset, e[v_2/x]) \Downarrow_{n'}^{f} (-, v_2') \implies$
$v_1' = v_2'$

Proof. Given some $v_1, v_2, e, \tau, H_1, H_2, W, n, j < n$ s.t
$(\emptyset, n, v_1, v_2) \in \lceil \mathsf{Labeled} \ \top \ \mathsf{b} \rceil_V^{\perp} \wedge$
$(\emptyset, n, e[v_1/x], e[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \mathbb{C} \perp \perp \mathsf{b} \rceil_E^{\perp} \wedge$
$(\emptyset, e[v_1/x]) \Downarrow_{n'}^{f} (-, v_1') \wedge n' < n \wedge (\emptyset, e[v_2/x]) \Downarrow_{n'}^{f} (-, v_2')$

We need to prove
$v_1' = v_2'$

Since we are given $(\emptyset, n, e[v_1/x], e[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \mathbb{C} \perp \perp \mathsf{b} \rceil_E^{\perp}$
Therefore from Definition 2.34 we know that
$\forall i < n. e_1[v_1/x] \Downarrow_i v_{11} \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_{22} \implies (\emptyset, n-i, v_{11}, v_{22}) \in \lceil \mathbb{C} \perp \perp \mathsf{b} \rceil_V^{\perp}$

From CG-val we know that $i = 0$, $v_{11} = e[v_1/x]$ and $v_{22} = e[v_2/x]$
Therefore we have
$(\emptyset, n, e[v_1/x], e[v_2/x]) \in \lceil \mathbb{C} \perp \perp \mathsf{b} \rceil_V^{\perp}$

From Definition 2.35 we have

$\Big(\forall k \leq n,\, W_e \sqsupseteq \emptyset, H_1, H_2.(k, H_1, H_2) \triangleright W_e \wedge$
$\forall v_1'', v_2'', j.(H_1, e[v_1/x]) \Downarrow_j^f (H_1', v_1'') \wedge (H_2, e[v_2/x]) \Downarrow^f (H_2', v_2'') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq W_e.(k-j, H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\bot, W', k-j, \bot, v_1', v_2', \mathsf{b})\Big) \wedge$
$\forall l \in \{1,2\}.\Big(\forall k, \theta_e \sqsupseteq W.\theta_l, H, j.(k, H) \triangleright \theta_e \wedge (H, v_l) \Downarrow_j^f (H', v_l') \wedge j < k \implies$
$\exists \theta' \sqsupseteq \theta_e.(k-j, H') \triangleright \theta' \wedge (\theta', k-j, v_l') \in \lfloor \mathsf{b} \rfloor_V \wedge$
$(\forall a.H(a) \neq H'(a) \implies \exists \ell'.\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \bot \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom(\theta') \backslash dom(\theta_e).\theta'(a) \searrow \bot)\Big)$

Instantiating the first conjunct with $n, \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset$.
Since we know that
$(\emptyset, e[v_1/x]) \Downarrow_{n'}^f (-, v_1') \wedge n' < n \wedge (\emptyset, e[v_2/x]) \Downarrow_{n'}^f (-, v_2')$

Therefore we instantiate v_1'' with v_1', v_2'' with v_2', j with n' to get
$\exists W' \sqsupseteq \emptyset.(n-n', H_1', H_2') \triangleright W' \wedge \mathit{ValEq}(\bot, W', k-j, \bot, v_1', v_2', \mathsf{b})$

From Definition 2.32 and Definition 2.35 we get $v_1' = v_2'$

□

2.3 CG to FG translation

2.3.1 Type directed translation from CG to FG

CG types are translated into FG types by the following definition of $[\![\cdot]\!]$

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
[\![\mathsf{b}]\!] &= \mathsf{b}^{\bot} & & \\
[\![\tau_1 \to \tau_2]\!] &= ([\![\tau_1]\!] \xrightarrow{\top} [\![\tau_2]\!])^{\bot} & [\![\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau]\!] &= (\mathsf{ref}\ ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell})^{\bot} \\
[\![\tau_1 \times \tau_2]\!] &= ([\![\tau_1]\!] \times [\![\tau_2]\!])^{\bot} & [\![\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau]\!] &= (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_i} ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o})^{\bot} \\
[\![\tau_1 + \tau_2]\!] &= ([\![\tau_1]\!] + [\![\tau_2]\!])^{\bot} & [\![c \Rightarrow \tau]\!] &= (c \xRightarrow{\top} [\![\tau]\!])^{\bot} \\
[\![\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau]\!] &= ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell} & [\![\forall\alpha.\tau]\!] &= (\forall\alpha.(\top, [\![\tau]\!]))^{\bot}
\end{array}
$$

The translation judgment for expressions is of the form $\boxed{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_C : \tau_C \rightsquigarrow e_F}$. Its rules are shown below.

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau \rightsquigarrow x}\ \text{var}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e : \tau' \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \lambda x.e : \tau \to \tau' \rightsquigarrow \lambda x.e_F}\ \text{lam}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \to \tau' \rightsquigarrow e_{F1} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{F2}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_1\ e_2 : \tau' \rightsquigarrow e_{F1}\ e_{F2}}\ \text{app}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_{F1} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_{F2}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rightsquigarrow (e_{F1}, e_{F2})}\ \text{prod}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{fst}(e) : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{fst}(e_F)}\ \text{fst}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd}(e) : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{snd}(e_F)}\ \text{snd}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{inl}(e) : \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{inl}(e_F)}\ \text{inl}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{inr}(e) : \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{inr}(e_F)}\ \text{inr}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_F \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e_1 : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{F1} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, y : \tau_2 \vdash e_2 : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{F2}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{case}(e, x.e_1, y.e_2) : \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{case}(e_F, x.e_{F1}, y.e_{F2})}\ \text{case}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{Lb}_{\ell}(e) : (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{inl}(e_F)}\ \text{label}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}(e) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ (\ell_i \sqcup \ell)\ \tau \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.e_F}\ \text{unlabel}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{toLabeled}(e) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau) \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_F\ ())}\ \text{toLabeled}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{ret}(e) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \tau \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_F)}\ \text{ret}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{F1} \qquad \Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma, x:\tau \vdash e_2 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell_o\ \tau' \rightsquigarrow e_{F2}}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_1, x.e_2) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau' \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{F1}(), x.e_{F2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}())}\ \text{bind}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{new}\ e : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ (e_F))}\ \text{ref}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash !e : \mathbb{C}\ \ell'\ \ell'\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_F)}\ \text{deref}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{F1} \qquad \Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e_2 : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{F2} \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e_1 := e_2 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ \mathsf{unit} \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{F1} := e_{F2})}\ \text{assign}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e : \tau' \rightsquigarrow e_F \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau' <: \tau}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F}\ \text{sub}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma, \alpha;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash \Lambda e : \forall\alpha.\tau \rightsquigarrow \Lambda e_F}\ \text{FI}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e : \forall\alpha.\tau \rightsquigarrow e_F \qquad \mathrm{FV}(\ell) \in \Sigma}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e\ [] : \tau[\ell/\alpha] \rightsquigarrow e_F[]}\ \text{FE}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi, c;\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash \nu\ e : c \Rightarrow \tau \rightsquigarrow \nu\ e_F}\ \text{CI}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e : c \Rightarrow \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash c}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e\ \bullet : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F \bullet}\ \text{CE}$$

2.3.2 Type preservation for CG to FG translation

Assumption 2.58. $\forall e, \tau, \Sigma, \Psi, \Gamma, \ell_i, \ell_o.$
$\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau \implies \ell_i \sqsubseteq \ell_o$

Theorem 2.59 (CG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG: Type preservation)**.** $\forall \Sigma, \Psi, \Gamma, e_C, \tau.$
$\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e_C : \tau$ *is a valid typing derivation in CG* $\implies$
$\exists e_F.$
$\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e_C : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_F \wedge$
$\Sigma;\Psi;[\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top e_F : [\![\tau]\!]$ *is a valid typing derivation in FG*

Proof. Proof by induction on the translation judgment. We show selected cases below.

1. label:

$$\frac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi;[\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top e_F : [\![\tau]\!]}\ \text{IH}}{\Sigma;\Psi;[\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top \mathsf{inl}(e_F) : ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^\bot}\ \text{FG-inl}}{\Sigma;\Psi;[\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top \mathsf{inl}(e_F) : ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^\ell}\ \text{FG-sub}$$

2. unlabel:

   P1:

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_i \sqcup \ell \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit}) <: ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})}\ \text{Lemma 2.1}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^\ell <: ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_i \sqcup \ell}}\ \text{FGsub-label}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi;[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\top e_F : ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell}}\ \text{IH, Weakening} \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \ell_i \sqsubseteq \top \qquad P1}{\dfrac{\Sigma;\Psi;[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell_i} e_F : ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_i \sqcup \ell}}{\Sigma;\Psi;[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\top \lambda\_.e_F : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_i} ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_i \sqcup \ell})^{\bot}}\ \text{FG-lam}}\ \text{FG-sub}$$

3. toLabeled:

   P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi;[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\top e_F : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_i} ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o})^{\bot}}\ \text{IH, Weakening} \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \ell_i \sqsubseteq \top}{\Sigma;\Psi;[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell_i} e_F : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_i} ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o})^{\bot}}\ \text{FG-sub}$$

   P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi;[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell_i} () : \mathsf{unit}} \qquad \begin{array}{c} P2 \\ \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \ell_i \sqcup \bot \sqsubseteq \ell_i \end{array} \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o} \searrow \bot}{\Sigma;\Psi;[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell_i} e_F() : ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o}}\ \text{FG-app}$$

   Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P1 \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \bot \sqsubseteq \ell_i}{\Sigma;\Psi;[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell_i} \mathsf{inl}(e_F()) : (([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o} + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_i}}\ \text{FG-inl, FG-sub}}{\Sigma;\Psi;[\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_F()) : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_i} (([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o} + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_i})^{\bot}}\ \text{FG-lam}$$

4. ret:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi;[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\top e_F : [\![\tau]\!]}\ \text{IH, Weakening} \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \ell_i \sqsubseteq \top}{\Sigma;\Psi;[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell_i} e_F : [\![\tau]\!]}\ \text{FG-sub} \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \bot \sqsubseteq \ell_i}{\Sigma;\Psi;[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell_i} \mathsf{inl}(e_F) : ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_i}}\ \text{FG-sub, FG-in}$$
$$\Sigma;\Psi;[\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_F) : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_i} ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_i})^{\bot}$$

5. bind:

   P1.1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi;[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\top e_{F1} : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_i} ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell})^{\bot}}\ \text{IH1, Weakening} \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \ell_i \sqsubseteq \top}{\Sigma;\Psi;[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell_i} e_{F1} : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_i} ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell})^{\bot}}\ \text{FG-sub}$$

   P1:

$$\dfrac{\begin{array}{c} P1.1 \\ \Sigma;\Psi \vdash (\ell_i \sqcup \bot) \sqsubseteq \ell_i \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi;[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell_i} () : \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{FG-var} \\ \dfrac{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \bot \sqsubseteq \ell}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell} \searrow \bot} \end{array}}{\Sigma;\Psi;[\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell_i} e_{F1}() : ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell}}\ \text{FG-app}$$

P2.1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; [\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit}, x : [\![\tau]\!] \vdash_\top e_{F2} : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell} ([\![\tau']\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o})^\perp}\ \text{IH2, Weakening} \quad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \top}{\Sigma; \Psi; [\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit}, x : [\![\tau]\!] \vdash_\ell e_{F2} : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell} ([\![\tau']\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o})^\perp}\ \text{FG-sub}$$

P2:

$$\dfrac{P2.1 \quad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; [\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit}, x : [\![\tau]\!] \vdash_\ell () : \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{FG-var} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\ell \sqcup \perp) \sqsubseteq \ell \quad \dfrac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \perp \sqsubseteq \ell_o}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash ([\![\tau']\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o} \searrow \perp}}{\Sigma; \Psi; [\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit}, x : [\![\tau]\!] \vdash_{\ell_i \sqcup \ell} e_{F2}() : ([\![\tau']\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o}}\ \text{FG-app}$$

P3:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; [\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit}, y : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\ell () : \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{FG-var} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \perp \sqsubseteq \ell_o}{\Sigma; \Psi; [\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit}, y : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\ell \mathsf{inr}() : ([\![\tau']\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o}}\ \text{FG-sub, FG-inr}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{P1 \qquad P2 \qquad P3 \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell_o\ \tau}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_o}\ \text{Assumption 2.58}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash ([\![\tau']\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o} \searrow \ell}}{\Sigma; \Psi; [\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell_i} \mathsf{case}(e_{F1}(), x.e_{F2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}()) : ([\![\tau']\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o}}\ \text{FG-case}}{\Sigma; \Psi; [\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top \lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{F1}(), x.e_{F2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}()) : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_i} ([\![\tau']\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o})^\perp}\ \text{FG-lam, weak}$$

6. ref:

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; [\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\top e_F : ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'}}\ \text{IH, Weakening} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \top}{\Sigma; \Psi; [\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\ell e_F : ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'}}\ \text{FG-sub} \quad \dfrac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'} \searrow \ell}}{\Sigma; \Psi; [\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\ell \mathsf{new}\ e_F : (\mathsf{ref}([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'})^\perp}\ \text{FG-ref}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \perp \sqsubseteq \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; [\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\ell \mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ e_F) : ((\mathsf{ref}([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'})^\perp + \mathsf{unit})^\ell}\ \text{FG-inl, FG-sub}}{\Sigma; \Psi; [\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ e_F) : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell} ((\mathsf{ref}([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'})^\perp + \mathsf{unit})^\ell)^\perp}\ \text{FG-lam}$$

7. deref:

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; [\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\top e_F : (\mathsf{ref}\ ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^\ell)^\perp}\ \text{IH, Weakening} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell' \sqsubseteq \top}{\Sigma; \Psi; [\![\Gamma]\!], \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell'} e_F : (\mathsf{ref}\ ([\![\tau]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^\ell)^\perp}\ \text{FG-sub}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{P2 \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell} <: (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell}}\ \text{Lemma 2.1} \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell} \searrow \bot}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket, \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell'} !e_F : (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell}}\ \text{FG-deref}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \bot \sqsubseteq \ell' \qquad \dfrac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell} <: (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell}}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket, \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell'} \mathsf{inl}(!e_F) : ((\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell} + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'}}\ \text{FG-inl, FG-sub}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \vdash_{\top} \lambda \_ . \mathsf{inl}(!e_F) : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell'} ((\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell} + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'})^{\bot}}\ \text{FG-lam}$$

8. assign:

   P3:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket, \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\top} e_{F2} : (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'}}\ \text{IH2, Weakening} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \top}{\Sigma; \Psi; \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket, \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell} e_{F2} : (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'}}\ \text{FG-sub}$$

   P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket, \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\top} e_{F1} : (\mathsf{ref}(\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'})^{\bot}}\ \text{IH1, Weakening} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \top}{\Sigma; \Psi; \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket, \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell} e_{F1} : (\mathsf{ref}(\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'})^{\bot}}\ \text{FG-sub}$$

   P1:

$$\dfrac{P2 \qquad P3 \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell'} \searrow (\ell \sqcup \bot)}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket, \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell} e_{F1} := e_{F2} : \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{FG-assign}$$

   Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \bot \sqsubseteq \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket, \_ : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_{\ell} \mathsf{inl}(e_{F1} := e_{F2}) : (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell}}\ \text{FG-inl, FG-sub}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \vdash_{\top} \lambda \_ . \mathsf{inl}(e_{F1} := e_{F2}) : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell} (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell})^{\bot}}\ \text{FG-lam}$$

9. sub:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \vdash_{\top} e_F : \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket}\ \text{IH} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \top \sqsubseteq \top \qquad \dfrac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau' <: \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket <: \llbracket \tau \rrbracket}\ \text{Lemma 2.60}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \vdash_{\top} e_F : \llbracket \tau \rrbracket}\ \text{FG-sub}$$

10. FI:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi; \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \vdash_{\top} e_F : \llbracket \tau \rrbracket}\ \text{IH}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \vdash_{\top} \Lambda e_F : (\forall \alpha . (\top, \llbracket \tau \rrbracket))^{\bot}}\ \text{FG-FI}$$

11. FE:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; [\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top e_F : (\forall\alpha.(\top, [\![\tau]\!]))^\bot}\ \text{IH} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \top \sqcup \bot \sqsubseteq \top \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\tau[\ell/\alpha]]\!] \searrow \bot}{\Sigma; \Psi; [\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top e_F\ [] : [\![\tau]\!][\ell/\alpha]}\ \text{FG-FE}\ \ \text{FV}(\ell) \in \Sigma$$

12. CI:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi, c; [\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top e_F : [\![\tau]\!]}\ \text{IH}}{\Sigma; \Psi; [\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top \nu\ e_F : (c \overset{\top}{\Rightarrow} [\![\tau]\!])^\bot}\ \text{FG-CI}$$

13. CE:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; [\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top e_F : (c \overset{\top}{\Rightarrow} [\![\tau]\!])^\bot}\ \text{IH} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash c \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \top \sqcup \bot \sqsubseteq \top \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\tau]\!] \searrow \bot}{\Sigma; \Psi; [\![\Gamma]\!] \vdash_\top e_F \bullet : [\![\tau]\!]}\ \text{FG-CE}$$

□

Lemma 2.60 (CG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG: Subtyping)**.** *For any CG types τ and τ', Σ, and Ψ, if $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau'$, then $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\tau]\!] <: [\![\tau']\!]$.*

Proof. Proof by induction on CG's subtyping relation

1. CGsub-base:

$$\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\tau]\!] <: [\![\tau]\!]}\ \text{Lemma 2.1}$$

2. CGsub-arrow:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\tau_1']\!] <: [\![\tau_1]\!]}\ \text{IH1} \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\tau_2]\!] <: [\![\tau_2']\!]}\ \text{IH2} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \top \sqsubseteq \top}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash ([\![\tau_1]\!] \overset{\top}{\to} [\![\tau_2]\!])^\bot <: ([\![\tau_1']\!] \overset{\top}{\to} [\![\tau_2']\!])^\bot}\ \text{FGsub-arrow}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![(\tau_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\to} \tau_2)]\!] <: [\![(\tau_1' \overset{\ell_e'}{\to} \tau_2')]\!]}\ \text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]$$

3. CGsub-prod:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\tau_1]\!] <: [\![\tau_1']\!]}\ \text{IH1} \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\tau_2]\!] <: [\![\tau_2']\!]}\ \text{IH2}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash ([\![\tau_1]\!] \times [\![\tau_2]\!])^\bot <: ([\![\tau_1']\!] \times [\![\tau_2']\!])^\bot}\ \text{FGsub-arrow}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)]\!] <: [\![(\tau_1' \times \tau_2')]\!]}\ \text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]$$

4. CGsub-sum:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\tau_1]\!] <: [\![\tau_1']\!]}\ \text{IH1} \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\tau_2]\!] <: [\![\tau_2']\!]}\ \text{IH2}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash ([\![\tau_1]\!] + [\![\tau_2]\!])^\bot <: ([\![\tau_1']\!] + [\![\tau_2']\!])^\bot}\ \text{FGsub-arrow}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![(\tau_1 + \tau_2)]\!] <: [\![(\tau_1' + \tau_2')]\!]}\ \text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]$$

5. CGsub-labeled:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_1]\!] <: [\![\tau_1']\!]}\,\text{IH1} \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \mathsf{unit} <: \mathsf{unit}}\,\text{FGsub-unit}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash ([\![\tau_1]\!] + \mathsf{unit}) <: ([\![\tau_1']\!] + \mathsf{unit})}\,\text{FGsub-sum}}{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_1\ \tau_1 <: \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_1'\ \tau_1'}\,\text{Given}}{\ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell_1'}\,\text{By inversion}}\,\text{FGsub-arrow}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash ([\![\tau_1]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_1} <: ([\![\tau_1']\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_1'}}\,\text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]$$

6. CGsub-monad:

   P3:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_1]\!] <: [\![\tau_1']\!]}\,\text{IH} \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \mathsf{unit} <: \mathsf{unit}}\,\text{FGsub-unit}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash ([\![\tau_1]\!] + \mathsf{unit}) <: ([\![\tau_1']\!] + \mathsf{unit})}\,\text{FGsub-sum}$$

   P2:

$$\dfrac{P3 \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau_1 <: \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i'\ \ell_o'\ \tau_1'}\,\text{Given}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \ell_o \sqsubseteq \ell_o'}\,\text{By inversion}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash ([\![\tau_1]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o} <: ([\![\tau_1']\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o'}}\,\text{FGsub-label}$$

   P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \mathsf{unit} <: \mathsf{unit}} \qquad P2 \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau_1 <: \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i'\ \ell_o'\ \tau_1'}\,\text{Given}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \ell_i' \sqsubseteq \ell_i}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_i} ([\![\tau_1]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o}) <: (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_i'} ([\![\tau_1']\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o'})}\,\text{FGsub-arrow}$$

   Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P1 \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \bot \sqsubseteq \bot}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_i} ([\![\tau_1]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o})^{\bot} <: (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\ell_i'} ([\![\tau_1']\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o'})^{\bot}}\,\text{FGsub-label}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau_1]\!] <: [\![\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i'\ \ell_o'\ \tau_1']\!]}\,\text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]$$

7. CGsub-forall:

   P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau]\!] <: [\![\tau']\!]}\,\text{IH, Weakening} \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha;\Psi \vdash \top \sqsubseteq \top}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash (\forall\alpha.(\top, [\![\tau]\!])) <: (\forall\alpha.(\top, [\![\tau']\!]))}\,\text{FGsub-forall}$$

   Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P1 \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha;\Psi \vdash \bot \sqsubseteq \bot}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash (\forall\alpha.(\top, [\![\tau]\!]))^{\bot} <: (\forall\alpha.(\top, [\![\tau']\!]))^{\bot}}\,\text{FGsub-label}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\forall\alpha.\tau]\!] <: [\![\forall\alpha.\tau']\!]}$$

8. CGsub-constraint:

   P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau]\!] <: [\![\tau']\!]}\,\text{IH} \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \top \sqsubseteq \top} \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash c \Rightarrow \tau <: c' \Rightarrow \tau'}\,\text{Given}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash c' \implies c}\,\text{By inversion}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash (c \overset{\top}{\Rightarrow} [\![\tau]\!]) <: (c' \overset{\top}{\Rightarrow} [\![\tau']\!])}\,\text{FGsub-constra}$$

   Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{P1 \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma,\alpha;\Psi \vdash \bot \sqsubseteq \bot}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash (c \overset{\top}{\Rightarrow} [\![\tau]\!])^{\bot} <: (c' \overset{\top}{\Rightarrow} [\![\tau']\!])^{\bot}}\,\text{FGsub-label}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![c \Rightarrow \tau]\!] <: [\![c' \Rightarrow \tau']\!]}$$

□

Lemma 2.61 (CG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG: Preservation of well-formedness)**.** $\forall \Sigma, \Psi, \tau.$
$\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau\ WF \implies \Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau]\!]\ WF$

Proof. Proof by induction on the $\tau\ WF$ relation.

1. CG-wff-base:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \mathsf{b}\ WF}\,\text{FG-wff-base}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \mathsf{b}^{\bot}\ WF}\,\text{FG-wff-label}$$

2. CG-wff-unit:

$$\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \mathsf{unit}\ WF}\,\text{FG-wff-unit}$$

3. CG-wff-arrow:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_1]\!]\ WF}\,\text{IH1} \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_2]\!]\ WF}\,\text{IH2}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash ([\![\tau_1]\!] \overset{\top}{\to} [\![\tau_2]\!])\ WF}\,\text{FG-wff-arrow}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash ([\![\tau_1]\!] \overset{\top}{\to} [\![\tau_2]\!])^{\bot}\ WF}\,\text{FG-wff-label}$$

4. CG-wff-prod:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_1]\!]\ WF}\,\text{IH1} \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_2]\!]\ WF}\,\text{IH2}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![(]\!]\tau_1 \times [\![\tau_2]\!])\ WF}\,\text{FG-wff-prod}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![(]\!]\tau_1 \times [\![\tau_2]\!])^{\bot}\ WF}\,\text{FG-wff-label}$$

5. CG-wff-sum:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_1]\!]\ WF}\,\text{IH1} \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_2]\!]\ WF}\,\text{IH2}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_1]\!] + [\![\tau_2]\!]\ WF}\,\text{FG-wff-prod}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![(]\!]\tau_1 + [\![\tau_2]\!])^{\bot}\ WF}\,\text{FG-wff-label}$$

6. CG-wff-ref:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau\ WF}\ \text{Given}}{\mathrm{FV}(\tau) = \emptyset}\ \text{By inversion}}{\mathrm{FV}(\llbracket\tau\rrbracket) = \emptyset}\ \text{Lemma 2.62} \quad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{unit}) = \emptyset} \quad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau\ WF}\ \text{Given}}{\mathrm{FV}(\ell) = \emptyset}\ \text{By inversion}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathrm{FV}((\llbracket\tau\rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell}) = \emptyset}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{ref}\ (\llbracket\tau\rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell}\ WF}\ \text{FG-wff-ref}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\mathsf{ref}\ (\llbracket\tau\rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell})^{\bot}\ WF}\ \text{FG-wff-label}$$

7. CG-wff-forall:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \llbracket\tau\rrbracket\ WF}\ \text{IH}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\forall\alpha.(\top, \llbracket\tau\rrbracket))\ WF}\ \text{FG-wff-forall}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\forall\alpha.(\top, \llbracket\tau\rrbracket))^{\bot}\ WF}\ \text{CG-wff-label}$$

8. CG-wff-constraint:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi, c \vdash \llbracket\tau\rrbracket\ WF}\ \text{IH}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (c \overset{\top}{\Rightarrow} \llbracket\tau\rrbracket)\ WF}\ \text{FG-wff-constraint}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (c \overset{\top}{\Rightarrow} \llbracket\tau\rrbracket)^{\bot}\ WF}\ \text{CG-wff-label}$$

9. CG-wff-labeled:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \llbracket\tau\rrbracket\ WF}\ \text{IH} \quad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{unit}\ WF}\ \text{FG-wff-unit}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\llbracket\tau\rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})\ WF}\ \text{FG-wff-sum}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\llbracket\tau\rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell}\ WF}\ \text{CG-wff-label}$$

10. CG-wff-monad:

    P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \llbracket\tau\rrbracket\ WF}\ \text{IH} \quad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{unit}\ WF}\ \text{FG-wff-unit}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\llbracket\tau\rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})\ WF}\ \text{FG-wff-sum}$$

    Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{unit}\ WF}\ \text{FG-wff-unit} \quad \dfrac{P1}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\llbracket\tau\rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o}\ WF}\ \text{FG-wff-label}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\mathsf{unit} \overset{\ell_i}{\to} (\llbracket\tau\rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o})\ WF}\ \text{FG-wff-sum}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\mathsf{unit} \overset{\ell_i}{\to} (\llbracket\tau\rrbracket + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o})^{\bot}\ WF}\ \text{CG-wff-label}$$

□

Lemma 2.62 (CG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG: Free variable lemma)**.** $\forall \tau.\ FV([\![\tau]\!]) \subseteq FV(\tau)$

Proof. Proof by induciton on the CG types, τ

1. $\tau = \mathsf{b}$:
$$\begin{array}{lll} & \mathrm{FV}([\![\mathsf{b}]\!]) & \\ = & \mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{b}^{\bot}) & \text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!] \\ = & \emptyset & \\ = & \mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{b}) & \end{array}$$

2. $\tau = \mathsf{unit}$:
$$\begin{array}{lll} & \mathrm{FV}([\![\mathsf{b}]\!]) & \\ = & \mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{unit}^{\bot}) & \text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!] \\ = & \emptyset & \\ = & \mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{unit}) & \end{array}$$

3. $\tau = \tau_1 \to \tau_2$:
$$\begin{array}{lll} & \mathrm{FV}([\![\tau_1 \to \tau_2]\!]) & \\ = & \mathrm{FV}([\![\tau_1]\!] \overset{\top}{\to} [\![\tau_2]\!])^{\bot} & \text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!] \\ = & \mathrm{FV}([\![\tau_1]\!]) \cup \mathrm{FV}([\![\tau_2]\!]) & \\ \subseteq & \mathrm{FV}(\tau_1) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\tau_2) & \text{IH on } \tau_1 \text{ and } \tau_2 \\ = & \mathrm{FV}(\tau_1 \to \tau_2) & \end{array}$$

4. $\tau = \tau_1 \times \tau_2$:
$$\begin{array}{lll} & \mathrm{FV}([\![\tau_1 \times \tau_2]\!]) & \\ = & \mathrm{FV}([\![\tau_1]\!] \times [\![\tau_2]\!])^{\bot} & \text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!] \\ = & \mathrm{FV}([\![\tau_1]\!]) \cup \mathrm{FV}([\![\tau_2]\!]) & \\ \subseteq & \mathrm{FV}(\tau_1) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\tau_2) & \text{IH on } \tau_1 \text{ and } \tau_2 \\ = & \mathrm{FV}(\tau_1 \times \tau_2) & \end{array}$$

5. $\tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2$:
$$\begin{array}{lll} & \mathrm{FV}([\![\tau_1 + \tau_2]\!]) & \\ = & \mathrm{FV}([\![\tau_1]\!] + [\![\tau_2]\!])^{\bot} & \text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!] \\ = & \mathrm{FV}([\![\tau_1]\!]) \cup \mathrm{FV}([\![\tau_2]\!]) & \\ \subseteq & \mathrm{FV}(\tau_1) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\tau_2) & \text{IH on } \tau_1 \text{ and } \tau_2 \\ = & \mathrm{FV}(\tau_1 + \tau_2) & \end{array}$$

6. $\tau = \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ \tau_i$:
$$\begin{array}{lll} & \mathrm{FV}([\![\mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ \tau_i]\!]) & \\ = & \mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{ref}\ ([\![\tau_i]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_i})^{\bot} & \text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!] \\ = & \mathrm{FV}([\![\tau_i]\!]) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell_i) & \\ \subseteq & \mathrm{FV}(\tau_i) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell_i) & \text{IH} \\ = & \mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ \tau_i) & \end{array}$$

7. $\tau = \forall \alpha.\tau_i$:
$$\begin{array}{lll} & \mathrm{FV}([\![\forall \alpha.\tau_i]\!]) & \\ = & \mathrm{FV}(\forall \alpha.(\top, [\![\tau_i]\!]))^{\bot} & \text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!] \\ = & \mathrm{FV}([\![\tau_i]\!]) - \{\alpha\}) & \\ \subseteq & \mathrm{FV}(\tau_i) - \{\alpha\}) & \text{IH} \\ = & \mathrm{FV}(\forall \alpha.\tau_i) & \end{array}$$

8. $\tau = c \Rightarrow \tau_i$:

$$\begin{array}{lll} & \mathrm{FV}([\![c \Rightarrow \tau_i]\!]) & \\ = & \mathrm{FV}(c \overset{\top}{\Rightarrow} [\![\tau_i]\!])^{\bot} & \text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!] \\ = & \mathrm{FV}([\![c]\!]) \cup \mathrm{FV}([\![\tau_i]\!]) & \\ \subseteq & \mathrm{FV}([\![c]\!]) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\tau_i) & \text{IH} \\ = & \mathrm{FV}(c \Rightarrow \tau_i) & \end{array}$$

9. $\tau = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ \tau_i$:

$$\begin{array}{lll} & \mathrm{FV}([\![\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ \tau_i]\!]) & \\ = & \mathrm{FV}([\![\tau_i]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_i} & \text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!] \\ = & \mathrm{FV}([\![\tau_i]\!]) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell_i) & \\ \subseteq & \mathrm{FV}(\tau_i) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell_i) & \text{IH} \\ = & \mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ \tau_i) & \end{array}$$

10. $\tau = \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau_i$:

$$\begin{array}{lll} & \mathrm{FV}([\![\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau_i]\!]) & \\ = & \mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{unit} \overset{\ell_i}{\rightarrow} ([\![\tau_i]\!] + \mathsf{unit})^{\ell_o})^{\bot} & \text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!] \\ = & \mathrm{FV}([\![\tau_i]\!]) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell_i) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell_o) & \\ \subseteq & \mathrm{FV}(\tau_i) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell_i) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell_o) & \text{IH} \\ = & \mathrm{FV}(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau_i) & \end{array}$$

□

2.3.3 Logical relation for CG to FG translation

$W : ((\mathit{Loc} \mapsto \mathit{Type}) \times (\mathit{Loc} \mapsto \mathit{Type}) \times (\mathit{Loc} \leftrightarrow \mathit{Loc}))$

Definition 2.63 (CG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG: ${}^s\theta_2$ extends ${}^s\theta_1$)**.** ${}^s\theta_1 \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta_2 \triangleq$
$\forall a \in {}^s\theta_1.{}^s\theta_1(a) = \tau \implies {}^s\theta_2(a) = \tau$

Definition 2.64 (CG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG: $\hat{\beta}_2$ extends $\hat{\beta}_1$)**.** $\hat{\beta}_1 \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_2 \triangleq$
$\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}_1.(a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}_2$

Definition 2.65 (CG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG: Unary value relation)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\lfloor \mathsf{b} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, {}^s v, {}^t v) \mid {}^s v \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!] \wedge {}^t v \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!] \wedge {}^s v = {}^t v\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, {}^s v, {}^t v) \mid {}^s v \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!] \wedge {}^t v \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, ({}^s v_1, {}^s v_2), ({}^t v_1, {}^t v_2)) \mid \\
 & & ({}^s\theta, m, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge ({}^s\theta, m, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, \mathsf{inl}\ {}^s v, \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v) \mid ({}^s\theta, m, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}\} \cup \\
 & & \{({}^s\theta, m, \mathsf{inr}\ {}^s v, \mathsf{inr}\ {}^t v) \mid ({}^s\theta, m, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, \lambda x.e_s, \lambda x.e_t) \mid \forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^s v, {}^t v, j < m, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.({}^s\theta', j, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \\
 & & \implies ({}^s\theta', j, e_s[{}^s v/x], e_t[{}^t v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}\} \\
\lfloor \forall \alpha.\tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, \Lambda e_s, \Lambda e_t) \mid \forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, j < m, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.({}^s\theta', j, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}\} \\
\lfloor c \Rightarrow \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, \nu e_s, \nu e_t) \mid \mathcal{L} \models c \implies \forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, j < m, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.({}^s\theta', j, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, {}^s a, {}^t a) \mid {}^s\theta({}^s a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \wedge ({}^s a, {}^t a) \in \hat{\beta}\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, {}^s v, {}^t v) \mid \\
 & & \exists {}^s v', {}^t v'.{}^s v = \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^s v') \wedge {}^t v = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v' \wedge ({}^s\theta, m, {}^s v', {}^t v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}\} \\
\lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, {}^s v, {}^t v) \mid \forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_s, H_t, i, {}^s v', k \leq m, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'. \\
 & & (k, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_s, {}^s v) \Downarrow_i^f (H_s', {}^s v') \wedge i < k \implies \\
 & & \exists H_t', {}^t v'.(H_t, {}^t v()) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge \\
 & & \exists {}^t v''.{}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k - i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}\}
\end{array}
$$

Definition 2.66 (CG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG: Unary expression relation)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, n, e_s, e_t) \mid \\
 & & \forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.e_s \Downarrow_i {}^s v \implies \\
 & & \exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, e_t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta\}
\end{array}
$$

Definition 2.67 (CG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG: Unary heap well formedness)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta & \triangleq & dom({}^s\theta) \subseteq dom(H_S) \wedge \\
 & & \hat{\beta} \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta) \times dom(H_t)) \wedge \\
 & & \forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n - 1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}
\end{array}
$$

Definition 2.68 (CG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG: Label substitution)**.** $\sigma : Lvar \mapsto Label$

Definition 2.69 (CG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG: Value substitution to values)**.** $\delta^s : Var \mapsto Val$, $\delta^t : Var \mapsto Val$

Definition 2.70 (CG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG: Unary interpretation of Γ)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \mid dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^s) \wedge dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^t) \wedge \\
 & & \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s(x), \delta^t(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}\}
\end{array}
$$

2.3.4 Soundness proof for CG to FG translation

Lemma 2.71 (CG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG: Monotonicity)**.** $\forall {}^s\theta, {}^s\theta', n, {}^s v, {}^t v, n', \beta, \beta'.$
$({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n \implies ({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Proof. Proof by induction on τ

1. Case b:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{b} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{b} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   Since $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{b} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from Definition 2.65 we know that ${}^s v \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!] \wedge {}^t v \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!]$

   Therefore from Definition 2.65 ${}^s v \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!] \wedge {}^t v \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!]$ we get the desired

2. Case unit:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   Since $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from Definition 2.65 we know that ${}^s v \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!] \wedge {}^t v \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]$

   Therefore from Definition 2.65 ${}^s v \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!] \wedge {}^t v \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]$ we get the desired

3. Case $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   From Definition 2.65 we know that ${}^s v = ({}^s v_1, {}^s v_2)$ and ${}^t v = ({}^t v_1, {}^t v_2)$.

   We also know that $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ and $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   IH1: $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   IH2: $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   Therefore from Definition 2.65, IH1 and IH2 we get

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

4. Case $\tau_1 + \tau_2$:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   From Definition 2.65 two cases arise

   (a) ${}^s v = \mathsf{inl}({}^s v')$ and ${}^t v = \mathsf{inl}({}^t v')$:
   IH: $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v', {}^t v') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
   Therefore from Definition 2.65 and IH we get
   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   (b) ${}^s v = \mathsf{inr}({}^s v')$ and ${}^t v = \mathsf{inr}({}^t v')$:
   Symmetric reasosning as in the previous case

5. Case $\tau_1 \to \tau_2$:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   From Definition 2.65 we know that
   $\forall {}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1, j < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.({}^s\theta'', j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \implies ({}^s\theta'', j, e_s[{}^s v_1/x], e_t[{}^t v_1/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (A0)

   Similarly from Definition 2.65 we are required to prove
   $\forall {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2, j < n', \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.({}^s\theta_1', j, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \implies ({}^s\theta_1', j, e_s[{}^s v_2/x], e_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''}$

   This means we are given some ${}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2, j < n', \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''$ s.t $({}^s\theta_1', j, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ and we are required to prove
   $({}^s\theta_1', j, e_s[{}^s v_2/x], e_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   Instantiating (A0) with ${}^s\theta_1', {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2, j, \hat{\beta}''$ since ${}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta$, $j < n' < n$ and $\hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''$ therefore we get
   $({}^s\theta_1', j, e_s[{}^s v_2/x], e_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''}$

6. Case $\forall \alpha.\tau$:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \forall \alpha.\tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

To prove:

$({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \forall \alpha.\tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

From Definition 2.65 we know that ${}^s v = \Lambda e'_s$ and ${}^t v = \Lambda e'_t$. And

$\forall {}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, j < n, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.({}^s\theta'', j, e'_s, e'_t) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F0)

Similarly from Definition 2.65 we are required to prove

$\forall {}^s\theta''_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', j < n', \ell' \in \mathcal{L}, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''_1.({}^s\theta''_1, j, e'_s, e'_t) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''_1}$

This means we are given some ${}^s\theta''_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', j < n', \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''_1$

and we are required to prove

$({}^s\theta''_1, j, e'_s, e'_t) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''_1}$

Instantiating (F0) with ${}^s\theta''_1, j, \hat{\beta}''_1$ since ${}^s\theta''_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta$, $j < n' < n$ and $\hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''_1$ therefore we get

$({}^s\theta''_1, j, e'_s, e'_t) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''_1}$

7. Case $c \Rightarrow \tau$:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor c \Rightarrow \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor c \Rightarrow \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   From Definition 2.65 we know that ${}^s v = \nu\ (e'_s)$ and ${}^t v = \nu\ (e'_t)$. And

   $\mathcal{L} \models c \implies \forall {}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, j < n, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''_1.({}^s\theta'', j, e'_s, e'_t) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (C0)

   Similarly from Definition 2.65 we are required to prove

   $\mathcal{L} \models c \implies \forall {}^s\theta''_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', j < n', \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''_1.({}^s\theta''_1, j, e'_s, e'_t) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''_1}$

   This means we are given some $\mathcal{L} \models c, {}^s\theta''_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', j < n', \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''_1$

   and we are required to prove

   $({}^s\theta''_1, j, e'_s, e'_t) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''_1}$

   Since $\mathcal{L} \models c$ and instantiating (C0) with ${}^s\theta''_1, j, \hat{\beta}''_1$ since ${}^s\theta''_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta$, $j < n' < n$ and $\hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''_1$ therefore we get

   $({}^s\theta''_1, j, e'_s, e'_t) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''_1}$

8. Case $\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau$:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

$({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

From Definition 2.65 we know that ${}^s v =^s a$ and ${}^t v =^t a$. We also know that
${}^s\theta({}^s a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \wedge ({}^s a, {}^t a) \in \hat{\beta}$

From Definition 2.65, Definition 2.63 and Definition 2.64 we get
$({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

9. Case $\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \ \tau$:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   From Definition 2.65 it means
   $\exists {}^s v', {}^t v'. {}^s v = \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^s v') \wedge {}^t v = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v' \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v', {}^t v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   IH: $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v', {}^t v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   Similarly from Definition 2.65 we need to prove that
   $\exists {}^s v'', {}^t v''. {}^s v = \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^s v'') \wedge {}^t v = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v'', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   We choose ${}^s v''$ as ${}^s v'$ and ${}^t v''$ as ${}^t v'$ and since from IH we know that $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v', {}^t v') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
   Therefore from Definition 2.65 we get
   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

10. Case $\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau$:

    Given:

    $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

    To prove:

    $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

    This means from Definition 2.65 we know that
    $\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_s, H_t, i, {}^s v', {}^t v', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1.$
    $(k, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}_1}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_s, {}^s v) \Downarrow_i^f (H_s', {}^s v') \wedge i < k \implies$
    $\exists {}^t v'. (H_t, {}^t v()) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}_1 \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_2. (k - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
    $\exists {}^t v''. {}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', {}^t\theta', k - i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_2} \wedge$
    $(\forall a. H_s(a) \neq H_s'(a) \implies \exists \ell'. {}^s\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
    $(\forall a \in dom({}^s\theta')/dom({}^s\theta_e). {}^s\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1) \qquad$ (CG0)

    Similarly from Definition 2.65 we need to prove

$\forall {}^s\theta'_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', H'_s, H'_t, i', {}^s v'', {}^t v'', k' \leq n', \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'_1.$
$(k', H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta'_e) \wedge (H'_s, {}^s v) \Downarrow_i^f (H''_s, {}^s v'') \wedge (H'_t, {}^t v()) \Downarrow (H''_t, {}^t v'') \wedge i' < k' \implies$
$\exists {}^t v''.(H'_t, {}^t v()) \Downarrow (H''_t, {}^t v'') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_e, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'_2.(k' - i', H''_s, H''_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'' \wedge$
$\exists {}^t v''.{}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k' - i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2} \wedge$
$(\forall a. H_s(a) \neq H'_s(a) \implies \exists \ell'. {}^s\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom({}^s\theta')/dom({}^s\theta_e). {}^s\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)$

This means we are given some ${}^s\theta'_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', H'_s, H'_t, i', {}^s v'', k' \leq n', \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'_1$ s.t $(k', H'_s, H'_t) \triangleright ({}^s\theta'_e) \wedge (H'_s, {}^s v) \Downarrow_i^f (H''_s, {}^s v'') \wedge i' < k'$

And we need to prove

$\exists {}^t v''.(H'_t, {}^t v()) \Downarrow (H''_t, {}^t v'') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_e, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'_2.(k' - i', H''_s, H''_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'' \wedge$
$\exists {}^t v''.{}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta'', k' - i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2} \wedge$
$(\forall a. H_s(a) \neq H'_s(a) \implies \exists \ell'. {}^s\theta_e(a) = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau' \wedge \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell') \wedge$
$(\forall a \in dom({}^s\theta')/dom({}^s\theta_e). {}^s\theta'(a) \searrow \ell_1)$

Instantiating (CG0) with ${}^s\theta'_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', H'_s, H'_t, i', {}^s v'', {}^t v'', k' \leq n', \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'_1$ we get the desired

□

Lemma 2.72 (CG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG: Unary monotonicity for Γ)**.** $\forall {}^s\theta, {}^s\theta', \delta, \Gamma, n, n', \hat{\beta}, \hat{\beta}'.$
$({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge n' < n \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \implies ({}^s\theta', n', \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Proof. Given: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge n' < n \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$
To prove: $({}^s\theta', n', \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

From Definition 2.70 it is given that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^s) \wedge dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^t) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s(x), \delta^t(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

And again from Definition 2.70 we are required to prove that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^s) \wedge dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^t) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta', n', \delta^s(x), \delta^t(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

- $dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^s) \wedge dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^t)$:
  Given
- $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta', n', \delta^s(x), \delta^t(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
  Since we know that $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s(x), \delta^t(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (given)
  Therefore from Lemma 2.71 we get
  $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta', n', \delta^s(x), \delta^t(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

□

Lemma 2.73 (CG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG: Unary monotonicity for H)**.** $\forall {}^s\theta, H_s, H_t, n, n', \hat{\beta}, \hat{\beta}'.$
$(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge n' < n \implies (n', H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Proof. Given: $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge n' < n$

To prove: $(n', H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

From Definition 2.67 it is given that
$dom({}^s\theta) \subseteq dom(H_S) \wedge \hat{\beta} \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta) \times dom(H_t)) \wedge \forall(a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n-1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

And again from Definition 2.67 we are required to prove that
$dom({}^s\theta) \subseteq dom(H_S) \wedge \hat{\beta} \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta) \times dom(H_t)) \wedge \forall(a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n'-1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

- $dom({}^s\theta) \subseteq dom(H_S)$:
  Given
- $\hat{\beta} \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta) \times dom(H_t))$:
  Given
- $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n'-1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$:
  Since we know that $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n-1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (given)
  Therefore from Lemma 2.71 we get
  $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n'-1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

□

Theorem 2.74 (CG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG: Fundamental theorem)**.** $\forall \Gamma, \tau, e, \delta^s, \delta^t, \sigma, {}^s\theta, n.$
$\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_s : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t \wedge$
$\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
$\implies$
$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

Proof. Proof by induction on the $\rightsquigarrow$ relation

1. CF-var:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau \rightsquigarrow x}\ \text{CF-var}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \cup \{x \mapsto \tau\}\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, x\ \delta^s, x\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.66 it suffices to prove that

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.x\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, x\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n, {}^sv$ s.t $x\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv$

From cg-val we know that $i = 0$, ${}^s v = x\ \delta^s$.

And we are required to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, x\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-V0)

From fg-val we know that ${}^t v = x\ \delta^t$ and $H_t' = H_t$. So we are left with proving

$({}^s\theta, n, x\ \delta^s, x\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Since we are given $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \cup \{x \mapsto \tau\ \sigma\}\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$, therefore from Definition 2.70 we get

$({}^s\theta, n, x\ \delta^s, x\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$. And we have $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ in the context. So we are done.

2. CF-lam:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e_s : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \lambda x.e_s : \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \lambda x.e_t}\ \text{lam}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, (\lambda x.e_s)\ \delta^s, (\lambda x.e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.66 it suffices to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(\lambda x.e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, (\lambda x.e_t)\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v)({}^s\theta, n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $(\lambda x.e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$

From cg-val and fg-val we know that ${}^s v = (\lambda x.e_s)\ \delta^s$, ${}^t v = (\lambda x.e_t)\ \delta^t$, $H_t' = H_t$ and $i = 0$

It suffices to prove that

$({}^s\theta, n, (\lambda x.e_s)\ \delta^s, (\lambda x.e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

We know $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ from the context. So, we are only left to prove

$({}^s\theta, n, (\lambda x.e_s)\ \delta^s, (\lambda x.e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.65 it suffices to prove

$\forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^s v, {}^t v, j < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.({}^s\theta', j, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
$\implies ({}^s\theta', j, e_s[{}^s v/x], e_t[{}^t v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means that we are given ${}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^s v, {}^t v, j < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$ s.t $({}^s\theta', j, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

And we need to prove

$({}^s\theta', j, e_s[{}^s v/x]\ \delta^s, e_t[{}^t v/x]\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-L0)

Since $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from Lemma 2.72 we also have

$({}^s\theta', j, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

IH:

$({}^s\theta', j, e_s\ \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^s v_1\}, e_t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^t v_1\}) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$ s.t

$({}^s\theta', j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

We get (F-L0) directly from IH

3. CF-app:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_{s1} : (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \rightsquigarrow e_{t1} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_{s2} : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_{t2}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_{s1}\ e_{s2} : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_{t1}\ e_{t2}}\ \text{app}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, (e_{s1}\ e_{s2})\ \delta^s, (e_{t1}\ e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.66 it suffices to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(e_{s1}\ e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v \implies$

$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, (e_{t1}\ e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This further means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $(e_{s1}\ e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, (e_{t1}\ e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-A0)

IH1:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_{s1}\ \delta^s, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.66 we have

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^s v_1.e_{s1}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^s v_1 \implies$

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1.(H_t, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j, H_{s1}, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(e_{s1}\ e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_{s1}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^s v_1$.

And we have

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1.(H_t, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j, H_{s1}, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-A1)

IH2:

$({}^s\theta, n-j, e_{s2}\ \delta^s, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.66 it suffices to prove

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall k < n-j, {}^s v_2.e_{s2} \Downarrow_i {}^s v_2 \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t2}) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j-k, H_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2$

Instantiating with H_s, H'_{t1} and since we know that $(e_{s1}\ e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$ therefore $\exists k < i-j < n-j$ s.t $e_{s2}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_k {}^s v_2$.

And we have

$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t2}) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j-k, H_s, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-A2)

Since from (F-A1) we know that $({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ where
${}^s v_1 = \lambda x.e'_s$ and ${}^t v_1 = \lambda x.e'_t$

From Definition 2.65 we have
$\forall {}^s\theta'_3 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^s v, {}^t v, l < n-j, \hat{\beta}_3 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta'_3, l, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_3}$
$\implies ({}^s\theta'_3, l, e'_s[{}^s v/x], e'_t[{}^t v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_3}$

Instantiating with ${}^s\theta, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2, n-j-k, \hat{\beta}$ we get
$({}^s\theta, n-j-k, e'_s[{}^s v_2/x], e'_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.66 we have

$\forall H_{s4}, H_{t4}.(n-j-k, H_{s4}, H_{t4}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall k' < n-j-k, {}^s v_4.e'_s[{}^s v_2/x] \Downarrow_{k'} {}^s v_4 \implies$
$\exists H'_{t4}, {}^t v_4.(H_{t4}, e'_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \Downarrow (H'_{t4}, {}^t v_4) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j-k-k', {}^s v_4, {}^t v_4) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge$
$(n-j-k-k', H_{s4}, H'_{t4}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating with H_s, H'_{t2}, from (F-A2) we know that $(n-j-k, H_s, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Instantiating ${}^s v_4$ wiht ${}^s v$ and since we know that $(e_{s1}\ e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$ therefore $\exists k' < i-j-k < n-j-k$ s.t $e'_s[{}^s v_2/x]\ \delta^s \Downarrow_{k'} {}^s v$. therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t4}, {}^t v_4.(H_{t4}, e'_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \Downarrow (H'_{t4}, {}^t v_4) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j-k-k', {}^s v, {}^t v_4) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j-k-k', H_{s4}, H'_{t4}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-A3)

Since from cg-app we know that $i = j+k+k'$ and $H'_t = H'_{t4}$, ${}^t v = {}^t v_4$ therefore we get (F-A0) from (F-A3) and Lemma 2.71 and Lemma 2.73

4. CF-prod:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_{s1} : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_{t1} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_{s2} : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_{t2}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash (e_{s1}, e_{s2}) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rightsquigarrow (e_{t1}, e_{t2})}\ \text{prod}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, (e_{s1}, e_{s2})\ \delta^s, (e_{t1}, e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.66 it suffices to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t, \hat{\beta}.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.(e_{s1}, e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, (e_{t1}, e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that we are given some $H_s, H_t, \hat{\beta}$ s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n$ s.t $(e_{s1}, e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, (e_{t1}, e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'$
(F-P0)

IH1:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_{s1}\ \delta^s, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.66 we have

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n.e_{s1}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv_1 \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^tv_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j, H_{s1}, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(e_{s1}, e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2)$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_{s1}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^sv_1$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^tv_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j, H_s, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$
(F-P1)

IH2:

$({}^s\theta, n-j, e_{s2}\ \delta^s, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.66 we have

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall k < n-j.e_{s2}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_k {}^sv_2 \implies$
$\exists H_{t2}', {}^tv_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t2}', {}^tv_2) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j-k, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j-k, H_{s2}, H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating with $H_s, H_{t1}', \hat{\beta}_1'$ and since we know that $(e_{s1}, e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2)$ therefore $\exists k < i-j < n-j$ s.t $e_{s2}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_k {}^sv_2$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H_{t2}', {}^tv_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t2}', {}^tv_2) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j-k, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j-k, H_s, H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$
(F-P2)

From cg-prod we know that $i = j + k + 1$, $H_t' = H_{t2}'$ and ${}^tv = ({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2)$ therefore from Definition 2.65 and Lemma 2.71 we get $({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

And since we have $(n-j-k, H_s, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ therefore from Lemma 2.73 we also get

$(n-i, H_s, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

5. CF-fst:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_s : \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{fst}(e_s) : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{fst}(e_t)}\ \text{fst}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{fst}(e_s)\ \delta^s, \mathsf{fst}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_E$ (F-F0)

This means from Definition 2.66 we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.\mathsf{fst}(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^tv.(H_t, \mathsf{fst}(e_t)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow (H'_t, {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V \wedge (n-i, H_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that we are given some $H_s, H_t, \hat{\beta}$ s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n, {}^sv$ s.t $\mathsf{fst}(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv$

And we need to prove

$\exists H'_t, {}^tv.(H_t, \mathsf{fst}(e_t)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow (H'_t, {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V \wedge (n-i, H_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-F0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_E$

From Definition 2.66 we have

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^sv_1.e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j ({}^sv_1, -) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, (e_{t1}, e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, ({}^tv_1, -)) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, ({}^sv_1, -), ({}^tv_1, -)) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V \wedge$
$(n-j, H_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and ${}^sv_1$ with ${}^sv$ since we know that $\mathsf{fst}(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j ({}^sv, -)$.

Therefore we have
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, (e_{t1}, e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, ({}^tv_1, -)) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, ({}^sv, -), ({}^tv_1, -)) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V \wedge$
$(n-j, H_s, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-F1)

From cg-fst we know that $i = j+1$, $H'_t = H'_{t1}$ and ${}^tv = {}^tv_1$. Since we know $({}^s\theta, n-j, ({}^sv, -), ({}^tv_1, -)) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V$ therefore from Definition 2.65 and Lemma 2.71 we get $({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V$

And since from (F-F1) we have $(n-j, H_s, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ therefore from Lemma 2.73 we get

$(n-i, H_s, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

6. CF-snd:

   Symmetric reasoning as in the CF-fst case

7. CF-inl:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_s : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{inl}(e_s) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{inl}(e_t)} \text{ prod}$$

   Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{inl}(e_s)\ \delta^s, \mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

   From Definition 2.66 it suffices to prove

   $\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.\mathsf{inl}(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i \mathsf{inl}({}^s v) \implies$
   $\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', \mathsf{inl}({}^t v)) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - i, \mathsf{inl}({}^s v), \mathsf{inl}({}^t v)) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

   This means that we are given some $H_s, H_t, \hat{\beta}$ s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $\mathsf{inl}(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i \mathsf{inl}({}^s v)$

   And we need to prove

   $\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', \mathsf{inl}({}^t v)) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - i, \mathsf{inl}({}^s v), \mathsf{inl}({}^t v)) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-IL0)

   IH:

   $({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

   From Definition 2.66 we have

   $\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^s v_1.e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^s v_1 \implies \exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - j, {}^s v, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - j, H_{s1}, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

   Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $\mathsf{inl}(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^s v$.

   Therefore we have

   $\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - j, {}^s v, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - j, H_s, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-IL1)

   From cg-inl we know that $i = j + 1$ and $H_t' = H_{t1}', {}^t v = {}^t v_1$. Since we know $({}^s\theta, n - j, {}^s v, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from Definition 2.65 and Lemma 2.71 we get

   $({}^s\theta, n - i, \mathsf{inl}({}^s v), \mathsf{inl}({}^t v_1)) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   And since from (F-IL1) we have $(n - j, H_s, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ therefore from Lemma 2.73 we get

   $(n - i, H_s, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

8. CF-inr:

   Symmetric reasoning as in the CF-inl case

9. CF-case:

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e_s : \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_t \qquad \Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma, x:\tau_1 \vdash e_{s1} : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{t1} \qquad \Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma, y:\tau_2 \vdash e_{s2} : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{t2}}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2}) : \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{case}(e_t, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2})}\ \text{case}$$

   Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2})\ \delta^s, \mathsf{case}(e_t, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

   This means from Definition 2.66 we need to prove

   $\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.\mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v \implies$
   $\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{case}(e_t, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

   This means that we are given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$

   And we need to prove

   $\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{case}(e_t, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-C0)

   IH1:

   $({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

   From Definition 2.66 we have

   $\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^s v_1.e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^s v_1 \implies$
   $\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j, H_{s1}, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

   Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $\mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^s v_1$.

   Therefore we have

   $\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j, H_{s1}, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-C1)

   Two cases arise:

   (a) ${}^s v_1 = \mathsf{inl}({}^s v_1')$ and ${}^t v_1 = \mathsf{inl}({}^t v_1')$:

   IH2:

   $({}^s\theta, n-j, e_{s1}\ \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^s v_1\}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^t v_1\}) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

   From Definition 2.66 we have

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall k < n - j, {}^s v_2.e_{s1}\ \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^s v_1\} \Downarrow_k {}^s v_2 \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^t v_1\}) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - j - k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - j - k, H_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating with H_s, H'_{t1} and since we know that $\mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$ therefore $\exists k < i - j < n - j$ s.t $e_{s1}\ \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^s v_1\} \Downarrow_k {}^s v$.

Therefore we have
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^t v_1\}) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - j - k, {}^s v, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - j - k, H_s, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

From cg-case1 we know that $i = j + k + 1$ and $H'_t = H'_{t2}, {}^t v = {}^t v_2$. Since we know $({}^s\theta, n - j - k, {}^s v, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from Definition 2.65 and Lemma 2.71 we get $({}^s\theta, n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

And since from (F-C2) we have $(n - j - k, H_s, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ therefore from Lemma 2.73 we get $(n - i, H_s, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

(b) ${}^s v_1 = \mathsf{inr}({}^s v'_1)$ and ${}^t v_1 = \mathsf{inr}({}^t v'_1)$:
Symmetric reasoning as in the previous case

10. CF-FI:

$$\frac{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_s : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \Lambda e_s : \forall \alpha.\tau \rightsquigarrow \Lambda e_t}\ \text{FI}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \Lambda e_s\ \delta^s, \Lambda e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\forall \alpha.\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.66 we know that
$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.\Lambda e_s \Downarrow_i {}^s v \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, \Lambda e_t) \Downarrow (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\forall \alpha.\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n$ s.t $(\Lambda e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$

From cg-val and fg-val we know that ${}^s v = (\Lambda e_s)\ \delta^s$, ${}^t v = (\Lambda e_t)\ \delta^t$, $i = 0$ and $H'_t = H_t$

It suffices to prove that

$({}^s\theta, n, (\Lambda e_s)\ \delta^s, (\Lambda e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\forall \alpha.\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

We know $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ from the context. So, we are only left to prove
$({}^s\theta, n, (\Lambda e_s)\ \delta^s, (\Lambda e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\forall \alpha.\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.65 it suffices to prove

$\forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, j < n, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.({}^s\theta', j, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means that we are given ${}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, j < n, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$

And we need to prove

$({}^s\theta', j, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-FI0)

Since $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from Lemma 2.72 we also have

$({}^s\theta', j, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

IH:

$({}^s\theta', j, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \cup \{\alpha \mapsto \ell'\} \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

We get (F-FI0) directly from IH

11. CF-FE:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_s : \forall \alpha.\tau \rightsquigarrow e_t \qquad \text{FV}(\ell) \in \Sigma}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_s\ [] : \tau[\ell/\alpha] \rightsquigarrow e_t[]}\ \text{FE}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ []\ \delta^s, e_t\ []\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.66 we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.e_s\ [] \Downarrow_i {}^sv \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, e_t\ []) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This further means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n, {}^sv$ s.t $e_s\ []\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, e_t\ []) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-FE0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\forall \alpha.\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.66 we have

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^sv_1.e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^sv_1 \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv_1.(H_t, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^tv_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor (\forall \alpha.\tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - j, H_{s1}, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(e_s\ [])\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv$ therefore $\exists j < i < n, {}^sv_1$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^sv_1$.

And we have

$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1.(H_t, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor(\forall\alpha.\tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j, H_s, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-FE1)

From cg-FE we know that ${}^s v_1 = \Lambda e'_s$ and ${}^t v_1 = \Lambda e'_t$

Therefore we have

$({}^s\theta, n-j, \Lambda e'_s, \Lambda e'_t) \in \lfloor(\forall\alpha.\tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.65 we have

$\forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, k < n-j, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_2.({}^s\theta', k, e'_s, e'_t) \in \lfloor\tau[\ell'/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_2}$

Instantiating ${}^s\theta'$ with ${}^s\theta$, k with $n-j-1$, ℓ' with ℓ σ and $\hat{\beta}_2$ with $\hat{\beta}$ and we get

$({}^s\theta, n-j-1, e'_s, e'_t) \in \lfloor\tau[\ell/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.66 we get

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n-j-1, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge \forall k < n-j-1, {}^s v_2.e'_s \Downarrow_k {}^s v_2 \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2.(H_{t2}, e'_t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j-1-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor\tau[\ell/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j-1-k, H_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating with H_s, H'_{t1}. Since from (F-FE1) we know that $(n-j, H_s, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ therefore from Lemma 2.73 we get $(n-j-1, H_s, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Since we know that $e_s\ []\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$ and from cg-FE we know that $i = j+k+1$ (for some k) and $i < n$ therefore we have $k < n-j-1$ s.t $e'_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_k {}^s v_2$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2.(H_{t2}, e'_t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j-1-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor\tau[\ell/\alpha]\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j-1-k, H_s, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-FE2)

Since $H'_t = H_{t2'}$, ${}^s v = {}^s v_2$ and ${}^t v = {}^t v_2$ therefore we get (F-FE0) directly from (F-FE2)

12. CF-CI:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi, c; \Gamma \vdash e_s : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \nu\ e_s : c \Rightarrow \tau \rightsquigarrow \nu\ e_t}\ \text{CI}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor\Gamma\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \nu\ e_s\ \delta^s, \nu e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(c \Rightarrow \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.66 we know that

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n.\nu e_s \Downarrow_i {}^s v \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, \nu e_t) \Downarrow (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor(c \Rightarrow \tau)\hat{\beta}\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\wedge}(n-i, H_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that given some $H_s, H_t, \hat{\beta}$ s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n$ s.t

$(\nu e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$

From cg-val and fg-val we know that ${}^s v = (\nu e_s)\ \delta^s$, ${}^t v = (\nu e_t)\ \delta^t$, $i = 0$ and $H_t' = H_t$

It suffices to prove that

$({}^s\theta, n, (\nu e_s)\ \delta^s, (\nu e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (c \Rightarrow \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

We know $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ from the context. So, we are only left to prove

$({}^s\theta, n, (\nu e_s)\ \delta^s, (\nu e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (c \Rightarrow \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.65 it suffices to prove

$\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma \implies \forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, j < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'. ({}^s\theta', j, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means that we are given $\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma$ and ${}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, j < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$

And we need to prove

$({}^s\theta', j, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-CI0)

Since $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from Lemma 2.72 we also have

$({}^s\theta', j, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

And since we know that $\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma$ therefore

IH: $({}^s\theta', j, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

We get (F-CI0) directly from IH

13. CF-CE:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_s : c \Rightarrow \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash c}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_s \bullet : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t \bullet}\ \text{CE}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, e_s \bullet\ \delta^s, e_t \bullet\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.66 we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t. (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v. e_s \bullet \Downarrow_i {}^s v \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^t v. (H_t, e_t \bullet) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This further means that given some $H_s, H_t, \hat{\beta}$ s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n$ s.t $e_s\ \bullet\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^t v. (H_t, e_t \bullet) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-CE0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (c \Rightarrow \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.66 we have

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^sv_1.e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^sv_1 \implies$
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1.(H_t, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor (c \Rightarrow \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j, H_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(e_s\ \bullet)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^sv_1$.

And we have

$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1.(H_t, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor (c \Rightarrow \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j, H_s, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-CE1)

From cg-CE we know that ${}^sv_1 = \nu e'_s$ and ${}^tv_1 = \nu e'_t$

Therefore we have

$({}^s\theta, n-j, \nu e'_s, \nu e'_t) \in \lfloor (c \Rightarrow \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.65 we have

$\forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_1, k < n-j, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_2.({}^s\theta', k, e'_s, e'_t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_2}$

Instantiating ${}^s\theta'$ with ${}^s\theta$, k with $n-j-1$, ℓ' with $\ell\ \sigma$ and $\hat{\beta}_2$ with $\hat{\beta}$ and we get

$({}^s\theta, n-j-1, e'_s, e'_t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.66 we get

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n-j-1, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge \forall k < n-j-1.e'_s \Downarrow_k {}^sv_2 \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^tv_2.(H_{t2}, e'_t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^tv_2) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j-1-k, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating with H_s, H'_{t1}. Since from (F-CE1) we know that $(n-j, H_s, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ therefore from Lemma 2.73 we get $(n-j-1, H_s, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Since we know that $e_s\ \bullet\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv$ and from cg-CE we know that $i = j+k+1$ (for some k) and $i < n$ therefore we have $k < n-j-1$ s.t $e'_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_k {}^sv_2$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^tv_2.(H_{t2}, e'_t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^tv_2) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j-1-k, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-CE2)

Since $H'_t = H_{t2'}$, ${}^sv = {}^sv_2$ and ${}^tv = {}^tv_2$ therefore we get (F-CE0) directly from (F-CE2)

14. CF-ret:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_s : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{ret}(e_s) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \tau \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)}\ \text{ret}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{ret}({e_s})\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}({e_t})\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

It means from Definition 2.66 that we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.\mathsf{ret}({e_s}) \Downarrow_i {}^sv \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}({e_t})) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that given some $H_s, H_t, \hat{\beta}$ s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{ret}({e_s})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}({e_t})) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

From CG-ret and FG-lam we know that $i = 0$, ${}^sv = \mathsf{ret}({e_s})\ \delta^s$, ${}^tv = \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}({e_t})\ \delta^t$ and $H_t' = H_t$.

So we need to prove

$({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{ret}({e_s})\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}({e_t})\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Since we already know $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ from the context so we are left with proving

$({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{ret}({e_s})\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}({e_t})\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.65 it means we need to prove
$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_s, H_t, i, {}^sv', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(k, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_s, \mathsf{ret}({e_s})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H_s', {}^sv') \wedge i < k \implies \exists H_t', {}^tv'.(H_t, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}({e_t})\ ())\delta^t) \Downarrow$
$(H_t', {}^tv') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

This means we are given some ${}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_s, H_t, i, {}^sv', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$ s.t

$(k, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_s, \mathsf{ret}({e_s})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H_s', {}^sv') \wedge i < k$. Also from cg-ret we know that $H_s' = H_s$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^tv'.(H_t, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}({e_t})\ ())\delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^tv') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-R0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta_e, k, {e_s}\ \delta^s, {e_t}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

It means from Definition 2.66 that we need to prove

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge \forall f < k.{e_s}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_f {}^sv \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv.(H_{t1}, {e_t}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k-f, H_{s1}, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$

Instantiating H_{s1} with H_s and H_{t1} with H_t. And since we know that $(H_s, \mathsf{ret}(e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_s, {}^s v')$ therefore $\exists f < i < k \leq n$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_f {}^s v_h$. Therefore we have

$$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k-f, H_s, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \qquad \text{(F-R1)}$$

In order to prove (F-R0) we choose H'_t as H'_{t1}, ${}^t v'$ as $\mathsf{inl}({}^t v)$, ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta_e$, $\hat{\beta}''$ as $\hat{\beta}'$. Since from cg-ret we know that $i = f + 1$ therefore from (F-R1) and Lemma 2.73 we know that $(k - i, H_s, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$

Next we choose ${}^t v''$ as ${}^t v$ (from F-R1) and from Lemma 2.71 we get $({}^s\theta_e, k - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (we know from cg-ret that ${}^s v' = {}^s v$)

15. CF-bind:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_{s1} : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{t1} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e_{s2} : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell_o\ \tau' \rightsquigarrow e_{t2}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2}) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau' \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{t1}(), x.e_{t2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}())}\ \text{bind}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{t1}(), x.e_{t2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}())\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau')\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

It means from Definition 2.66 that we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.\mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, \lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{t1}(), x.e_{t2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}())\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge$
$({}^s\theta, n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau')\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $\mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$

And we need to prove
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, \lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{t1}(), x.e_{t2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}())\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge$
$({}^s\theta, n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau')\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$
From cg-val and fg-val we know that $i = 0$, ${}^s v = \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s$,
${}^t v = \lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{t1}(), x.e_{t2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}())\ \delta^t$, $H'_t = H_t$

And we need to prove

$({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{t1}(), x.e_{t2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}())\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau')\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Since we already know $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ from the context so we are left with proving

$({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{t1}(), x.e_{t2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}())\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau')\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.65 it means we need to prove
$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^s v', {}^t v', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s1}, \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_{s1}, {}^s v') \wedge i < k \implies$

$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{t1}(), x.e_{t2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}()))()\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, {}^t v') \wedge$
$\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge \exists {}^t v''.{}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

This means we are given some ${}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^s v', {}^t v', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$ s.t

$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge (H_{s1}, \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_{s1}, {}^s v') \wedge i < k.$

And we need to prove
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{case}(e_{t1}(), x.e_{t2}(), y.\mathsf{inr}()))()\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, {}^t v') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k - i, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge \exists {}^t v''.{}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-B0)

IH1:

$({}^s\theta, k, e_{s1}\ \delta^s, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

It means from Definition 2.66 that we need to prove

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(k, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^s v_{h1}.e_{s1}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^s v_{h1} \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_{h1}.(H_{t2}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_{h1}) \wedge ({}^s\theta, k-j, {}^s v_{h1}, {}^t v_{h1}) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (k-j, H_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating H_{s2} with H_{s1} and H_{t2} with H_{t1}. And since we know that $(H_{s1}, \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_s, {}^s v')$ therefore $\exists j < i < k \leq n$ s.t $e_{s1}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^s v_{h1}$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_{h1}.(H_{t2}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_{h1}) \wedge ({}^s\theta, k-j, {}^s v_{h1}, {}^t v_{h1}) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (k-j, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-B1.1)

From Definition 2.65 we know have
$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s3}, H_{t3}, b, {}^s v'_{h1}, {}^t v'_{h1}, m \leq k - j, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(m, H_{s3}, H_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s3}, {}^s v_{h1}) \Downarrow_b^f (H'_{s3}, {}^s v'_{h1}) \wedge b < m \implies$
$\exists H'_{t3}, {}^t v'_{h1}.(H_{t3}, {}^t v_{h1}()) \Downarrow (H'_{t3}, {}^t v'_{h1}) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(m - b, H'_{s3}, H'_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'' \wedge$
$\exists {}^t v''_{h1}.{}^t v'_{h1} = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v''_{h1} \wedge ({}^s\theta'', m - b, {}^s v'_{h1}, {}^t v''_{h1}) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

Instantiating ${}^s\theta_e$ with ${}^s\theta$, H_{s3} with H_{s1}, H_{t3} with H'_{t2}, m with $k - j$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ with $\hat{\beta}$. Since we know that $(H_{s1}, \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_s, {}^s v')$ therefore $\exists b < i - j < k - j$ s.t $(H_{s1}, {}^s v_{h1})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_b (H'_{s3}, {}^s v'_{h1})$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t3}, {}^t v'_{h1}.(H_{t3}, {}^t v_{h1}()) \Downarrow (H'_{t3}, {}^t v'_{h1}) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k - j - b, H'_{s3}, H'_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'' \wedge$
$\exists {}^t v''.{}^t v'_{h1} = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v''_{h1} \wedge ({}^s\theta'', k - j - b, {}^s v'_{h1}, {}^t v''_{h1}) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-B1)

IH2:

$({}^s\theta'', k - j - b, e_{s2}\ \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^s v'_{h1}\}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^t v''_{h1}\}) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell_o\ \tau')\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''}$

It means from Definition 2.66 that we need to prove

$\forall H_{s4}, H_{t4}.(k, H_{s4}, H_{t4}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall c < (k-j-b), {}^s v_{h2}.e_{s2}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^s v_{h2} \implies$
$\exists H'_{t4}, {}^t v_{h2}.(H_{t4}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t4}, {}^t v_{h2}) \wedge ({}^s\theta'', k-j-b-c, {}^s v_{h2}, {}^t v_{h2}) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell_o\ \tau')\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''} \wedge (k-$
$j-b-c, H_{s4}, H'_{t4}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta''$

Instantiating H_{s4} with H'_{s3} and H_{t4} with H'_{t3}. And since we know that $(H_{s1}, \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_s, {}^s v')$ therefore $\exists c < i-j-b < k-j-b$ s.t $e_{s2}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_c {}^s v_{h2}$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t4}, {}^t v_{h2}.(H_{t4}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t4}, {}^t v_{h2}) \wedge ({}^s\theta'', k-j-b-c, {}^s v_{h2}, {}^t v_{h2}) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell_o\ \tau')\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''} \wedge (k-$
$j-b-c, H_{s4}, H'_{t4}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta''$ (F-B2.1)

From Definition 2.65 we know have

$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'', H_{s5}, H_{t5}, d, {}^s v'_{h2}, {}^t v'_{h2}, m \leq k-j-b-c, \hat{\beta}'' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''_1.$
$(m, H_{s5}, H_{t5}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''_1}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s5}, {}^s v_{h2}) \Downarrow_d^f (H'_{s5}, {}^s v'_{h2}) \wedge d < m \implies$
$\exists H'_{t5}, {}^t v'_{h2}.(H_{t5}, {}^t v_{h2}()) \Downarrow (H'_{t5}, {}^t v'_{h2}) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta''' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}''_1 \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''_2.(m-d, H'_{s5}, H'_{t5}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta''' \wedge$
$\exists {}^t v''_{h2}.{}^t v'_{h2} = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v''_{h2} \wedge ({}^s\theta''', m-d, {}^s v'_{h2}, {}^t v''_{h2}) \in \lfloor\tau'\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''_2}$

Instantiating ${}^s\theta_e$ with ${}^s\theta''$, H_{s5} with H'_{s3}, H_{t5} with H'_{t3}, m with $k-j-b-c$ and $\hat{\beta}''_1$ with $\hat{\beta}''$. Since we know that $(H_{s1}, \mathsf{bind}(e_{s1}, x.e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_s, {}^s v')$ therefore $\exists d < i-j-b-c < k-j-b-c$ s.t $(H'_{s3}, {}^s v_{h2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_d (H'_{s5}, {}^s v'_{h2})$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t5}, {}^t v'_{h2}.(H_{t5}, {}^t v_{h2}()) \Downarrow (H'_{t5}, {}^t v'_{h2}) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta''' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}''_1 \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''_2.(k-j-b-c-d, H'_{s5}, H'_{t5}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta''' \wedge$
$\exists {}^t v''.{}^t v'_{h2} = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v''_{h2} \wedge ({}^s\theta''', k-j-b-c-d, {}^s v'_{h2}, {}^t v''_{h2}) \in \lfloor\tau'\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''_2}$ (F-B2)

In order to prove (F-B0) we choose H'_{t1} as H'_{t5} and ${}^t v'$ as ${}^t v'_{h2}$. Next we choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta'''$ and $\hat{\beta}''$ as $\hat{\beta}''_2$ (both chosen from (F-B2)). Also from cg-bind we know that in (F-B0) H'_{s1} will be H'_{s5}.

Since $(k-j-b-c-d, H'_{s5}, H'_{t5}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'''$ therefore Lemma 2.71 we get $(k-i, H'_{s5}, H'_{t5}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'''$
Also since from (F-B2) we have $\exists {}^t v''.{}^t v'_{h2} = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v''_{h2} \wedge ({}^s\theta''', k-j-b-c-d, {}^s v'_{h2}, {}^t v''_{h2}) \in \lfloor\tau'\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''_2}$
Sicne $i = j+b+c+d+1$ therefore from Lemma 2.71 we get
$\exists {}^t v''.{}^t v'_{h2} = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v''_{h2} \wedge ({}^s\theta''', k-i, {}^s v'_{h2}, {}^t v''_{h2}) \in \lfloor\tau'\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''_2}$

16. CF-label:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_s : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{Lb}_\ell(e_s) : (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{inl}(e_t)}\ \text{label}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor\Gamma\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{Lb}_\ell(e_s)\ \delta^s, \mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.66 it suffices to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.\mathsf{Lb}_\ell(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^sv) \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', \mathsf{inl}({}^tv)) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^sv), \mathsf{inl}({}^tv)) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge$
$(n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that we are given some $H_s, H_t, \hat{\beta}$ s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n$ s.t $\mathsf{Lb}_\ell(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^sv)$.

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', \mathsf{inl}({}^tv)) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^sv), \mathsf{inl}({}^tv)) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge$
$(n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \qquad$ (F-LB0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.66 we have

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^sv_1.e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^sv_1 \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^tv_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j, H_{s1}, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $\mathsf{Lb}_\ell(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^sv)$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^sv$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^tv_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor(\tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j, H_s, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \qquad$ (F-LB1)

Since from (F-LB0) we are required to prove $({}^s\theta, n-i, \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^sv), \mathsf{inl}({}^tv)) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$. Since from cg-label we know that $i = j+1$, ${}^sv = {}^sv_1$ and ${}^tv = {}^tv_1$. Therefore we get this from Definition 2.65, (F-LB1) and Lemma 2.71.

From Lemma 2.71 we get $(n-i, H_s, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

17. CF-toLabeled:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_s : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau) \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t\ ())}\ \text{toLabeled}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor\Gamma\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s)\ \delta^s, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}\ e_t())\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau))\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

It means from Definition 2.66 that we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.\mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}\ e_t())\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau))\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge$
$(n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n$ s.t
$\mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$

And we need to prove
$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}\ e_t())\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau))\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge$
$(n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$
From cg-val and fg-val we know that $i = 0$, ${}^s v = \mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s)\ \delta^s$,
${}^t v = (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}\ e_t())\ \delta^t$, $H_t' = H_t$

And we need to prove

$({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s)\ \delta^s, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}\ e_t())\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau))\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Since we already know $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ from the context so we are left with proving

$({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s)\ \delta^s, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}\ e_t())\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_i\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau))\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.65 it means we need to prove
$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^s v', {}^t v', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s1}, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H_{s1}', {}^s v') \wedge i < k \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}\ e_t())()\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^t v''.{}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

This means we are given some ${}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^s v', {}^t v', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$ s.t
$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge (H_{s1}, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H_{s1}', {}^s v') \wedge i < k.$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}\ e_t())()\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^t v''.{}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-TL0)

IH:
$({}^s\theta, k, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

It means from Definition 2.66 that we need to prove

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(k, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^s v_{h1}.e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^s v_{h1} \implies$
$\exists H_{t2}', {}^t v_{h1}.(H_{t2}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t2}', {}^t v_{h1}) \wedge ({}^s\theta, k-j, {}^s v_{h1}, {}^t v_{h1}) \in \lfloor(\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (k-j, H_{s2}, H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright}$
${}^s\theta$

Instantiating H_{s2} with H_{s1} and H_{t2} with H_{t1}. And since we know that $(H_{s1}, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_s, {}^s v')$ therefore $\exists j < i < k \leq n$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_j {}^s v_{h1}$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_{h1}.(H_{t2}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_{h1}) \wedge ({}^s\theta, k-j, {}^s v_{h1}, {}^t v_{h1}) \in \lfloor (\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_o\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (k-j, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (F-TL1.1)

From Definition 2.65 we know have

$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s3}, H_{t3}, b, {}^s v'_{h1}, {}^t v'_{h1}, m \leq k-j, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$

$(m, H_{s3}, H_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s3}, {}^s v_{h1}) \Downarrow_b^f (H'_{s3}, {}^s v'_{h1}) \wedge b < m \implies$

$\exists H'_{t3}, {}^t v'_{h1}.(H_{t3}, {}^t v_{h1}\ ()) \Downarrow (H'_{t3}, {}^t v'_{h1}) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(m-b, H'_{s3}, H'_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'' \wedge \exists {}^t v''_{h1}.{}^t v'_{h1} = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v''_{h1} \wedge ({}^s\theta'', m-b, {}^s v'_{h1}, {}^t v''_{h1}) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

Instantiating ${}^s\theta_e$ with ${}^s\theta$, H_{s3} with H_{s1}, H_{t3} with H'_{t2}, m with $k-j$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ with $\hat{\beta}$. Since we know that $(H_{s1}, \mathsf{toLabeled}(e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_s, {}^s v')$ therefore $\exists b < i-j < k-j$ s.t $(H_{s1}, {}^s v_{h1})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_b (H'_{s3}, {}^s v'_{h1})$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t3}, {}^t v'_{h1}.(H_{t3}, {}^t v_{h1}\ ()) \Downarrow (H'_{t3}, {}^t v'_{h1}) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-j-b, H'_{s3}, H'_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'' \wedge \exists {}^t v''.{}^t v'_{h1} = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v''_{h1} \wedge ({}^s\theta'', k-j-b, {}^s v'_{h1}, {}^t v''_{h1}) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-TL1)

In order to prove (F-TL0) we choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta''$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}''$ (both chosen from (F-TL2))

Also from cg-toLabeled and fg-inl, fg-app we know that $H'_s = H'_{s3}$ and $H'_t = H'_{t3}$, and ${}^s v' = {}^s v'_{h1}$, ${}^t v' = {}^t v'_{h1}$

Therefore we get the desired from (F-TL1) and Lemma 2.71

18. CF-unlabel:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_s : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}(e_s) : \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ (\ell_i \sqcup \ell)\ \tau \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.e_t}\ \text{unlabel}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{unlabel}(e_s)\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.e_t\ \delta^t \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ (\ell_i \sqcup \ell)\ \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

It means from Definition 2.66 that we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.\mathsf{unlabel}(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v \implies$

$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, \lambda\_.e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ (\ell_i \sqcup \ell)\ \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $\mathsf{unlabel}(e_s)\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$

And we need to prove

$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, \lambda\_.e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ (\ell_i \sqcup \ell)\ \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

From cg-val and fg-val we know that $i = 0$, ${}^s v = \mathsf{unlabel}(e_s)\ \delta^s$, ${}^t v = \lambda\_.e_t\ \delta^t$, $H'_t = H_t$

And we need to prove

$({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ (\ell_i \sqcup \ell)\ \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Since we already know $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ from the context so we are left with proving

$({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{unlabel}(e_s)\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ (\ell_i \sqcup \ell)\ \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.65 it means we need to prove
$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^s v', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s1}, \mathsf{unlabel}(e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_{s1}, {}^s v') \wedge i < k \implies$
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.e_t)()\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, {}^t v') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k - i, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^t v''.{}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k - i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

This means we are given some ${}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^s v', {}^t v', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$ s.t

$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge (H_{s1}, \mathsf{unlabel}(e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_{s1}, {}^s v') \wedge i < k.$

And we need to prove

$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.e_t)()\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, {}^t v') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k - i, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^t v''.{}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k - i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-U0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta_e, k, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

It means from Definition 2.66 that we need to prove

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(k, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge \forall f < k, {}^s v_h.e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_f {}^s v_h \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_h.(H_{t2}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_h) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k - f, {}^s v_h, {}^t v_h) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k - f, H_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$

Instantiating H_{s2} with H_{s1} and H_{t2} with H_{t1}. And since we know that $(H_{s1}, \mathsf{unlabel}(e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_s, {}^s v')$ therefore $\exists f < i < k \leq n$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_f {}^s v_h$.
Therefore we have
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_h.(H_{t2}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_h) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k - f, {}^s v_h, {}^t v_h) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k - f, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$ (F-U1)

In order to prove (F-U0) we choose H'_{t1} as H'_{t2}, ${}^t v'$ as ${}^t v_h$, ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta_e$ and $\hat{\beta}''$ as $\hat{\beta}'$
From cg-unlabel and fg-app we also know that $H'_{s1} = H_{s1}$ and $H'_{t1} = H'_{t2}$
We need to prove

(a) $(k-i, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$:

Since from (F-U1) we know that $(k-f, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$

Therefore from Lemma 2.73 we also get $(k-i, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$

(b) $\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
Since from (F-U1) we have
$({}^s\theta_e, k-f, {}^sv_h, {}^tv_h) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means from Definition 2.65 we know that
$\exists {}^sv_i, {}^tv_i.{}^sv_h = \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^sv_i) \wedge {}^tv_h = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv_i \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f-1, {}^sv_i, {}^tv_i) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-U2)

Since we know that ${}^tv' = {}^tv_h$ and since from (F-U2) we have ${}^tv_h = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv_i$. Therefore from we choose ${}^tv''$ as ${}^tv_i$ to get the first conjunct
From cg-unlabel we know that ${}^sv = {}^sv_i$ and since we know that $({}^s\theta_e, k-f-1, {}^sv_i, {}^tv_i) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
Therefore from Lemma 2.71 we also get $({}^s\theta_e, k-i, {}^sv_i, {}^tv_i) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

19. CF-ref:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_s : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{new}\ e_s : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau) \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ (e_t))}\ \text{ref}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{new}\ e_s\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ (e_t))\ \delta^t \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

It means from Definition 2.66 that we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.\mathsf{new}\ e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^tv.(H_t, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ (e_t))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_t, {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n, {}^sv$ s.t
$\mathsf{new}\ e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv$

From cg-val and fg-val we know that $i = 0$, ${}^sv = \mathsf{new}\ e_s\ \delta^s$, ${}^tv = \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ (e_t))\ \delta^t$, $H'_t = H_t$

And we need to prove

$({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{new}\ e_s\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ (e_t))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Since we already know $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ from the context so we are left with proving

$({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{new}\ e_s\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ (e_t))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.65 it means we need to prove

$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^sv', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s1}, \mathsf{new}\ e_s\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_{s1}, {}^sv') \wedge i < k \implies$
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ e_t))()\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, {}^tv') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

This means we are given some ${}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^sv', {}^tv', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$ s.t

$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge (H_{s1}, \mathsf{new}\ (e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_{s1}, {}^sv') \wedge i < k.$

And we need to prove

$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(\mathsf{new}\ e_t))()\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, {}^tv') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-N0)
From cg-ref we know that ${}^sv' = a_s$ and from fg-ref, fg-inl we know that ${}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ a_t$.

IH:
$({}^s\theta_e, k, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

It means from Definition 2.66 that we need to prove

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(k, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge \forall f < k, {}^sv_h.e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_f {}^sv_h \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^tv_h.(H_{t2}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^tv_h) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f, {}^sv_h, {}^tv_h) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k-f, H_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$

Instantiating H_{s2} with H_{s1} and H_{t2} with H_{t1}. And since we know that $(H_{s1}, \mathsf{new}\ (e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_s, {}^sv')$ therefore $\exists f < i < k \leq n$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_f {}^sv_h$.
Therefore we have
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^tv_h.(H_{t2}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^tv_h) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f, {}^sv_h, {}^tv_h) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k-f, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$ (F-N1)

In order to prove (F-N0) we choose H'_{t1} as $H'_{t2} \cup \{a_t \mapsto {}^tv_h\}$, ${}^tv$ as a_t, ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta_n$ where ${}^s\theta_n = {}^s\theta_e \cup \{a_s \mapsto (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma\}$
And we choose $\hat{\beta}''$ as $\hat{\beta}_n$ where $\hat{\beta}_n = \hat{\beta}' \cup \{(a_s, a_t)\}$
From cg-ref and fg-ref we also know that $H'_{s1} = H_{s1} \cup \{a_s \mapsto {}^sv_h\}$
We need to prove

(a) $(k-i, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}_n}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_n$:
From Definition 2.67 it suffices to prove that

- $dom({}^s\theta_n) \subseteq dom(H'_{s1})$:

  Since $dom({}^s\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H_{s1})$ (given that we have $(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$)

  And since we know that
  ${}^s\theta_n = {}^s\theta_e \cup \{a_s \mapsto (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma\}$ and $H'_{s1} = H_{s1} \cup \{a_s \mapsto {}^sv_h\}$
  Therefore we get $dom({}^s\theta_n) \subseteq dom(H'_{s1})$

- $\hat{\beta}_n \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta_n) \times dom(H'_{t1}))$:

  Since $\hat{\beta}' \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta_e) \times dom(H_{t1}))$ (given that we have $(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$)

  And since we know that
  ${}^s\theta_n = {}^s\theta_e \cup \{a_s \mapsto (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma\}$, $H'_{t1} = H_{t1} \cup \{a_t \mapsto {}^t v_h\}$ and $\hat{\beta}_n = \hat{\beta}' \cup \{(a_s, a_t)\}$

  Therefore we get $\hat{\beta}_n \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta_n) \times dom(H'_{t1}))$
- $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}_n.({}^s\theta_n, k - i - 1, H'_{s1}(a_1), H'_{t1}(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta_n(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_n}$:
  $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}_n$
  - $(a_1, a_2) = (a_s, a_t)$:
    Since from (F-N1) we know that $({}^s\theta_e, k - f, {}^s v_h, {}^t v_h) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
    From Lemma 2.71 we get $({}^s\theta_n, k - i - 1, {}^s v_h, {}^t v_h) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_n}$
  - $(a_1, a_2) \neq (a_s, a_t)$:

    Since we have $(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$ therefore
    from Definition 2.67 we get
    $({}^s\theta_e, k - 1, H_{s1}(a_1), H_{t1}(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta_e(a_1) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
    From Lemma 2.71 we get
    $({}^s\theta_n, k - i - 1, H_{s1}(a_1), H_{t1}(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta_n(a_1) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

(b) $\exists {}^t v''.{}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta_n, k - i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_n}$:
We choose ${}^t v''$ as ${}^t v_h$ from (F-N1), fg-inl and fg-ref we know that ${}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v_h$

In order to prove $({}^s\theta_n, k - i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_n}$, from Definition 2.65 it suffices to prove that
${}^s\theta_n(a_s) = (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \wedge (a_s, a_t) \in \hat{\beta}_n$

We get this by construction of ${}^s\theta_n$ and $\hat{\beta}_n$

20. CF-deref:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash e_s : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash !e_s : \mathbb{C}\ \ell'\ \ell'\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau) \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)}\ \text{deref}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, !e_s\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell'\ \ell'\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

It means from Definition 2.66 that we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.!e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell'\ \ell'\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n$ s.t
$!e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^s v$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell'\ \ell'\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

From cg-val and fg-val we know that $i = 0$, ${}^s v = !e_s\ \delta^s$, ${}^t v = \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t$, $H_t' = H_t$

And we need to prove

$({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell'\ \ell'\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Since we already know $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ from the context so we are left with proving

$({}^s\theta, n, !e_s\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell'\ \ell'\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.65 it means we need to prove
$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^s v', {}^t v', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s1}, !e_s\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H_{s1}', {}^s v') \wedge i < k \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t))()\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^t v''.{}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

This means we are given some ${}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^s v', {}^t v', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$ s.t

$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge (H_{s1}, !(e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H_{s1}', {}^s v') \wedge i < k.$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_t))()\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^t v''.{}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-D0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta_e, k, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

It means from Definition 2.66 that we need to prove

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(k, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge \forall f < k, {}^s v_h.e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_f {}^s v_h \implies$
$\exists H_{t2}', {}^t v_h.(H_{t2}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t2}', {}^t v_h) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f, {}^s v_h, {}^t v_h) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k-f, H_{s2}, H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$

Instantiating H_{s2} with H_{s1} and H_{t2} with H_{t1}. And since we know that $(H_{s1}, !e_s\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H_s', {}^s v')$ therefore $\exists f < i < k \leq n$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_f {}^s v_h$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H_{t2}', {}^t v_h.(H_{t2}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H_{t2}', {}^t v_h) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f, {}^s v_h, {}^t v_h) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k-f, H_{s1}, H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$
(F-D1)

In order to prove (F-D0) we choose H_{t1}' as H_{t2}', ${}^t v_1'$ as $H_{t2}'(a)$ (where ${}^t v_h = a_t$ from fg-deref), ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta_e$ and we choose $\hat{\beta}''$ as $\hat{\beta}'$.

From cg-deref we also know that $H_{s1}' = H_{s1}$

We need to prove

(a) $(k-i, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta'}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$:

Since from (F-D1) we have $(k-f, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta'}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$ and since $f < i$ threfore from Lemma 2.73 we get $(k-i, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta'}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$

(b) $\exists {}^tv''.{}^tv' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv'' \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-i, {}^sv', {}^tv'') \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta'}}$:
Since from cg-deref and fg-deref we know that ${}^sv_h = a_s$ and ${}^tv_h = a_t$.
Therefore from (F-D1) and from Definition 2.65 we know that
${}^s\theta_e(a_s) = (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma \wedge (a_s, a_t) \in \hat{\beta'}$

Since from (F-D1) we know that $(k-f, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta'}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$ which means from Definition 2.67 we know that
$({}^s\theta, k-f-1, H_{s1}(a_s), H'_{t2}(a_t)) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta'}}$ (F-D2)

This means from Definition 2.65 we know that
$\exists {}^sv_i, {}^tv_i.H_{s1}(a_s) = \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^sv_i) \wedge H'_{t2}(a_t) = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv_i \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k-f-1, {}^sv_i, {}^tv_i) \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta'}}$

We choose ${}^tv''$ as ${}^tv_i$ and we know that ${}^tv' = H'_{t2}(a_t) = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv_i$. This proves the first conjunct.

Since from (F-D2) we have $({}^s\theta, k-f-1, H_{s1}(a_s), H'_{t2}(a_t)) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta'}}$ therefore from Lemma 2.71 we get
$({}^s\theta, k-i-1, H_{s1}(a_s), H'_{t2}(a_t)) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta'}}$
This proves the second conjunct.

21. CF-assign:

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e_{s1} : \mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{t1} \qquad \Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e_{s2} : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{t2} \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash e_{s1} := e_{s2} : \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ \mathsf{unit} \rightsquigarrow \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{t1} := e_{t2})}\ \text{assign}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor\Gamma\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, (e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{t1} := e_{t2})\ \delta^t \in \lfloor\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ \mathsf{unit}\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

It means from Definition 2.66 that we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.(e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^tv.(H_t, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{t1} := e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_t, {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ \mathsf{unit}\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n, {}^sv$ s.t $(e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s \Downarrow_i {}^sv$

And we need to prove

$\exists H'_t, {}^tv.(H_t, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{t1} := e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_t, {}^tv) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ \mathsf{unit}\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

From cg-val and fg-val we know that $i = 0$, ${}^s v = (e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s$, ${}^t v = \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{t1} := e_{t2})\ \delta^t$, $H'_t = H_t$

And we need to prove

$$({}^s\theta, n, (e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{t1} := e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ \mathsf{unit}\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$$

Since we already know $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ from the context so we are left with proving

$$({}^s\theta, n, (e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s, \lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{t1} := e_{t2})\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \ell\ \ell\ \mathsf{unit}\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$$

From Definition 2.65 it means we need to prove
$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^s v', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s1}, (e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_{s1}, {}^s v') \wedge i < k \implies$
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{t1} := e_{t2})()\ \delta^t)) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, {}^t v') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k - i, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright}$
${}^s\theta' \wedge \exists {}^t v''. {}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k - i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

This means we are given some ${}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i, {}^s v', k \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$ s.t

$$(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge (H_{s1}, (e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_{s1}, {}^s v') \wedge i < k.$$

And we need to prove
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v'.(H_{t1}, (\lambda\_.\mathsf{inl}(e_{t1} := e_{t2})()\ \delta^t)) \Downarrow (H'_{t1}, {}^t v') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.$
$(k - i, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge \exists {}^t v''. {}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k - i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-S0)

IH1:

$$({}^s\theta_e, k, e_{s1}\ \delta^s, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$$

It means from Definition 2.66 that we need to prove

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(k, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge \forall f < k, {}^s v_{h1}.e_{s1}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_f {}^s v_{h1} \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_{h1}.(H_{t2}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_{h1}) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k - f, {}^s v_{h1}, {}^t v_{h1}) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k - f, H_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$

Instantiating H_{s2} with H_{s1} and H_{t2} with H_{t1}. And since we know that $(H_{s1}, e_{s1} := e_{s2}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_s, {}^s v')$ therefore $\exists f < i < k \leq n$ s.t $e_s\ \delta^s \Downarrow_f {}^s v_{h1}$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_{h1}.(H_{t2}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_{h1}) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k - f, {}^s v_{h1}, {}^t v_{h1}) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k - f, H_{s1}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$ (F-S1)

IH2:

$$({}^s\theta_e, k - f, e_{s2}\ \delta^s, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$$

It means from Definition 2.66 that we need to prove

$\forall H_{s3}, H_{t3}.(k, H_{s3}, H_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e \wedge \forall l < k - f, {}^s v_{h2}.e_{s2}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_l {}^s v_{h2} \implies$
$\exists H'_{t3}, {}^t v_{h2}.(H_{t3}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t3}, {}^t v_{h2}) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k - f - l, {}^s v_{h2}, {}^t v_{h2}) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k - f - l, H_{s3}, H'_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$

Instantiating H_{s3} with H_{s1} and H_{t3} with H'_{t2}. And since we know that $(H_{s1}, e_{s1} := e_{s2}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i^f (H'_s, {}^s v')$ therefore $\exists l < i - f < k - f$ s.t $e_{s2}\ \delta^s \Downarrow_l {}^s v_{h2}$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t3}, {}^t v_{h2}.(H_{t3}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t3}, {}^t v_{h2}) \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k - f - l, {}^s v_{h2}, {}^t v_{h2}) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \wedge (k - f - l, H_{s1}, H'_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$ (F-S2)

In order to prove (F-S0) we choose H'_{t1} as $H'_{t3}[a_t \mapsto {}^t v_{h3}]$, ${}^t v'$ as $()$, ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta_e$ and $\hat{\beta}''$ as $\hat{\beta}'$
From cg-assign and fg-assign we also know that ${}^s v_{h2} = a_s$, ${}^t v_{h2} = a_t$, $H'_{s1} = H_{s1}[a_s \mapsto {}^s v_{h3}]$ and $H'_{t1} = H'_{t3}[a_t \mapsto {}^t v_{h3}]$
We need to prove

(a) $(k - i, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$:
From Definition 2.67 it suffices to prove that

- $dom({}^s\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H'_{s1})$:
  Since $dom({}^s\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H_{s1})$ (given that we have $(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$)
  And since $dom(H_{s1}) = dom(H'_{s1})$ therefore we also get $dom({}^s\theta_e) \subseteq dom(H'_{s1})$
- $\hat{\beta}' \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta_e) \times dom(H'_{t1}))$:
  Since $\hat{\beta}' \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta_e) \times dom(H_{t1}))$ (given that we have $(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$)
  And since $dom(H_{t1}) \subseteq dom(H'_{t1})$ therefore we also have $\hat{\beta}' \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta_e) \times dom(H'_{t1}))$
- $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}'.({}^s\theta_e, k - i - 1, H'_{s1}(a_1), H'_{t1}(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta_e(a_1)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
  $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}_n$
  - $(a_1, a_2) = (a_s, a_t)$:
    Since from (F-S2) we know that $({}^s\theta_e, k - f - l, {}^s v_{h2}, {}^t v_{h2}) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
    From Lemma 2.71 we get $({}^s\theta_e, k - i - 1, {}^s v_{h2}, {}^t v_{h2}) \in \lfloor(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
  - $(a_1, a_2) \neq (a_s, a_t)$:
    Since we have $(k, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_e$ therefore
    from Definition 2.67 we get
    $({}^s\theta_e, k - 1, H_{s1}(a_1), H_{t1}(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta_e(a_1)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
    From Lemma 2.71 we get
    $({}^s\theta_n, k - i - 1, H_{s1}(a_1), H_{t1}(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta_e(a_1)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

(b) $\exists {}^t v''.{}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta_e, k - i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit}\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_n}$:
We choose ${}^t v''$ as $()$ from (F-S1), fg-inl and fg-assign we know that ${}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ ()$

To prove: $({}^s\theta_n, k - i, (), ()) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit}\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_n}$,
We get this directly from Definition 2.65

□

Lemma 2.75 (CG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG: Subtyping)**.** *The following holds:*
$\forall \Sigma, \Psi, \sigma, \tau, \tau'.$

1. $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \wedge \mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \implies \lfloor(\tau\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau'\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
2. $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \wedge \mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \implies \lfloor(\tau\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau'\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

Proof. Proof of Statement (1)
Proof by induction on $\tau <: \tau'$

1. CGsub-arrow:
   Given:
   $$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1' <: \tau_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \to \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \to \tau_2'}$$
   To prove: $\lfloor((\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor((\tau_1' \to \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   It suffices to prove: $\forall ({}^s\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' \to \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   This means that given some ${}^s\theta, n$ and $\lambda x.e_i$ s.t $({}^s\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 \to \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
   Therefore from Definition 2.65 we are given:
   $\forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^sv, {}^tv, j < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
   $({}^s\theta', j, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\tau_1\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \implies ({}^s\theta', j, e_s[{}^sv/x], e_t[{}^tv/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (S-A0)

   And it suffices to prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \lambda x.e_i) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' \to \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   Again from Definition 2.65 it suffices to prove:
   $\forall {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1, k < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1'.$
   $({}^s\theta_1', k, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'} \implies ({}^s\theta_1', k, e_s[{}^sv_1/x], e_t[{}^tv_1/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

   This means that given some ${}^s\theta_1' \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1, k < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1'$ s.t $({}^s\theta_1', k, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
   And we are required to prove: $({}^s\theta_1', k, e_s[{}^sv_1/x], e_t[{}^tv_1/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

   IH: $\lfloor(\tau_1'\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_1\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (Statement (1))
   $\lfloor(\tau_2\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_2'\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (Sub-A0, From Statement (2))

   Instantiating (S-A0) with ${}^s\theta_1', {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1, k, \hat{\beta}_1'$
   Since $({}^s\theta_1', k, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from IH1 we know that $({}^s\theta_1', k, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
   As a result we get
   $({}^s\theta_1', k, e_s[{}^sv_1/x], e_t[{}^tv_1/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$
   From (Sub-A0), we know that
   $({}^s\theta_1', k, e_s[{}^sv_1/x], e_t[{}^tv_1/x]) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

2. CGsub-prod:

   Given:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor((\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor((\tau_1' \times \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   IH1: $\lfloor(\tau_1\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_1'\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement (1))

   IH2: $\lfloor(\tau_2\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_2'\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement (1))

   It suffices to prove:

   $\forall({}^s\theta, n, ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2), ({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2)) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2), ({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2)) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' \times \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   This means that given $({}^s\theta, n, ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2), ({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2)) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   Therefore from Definition 2.65 we are given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (S-P0)

   And it suffices to prove: $({}^s\theta, ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2), ({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2)) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' \times \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   Again from Definition 2.65, it suffices to prove:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   Since from (S-P0) we know that $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from IH1 we have $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   Similarly since from (S-P0) we have $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from IH2 we get $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

3. CGsub-sum:

   Given:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor((\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor((\tau_1' + \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   IH1: $\lfloor(\tau_1\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_1'\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement (1))

   IH2: $\lfloor(\tau_2\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_2'\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement (1))

   It suffices to prove: $\forall({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' + \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   This means that given: $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   And it suffices to prove: $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' + \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   2 cases arise

(a) ${}^s v = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^s v_i$ and ${}^t v = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v_i$:
From Definition 2.65 we are given:
$({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v_i, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (S-S0)
And we are required to prove that:
$({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v_i, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor \tau_1'\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
From (S-S0) and IH1 we get
$({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v_i, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor \tau_1'\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

(b) ${}^s v = \mathsf{inr}\ {}^s v_i$ and ${}^t v = \mathsf{inr}\ {}^t v_i$:
Symmetric reasoning

4. CGsub-forall:
Given:

$$\frac{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \forall\alpha.\tau_1 <: \forall\alpha.\tau_2}$$

To prove: $\lfloor((\forall\alpha.\tau_1)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\forall\alpha.\tau_2)\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

It suffices to prove: $\forall({}^s\theta, n, \Lambda e_s, \Lambda e_t) \in \lfloor((\forall\alpha.\tau_1)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, \Lambda e_s, \Lambda e_t) \in \lfloor((\forall\alpha.\tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means that given: $({}^s\theta, n, \Lambda e_s, \Lambda e_t) \in \lfloor((\forall\alpha.\tau_1)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
Therefore from Definition 2.65 we are given:
$\forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, j < n, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.({}^s\theta', j, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau_1[\ell'/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (S-F0)

And it suffices to prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \Lambda e_s, \Lambda e_t) \in \lfloor((\forall\alpha.\tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Again from Definition 2.65, it suffices to prove:
$\forall {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, k < n, \ell_1' \in \mathcal{L}, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1'.({}^s\theta_1', k, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau_2[\ell_1'/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

This means that given ${}^s\theta_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, k < n, \ell_1' \in \mathcal{L}, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1'$
And we are required to prove: $({}^s\theta_1', k, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau_2[\ell_1'/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

Instantiating (S-F0) with ${}^s\theta_1, k, \ell_1', \hat{\beta}_1'$ we get
$({}^s\theta_1', k, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau_1[\ell_1'/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

$\lfloor(\tau_1\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell']))\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_2\ (\sigma \cup [\alpha \mapsto \ell']))\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (Sub-F0, Statement (2))

From (Sub-F0), we know that
$({}^s\theta_1', k, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau_2[\ell_1'/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

5. CGsub-constraint:
Given:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c_2 \implies c_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1 <: c_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2}$$

To prove: $\lfloor((c_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor((c_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2))\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

It suffices to prove: $\forall({}^s\theta, n, \nu e_s, \nu e_t) \in \lfloor((c_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, \nu e_s, \nu e_t) \in \lfloor((c_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means that given: $({}^s\theta, n, \nu e_s, \nu e_t) \in \lfloor((c_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
Therefore from Definition 2.65 we are given:
$\mathcal{L} \models c_1\ \sigma \implies \forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, j < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.({}^s\theta', j, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (S-C0)

And it suffices to prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \nu e_s, \nu e_t) \in \lfloor((c_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Again from Definition 2.65, it suffices to prove:
$\mathcal{L} \models c_2\ \sigma \implies \forall {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, k < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1'.({}^s\theta_1', k, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

This means that given $\mathcal{L} \models c_2, {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, k < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1'$

And we are required to prove:
$({}^s\theta_1', k, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

since we know that $c_2 \implies c_1$ and since $\mathcal{L} \models c_2\ \sigma$ therefore $\mathcal{L} \models c_1\ \sigma$. Next we instantiate (S-C0) with ${}^s\theta_1', k, \hat{\beta}_1'$ to get
$({}^s\theta_1', k, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

$\lfloor(\tau_1\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_2\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}\hat{\beta}_1'$ (Sub-C0, Statement (2))

Therefore from (Sub-C0), we get
$({}^s\theta_1', k, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

6. CGsub-label:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau <: \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau'}$$

To prove: $\lfloor((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ '\tau')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

IH: $\lfloor(\tau\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau'\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement (1))

It suffices to prove:
$\forall({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means that given some $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
Therefore from Definition 2.65 we are given:
$\exists {}^s v', {}^t v'.{}^s v = \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^s v') \wedge {}^t v = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v' \wedge ({}^s\theta, m, {}^s v', {}^t v') \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (S-L0)

And we are required to prove that

$({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor((\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'\ \tau')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.65 it suffices to prove

$\exists {}^s v', {}^t v'. {}^s v = \mathsf{Lb}_\ell({}^s v') \wedge {}^t v = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v' \wedge ({}^s\theta, m, {}^s v', {}^t v') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

We get this directly from (S-L0) and IH

7. CGsub-CG:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell_1' \sqsubseteq \ell_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell_2 \sqsubseteq \ell_2'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau <: \mathbb{C}\ \ell_1'\ \ell_2'\ \tau'}$$

To prove: $\lfloor((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_i\ \ell_2\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1'\ \ell_2'\ \tau')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

It suffices to prove:

$\forall({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1'\ \ell_2'\ \tau')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means that given $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1\ \ell_2\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Therefore from Definition 2.65 we are given:

$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_s, H_t, i, {}^s v', k \leq m, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$

$(k, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_s, {}^s v) \Downarrow_i^f (H_s', {}^s v') \wedge i < k \implies$

$\exists H_t', {}^t v'.(H_t, {}^t v()) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$

$\exists {}^t v''. {}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k - i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (S-M0)

And we are required to prove

$({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor((\mathbb{C}\ \ell_1'\ \ell_2'\ \tau')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

So again from Definition 2.65 we need to prove

$\forall {}^s\theta_{e1} \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i_1, {}^s v_1', k_1 \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1'.$

$(k_1, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_{e1}) \wedge (H_{s1}, {}^s v) \Downarrow_{i_1}^f (H_{s1}', {}^s v_1') \wedge i_1 < k_1 \implies$

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1'.(H_{t1}, {}^t v()) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_{e1}, \hat{\beta}_1' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1''.(k_1 - i_1, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$

$\exists {}^t v_1''. {}^t v_1' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v_1'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k_1 - i_1, {}^s v_1', {}^t v_1'') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1''}$

This means we are given some ${}^s\theta_{e1} \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i_1, {}^s v_1', k_1 \leq n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1'$ s.t $(k_1, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright}$ $({}^s\theta_{e1}) \wedge (H_{s1}, {}^s v_1) \Downarrow_{i_1}^f (H_{s1}', {}^s v_1') \wedge i_1 < k_1$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1'.(H_{t1}, {}^t v_1()) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_{e1}, \hat{\beta}_1' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1''.(k_1 - i_1, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$

$\exists {}^t v_1''. {}^t v_1' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v_1'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k_1 - i_1, {}^s v_1', {}^t v_1'') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1''}$

We instantiate (S-M0) with ${}^s\theta_{e1}, H_{s1}, H_{t1}, i_1, {}^s v_1', k_1, \hat{\beta}_1'$ we get

$\exists H_t', {}^t v'.(H_t, {}^t v()) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_{e1}, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k-i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
$\exists {}^t v''. {}^t v' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

IH: $\lfloor (\tau\ \sigma) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''} \subseteq \lfloor (\tau'\ \sigma) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \hat{\beta}''$ (Statement (1))

Since we have $({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ therefore from IH we get $({}^s\theta', k-i, {}^s v', {}^t v'') \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

8. CGsub-base:

   Trivial

Proof of Statement(2)

It suffice to prove that

$\forall ({}^s\theta, n, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor (\tau\ \sigma) \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor (\tau'\ \sigma) \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means that we are given $({}^s\theta, n, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor (\tau\ \sigma) \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.66 it means we have

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.e_s \Downarrow_i {}^s v \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, e_t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-i, H_s, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ (Sub-E0)

And we need to prove

$({}^s\theta, n, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor (\tau'\ \sigma) \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.66 we need to prove

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^s v_1.e_s \Downarrow_j {}^s v_1 \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j, H_{s1}, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

This further means that given H_{s1}, H_{t1} s.t $(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $j < n, {}^s v_1$ s.t $e_s \Downarrow_j {}^s v_1$

And it suffices to prove that

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_t) \Downarrow (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j, H_{s1}, H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Instantiating (Sub-E0) with the given H_{s1}, H_{t1} and $j < n, {}^s v_1$. We get

$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_{t1}, e_t) \Downarrow (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge ({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n-j, H_{s1}, H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Since we have $({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from Statement(1) we get $({}^s\theta, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

□

Theorem 2.76 (CG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG: Deriving CG NI via compilation)**.** $\forall e_s, {}^s v_1, {}^s v_2, {}^s v_1', {}^s v_2', n_1, n_2, H_{s1}', H_{s2}'.$
let $\mathsf{bool} = (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})$.
$\emptyset, \emptyset, x : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \vdash e_s : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \wedge$
$\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash {}^s v_1 : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \wedge \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash {}^s v_2 : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \wedge$
$(\emptyset, e_s[{}^s v_1/x]) \Downarrow_{n_1}^f (H_{s1}', {}^s v_1') \wedge$
$(\emptyset, e_s[{}^s v_2/x]) \Downarrow_{n_2}^f (H_{s2}', {}^s v_2')$
$\implies$
${}^s v_1' = {}^s v_2'$

Proof. From the CG to FG translation we know that $\exists e_t$ s.t
$\emptyset, \emptyset, x : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \vdash e_s : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \rightsquigarrow e_t$
Similarly we also know that $\exists {}^t v_1, , {}^t v_2$ s.t
$\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash {}^s v_1 : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \rightsquigarrow {}^t v_1$ and $\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash {}^s v_2 : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \rightsquigarrow {}^t v_2$ (NI-0)

From type preservation theorem we know that
$\emptyset, \emptyset, x : ((\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})^{\bot} + \mathsf{unit})^{\top} \vdash_{\top} e_t : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\bot} ((\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})^{\bot} + \mathsf{unit})^{\bot})^{\bot}$
$\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash_{\top} {}^t v_1 : ((\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})^{\bot} + \mathsf{unit})^{\top}$
$\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash_{\top} {}^t v_2 : ((\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})^{\bot} + \mathsf{unit})^{\top}$ (NI-1)

Since we have $\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash {}^s v_1 : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \rightsquigarrow {}^t v_1$
And since ${}^s v_1$ and ${}^t v_1$ are closed terms (from given and NI-1)
Therefore from Theorem 2.74 we have (we choose n s.t $n > n_1$ and $n > n_2$)
$(\emptyset, n, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \rfloor^{\emptyset}_E$ (NI-2)
And therefore from Definition 2.70 and (NI-2) we have
$(\emptyset, n, (x \mapsto {}^s v_1), (x \mapsto {}^t v_1)) \in \lfloor x \mapsto \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \rfloor^{\emptyset}_V$

From (NI-0) we know that $\emptyset, \emptyset, x : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \vdash e_s : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \rightsquigarrow e_t$
Therefore we can apply Theorem 2.74 to get
$(\emptyset, n, e_s[{}^s v_1/x], e_t[{}^t v_1/x]) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \rfloor^{\emptyset}_E$ (NI-3.1)
Applying Definition 2.66 on (NI-3.1) we get

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} \emptyset \wedge \forall i < n. e_s[{}^s v_1/x] \Downarrow_i {}^s v \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v.(H_{t2}, e_t[{}^t v_1/x]) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v) \wedge (\emptyset, n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V \wedge (n - i, H_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} \emptyset$

Instantiating with $\emptyset, \emptyset$. From cg-val we know that $i = 0$ and ${}^s v = e_s[{}^s v_1/x]$.

Therefore we have
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v.(H_{t2}, e_t[{}^t v_1/x]) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, {}^t v) \wedge (\emptyset, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V \wedge (n, H_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} \emptyset$

From translation and from (NI-1) we know that ${}^t v = e_t[{}^t v_1/x] = \lambda\_.e_{b1}$ and therefore from fg-val we have $H'_{t2} = \emptyset$

Therefore we have
$(\emptyset, n, e_s[{}^s v_1/x], \lambda\_.e_{b1}) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \rfloor^{\emptyset}_V$

Expanding $(\emptyset, n, e_s[{}^s v_1/x], \lambda\_.e_{b1}) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \rfloor^{\emptyset}_V$ using Definition 2.65 we get

$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq \emptyset, H_{s3}, H_{t3}, i, {}^s v'', k \leq n, \emptyset \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(k, H_{s3}, H_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s3}, e_s[{}^s v_1/x]) \Downarrow^f_i (H'_{s1}, {}^s v''_1) \wedge i < k \implies$
$\exists H''_{t1}, {}^t v'', (H_{t3}, (\lambda\_.e_{b1})()) \Downarrow (H''_{t1}, {}^t v''_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k - i, H'_{s1}, H''_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge \exists {}^t v'''_1.{}^t v''_1 = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'''_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta', k - i, {}^s v''_1, {}^t v'''_1) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool} \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}''}_V$

Instantiating with $\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, n_1, {}^s v'_1, n, \emptyset$ we get

$\exists H''_{t1}, {}^t v''.(\emptyset, (\lambda\_.e_{b1})()) \Downarrow (H''_{t1}, {}^t v''_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq \emptyset, \emptyset \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(n - n_1, H'_{s1}, H''_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge \exists {}^t v'''_1.{}^t v''_1 = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'''_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v'_1, {}^t v'''_1) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool} \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}''}_V$ (NI-3.2)

Since we have $\exists {}^t v'''_1.{}^t v''_1 = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v'''_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v'_1, {}^t v'''_1) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit}) \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}''}_V$, therefore from Definition 2.65 we know that 2 cases arise

- ${}^s v_1' = \mathsf{inl}^s v_{i1}'$ and ${}^t v_1''' = \mathsf{inl}^t v_{i1}'$:
  And from Definition 2.65 we know that
  $({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v_{i1}', {}^t v_{i1}') \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$
  which means ${}^s v_{i1}' = {}^t v_{i1}' = ()$
- ${}^s v_1' = \mathsf{inr}^s v_{i1}'$ and ${}^t v_1''' = \mathsf{inr}^t v_{i1}'$:
  Same reasoning as in the previous case

Thus no matter which case occurs we have ${}^s v_1' = {}^t v_1'''$ (NI-3.3)

Similarly we can apply Theorem 2.74 with the other substitution to get
$(\emptyset, n, e_s[{}^s v_2/x], e_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C} \perp \perp \mathsf{bool} \rfloor_E^{\emptyset}$ (NI-4.1)

Applying Definition 2.66 on (NI-4.1) we get

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} \emptyset \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v_s.e_s[{}^s v_2/x] \Downarrow_i {}^s v_s \implies \exists H_{t2}', {}^t v_s.(H_{t2}, e_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \Downarrow (H_{t2}', {}^t v_s) \wedge (\emptyset, n - i, {}^s v_s, {}^t v_s) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C} \perp \perp \mathsf{bool} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n - i, H_{s2}, H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} \emptyset$

Instantiating with $\emptyset, \emptyset$. From cg-val we know that $i = 0$ and ${}^s v_s = e_s[{}^s v_2/x]$.

Therefore we have
$\exists H_{t2}', {}^t v_s.(H_{t2}, e_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \Downarrow (H_{t2}', {}^t v_s) \wedge (\emptyset, n, {}^s v_s, {}^t v_s) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C} \perp \perp \mathsf{bool} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge (n, H_{s2}, H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} \emptyset$

Also from (NI-1) and from translation we know that ${}^t v = e_t[{}^t v_2/x] = \lambda\_.e_{b2}$ and therefore from fg-val we know that $H_{t2}' = \emptyset$

Therefore we have
$(\emptyset, n, e_s[{}^s v_2/x], \lambda\_.e_{b2}) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C} \perp \perp \mathsf{bool} \rfloor_V^{\emptyset}$

Expanding $(\emptyset, n, e_s[{}^s v_2/x], \lambda x.e_{b2}) \in \lfloor \mathbb{C} \perp \perp \mathsf{bool} \rfloor_V^{\emptyset}$ using Definition 2.65 we get

$\forall {}^s\theta_e \sqsupseteq \emptyset, H_{s3}, H_{t3}, i, {}^s v'', k \leq n, \emptyset \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(k, H_{s3}, H_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} ({}^s\theta_e) \wedge (H_{s3}, e_s[{}^s v_2/x]) \Downarrow_i^f (H_{s2}', {}^s v_2'') \wedge i < k \implies$
$\exists H_{t2}'', {}^t v'', (H_{t3}, (\lambda\_.e_{b2})()) \Downarrow (H_{t2}'', {}^t v_2'') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_e, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(k - i, H_{s2}', H_{t2}'') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge \exists {}^t v_2'''.{}^t v_2'' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v_2''' \wedge ({}^s\theta', k - i, {}^s v_1'', {}^t v_2''') \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

Instantiating with $\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, n_2, {}^s v_2', n, \emptyset$ we get

$\exists H_{t2}'', {}^t v''.(\emptyset, (\lambda\_.e_{b2})()) \Downarrow (H_{t2}'', {}^t v_2'') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq \emptyset, \emptyset \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.(n - n_1, H_{s2}', H_{t2}'') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge \exists {}^t v_2'''.{}^t v_2'' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v_2''' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v_2', {}^t v_2''') \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (NI-4.2)

Since we have $\exists {}^t v_2'''.{}^t v_2'' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v_2''' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v_2', {}^t v_2''') \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$, therefore from Definition 2.65 2 cases arise

- ${}^s v_2' = \mathsf{inl}^s v_{i2}'$ and ${}^t v_2''' = \mathsf{inl}^t v_{i2}'$:
  And from Definition 2.65 we know that
  $({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v_{i2}', {}^t v_{i2}') \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$
  which means ${}^s v_{i2}' = {}^t v_{i2}' = ()$
- ${}^s v_2' = \mathsf{inr}^s v_{i2}'$ and ${}^t v_2''' = \mathsf{inr}^t v_{i2}'$:
  Same reasoning as in the previous case

Thus no matter which case occurs we have ${}^{s}v_2' = {}^{t}v_2'''$ (NI-4.3)

From CG to FG translation we know that $\exists^{t} v_{i1}.{}^{t}v_1 = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^{t}v_{i1}$ and similarly $\exists^{t} v_{i2}.{}^{t}v_2 = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^{t}v_{i2}$

From (NI-1) since $\emptyset,\emptyset,\emptyset \vdash_\top {}^{t}v_1 : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top$ therefore from CG-inl we know that $\emptyset,\emptyset,\emptyset \vdash_\top {}^{t}v_{i1} : \mathsf{bool}^\bot$

And from CGsub-sum we know that $\emptyset,\emptyset,\emptyset \vdash_\top {}^{t}v_{i1} : \mathsf{bool}^\top$
Therefore we also have $\emptyset,\emptyset,\emptyset \vdash_\bot {}^{t}v_{i1} : \mathsf{bool}^\top$ (NI-5.1)

Similarly we also have $\emptyset,\emptyset,\emptyset \vdash_\bot {}^{t}v_{i2} : \mathsf{bool}^\top$ (NI-5.2)

Next, let $e_T = (\lambda x : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top.\mathsf{case}(e_t(), y.y, z.{}^{t}v_b))\ (\mathsf{case}(u, -.\mathsf{inl}\ true, -.\mathsf{inl}\ false)) : \mathsf{bool}^\bot$

where $true = \mathsf{inl}\ ()$ and $false = \mathsf{inr}\ ()$

We claim $\emptyset,\emptyset,u : \mathsf{bool}^\top \vdash_\bot e_T : \mathsf{bool}^\bot$

To show this we give its typing derivation

P2.3:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\emptyset,\emptyset,u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, - \vdash_\bot false : \mathsf{bool}^\bot}\ \text{FG-inl}}{\emptyset,\emptyset,u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, - \vdash_\bot \mathsf{inl}\ false : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\bot}\ \text{FG-inl}}{\emptyset,\emptyset,u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, - \vdash_\bot \mathsf{inl}\ false : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top}\ \text{FGSub-base}$$

P2.2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\emptyset,\emptyset,u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, - \vdash_\bot true : \mathsf{bool}^\bot}\ \text{FG-inl}}{\emptyset,\emptyset,u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, - \vdash_\bot \mathsf{inl}\ true : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\bot}\ \text{FG-inl}}{\emptyset,\emptyset,u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, - \vdash_\bot \mathsf{inl}\ true : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top}\ \text{FGSub-base}$$

P2.1:

$$\dfrac{}{\emptyset,\emptyset,u : \mathsf{bool}^\top \vdash_\bot u : \mathsf{bool}^\top}$$

P2:

$$\dfrac{P2.1 \qquad P2.2 \qquad P2.3 \qquad \dfrac{}{\emptyset,\emptyset \models (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top \searrow \bot}}{\emptyset,\emptyset,u : \mathsf{bool}^\top \vdash_\bot (\mathsf{case}(u, -.\mathsf{inl}\ true, -.\mathsf{inl}\ false)) : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top}$$

P1.2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\emptyset,\emptyset,u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, x : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top \vdash_\bot e_t : (\mathsf{unit} \xrightarrow{\bot} (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\bot)^\bot}\ \text{NI-1} \qquad \dfrac{}{\emptyset,\emptyset,u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, x : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top \vdash_\bot () : \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{FG-unit}}{\emptyset,\emptyset,u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, x : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top \vdash_\bot e_t() : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\bot}\ \text{FG-app}$$

$$\dfrac{\emptyset,\emptyset \models \bot \sqcup \bot \sqsubseteq \bot \qquad \emptyset,\emptyset \models (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\bot \searrow \bot}{}$$

P1.1:

$$\dfrac{P1.2 \qquad \dfrac{}{\emptyset,\emptyset,u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, x : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top, y : \mathsf{bool}^\bot \vdash_\bot y : \mathsf{bool}^\bot}\ \text{FG-var}}{\dfrac{\emptyset,\emptyset,u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, x : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top, z : \mathsf{unit} \vdash_\bot false : \mathsf{bool}^\bot}\ \text{FG-var} \qquad \dfrac{}{\emptyset,\emptyset \models \mathsf{bool}^\bot \searrow \bot}}{\emptyset,\emptyset,u : \mathsf{bool}^\top, x : (\mathsf{bool}^\bot + \mathsf{unit})^\top \vdash_\bot \mathsf{case}(e_t(), y.y, z.{}^{t}v_b) : \mathsf{bool}^\bot}\ \text{FG-case}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P1.1}{\emptyset,\emptyset,u:\mathsf{bool}^{\top},x:(\mathsf{bool}^{\bot}+\mathsf{unit})^{\top}\vdash_{\bot}\mathsf{case}(e_t(),y.y,z.{}^{t}v_b):\mathsf{bool}^{\bot}}}{\emptyset,\emptyset,u:\mathsf{bool}^{\top}\vdash_{\bot}(\lambda x:(\mathsf{bool}^{\bot}+\mathsf{unit})^{\top}.\mathsf{case}(e_t(),y.y,z.{}^{t}v_b)):((\mathsf{bool}^{\bot}+\mathsf{unit})^{\top}\xrightarrow{\bot}\mathsf{bool}^{\bot})^{\bot}}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{P1 \qquad P2 \qquad \dfrac{}{\emptyset,\emptyset\models\bot\sqcup\bot\sqsubseteq\bot} \qquad \dfrac{}{\emptyset,\emptyset\models\mathsf{bool}^{\bot}\searrow\bot}}{\emptyset,\emptyset,u:\mathsf{bool}^{\top}\vdash_{\bot}(\lambda x:(\mathsf{bool}^{\bot}+\mathsf{unit})^{\top}.\mathsf{case}(e_t(),y.y,z.{}^{t}v_b))\ (\mathsf{case}(u,-.\mathsf{inl}\ true,-.\mathsf{inl}\ false)):\mathsf{bool}^{\bot}}\ \text{FG-app}$$

Assuming $e_{b1}()$ reduces in n_{t1} steps in (NI-3.2) and $e_{b2}()$ reduces in n_{t2} steps in (NI-4.2).

We instantiate Theorem 2.29 with $e_T, {}^{t}v_{i1}, {}^{t}v_{i2}$, $n_{t1}+2, n_{t2}+2$, H''_{t1}, H''_{t2} and $\bot$ and therefore from (NI-3.3) and (NI-4.3) we get ${}^{t}v'''_1 = {}^{t}v'''_2$ and thus ${}^{s}v'_1 = {}^{s}v'_2$

□

2.4 Translation from FG to FG$^-$

2.4.1 FG$^-$ typesystem

Lemma 2.77 (FG$^-$: Reflexivity of subtyping)**.** *The following hold:*

1. *For all* Σ, Ψ, τ*:* $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau$
2. *For all* Σ, Ψ, A*:* $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}$

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on τ and A.

Proof of statement (1)

Let $\tau = \mathsf{A}^\ell$. Then, we have:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}}\ \text{IH(2)} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A}^\ell <: \mathsf{A}^\ell}\ \text{FGsub-label}$$

Proof of statement (2)

We proceed by cases on A.

1. $\mathsf{A} = \mathsf{b}$:

$$\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{b} <: \mathsf{b}}\ \text{FGsub-base}$$

2. $\mathsf{A} = \mathsf{ref}\ \tau$:

$$\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{ref}\ \tau <: \mathsf{ref}\ \tau}\ \text{FGsub-ref}$$

3. $\mathsf{A} = \tau_1 \times \tau_2$:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1 \times \tau_2}$$

4. $\mathsf{A} = \tau_1 + \tau_2$:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1 + \tau_2}$$

5. $\mathsf{A} = \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2$:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2}\ \text{IH(2) on } \tau_2 \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell_e \sqsubseteq \ell_e}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 <: \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2}$$

6. $\mathsf{A} = \mathsf{unit}$:

$$\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{unit} <: \mathsf{unit}}$$

Type system: $\boxed{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau}$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau' \searrow pc}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash_{pc} x : \tau'} \text{ FG}^-\text{-var} \qquad \frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \lambda x.e : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{pc}} \text{ FG}^-\text{-lam}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 \searrow \ell \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1\ e_2 : \tau_2} \text{ FG}^-\text{-app}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : \tau_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{pc}} \text{ FG}^-\text{-prod}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{fst}(e) : \tau_1} \text{ FG}^-\text{-fst} \qquad \frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau_1}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{inl}(e) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{pc}} \text{ FG}^-\text{-inl}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{pc \sqcup \ell} e_1 : \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, y : \tau_2 \vdash_{pc \sqcup \ell} e_2 : \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{case}(e, x.e_1, y.e_2) : \tau} \text{ FG}^-\text{-case}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc'} e : \tau' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash pc \sqsubseteq pc' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau' <: \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau} \text{ FG}^-\text{-sub}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow pc}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{new}\ e : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{pc}} \text{ FG}^-\text{-ref}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau' \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} !e : \tau'} \text{ FG}^-\text{-deref}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 := e_2 : \mathsf{unit}^{pc}} \text{ FG}^-\text{-assign}$$

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} () : \mathsf{unit}^{pc}} \text{ FG}^-\text{-unitI} \qquad \frac{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \Lambda e : (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{pc}} \text{ FG}^-\text{-FI}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{FV}(\ell') \subseteq \Sigma \qquad \begin{array}{c}\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\ell} \\ \Sigma; \Psi \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e[\ell'/\alpha] \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \searrow \ell\end{array}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e\ [] : \tau[\ell'/\alpha]} \text{ FG}^-\text{-FE}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi, c; \Gamma \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \nu\ e : (c\ \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow}\ \tau)^{pc}} \text{ FG}^-\text{-CI}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (c\ \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow}\ \tau)^{\ell} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash c \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e \bullet : \tau} \text{ FG}^-\text{-CE}$$

Figure 15: Type system for FG$^-$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A}^{\ell} <: \mathsf{A}'^{\ell'}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{sub-label} \qquad \frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{b} <: \mathsf{b}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{sub-base}$$

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{ref}\ \tau <: \mathsf{ref}\ \tau}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{sub-ref} \qquad \frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{sub-prod}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{sub-sum}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1' <: \tau_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell_e' \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{sub-arrow}$$

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{unit} <: \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{sub-unit} \qquad \frac{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \forall \alpha. \tau_1 <: \forall \alpha. \tau_2}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{sub-forall}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c_2 \implies c_1 \qquad \Sigma; \Psi, c_2 \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1 <: c_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{sub-constraint}$$

Figure 16: FG^{-} subtyping

7. $\mathsf{A} = \forall \alpha. \tau_i$:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \tau_i <: \tau_i}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_i}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \forall \alpha. \tau_i <: \forall \alpha. \tau_i}$$

8. $\mathsf{A} = c \Rightarrow \tau_i$:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c \implies c} \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi, c \vdash \tau_i <: \tau_i}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_i}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c \Rightarrow \tau <: c \Rightarrow \tau_i}$$

□

2.4.2 Type translation

We define a translation of types, indexed by a label ℓ (which represents a *pc* joined with all outer labels) below. This is written $[\![\tau]\!]_\ell$.

Definition 2.78 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG$^-$: Type translation)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
[\![\mathsf{b}]\!]_\ell & = & \mathsf{b} \\
[\![\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2]\!]_\ell & = & \forall\alpha.\alpha, (\forall\beta.\alpha, ((\ell \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e \wedge \beta \sqsubseteq \alpha) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} ([\![\tau_1]\!]_\beta \xrightarrow{\alpha} [\![\tau_2]\!]_\alpha)^\alpha)^\alpha)^\alpha \\
[\![\tau_1 \times \tau_2]\!]_\ell & = & [\![\tau_1]\!]_\ell \times [\![\tau_2]\!]_\ell \\
[\![\tau_1 + \tau_2]\!]_\ell & = & [\![\tau_1]\!]_\ell + [\![\tau_2]\!]_\ell \\
[\![\mathsf{ref}\ \tau]\!]_\ell & = & \mathsf{ref}\ [\![\tau]\!]_\perp \\
[\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]_\ell & = & \mathsf{unit} \\
[\![\forall\gamma.(\ell_e, \tau)]\!]_\ell & = & \forall\alpha.\alpha, ((\ell \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} (\forall\gamma.\alpha, [\![\tau]\!]_\alpha)^\alpha)^\alpha \\
[\![c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau]\!]_\ell & = & \forall\alpha.\alpha, (((c \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} [\![\tau]\!]_\alpha)^\alpha)^\alpha \\
\\
[\![\mathsf{A}^{\ell'}]\!]_\ell & = & ([\![\mathsf{A}]\!]_{\ell \sqcup \ell'})^{\ell \sqcup \ell'}
\end{array}
$$

Translation judgement:
$\boxed{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_M : [\![\tau]\!]_{pc'}}$ where
$pc' \sqsubseteq pc$ and $\forall i \in 1 \ldots n. \ell_i \sqsubseteq pc'$
$\Gamma = x_1 : \tau_1, \ldots, x_n : \tau_n$
$\Gamma' = x_1 : [\![\tau_1]\!]_{\ell_1}, \ldots, x_n : [\![\tau_n]\!]_{\ell_n}$

2.4.3 Type preservation: FG to FG$^-$

Theorem 2.79 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG$^-$: Type preservation)**.** *Suppose (1)* $\Gamma = x_1 : \tau_1, \ldots, x_n : \tau_n$ *and (2)* $\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau$ *in FG. Suppose* $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n$ *and* pc' *are arbitrary labels with free variables in* Σ *such that (3)* $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash pc' \sqsubseteq pc$ *and (4) For each* $i \in [1, n]$, $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell_i \sqsubseteq pc'$.

Let Γ' *be the FG*$^-$ *context* $x_1 : [\![\tau_1]\!]_{\ell_1}, \ldots, x_n : [\![\tau_n]\!]_{\ell_n}$. *Then,* $\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e : [\![\tau]\!]_{pc'}$ *in FG*$^-$.

Proof. Proof by induction on the $\rightsquigarrow$ relation

1. var:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} x : \tau \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} x : [\![\tau]\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{var}$$

$$\frac{[\![\tau]\!]_{\ell_n} <: [\![\tau]\!]_{pc'}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} x : [\![\tau]\!]_{pc'}}$$

2. lam:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : [\![\tau_1]\!]_{\ell_{n+1}} \vdash_{\ell'_e} e_m : [\![\tau_2]\!]_{\ell'_e} \qquad \ell_{n+1} \sqsubseteq \ell'_e \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \lambda x.e : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^\perp \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_M : T_1}\ \text{lam}$$

$T_1 = (\forall\alpha.\alpha, (\forall\beta.\alpha, ((pc' \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e \wedge \beta \sqsubseteq \alpha) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} ([\![\tau_1]\!]_\beta \xrightarrow{\alpha} [\![\tau_2]\!]_\alpha)^\alpha)^\alpha)^\alpha)^{pc'}$
$T_{1.1} = (\forall\beta.\alpha, ((pc' \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e \wedge \beta \sqsubseteq \alpha) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} ([\![\tau_1]\!]_\beta \xrightarrow{\alpha} [\![\tau_2]\!]_\alpha)^\alpha)^\alpha)^\alpha$
$T_{1.2} = ((pc' \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e \wedge \beta \sqsubseteq \alpha) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} ([\![\tau_1]\!]_\beta \xrightarrow{\alpha} [\![\tau_2]\!]_\alpha)^\alpha)^\alpha$
$T_{1.3} = ([\![\tau_1]\!]_\beta \xrightarrow{\alpha} [\![\tau_2]\!]_\alpha)^\alpha$
$c_1 = (pc' \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e \wedge \beta \sqsubseteq \alpha)$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau_2}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta; \Psi, c_1; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau_2}\ \text{Weakening}}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta; \Psi, c_1; \Gamma', x : [\![\tau_1]\!]_\beta \vdash_\alpha e_m : [\![\tau_2]\!]_\alpha}\ \text{IH}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{P1}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta; \Psi, c_1; \Gamma' \vdash_\alpha \lambda x.e_m : T_{1.3}}\ \text{FG}^-\text{-lam}}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_\alpha \nu(\lambda x.e_m)) : T_{1.2}}\ \text{FG}^-\text{-CI}}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_\alpha \Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e_m)) : T_{1.1}}\ \text{FG}^-\text{-FI}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} \Lambda(\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e_m))) : T_1}\ \text{FG}^-\text{-FI}$$

3. app:

$$\dfrac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^\ell \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_{m1} : T_1 \quad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_{m2} : [\![\tau_1]\!]_{pc'}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1\ e_2 : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_M : [\![\tau_2]\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{app}$$

$T_1 = (\forall\alpha.\alpha, (\forall\beta.\alpha, (((pc' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e \wedge \beta \sqsubseteq \alpha) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} ([\![\tau_1]\!]_\beta \overset{\alpha}{\to} [\![\tau_2]\!]_\alpha)^\alpha)^\alpha)^\alpha)^{pc' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_{1.1} = (\forall\beta.(pc' \sqcup \ell), (((pc' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq (pc' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \ell_e \wedge \beta \sqsubseteq (pc' \sqcup \ell)) \overset{(pc' \sqcup \ell)}{\Rightarrow} ([\![\tau_1]\!]_\beta \overset{(pc' \sqcup \ell)}{\to} [\![\tau_2]\!]_{(pc' \sqcup \ell)})^{(pc' \sqcup \ell)})^{(pc' \sqcup \ell)})^{(pc' \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_{1.2} = (((pc' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq (pc' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \ell_e \wedge (pc' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq (pc' \sqcup \ell)) \overset{(pc' \sqcup \ell)}{\Rightarrow} ([\![\tau_1]\!]_{(pc' \sqcup \ell)} \overset{(pc' \sqcup \ell)}{\to} [\![\tau_2]\!]_{(pc' \sqcup \ell)})^{(pc' \sqcup \ell)})^{(pc' \sqcup \ell)}$

$c_1 = ((pc' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq (pc' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \ell_e \wedge (pc' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq (pc' \sqcup \ell))$

$T_{1.3} = ([\![\tau_1]\!]_{(pc' \sqcup \ell)} \overset{(pc' \sqcup \ell)}{\to} [\![\tau_2]\!]_{(pc' \sqcup \ell)})^{(pc' \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_{1.4} = ([\![\tau_1]\!]_{(pc')} \overset{(pc' \sqcup \ell)}{\to} [\![\tau_2]\!]_{(pc' \sqcup \ell)})^{(pc' \sqcup \ell)}$

P7:

$$\overline{pc' \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq pc' \sqcup \ell}$$

P6:

$$\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_{m2} : [\![\tau_1]\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{IH2}$$

P5:

$$\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash T_{1.3} \searrow pc' \sqcup \ell}\ \text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]$$

P4:

$$\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash T_{1.2} \searrow pc' \sqcup \ell}\ \text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]$$

P3:

$$\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash T_{1.1} \searrow pc' \sqcup \ell}\ \text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]$$

P2:

$$\frac{}{pc' \sqcup pc' \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq pc' \sqcup \ell}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_{m1} : T_1}\ \text{IH1} \qquad P2 \qquad P3}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_{m1}[] : T_{1.1}}\ \text{FG}^-\text{-FE} \qquad P2 \qquad P4}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_{m1}[][] : T_{1.2}}\ \text{FG}^-\text{-FE}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{P1 \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c_1} \qquad P2 \qquad P5}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} (e_{m1}[][]\bullet) : T_{1.3}}\ \text{FG}^-\text{-CE}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} (e_{m1}[][]\bullet) : T_{1.4}}\ \text{FG}^-\text{-sub} \qquad P6 \qquad P7}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} (e_{m1}[][]\bullet)\ e_{m_2} : [\![\tau_2]\!]_{pc' \sqcup \ell}}\ \text{FG}^-\text{-app}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} (e_{m1}[][]\bullet)\ e_{m_2} : [\![\tau_2]\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{Lemma 2.82}$$

4. prod:

$$\dfrac{\begin{array}{c}\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_{m1} : [\![\tau_1]\!]_{pc'} \\ \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_{m2} : [\![\tau_2]\!]_{pc'}\end{array}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp} \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} (e_{m1}, e_{m2}) : ([\![\tau_1]\!]_{pc'} \times [\![\tau_2]\!]_{pc'})^{pc'}}\ \text{prod}$$

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_{m1} : [\![\tau_1]\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{IH1} \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_{m2} : [\![\tau_2]\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{IH2}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} (e_{m1}, e_{m2}) : ([\![\tau_1]\!]_{pc'} \times [\![\tau_2]\!]_{pc'})^{pc'}}\ \text{FG}^-\text{-prod}$$

5. fst:

$$\dfrac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : ([\![\tau_1]\!]_{\ell \sqcup pc'} \times [\![\tau_2]\!]_{\ell \sqcup pc'})^{\ell \sqcup pc'} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{fst}(e) : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} \mathsf{fst}(e_m) : [\![\tau_1]\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{fst}$$

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : ([\![\tau_1]\!]_{\ell \sqcup pc'} \times [\![\tau_2]\!]_{\ell \sqcup pc'})^{\ell \sqcup pc'}}\ \text{IH}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} \mathsf{fst}(e_m) : [\![\tau_1]\!]_{\ell \sqcup pc'}}\ \text{FG}^-\text{-fst}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} \mathsf{fst}(e_m) : [\![\tau_1]\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{Lemma 2.82}$$

6. snd:

$$\dfrac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : ([\![\tau_1]\!]_{\ell \sqcup pc'} \times [\![\tau_2]\!]_{\ell \sqcup pc'})^{\ell \sqcup pc'} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{snd}(e) : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} \mathsf{snd}(e_m) : [\![\tau_2]\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{snd}$$

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : ([\![\tau_1]\!]_{\ell \sqcup pc'} \times [\![\tau_2]\!]_{\ell \sqcup pc'})^{\ell \sqcup pc'}}\ \text{IH}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} \mathsf{snd}(e_m) : [\![\tau_2]\!]_{\ell \sqcup pc'}}\ \text{FG}^-\text{-snd}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} \mathsf{snd}(e_m) : [\![\tau_2]\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{Lemma 2.82}$$

7. inl:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : [\![\tau_1]\!]_{pc'}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{inl}(e) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp} \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} \mathsf{inl}(e_m) : ([\![\tau_1]\!]_{pc'} + [\![\tau_2]\!]_{pc'})^{pc'}}\ \text{inl}$$

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : [\![\tau_1]\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{IH}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} \mathsf{inl}(e_m) : ([\![\tau_1]\!]_{pc'} + [\![\tau_2]\!]_{pc'})^{pc'}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{-inl}$$

8. inr:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : [\![\tau_2]\!]_{pc'}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{inr}(e) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp} \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_M : ([\![\tau_1]\!]_{pc'} + [\![\tau_2]\!]_{pc'})^{pc'}}\ \text{inr}$$

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : [\![\tau_2]\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{IH}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} \mathsf{inr}(e_m) : ([\![\tau_1]\!]_{pc'} + [\![\tau_2]\!]_{pc'})^{pc'}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{-inr}$$

9. case:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c}\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : ([\![\tau_1]\!]_{pc' \sqcup \ell} + [\![\tau_1]\!]_{pc' \sqcup \ell})^{pc' \sqcup \ell} \\ \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{pc \sqcup \ell} e_1 : \tau \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma', x : [\![\tau_1]\!]_{\ell_{n+1}} \vdash_{pc' \sqcup \ell} e_{m1} : [\![\tau]\!]_{pc' \sqcup \ell} \\ \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, y : \tau_2 \vdash_{pc \sqcup \ell} e_2 : \tau \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma', y : [\![\tau_2]\!]_{\ell_{n+2}} \vdash_{pc' \sqcup \ell} e_{m2} : [\![\tau]\!]_{pc' \sqcup \ell}\end{array}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{case}(e, x.e_1, y.e_2) : \tau \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} \mathsf{case}(e_m, x.e_{m1}, x.e_{m2}) : [\![\tau]\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{case}$$

P2:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma', y : [\![\tau_2]\!]_{pc' \sqcup \ell} \vdash_{pc' \sqcup \ell} e_{m2} : [\![\tau]\!]_{pc' \sqcup \ell}}\ \text{IH3 @ } pc' \sqcup \ell$$

P1:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma', x : [\![\tau_1]\!]_{pc' \sqcup \ell} \vdash_{pc' \sqcup \ell} e_{m1} : [\![\tau]\!]_{pc' \sqcup \ell}}\ \text{IH2 @ } pc' \sqcup \ell$$

Main derivation:

$$\frac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : ([\![\tau_1]\!]_{pc' \sqcup \ell} + [\![\tau_1]\!]_{pc' \sqcup \ell})^{pc' \sqcup \ell}}\ \text{IH1} \qquad P1 \qquad P2}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} \mathsf{case}(e_m, x.e_{m1}, x.e_{m2}) : [\![\tau]\!]_{pc' \sqcup \ell}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{-case}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} \mathsf{case}(e_m, x.e_{m1}, x.e_{m2}) : [\![\tau]\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{Lemma 2.82}$$

10. sub:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc''} e : \tau' \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : [\![\tau']\!]_{pc'} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash pc \sqsubseteq pc'' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau' <: \tau}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : [\![\tau]\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{sub}$$

$$\frac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{pc' \sqsubseteq pc \sqsubseteq pc''}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : [\![\tau']\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{IH} \qquad \dfrac{\tau' <: \tau}{[\![\tau']\!]_{pc'} <: [\![\tau]\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{Lemma 2.80}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : [\![\tau]\!]_{pc'}}$$

11. ref:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : [\![\tau]\!]_{pc'} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow pc}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{new}\ e : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp} \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} \mathsf{new}\ \ e_m : (\mathsf{ref}\ [\![\tau]\!]_{\perp})^{pc'}}\ \text{ref}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow pc}\ \text{Given} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash pc' \sqsubseteq pc}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow pc'}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\tau]\!]_{\perp} \searrow pc'}\ \text{Lemma 2.85}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : [\![\tau]\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{IH}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : [\![\tau]\!]_{\perp}}\ \text{Lemma 2.82} \qquad P1}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} \mathsf{new}\ e_m : (\mathsf{ref}\ [\![\tau]\!]_{\perp})^{pc'}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{-new}$$

12. deref:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c}\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : (\mathsf{ref}[\![\tau]\!]_{\perp})^{\ell \sqcup pc'} \\ \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau' \searrow \ell\end{array}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} !e : \tau' \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} !e_m : [\![\tau']\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{deref}$$

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : (\mathsf{ref}[\![\tau]\!]_{\perp})^{\ell \sqcup pc'}}\ \text{IH1}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\tau]\!]_{\perp} <: [\![\tau']\!]_{pc' \sqcup \ell}}\ \text{Lemma 2.80} \qquad \dfrac{\tau <: \tau'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\tau']\!]_{pc' \sqcup \ell} \searrow \ell \sqcup pc'}\ \text{Definition of } \searrow}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} !e_m : [\![\tau']\!]_{pc' \sqcup \ell}}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} !e_m : [\![\tau']\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{Lemma 2.82}$$

13. assign:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c}\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_{m1} : (\mathsf{ref}\ [\![\tau]\!]_{\perp})^{\ell \sqcup pc'} \\ \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_{m2} : [\![\tau]\!]_{pc'} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)\end{array}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 := e_2 : \mathsf{unit}^{\perp} \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_{m1} := e_{m2} : \mathsf{unit}^{pc'}}\ \text{assign}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_{m2} : [\![\tau]\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{IH2} \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\tau \searrow pc}\ \text{Given}}{\tau \searrow pc'}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_{m2} : [\![\tau]\!]_{\perp}}\ \text{Lemma 2.82}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_{m1} : (\mathsf{ref}\ [\![\tau]\!]_{\perp})^{\ell \sqcup pc'}}\ \text{IH1} \qquad P1 \qquad \dfrac{\tau \searrow (\ell \sqcup pc)}{[\![\tau]\!]_{\perp} \searrow \ell \sqcup pc'}\ \text{Lemma 2.85}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_{m1} := e_{m2} : \mathsf{unit}^{pc'}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{-assign}$$

14. unitI:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} () : \mathsf{unit}^{\bot} \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} () : \mathsf{unit}^{pc'}}\ \text{unitI}$$

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} () : \mathsf{unit}^{pc'}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{-unitI}$$

15. FI:

$$\frac{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau \rightsquigarrow \Sigma, \alpha; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{\ell'_e} e_m : [\![\tau]\!]_{\ell'_e} \qquad \ell'_e \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\bot} \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} \Lambda((\nu(\Lambda\ e_m))) : T_1}\ \text{FI}$$

$T_1 = (\forall \alpha.\alpha, ((pc' \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} (\forall \gamma.\alpha, [\![\tau]\!]_{\alpha})^{\alpha})^{\alpha})^{pc'}$

$T_{1.1} = ((pc' \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} (\forall \gamma.\alpha, [\![\tau]\!]_{\alpha})^{\alpha})^{\alpha}$

$T_{1.2} = (\forall \gamma.\alpha, [\![\tau]\!]_{\alpha})^{\alpha}$

$c_1 = (pc' \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e)$

$T_{1.3} = [\![\tau]\!]_{\alpha}$

P1:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha, \gamma; \Psi, c_1; \Gamma' \vdash_{\alpha} e_m : T_{1.3}}\ \text{IH with } \ell'_e \text{ as } \alpha}{\Sigma, \alpha, \gamma; \Psi, c_1; \Gamma' \vdash_{\alpha} \Lambda\ e_m : T_{1.2}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{-FI}$$

Main derivation:

$$\frac{\dfrac{\dfrac{P1}{}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{-CI}}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{\alpha} \nu(\Lambda\ e_m) : T_{1.1}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{-FI}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} \Lambda((\nu(\Lambda\ e_m))) : T_1}$$

16. CI:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi, c; \Gamma \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi, c; \Gamma' \vdash_{\ell'_e} e_m : [\![\tau]\!]_{\ell'_e} \qquad \ell'_e \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (c\ \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow}\ \tau)^{\bot} \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} \Lambda(\nu\ e_m) : T_1}\ \text{CI}$$

$T_1 = (\forall \alpha.\alpha, ((pc' \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} [\![\tau]\!]_{\alpha})^{\alpha})^{pc'}$

$T_{1.1} = ((pc' \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} [\![\tau]\!]_{\alpha})^{\alpha}$

$T_{1.2} = [\![\tau]\!]_{\alpha}$

$c_1 = (pc' \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e)$

$$\frac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi, c_1; \Gamma' \vdash_{\alpha} e_m : T_{1.2}}\ \text{IH with } \ell'_e \text{ as } \alpha}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{\alpha} \nu\ e_m : T_{1.1}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{-CI}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} \Lambda(\nu\ e_m) : T_1}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{-FI}$$

17. FE:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c}\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\forall \gamma.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : T_1 \\ \mathrm{FV}(\ell') \in \Sigma \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e[\ell'/\gamma] \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau[\ell'/\gamma] \searrow \ell\end{array}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau[\ell'/\gamma] \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m[] \bullet [] : [\![\tau[\ell'/\gamma]]\!]_{pc'}}\ \text{FE}$$

$T_1 = (\forall \alpha.\alpha, (((pc' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} (\forall \gamma.\alpha, [\![\tau]\!]_{\alpha})^{\alpha})^{\alpha})^{pc' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_{1.1} = (((pc' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq (pc' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \ell_e) \overset{(pc' \sqcup \ell)}{\Rightarrow} (\forall \gamma.(pc' \sqcup \ell), [\![\tau]\!]_{(pc' \sqcup \ell)})^{(pc' \sqcup \ell)})^{(pc' \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_{1.2} = (\forall \gamma.(pc' \sqcup \ell), [\![\tau]\!]_{(pc' \sqcup \ell)})^{(pc' \sqcup \ell)}$

$c_1 = ((pc' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq (pc' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \ell_e)$

$T_{1.3} = [\![\tau]\!]_{(pc' \sqcup \ell)}[\ell'/\gamma]$

$T_{1.31} = [\![\tau[\ell'/\gamma]]\!]_{(pc' \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_{1.4} = [\![\tau[\ell'/\gamma]]\!]_{pc'}$

P5:

$$\frac{}{T_{1.2} \searrow (pc' \sqcup \ell)}\ \text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]$$

P4:

$$\frac{}{T_{1.1} \searrow (pc' \sqcup \ell)}\ \text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]$$

P3:

$$\frac{}{(pc' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq (pc \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \ell_e}\ \text{Given}$$

P2:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : T_1}\ \text{IH} \qquad P4}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m[] : T_{1.1}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{-FE}$$

P1:

$$\frac{P2 \qquad P3 \qquad P5}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m[]\bullet : T_{1.2}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{-CE}$$

P0:

$$\frac{\dfrac{P1 \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash T_{1.3} \searrow (pc' \sqcup \ell)}\ \text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!] \qquad P2}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m[] \bullet [] : T_{1.3}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{-FE}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m[] \bullet [] : T_{1.31}}\ \text{Lemma 2.84}$$

Main derivation:

$$\frac{P0 \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \searrow \ell}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m[] \bullet [] : T_{1.4}}\ \text{Lemma 2.82}$$

18. CE:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : T_1 \quad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash c \quad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e \quad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau \rightsquigarrow \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m[]\bullet : [\![\tau]\!]_{pc'}} \text{ CE}$$

$T_1 = (\forall \alpha.\alpha, ((c \wedge (pc' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} [\![\tau]\!]_{\alpha})^{\alpha})^{pc' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_{1.1} = ((c \wedge (pc' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq (pc' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \ell_e) \overset{(pc' \sqcup \ell)}{\Rightarrow} [\![\tau]\!]_{(pc' \sqcup \ell)})^{(pc' \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_{1.2} = [\![\tau]\!]_{(pc' \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_{1.3} = [\![\tau]\!]_{pc'}$

$c_1 = (c \wedge (pc' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq (pc' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \ell_e)$

P3:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (pc \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \ell_e}\text{ Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (pc' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \ell_e}$$

P2:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash T_{1.2} \searrow (pc' \sqcup \ell)}\text{ Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]$$

P1:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash T_{1.1} \searrow (pc' \sqcup \ell)}\text{ Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]$$

P0:

$$\frac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m : T_1}\text{ IH} \quad P1}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m[] : T_{1.1}}\text{ FG}^{-}\text{-FE} \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c}\text{ Given, Weakening} \quad P3}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c_1} \quad P2}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m[]\bullet : T_{1.2}}\text{ FG}^{-}\text{-CE}$$

Main derivation:

$$\frac{P0.1 \qquad \dfrac{}{\tau \searrow \ell}\text{ Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma' \vdash_{pc'} e_m[]\bullet : T_{1.3}}\text{ Lemma 2.82}$$

□

Lemma 2.80 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG^{-}: Subtyping)**.** $\forall \Sigma, \Psi, \ell, \ell'.\ \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'$ *and the following holds:*

1. $\forall \tau, \tau'.$
   $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \implies [\![\tau]\!]_{\ell} <: [\![\tau']\!]_{\ell'}$
2. $\forall \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{A}'.$
   $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}' \implies \Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\mathsf{A}]\!]_{\ell} <: [\![\mathsf{A}']\!]_{\ell'}$

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on $\tau <: \tau$ and $\mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}$

Proof of statement (1)

Let $\tau = \mathsf{A}_1^{\ell_1}$ and $\tau' = \mathsf{A}_2^{\ell_2}$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathsf{A}_1^{\ell_1} <: \mathsf{A}_2^{\ell_2}}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A}_1 <: \mathsf{A}_2}\ \text{By inversion} \qquad P1}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\llbracket \mathsf{A}_1 \rrbracket_{\ell \sqcup \ell_1}) <: (\llbracket \mathsf{A}_2 \rrbracket_{\ell' \sqcup \ell_2})}\ \text{IH(2) on } \mathsf{A}_1 <: \mathsf{A}_2$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathsf{A}_1^{\ell_1} <: \mathsf{A}_2^{\ell_2}}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell_2}\ \text{By inversion} \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqcup \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell' \sqcup \ell_2}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P1 \qquad P2}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\llbracket \mathsf{A}_1 \rrbracket_{\ell \sqcup \ell_1})^{\ell \sqcup \ell_1} <: (\llbracket \mathsf{A}_2 \rrbracket_{\ell \sqcup \ell_2})^{\ell' \sqcup \ell_2}}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \left\llbracket \mathsf{A}_1^{\ell_1} \right\rrbracket_{\ell} <: \left\llbracket \mathsf{A}_2^{\ell_2} \right\rrbracket_{\ell'}}$$

Proof of statement (2)

We proceed by cases on $\mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}$

1. FGsub-base:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{b} <: \mathsf{b}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{sub-base}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \llbracket \mathsf{b} \rrbracket_{\ell} <: \llbracket \mathsf{b} \rrbracket_{\ell'}}\ \text{Definition of } \llbracket . \rrbracket$$

2. FGsub-ref:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{ref}\ \llbracket \tau_i \rrbracket_{\perp} <: \mathsf{ref}\ \llbracket \tau_i \rrbracket_{\perp}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{sub-ref}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \llbracket \mathsf{ref}\ \tau_i \rrbracket_{\ell} <: \llbracket \mathsf{ref}\ \tau_i \rrbracket_{\ell'}}\ \text{Definition of } \llbracket . \rrbracket$$

3. FGsub-prod:

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1'}\ \text{By inversion}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\ell} <: \left\llbracket \tau_1' \right\rrbracket_{\ell'}}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 <: \tau_1'$$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}\ \text{By inversion}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\ell} <: \left\llbracket \tau_2' \right\rrbracket_{\ell'}}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_2 <: \tau_2'$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P1 \qquad P2}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\ell} \times \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\ell} <: \left\llbracket \tau_1' \right\rrbracket_{\ell} \times \left\llbracket \tau_2' \right\rrbracket_{\ell'}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{sub-prod}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \llbracket \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\ell} <: \left\llbracket \tau_1' \times \tau_2' \right\rrbracket_{\ell'}}\ \text{Definition of } \llbracket . \rrbracket$$

4. FGsub-sum:

   P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1'}\ \text{By inversion}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_1]\!]_\ell <: [\![\tau_1']\!]_{\ell'}}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 <: \tau_1'$$

   P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}\ \text{By inversion}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_2]\!]_\ell <: [\![\tau_2']\!]_{\ell'}}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_2 <: \tau_2'$$

   Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P1 \qquad P2}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_1]\!]_\ell + [\![\tau_2]\!]_\ell <: [\![\tau_1']\!]_{\ell'} + [\![\tau_2']\!]_{\ell'}}\ \text{FG}^-\text{sub-prod}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_1 + \tau_2]\!]_\ell <: [\![\tau_1' + \tau_2']\!]_{\ell'}}\ \text{Definition of } [\![.]\!]$$

5. FGsub-arrow:

   $T_1 = \forall\alpha.\alpha, (\forall\beta.\alpha, ((\ell \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e \wedge \beta \sqsubseteq \alpha) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} ([\![\tau_1]\!]_\beta \overset{\alpha}{\to} [\![\tau_2]\!]_\alpha)^\alpha)^\alpha)^\alpha$

   $T_{1.0} = \forall\beta.\alpha, ((\ell \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e \wedge \beta \sqsubseteq \alpha) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} ([\![\tau_1]\!]_\beta \overset{\alpha}{\to} [\![\tau_2]\!]_\alpha)^\alpha)^\alpha$

   $T_{1.1} = ((\ell \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e \wedge \beta \sqsubseteq \alpha) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} ([\![\tau_1]\!]_\beta \overset{\alpha}{\to} [\![\tau_2]\!]_\alpha)^\alpha$

   $T_{1.2} = ([\![\tau_1]\!]_\beta \overset{\alpha}{\to} [\![\tau_2]\!]_\alpha)^\alpha$

   $c_1 = (\ell \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e \wedge \beta \sqsubseteq \alpha)$

   $T_2 = \forall\alpha.\alpha, (\forall\beta.\alpha, ((\ell' \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e' \wedge \beta \sqsubseteq \alpha) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} ([\![\tau_1']\!]_\beta \overset{\alpha}{\to} [\![\tau_2']\!]_\alpha)^\alpha)^\alpha)^\alpha$

   $T_{2.0} = \forall\beta.\alpha, ((\ell' \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e' \wedge \beta \sqsubseteq \alpha) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} ([\![\tau_1']\!]_\beta \overset{\alpha}{\to} [\![\tau_2']\!]_\alpha)^\alpha)^\alpha$

   $T_{2.1} = ((\ell' \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e' \wedge \beta \sqsubseteq \alpha) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} ([\![\tau_1']\!]_\beta \overset{\alpha}{\to} [\![\tau_2']\!]_\alpha)^\alpha$

   $T_{2.2} = ([\![\tau_1']\!]_\beta \overset{\alpha}{\to} [\![\tau_2']\!]_\alpha)^\alpha$

   $c_2 = (\ell' \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e' \wedge \beta \sqsubseteq \alpha)$

   P3:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\to} \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \overset{\ell_e'}{\to} \tau_2'}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}\ \text{By inversion}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_2]\!]_\alpha <: [\![\tau_2']\!]_\alpha}\ \text{IH(1) with } \ell = \ell' = \alpha$$

   P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\to} \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \overset{\ell_e'}{\to} \tau_2'}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_1' <: \tau_1}\ \text{By inversion}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_1']\!]_\beta <: [\![\tau_1]\!]_\beta}\ \text{IH(1) with } \ell = \ell' = \beta$$

   P1:

$$\dfrac{P2 \qquad P3}{\Sigma,\alpha,\beta;\Psi \vdash T_{1.3} <: T_{2.3}}\ \text{FG}^-\text{sub-arrow}$$

P0:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}\ \text{Given, Weakening}}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta; \Psi \vdash \ell' \sqsubseteq \alpha \implies \ell \sqsubseteq \alpha} \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta; \Psi \vdash \ell'_e \sqsubseteq \ell_e}\ \text{Given, Weakening}}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta; \Psi \vdash \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell'_e \implies \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e}$$

$$\dfrac{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta; \Psi \vdash c_2 \implies c_1 \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{P1}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta; \Psi \vdash T_{1.2} <: T_{2.2}}\ \text{Weakening, FG}^{-}\text{sub-label}}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash T_{1.1} <: T_{2.1}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{sub-constraint}}{}$$

P0.1:

$$\dfrac{P0}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash T_{1.0} <: T_{2.0}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{sub-forall}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P0.1}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash T_1 <: T_2}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{sub-label}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \left[\!\left[\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2\right]\!\right]_{\ell} <: \left[\!\left[\tau'_1 \xrightarrow{\ell'_e} \tau'_2\right]\!\right]_{\ell'}}\ \text{Definition of } [\![.]\!]$$

6. FGsub-unit:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{unit} <: \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{sub-unit}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]_{\ell} <: [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]_{\ell'}}\ \text{Definition of } [\![.]\!]$$

7. FGsub-forall:

   $T_1 = \forall\alpha.\alpha, ((\ell \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} (\forall\gamma.\alpha, [\![\tau]\!]_\alpha)^\alpha)^\alpha$

   $T_{1.0} = (\ell \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} (\forall\gamma.\alpha, [\![\tau]\!]_\alpha)^\alpha$

   $T_{1.1} = (\forall\gamma.\alpha, [\![\tau]\!]_\alpha)^\alpha$

   $c_1 = (\ell \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e)$

   $T_{1.2} = [\![\tau]\!]_\alpha$

   $T_2 = \forall\alpha.\alpha, ((\ell' \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell'_e) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} (\forall\gamma.\alpha, [\![\tau']\!]_\alpha)^\alpha)^\alpha$

   $T_{2.0} = (\ell' \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell'_e) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} (\forall\gamma.\alpha, [\![\tau']\!]_\alpha)^\alpha$

   $T_{2.1} = (\forall\gamma.\alpha, [\![\tau']\!]_\alpha)^\alpha$

   $c_2 = (\ell' \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell'_e)$

   $T_{2.2} = [\![\tau']\!]_\alpha$

   P0:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}\ \text{Given, Weakening}}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \ell' \sqsubseteq \alpha \implies \ell \sqsubseteq \alpha} \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \ell'_e \sqsubseteq \ell_e}\ \text{Given, Weakening}}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell'_e \implies \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e}$$

$$\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash c_2 \implies c_1$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha, \gamma; \Psi \vdash T_{1.2} <: T_{2.2}}\ \text{IH}}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash T_{1.1} <: T_{2.1}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{sub-forall} \qquad \dfrac{P0}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c_2 \implies c_1}}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash T_{1.0} <: T_{2.0}}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{sub-constraint}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash T_1 <: T_2}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{sub-forall}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{P0.1}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\forall \gamma.\tau_1]\!]_\ell <: [\![\forall \gamma.\tau_2]\!]_{\ell'}}\ \text{Definition of } [\![.]\!]$$

8. FGsub-constraint:

   $T_1 = \forall \alpha.\alpha, (((c \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} [\![\tau]\!]_\alpha)^\alpha)^\alpha$

   $T_{1.1} = ((c \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} [\![\tau]\!]_\alpha)^\alpha$

   $T_{1.2} = [\![\tau]\!]_\alpha$

   $c_1 = (c \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e)$

   $T_2 = \forall \alpha.\alpha, (((c' \wedge \ell' \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell'_e) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} [\![\tau']\!]_\alpha)^\alpha)^\alpha$

   $T_{2.1} = ((c' \wedge \ell' \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell'_e) \overset{\alpha}{\Rightarrow} [\![\tau']\!]_\alpha)^\alpha$

   $T_{2.2} = [\![\tau']\!]_\alpha$

   $c_2 = (c' \wedge \ell' \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell'_e)$

   P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1 <: c_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2}\ \text{By inversion}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\tau_1]\!]_\ell <: [\![\tau_2]\!]_{\ell'}}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 <: \tau_2$$

   P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash c \Rightarrow \tau <: c' \Rightarrow \tau'}\ \text{Given, Weakening}}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash c' \implies c}\ \text{By inversion}$$

   P0:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}\ \text{Given, Weakening}}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \ell' \sqsubseteq \alpha \implies \ell \sqsubseteq \alpha} \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \ell'_e \sqsubseteq \ell_e}\ \text{Given, Weakening}}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell'_e \implies \alpha \sqsubseteq \ell_e}}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash c_2 \implies c_1}\ P1$$

   Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{P0 \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash [\![\tau]\!]_\alpha <: [\![\tau']\!]_\alpha}\ \text{IH}}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash T_{1.1} <: T_{2.1}}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash T_1 <: T_2}\ \text{FG}^{-}\text{sub-constraint}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![c_1 \implies \tau_1]\!]_\ell <: [\![c_2 \implies \tau_2]\!]_{\ell'}}\ \text{Definition of } [\![.]\!]_\ell$$

□

Lemma 2.81 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG$^-$: Subtyping with label)**.** *If* $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'$*, then* $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\tau]\!]_\ell <: [\![\tau]\!]_{\ell'}$ *in FG*$^-$*.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.80 with $\tau = \tau'$ and from Lemma 2.77

□

Lemma 2.82 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG$^-$: Subtyping for $\tau \searrow \ell$)**.** *If* $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell$*, then* $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\tau]\!]_{\ell \sqcup \ell'} <: [\![\tau]\!]_{\ell'}$ *in FG*$^-$*.*

Proof. Since $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell$, there exists ℓ'' such that $\tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell''}$ and $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell''$. Now we have:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
& \Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\tau]\!]_{\ell \sqcup \ell'} <: [\![\tau]\!]_{\ell'} & \\
= & \Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\mathsf{A}^{\ell''}]\!]_{\ell \sqcup \ell'} <: [\![\mathsf{A}^{\ell''}]\!]_{\ell'} & (\tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell''}) \\
= & \Sigma; \Psi \vdash ([\![\mathsf{A}]\!]_{\ell \sqcup \ell' \sqcup \ell''})^{\ell \sqcup \ell' \sqcup \ell''} <: ([\![\mathsf{A}]\!]_{\ell' \sqcup \ell''})^{\ell' \sqcup \ell''} & (\text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]) \\
= & \Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\mathsf{A}^{\ell'}]\!]_{\ell \sqcup \ell''} <: [\![\mathsf{A}^{\ell'}]\!]_{\ell''} & (\text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!])
\end{array}
$$

The last statement holds by Lemma 2.81, since $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqcup \ell'' \sqsubseteq \ell''$ follows from our earlier assertion that $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell''$. □

Lemma 2.83 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG$^-$: Lemma for protection relation)**.** $\forall \Sigma, \Psi, \alpha, \tau, \ell, \ell'$*.*
$\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell \implies \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \searrow \ell[\ell'/\alpha]$*, where* $FV(\ell') \in \Sigma$

Proof. Say $\tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_g}$

$$
\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_g}\ \text{By inversion on } \Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell[\ell'/\alpha] \sqsubseteq \ell_g[\ell'/\alpha]}\ \text{Substitution over constraints}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A}^{\ell_g}[\ell'/\alpha] \searrow \ell[\ell'/\alpha]}\ \text{Definition of } \searrow
$$

□

Lemma 2.84 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG$^-$: Substitution lemma)**.** *Forall* ℓ, ℓ' *the following hold:*

1. $\forall \tau.\ [\![\tau]\!]_\ell[\ell'/\alpha] = [\![\tau[\ell'/\alpha]]\!]_{(\ell[\ell'/\alpha])}$
2. $\forall \mathsf{A}.\ [\![\mathsf{A}]\!]_\ell[\ell'/\alpha] = [\![\mathsf{A}[\ell'/\alpha]]\!]_{(\ell[\ell'/\alpha])}$

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on τ and A

Proof of statement (1)

Let $\tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
& [\![\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}]\!]_\ell\ [\ell'/\alpha] & \\
= & ([\![\mathsf{A}]\!]_{\ell_i \sqcup \ell})^{\ell_i \sqcup \ell}\ [\ell'/\alpha] & \text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!] \\
= & ([\![\mathsf{A}]\!]_{\ell_i \sqcup \ell}[\ell'/\alpha])^{\ell_i[\ell'/\alpha] \sqcup \ell[\ell'/\alpha]} & \\
= & ([\![\mathsf{A}[\ell'/\alpha]]\!]_{\ell_i[\ell'/\alpha] \sqcup \ell[\ell'/\alpha]})^{\ell_i[\ell'/\alpha] \sqcup \ell[\ell'/\alpha]} & \text{IH(2) on } \mathsf{A} \\
= & \left[\!\!\left[(\mathsf{A}[\ell'/\alpha])^{\ell_i[\ell'/\alpha]}\right]\!\!\right]_{\ell[\ell'/\alpha]} & \\
= & [\![\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}[\ell'/\alpha]]\!]_{\ell[\ell'/\alpha]} &
\end{array}
$$

Proof of statement (2)

We consider cases of A

1. $\mathsf{A} = \mathsf{b}$:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
& [\![\mathsf{b}]\!]_\ell[\ell'/\alpha] & \\
= & \mathsf{b}[\ell'/\alpha] & (\text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]) \\
= & \mathsf{b} & \alpha \notin \mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{b}) \\
= & [\![\mathsf{b}]\!]_\ell & (\text{Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]) \\
= & [\![\mathsf{b}[\ell'/\alpha]]\!]_\ell &
\end{array}
$$

2. $\mathsf{A} = \mathsf{ref}\ \tau_i$:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
& [\![\mathsf{ref}\ \tau_i]\!]_\ell[\ell'/\alpha] & \\
= & \mathsf{ref}\ [\![\tau_i]\!]_\perp[\ell'/\alpha] & \text{(Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]) \\
= & \mathsf{ref}\ ([\![\tau_i]\!]_\perp[\ell'/\alpha]) & \\
= & \mathsf{ref}\ [\![\tau_i[\ell'/\alpha]]\!]_\perp & \text{IH(1) on } \tau_i \\
= & [\![\mathsf{ref}\ \tau_i[\ell'/\alpha]]\!]_\ell &
\end{array}
$$

3. $\mathsf{A} = \tau_1 \times \tau_2$:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
& [\![\tau_1 \times \tau_2]\!]_\ell[\ell'/\alpha] & \\
= & ([\![\tau_1]\!]_\ell \times [\![\tau_2]\!]_\ell)[\ell'/\alpha] & \text{(Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]) \\
= & [\![\tau_1]\!]_\ell[\ell'/\alpha] \times [\![\tau_2]\!]_\ell[\ell'/\alpha] & \\
= & [\![\tau_1[\ell'/\alpha]]\!]_{\ell[\ell'/\alpha]} \times [\![\tau_2[\ell'/\alpha]]\!]_{\ell[\ell'/\alpha]} & \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 \text{ and } \tau_2 \\
= & [\![(\tau_1[\ell'/\alpha] \times \tau_2[\ell'/\alpha])]\!]_{\ell[\ell'/\alpha]} & \text{(Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]) \\
= & [\![(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)[\ell'/\alpha]]\!]_{\ell[\ell'/\alpha]} &
\end{array}
$$

4. $\mathsf{A} = \tau_1 + \tau_2$:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
& [\![\tau_1 + \tau_2]\!]_\ell[\ell'/\alpha] & \\
= & ([\![\tau_1]\!]_\ell + [\![\tau_2]\!]_\ell)[\ell'/\alpha] & \text{(Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]) \\
= & [\![\tau_1]\!]_\ell[\ell'/\alpha] + [\![\tau_2]\!]_\ell[\ell'/\alpha] & \\
= & [\![\tau_1[\ell'/\alpha]]\!]_{\ell[\ell'/\alpha]} + [\![\tau_2[\ell'/\alpha]]\!]_{\ell[\ell'/\alpha]} & \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 \text{ and } \tau_2 \\
= & [\![(\tau_1[\ell'/\alpha] + \tau_2[\ell'/\alpha])]\!]_{\ell[\ell'/\alpha]} & \text{(Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]) \\
= & [\![(\tau_1 + \tau_2)[\ell'/\alpha]]\!]_{\ell[\ell'/\alpha]} &
\end{array}
$$

5. $\mathsf{A} = \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2$:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
& \left[\!\!\left[\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2\right]\!\!\right]_\ell[\ell'/\alpha] & \\
= & \forall\beta_1.\beta_1, (\forall\beta.\beta_1, ((\ell \sqsubseteq \beta_1 \sqsubseteq \ell_e \wedge \beta \sqsubseteq \beta_1) \overset{\beta_1}{\Rightarrow} ([\![\tau_1]\!]_\beta \xrightarrow{\beta_1} [\![\tau_2]\!]_{\beta_1})^{\beta_1})^{\beta_1})^{\beta_1}[\ell'/\alpha] & \\
& \text{(Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]) & \\
= & \forall\beta_1.\beta_1, (\forall\beta.\beta_1, ((\ell[\ell'/\alpha] \sqsubseteq \beta_1 \sqsubseteq \ell_e[\ell'/\alpha] \wedge \beta \sqsubseteq \beta_1) \overset{\beta_1}{\Rightarrow} ([\![\tau_1]\!]_\beta[\ell'/\alpha] \xrightarrow{\beta_1} [\![\tau_2]\!]_{\beta_1}[\ell'/\alpha])^{\beta_1})^{\beta_1})^{\beta_1} & \\
= & \forall\beta_1.\beta_1, (\forall\beta.\beta_1, ((\ell[\ell'/\alpha] \sqsubseteq \beta_1 \sqsubseteq \ell_e[\ell'/\alpha] \wedge \beta \sqsubseteq \beta_1) \overset{\beta_1}{\Rightarrow} ([\![\tau_1[\ell'/\alpha]]\!]_\beta \xrightarrow{\beta_1} [\![\tau_2[\ell'/\alpha]]\!]_{\beta_1})^{\beta_1})^{\beta_1})^{\beta_1} & \\
& \text{(IH1 on } \tau_1 \text{ and } \tau_2) & \\
= & \left[\!\!\left[(\tau_1[\ell'/\alpha] \xrightarrow{\ell_e[\ell'/\alpha]} \tau_2[\ell'/\alpha])\right]\!\!\right]_{\ell[\ell'/\alpha]} & \\
= & \left[\!\!\left[(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)[\ell'/\alpha]\right]\!\!\right]_{\ell[\ell'/\alpha]} &
\end{array}
$$

6. $\mathsf{A} = \forall\gamma.\tau_i$:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
& [\![\forall\beta.\tau_i]\!]_\ell[\ell'/\alpha] & \\
= & \forall\beta.\beta, ((\ell \sqsubseteq \beta \sqsubseteq \ell_e) \overset{\beta}{\Rightarrow} (\forall\gamma.\beta, [\![\tau_i]\!]_\beta)^\beta)^\beta[\ell'/\alpha] & \\
& \text{(Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]) & \\
= & \forall\beta.\beta, ((\ell[\ell'/\alpha] \sqsubseteq \beta \sqsubseteq \ell_e[\ell'/\alpha]) \overset{\beta}{\Rightarrow} (\forall\gamma.\beta, [\![\tau_i]\!]_\beta[\ell'/\alpha])^\beta)^\beta & \\
= & \forall\beta.\beta, ((\ell[\ell'/\alpha] \sqsubseteq \beta \sqsubseteq \ell_e[\ell'/\alpha]) \overset{\beta}{\Rightarrow} (\forall\gamma.\beta, [\![\tau_i[\ell'/\alpha]]\!]_\beta)^\beta)^\beta & \\
& \text{IH1 on } \tau_i & \\
= & [\![\forall\beta.\ell_e[\ell'/\alpha], \tau_i[\ell'/\alpha]]\!]_{\ell[\ell'/\alpha]} &
\end{array}
$$

7. $\mathsf{A} = c \Rightarrow \tau_i$:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
 & [\![c \Rightarrow \tau_i]\!]_\ell[\ell'/\alpha] \\
= & \forall\beta.\beta, (((c \wedge \ell \sqsubseteq \beta \sqsubseteq \ell_e) \overset{\beta}{\Rightarrow} [\![\tau]\!]_\beta)^\beta)^\beta[\ell'/\alpha] \\
 & \text{(Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]) \\
= & \forall\beta.\beta, (((c[\ell'/\alpha] \wedge \ell[\ell'/\alpha] \sqsubseteq \beta \sqsubseteq \ell_e[\ell'/\alpha]) \overset{\beta}{\Rightarrow} [\![\tau]\!]_\beta[\ell'/\alpha])^\beta)^\beta \\
= & \forall\beta.\beta, (((c[\ell'/\alpha] \wedge \ell[\ell'/\alpha] \sqsubseteq \beta \sqsubseteq \ell_e[\ell'/\alpha]) \overset{\beta}{\Rightarrow} [\![\tau[\ell'/\alpha]]\!]_\beta)^\beta)^\beta \\
 & \text{IH1 on } \tau_i \\
= & \left[\!\!\left[(c[\ell'/\alpha] \overset{\ell_e[\ell'/\alpha]}{\Rightarrow} \tau_i[\ell'/\alpha]) \right]\!\!\right]_{\ell[\ell'/\alpha]} \\
= & \left[\!\!\left[(c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_i)[\ell'/\alpha] \right]\!\!\right]_{\ell[\ell'/\alpha]}
\end{array}
$$

□

Lemma 2.85 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ FG$^-$: Preservation of protection relation)**.** $\forall \tau, \ell, \ell'.$
$\tau \searrow \ell \implies [\![\tau]\!]_{\ell'} \searrow \ell$

Proof. Let $\tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$

$$
\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\tau \searrow \ell}\text{ Given}}{\ell \sqsubseteq \ell_i}\text{ Given}}{\ell \sqsubseteq (\ell' \sqcup \ell_i)}\text{ By inversion}}{([\![\mathsf{A}]\!]_{\ell' \sqcup \ell_i})^{\ell' \sqcup \ell_i} \searrow \ell}}{\left[\!\!\left[\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}\right]\!\!\right]_{\ell'} \searrow \ell}\text{ Definition of } [\![\cdot]\!]}{[\![\tau]\!]_{\ell'} \searrow \ell}
$$

□

2.5 FG to CG translation

2.5.1 Type directed (direct) translation from FG to CG

Definition 2.86 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ CG: Type translation)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
(\!|\mathsf{b}|\!)_\ell & = & \mathsf{b} \\
(\!|\mathsf{unit}|\!)_\ell & = & \mathsf{unit} \\
(\!|\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2|\!)_\ell & = & \forall\alpha,\beta,\gamma.(\ell \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow (\!|\tau_1|\!)_\beta \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\alpha \\
(\!|\tau_1 \times \tau_2|\!)_\ell & = & (\!|\tau_1|\!)_\ell \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\ell \\
(\!|\tau_1 + \tau_2|\!)_\ell & = & (\!|\tau_1|\!)_\ell + (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\ell \\
(\!|\mathsf{ref}\ \mathsf{A}^{\ell'}|\!)_\ell & = & \mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell'} \\
(\!|\forall\alpha.(\ell_e,\tau)|\!)_\ell & = & \forall\alpha,\alpha',\gamma.(\ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha' \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha'} \\
(\!|c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau|\!)_\ell & = & \forall\alpha,\gamma.(c \wedge \ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau|\!)_\alpha \\
 & & \\
(\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell'}|\!)_\ell & = & \mathsf{Labeled}\ (\ell \sqcup \ell')\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell \sqcup \ell'}
\end{array}
$$

For $\Gamma = x_1 : \tau_1, \ldots, x_n : \tau_n$ and $\overline{\ell} = \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n$, define $(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\ell}} = x_1 : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\ell_1}, \ldots, x_n : (\!|\tau_n|\!)_{\ell_n}$.
We use a coersion function defined as follows:

$\mathtt{coerce\_taint}\ :\ \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \alpha_c\ \tau' \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ \tau' \qquad \text{when } \tau' = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'_c\ \tau \text{ and } \Sigma, \Psi \models \alpha_c \sqsubseteq \alpha'_c$
$\mathtt{coerce\_taint} \triangleq \lambda x.\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(x, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y)))$

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash_{pc} x : \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{ret}\ x}\ \text{FC-var}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_{c1}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \lambda x.e : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^\perp \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e_{c1}))))}\ \text{FC-lam}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c}\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^\ell \rightsquigarrow e_{c1} \\ \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_{c2} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqcup pc \sqsubseteq \ell_e \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell\end{array}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1\ e_2 : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c[][][]\bullet)\ b))))}\ \text{FC-app}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_{c1} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_{c2}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^\perp \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a, b))))}\ \text{FC-prod}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^\ell \rightsquigarrow e_c \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{fst}(e) : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))))}\ \text{FC-fst}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^\ell \rightsquigarrow e_c \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{snd}(e) : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b)))))}\ \text{FC-snd}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_c}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{inl}(e) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^\perp \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a)))}\ \text{FC-inl}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_c}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{inr}(e) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^\perp \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinr}(a)))}\ \text{FC-inr}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_c \quad \Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma, x:\tau_1 \vdash_{pc \sqcup \ell} e_1 : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{c1} \quad \Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma, x:\tau_1 \vdash_{pc \sqcup \ell} e_2 : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{c2} \quad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{case}(e, x.e_1, y.e_2) : \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2}))))}\ \text{FC-case}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_c \quad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau \searrow pc}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{new}\ (e) : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\perp} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}b)))}\ \text{FC-ref}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_c \quad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \quad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau' \searrow \ell}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} !e : \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b)))}\ \text{FC-deref}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_{c1} \quad \Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{c2} \quad \tau \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 := e_2 : \mathsf{unit} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)))), d.\mathsf{ret}())}\ \text{FC-assign}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_c}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \Lambda e : (\forall \alpha_g.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\perp} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_c))))}\ \text{FC-FI}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\forall \alpha_g.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_c \quad \mathrm{FV}(\ell') \subseteq \Sigma \quad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e[\ell'/\alpha] \quad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \searrow \ell}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e[] : \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a.b.b[][][]\bullet)))}\ \text{FC-FE}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi, c;\Gamma \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_c}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \nu e : (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau))^{\perp} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_c))))}\ \text{FC-CI}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau))^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_c \quad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash c \quad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e \quad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e\bullet : \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a.b.b[][]\bullet)))}\ \text{FC-CE}$$

2.5.2 Type preservation for FG to CG translation

Lemma 2.87 (Coercion lemma - typing)**.** $\forall \Sigma, \Psi, \Gamma, \alpha_c, \alpha_c', \tau.$
$\Sigma, \Psi \models \alpha_c \sqsubseteq \alpha_c' \implies$
$\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint} : \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \alpha_c\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha_c'\ \tau \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha_c'\ \tau$

Proof. $T_{c4} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha_c'\ \tau$
$T_{c3} = \mathbb{C}\ \alpha_c\ \alpha_c'\ \tau$
$T_{c2} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \alpha_c'\ \tau$
$T_{c1} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha_c'\ \tau$
$T_{c0} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \alpha_c\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha_c'\ \tau$
$T_c = T_{c0} \to T_{c1}$
Pc2:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma, x:T_{c0}, y:T_{c4} \vdash y : T_{c4}}\ \text{CG-var} \quad \dfrac{}{\Sigma, \Psi \models \alpha_c \sqsubseteq \alpha_c'}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma, x:T_{c0}, y:T_{c4} \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}(y) : T_{c3}}\ \text{CG-unlabel}$$

Pc1:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : T_{c0} \vdash x : T_{c0}}\ \text{CG-var}$$

Pc0:

$$\frac{\dfrac{Pc1 \qquad Pc2}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : T_{c0} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(x, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y)) : T_{c2}}\ \text{CG-bind}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : T_{c0} \vdash \mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(x, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y))) : T_{c1}}\ \text{CG-tolabeled}$$

Pc:

$$\frac{\dfrac{Pc0}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \lambda x.\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(x, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y))) : T_c}\ \text{CG-lam}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \texttt{coerce\_taint} : T_c}\ \text{From Definition of } \texttt{coerce\_taint}$$

□

Theorem 2.88 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ CG: Type preservation)**.** *Suppose* $\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau$ *in FG. Then, there exists* e' *such that* $\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau \rightsquigarrow e'$ *and for any* $\alpha', \overline{\beta'}, \gamma'$ *with* $\overline{\beta'} \sqcup \gamma' \sqsubseteq pc \sqcap \alpha'$*, there is a derivation of* $\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'}} \vdash e' : \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha'}$ *in CG.*

Proof. Proof by induction on the $\rightsquigarrow$ relation

1. FC-var:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash_{pc} x : \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{ret}\ x}\ \text{FC-var}$$

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'_o}}(x) = (\!|\tau|\!)_{\beta''_o}}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'_o}} \vdash x : (\!|\tau|\!)_{\beta'_o}}\ \text{CG-var} \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \beta'_o \sqcup \gamma'_o \sqsubseteq \alpha'_o \sqcap pc}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \beta'_o \sqsubseteq \alpha'_o}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'_o}} \vdash x : (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha'_o}}\ \text{Lemma 2.89, CG-sub}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'_o}} \vdash \mathsf{ret}\ x : \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'_o\ \gamma'_o\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha'_o}}\ \text{CG-ret}$$

2. FC-lam:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_{c1}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \lambda x.e : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e_{c1}))))}\ \text{FC-lam}$$

$T_0 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'_j\ \gamma'_j\ (\!|(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp}|\!)_{\alpha'_j} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'_j\ \gamma'_j\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'_j\ (\!|(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)|\!)_{\alpha'_j}$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'_j\ \gamma'_j\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'_j\ \forall \alpha_t, \beta_t, \gamma_t.(\alpha'_j \sqcup \beta_t \sqcup \gamma_t \sqsubseteq \alpha_t \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\beta_t} \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_t\ \gamma_t\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha_t}$

$T_{1.0} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'_j\ \forall \alpha_t, \beta_t, \gamma_t.(\alpha'_j \sqcup \beta_t \sqcup \gamma_t \sqsubseteq \alpha_t \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\beta_t} \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_t\ \gamma_t\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha_t}$

$T_{1.1} = \forall \alpha_t, \beta_t, \gamma_t.(\alpha'_j \sqcup \beta_t \sqcup \gamma_t \sqsubseteq \alpha_t \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\beta_t} \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_t\ \gamma_t\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha_t}$

$T_{1.2} = (\alpha'_j \sqcup \beta_t \sqcup \gamma_t \sqsubseteq \alpha_t \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\beta_t} \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_t\ \gamma_t\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha_t}$

$T_{1.3} = (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\beta_t} \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_t\ \gamma_t\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha_t}$

$T_{1.4} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_t\ \gamma_t\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha_t}$

P3:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha_t, \beta_t, \gamma_t; \Psi, (\alpha'_j \sqcup \beta_t \sqcup \gamma_t \sqsubseteq \alpha_t \sqcap \ell_e) \vdash \overline{\beta'_j} \sqcup \gamma_j \sqsubseteq \alpha'_j \sqcap pc}\ \text{Given, Weakening}}{\Sigma, \alpha_t, \beta_t, \gamma_t; \Psi, (\alpha'_j \sqcup \beta_t \sqcup \gamma_t \sqsubseteq \alpha_t \sqcap \ell_e) \vdash \overline{\beta'_j} \sqsubseteq \alpha'_j}$$

P2:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha_t, \beta_t, \gamma_t; \Psi, (\alpha'_j \sqcup \beta_t \sqcup \gamma_t \sqsubseteq \alpha_t \sqcap \ell_e) \vdash \alpha'_j \sqcup \beta_t \sqcup \gamma_t \sqsubseteq \alpha_t \sqcap \ell_e}\ P3}{\Sigma, \alpha_t, \beta_t, \gamma_t; \Psi, (\alpha'_j \sqcup \beta_t \sqcup \gamma_t \sqsubseteq \alpha_t \sqcap \ell_e) \vdash \overline{\beta'_j} \sqcup \beta_t \sqcup \gamma_t \sqsubseteq \alpha_t \sqcap \ell_e}$$

P1:

$$\frac{\dfrac{\dfrac{P2}{\Sigma, \alpha_t, \beta_t, \gamma_t; \Psi, (\alpha'_j \sqcup \beta_t \sqcup \gamma_t \sqsubseteq \alpha_t \sqcap \ell_e); (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'_j}}, x : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\beta_t} \vdash e_{c1} : T_{1.4}}\ \text{IH}}{\Sigma, \alpha_t, \beta_t, \gamma_t; \Psi, (\alpha'_j \sqcup \beta_t \sqcup \gamma_t \sqsubseteq \alpha_t \sqcap \ell_e); (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'_j}} \vdash \lambda x.e_{c1} : T_{1.3}}\ \text{CG-lam}$$

P0:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \overline{\beta'_j} \sqcup \gamma'_j \sqsubseteq \alpha'_j}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \gamma_j \sqsubseteq \alpha_j}$$

Main derivation:

$$\frac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{P1}{\Sigma, \alpha_t, \beta_t, \gamma_t; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'_j}} \vdash \nu(\lambda x.e_{c1}) : T_{1.2}}\ \text{CG-CI}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'_j}} \vdash \Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e_{c1})) : T_{1.1}}\ \text{3 applications CG-FI} \qquad P0}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'_j}} \vdash \mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e_{c1}))) : T_{1.0}}\ \text{CG-label}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'_j}} \vdash \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e_{c1})))) : T_1}\ \text{CG-ret}$$

3. FC-app:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_{c1} \quad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_{c2} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqcup pc \sqsubseteq \ell_e \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1\ e_2 : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c[][][]\bullet)\ b))))}\ \text{FC-app}$$

$\beta' = \bigcup_{\beta_i \in \overline{\beta'}} \beta_i$

$T_0 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell}|\!)_{\beta' \sqcup \gamma'} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)|\!)_{\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ ((\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell)\ \forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma.(((\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell) \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\beta} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha}$

$T_{1.1} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ ((\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell)\ \forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma.(((\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell) \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\beta} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha}$

$T_{1.2} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ (\gamma' \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell)\ \forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma.(((\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell) \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\beta} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha}$

$T_{1.3} = \forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma.(((\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell) \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\beta} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha}$

$T_{1.4} = \forall \beta, \gamma.(((\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell) \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq ((\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell) \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\beta} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{((\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_{1.5} = \forall\gamma.(((\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell) \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq ((\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell) \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')} \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{((\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_{1.6} = (((\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell) \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq ((\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell) \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow T_{1.7}$

$T_{1.7} = (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')} \to \mathbb{C}\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{((\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_{1.8} = \mathbb{C}\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{((\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_{1.9} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{((\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_{1.10} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)_{((\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_{1.11} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ (\ell_i \sqcup \beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{(\ell_i \sqcup \beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_{1.12} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma')\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ (\ell_i \sqcup \beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{(\ell_i \sqcup \beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_{1.13} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma')\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ (\ell_i \sqcup \beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{(\ell_i \sqcup \beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma')\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

P8:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'}}, a : T_{1.1}, b : (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}, c : T_{1.3} \vdash b : (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}}\ \text{CG-var}$$

P7:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqcup pc \sqsubseteq \ell_e}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash pc \sqsubseteq \ell_e}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \alpha' \sqcap pc \sqsubseteq \ell_e}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqsubseteq \alpha' \sqcap pc \sqsubseteq \ell_e}$$

P6:

$$\dfrac{P7 \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqcup pc \sqsubseteq \ell_e}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\ell \sqcup \beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqsubseteq \ell_e}$$

P5:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'}}, a : T_{1.1}, b : (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}, c : T_{1.3} \vdash c : T_{1.3}}\ \text{CG-var}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'}}, a : T_{1.1}, b : (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}, c : T_{1.3} \vdash c[] : T_{1.4}}\ \text{CG-FE}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'}}, a : T_{1.1}, b : (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}, c : T_{1.3} \vdash c[][] : T_{1.5}}\ \text{CG-FE}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'}}, a : T_{1.1}, b : (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}, c : T_{1.3} \vdash c[][][] : T_{1.6}}\ \text{CG-FE} \qquad P6}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'}}, a : T_{1.1}, b : (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}, c : T_{1.3} \vdash c[][][]\bullet : T_{1.7}}\ \text{CG-CE}$$

P4:

$$\frac{P5 \qquad P8}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'}}, a : T_{1.1}, b : (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}, c : T_{1.3} \vdash (c[][][]\bullet)\ b : T_{1.8}}\ \text{CG-app}$$

P3:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'}}, a : T_{1.1}, b : (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')} \vdash a : T_{1.1}}\ \text{CG-var}$$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P3}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'}}, a : T_{1.1}, b : (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')} \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}\ a : T_{1.2}}\ \text{CG-unlabel} \qquad P4}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'}}, a : T_{1.1}, b : (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c[][][]\bullet)\ b) : T_{1.9}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'}}, a : T_{1.1} \vdash e_{c2} : T_2}\ \text{IH2, Weakening} \qquad P2}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'}}, a : T_{1.1} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c[][][]\bullet)\ b)) : T_{1.9}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'}} \vdash e_{c1} : T_1}\ \text{IH1 with } (\beta' \sqcup \gamma'), \overline{\beta'}, \gamma' \qquad P1}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c[][][]\bullet)\ b))) : T_{1.9}}\ \text{CG-bind}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c[][][]\bullet)\ b))) : T_{1.10}}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c[][][]\bullet)\ b))) : T_{1.11}}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c[][][]\bullet)\ b)))) : T_{1.12}}\ \text{Lemma 2.87}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c[][][]\bullet)\ b)))) : T_{1.13}}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\overline{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c[][][]\bullet)\ b)))) : T_2}$$

4. FC-prod:

$$\dfrac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_{c1} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_{c2}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a, b))))}\ \text{FC-prod}$$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp}|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'\ (\!|(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_3 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_{3.1} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_4 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_5 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha'}$

P4:

$$\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'}, b : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} \vdash a : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'}}\ \text{CG-var}$$

P3:

$$\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'}, b : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} \vdash b : (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha'}}\ \text{CG-var}$$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{P3 \qquad P4}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'}, b : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} \vdash (a, b) : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha'}}\ \text{CG-prod}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'}, b : (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha'} \vdash \mathsf{Lb}(a, b) : T_{3.1}}\ \text{CG-label}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'}, b : (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha'} \vdash \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a, b)) : T_3}\ \text{CG-ret}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} \vdash e_{c2} : T_5}\ \text{IH2} \qquad P2}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a, b))) : T_3}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash e_{c1} : T_4}\ \text{IH1} \qquad P1}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a,b)))) : T_3}\ \text{CG-bind}}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a,b)))) : T_1}\ \text{Definition 2.86}$$

5. FC-fst:

$$\dfrac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_c \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_1 \searrow \ell}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{fst}(e) : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))))}\ \text{FC-fst}$$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell}|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_{2.1} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup \alpha'\ (\!|(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_{2.2} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup \alpha'\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell} \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_{2.3} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup \alpha'\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell} \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_{2.4} = (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell} \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_{2.5} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma' \sqcup \alpha' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell} \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_3 = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma' \sqcup \alpha' \sqcup \ell)\ (\gamma' \sqcup \alpha' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_{3.1} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma' \sqcup \alpha' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_{3.2} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\alpha' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_{3.3} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\alpha' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_{3.4} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\alpha' \sqcup \ell)\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup \ell_i \sqcup \alpha'\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell \sqcup \ell_i}$

$T_{3.5} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma')\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup \ell_i \sqcup \alpha'\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell \sqcup \ell_i}$

$T_{3.6} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma')\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i \sqcup \alpha'\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell_i}$

$T_{3.7} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma')\ (\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)_{\alpha'}$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.4} \vdash b : T_{2.4}}\ \text{CG-var}}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.4} \vdash \mathsf{fst}(b) : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell}}\ \text{CG-fst}}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.4} \vdash \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)) : T_3}\ \text{CG-ret}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3} \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}\ (a) : T_{2.5}}\ \text{CG-unlabel} \qquad P2}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b))) : T_{3.1}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

P0:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash e_c : T_{2.2}}\ \text{IH} \qquad P1}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))) : T_{3.1}}\ \text{CG-bind} \quad \dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \gamma' \sqsubseteq \alpha'}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))) : T_{3.2}}}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))) : T_{3.3}}}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))) : T_{3.4}}\ \text{Definition 2.86}}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b))))) : T_{3.5}}\ \text{Lemma 2.87}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{P0 \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i} \searrow \ell}\ \text{By inversion}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_i}\ \text{By inversion}}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b))))) : T_{3.6}}}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b))))) : T_{3.7}}\ \text{Definition 2.86}}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b))))) : T_1}$$

6. FC-snd:

$$\dfrac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_c \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_1 \searrow \ell}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{snd}(e) : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b)))))}\ \text{FC-snd}$$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\ell}|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_{2.1} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup \alpha'\ (\!|(\tau_1 \times \tau_2)|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_{2.2} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup \alpha'\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell} \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_{2.3} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup \alpha'\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell} \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_{2.4} = (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell} \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_{2.5} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma' \sqcup \alpha' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell} \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_3 = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma' \sqcup \alpha' \sqcup \ell)\ (\gamma' \sqcup \alpha' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_{3.1} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma' \sqcup \alpha' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_{3.2} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\alpha' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_{3.3} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\alpha' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell}$

$T_{3.4} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\alpha' \sqcup \ell)\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup \ell_i \sqcup \alpha'\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell \sqcup \ell_i}$

$T_{3.5} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma')\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup \ell_i \sqcup \alpha'\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell \sqcup \ell_i}$

$T_{3.6} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma')\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i \sqcup \alpha'\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell_i}$

$T_{3.7} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma')\ (\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)_{\alpha'}$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.4} \vdash b : T_{2.4}}\ \text{CG-var}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.4} \vdash \mathsf{snd}(b) : (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha' \sqcup \ell}}\ \text{CG-snd}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.4} \vdash \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b)) : T_3}\ \text{CG-ret}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3} \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}\ (a) : T_{2.5}}\ \text{CG-unlabel} \qquad P2}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b))) : T_{3.1}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

P0:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash e_c : T_{2.2}}\ \text{IH} \qquad P1}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b)))) : T_{3.1}}\ \text{CG-bind} \quad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \gamma' \sqsubseteq \alpha'}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b)))) : T_{3.2}}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b)))) : T_{3.3}}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b)))) : T_{3.4}}\ \text{Definition 2.86}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b))))) : T_{3.5}}\ \text{Lemma 2.87}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P0 \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i} \searrow \ell}\ \text{By inversion}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_i}\ \text{By inversion}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b))))) : T_{3.6}}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b))))) : T_{3.7}}\ \text{Definition 2.86}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{snd}(b))))) : T_1}$$

7. FC-inl:

$$\dfrac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_c}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{inl}(e) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a)))}\ \text{FC-inl}$$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|(\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp}|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_{1.1} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'\ (\!|(\tau_1 + \tau_2)|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_{1.2} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} + (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_{1.3} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} + (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'}$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} \vdash a : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'}}\ \text{CG-var}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} \vdash \mathsf{inl}(a) : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} + (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha'}}\ \text{CG-inl}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} \vdash \mathsf{Lbinl}(a) : T_{1.3}}\ \text{CG-label}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} \vdash \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a)) : T_{1.2}}\ \text{CG-ret}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash e_c : T_2}\ \text{IH} \qquad P1}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a))) : T_{1.2}}\ \text{CG-bind}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a))) : T_1}\ \text{Definition 2.86}$$

8. FC-inr:

$$\dfrac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_c}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{inr}(e) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinr}(a)))}\ \text{FC-inr}$$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|(\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\perp}|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_{1.1} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'\ (\!|(\tau_1 + \tau_2)|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_{1.2} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} + (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_{1.3} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} + (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha'}$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} \vdash a : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'}}\ \text{CG-var}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} \vdash \mathsf{inr}(a) : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} + (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha'}}\ \text{CG-inr}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} \vdash \mathsf{Lbinr}(a) : T_{1.3}}\ \text{CG-label}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} \vdash \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinr}(a)) : T_{1.2}}\ \text{CG-ret}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash e_c : T_2}\ \text{IH} \qquad P1}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinr}(a))) : T_{1.2}}\ \text{CG-bind}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinr}(a))) : T_1}\ \text{Definition 2.86}$$

9. FC-case:

$$\dfrac{\begin{array}{c}\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_c \\ \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{pc \sqcup \ell} e_1 : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{c1} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{pc \sqcup \ell} e_2 : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{c2} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell\end{array}}{\begin{array}{c}\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{case}(e, x.e_1, y.e_2) : \tau \rightsquigarrow \\ \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2}))))\end{array}}\ \text{FC-case}$$

$\beta' = \bigcup_{\beta_i \in \overline{\beta'}} \beta_i$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{(\alpha')}$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|(\tau_1 + \tau_2)^{\ell}|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.1} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ ((\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau_1 + \tau_2|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell}$

$T_{2.2} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ ((\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell)\ ((\!|\tau_1|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell} + (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell})$

$T_{2.3} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ ((\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell} + (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell})$

$T_{2.4} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ (\gamma' \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell} + (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell})$

$T_{2.5} = (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell} + (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell})$

$T_3 = \mathbb{C}\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_4 = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_5 = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_{5.1} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_{5.2} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma')\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_{5.3} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma')\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i \sqcup \beta' \sqcup \gamma'\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i \sqcup \beta' \sqcup \gamma'}$

$T_{5.4} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma')\ (\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)_{\beta' \sqcup \gamma'}$

$T_{5.5} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma')\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\beta' \sqcup \gamma'}$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5} \vdash b : T_{2.5}}\ \text{CG-var}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5}, x : (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell} \vdash e_{c1} : T_3}\ \text{IH2, Weakening}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5}, y : (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcup \ell} \vdash e_{c2} : T_3}\ \text{IH3, Weakening}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5} \vdash \mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2}) : T_3}\ \text{CG-case}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3} \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}\ a : T_{2.4}}\ \text{CG-unlabel} \qquad P2}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2})) : T_4}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

P0:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash e_c : T_{2.2}}\ \text{IH1} \qquad P1}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2}))) : T_4}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

P0.1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{P0}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2}))) : T_5}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2}))) : T_{5.1}}\ \text{Definition 2.86}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2})))) : T_{5.2}}\ \text{Lemma 2.87}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{P0.1 \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i} \searrow \ell}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_i}\ \text{By inversion}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2})))) : T_{5.3}}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2})))) T_{5.4}}\ \text{Definition 2.86}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2})))) : T_{5.5}} \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqsubseteq \alpha'}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{c1}, y.e_{c2})))) : T_1}\ \text{CG-}$$

10. FC-ref:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_c \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow pc}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{new}\ (e) : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\bot} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}b)))}\ \text{FC-ref}$$

$\beta' = \bigcup_{\beta_i \in \overline{\beta'}} \beta_i$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\bot}|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_{1.1} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|(\mathsf{ref}\ \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i})^{\bot}|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_{1.2} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'\ (\!|(\mathsf{ref}\ \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i})|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_{1.3} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'\ \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i}$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.1} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.2} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.3} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.4} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.5} = \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.51} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'\ \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.6} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'\ \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.7} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'\ \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i}$

P3:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i} \searrow pc}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash pc \sqsubseteq \ell_i}\ \text{By inversion} \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqsubseteq pc}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqsubseteq \ell_i}$$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5} \vdash b : T_{2.5}}\ \text{CG-var}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5} \vdash \mathsf{Lb}b : T_{2.51}}\ \text{CG-label}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5} \vdash \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}b) : T_{2.6}}\ \text{CG-ret} \qquad P3}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5} \vdash \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}b) : T_{1.3}}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3} \vdash \mathsf{new}\ (a) : T_{2.4}}\ \text{CG-new} \qquad P2}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}b)) : T_{1.3}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash e_c : T_{2.2}}\ \text{IH} \qquad P1}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}b))) : T_{1.3}}\ \text{CG-bind}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}b))) : T_1}\ \text{Definition 2.86}$$

11. FC-deref:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_c \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau' \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} !e : \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b)))}\ \text{FC-deref}$$

$\beta' = \bigcup_{\beta_i \in \overline{\beta'}} \beta_i$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|\tau'|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_{1.1} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|\mathsf{A}'^{\ell'_i}|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_{1.2} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'_i \sqcup \alpha'\ (\!|\mathsf{A}'|\!)_{\ell'_i \sqcup \alpha'}$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell}|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.1} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ (\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma'))\ (\!|(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)|\!)_{\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.2} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ (\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma'))\ (\!|(\mathsf{ref}\ \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i})|\!)_{\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.3} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ (\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma'))\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i})$

$T_{2.4} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ (\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma'))\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i})$

$T_{2.5} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell\ (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i})$

$T_{2.6} = (\mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i})$

$T_{2.7} = \mathbb{C}\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i})$

$T_{2.8} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i})$

$T_{2.9} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell'_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}'|\!)_{\ell'_i})$

$T_{2.10} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma')\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell \sqcup \ell'_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}'|\!)_{\ell'_i})$

$T_{2.11} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma')\ (\mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha \sqcup \ell'_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}'|\!)_{\ell'_i})$

P2:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.4}, b : T_{2.6} \vdash b : T_{2.6}}\ \text{CG-var}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.4}, b : T_{2.6} \vdash !b : T_{2.7}}\ \text{CG-deref}$$

P1:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.4} \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}\ a : T_{2.5}}\ \text{CG-unlabel} \qquad P2}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.4} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b) : T_{2.8}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

P0:

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash e_c : T_{2.3}} \qquad P1}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b)) : T_{2.8}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

Main derivation:

$$\frac{\dfrac{\dfrac{P0}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b)) : T_{2.9}}\ \text{Lemma 2.89}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b))) : T_{2.10}}\ \text{Lemma 2.87} \qquad \dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i} \searrow \ell}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_i}\ \text{By inversion} \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqsubseteq \alpha'}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b))) : T_{1.1}}\ \text{CG-sub}$$

12. FC-assign:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_{c1} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_2 : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{c2} \qquad \tau \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)}{\begin{array}{c}\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_1 := e_2 : \mathsf{unit} \rightsquigarrow \\ \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)))), d.\mathsf{ret}())\end{array}}\ \text{FC-assign}$$

$\beta' = \bigcup_{\beta_i \in \overline{\beta'}} \beta_i$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|\mathsf{unit}|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_{1.1} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{unit}$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell}|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.1} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ (\!|(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)|\!)_{\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.2} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ (\!|(\mathsf{ref}\ \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i})|\!)_{\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.3} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i}$

$T_{2.4} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i}$

$T_{2.5} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i}$

$T_{2.6} = \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i}$

$T_{2.7} = \mathbb{C}\ \ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ \ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ \mathsf{unit}$

$T_{2.8} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ \mathsf{unit}$

$T_{2.9} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ \mathsf{unit}$

$T_3 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{3.1} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{3.2} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{3.3} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{3.4} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i}$

P4:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.4}, b : T_{3.3}, c : T_{2.6} \vdash c : T_{2.6}}\ \text{CG-var}$$

P5:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.4}, b : T_{3.3}, c : T_{2.6} \vdash b : T_{3.3}}\ \text{CG-var}}{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i} \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (pc \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq \ell_i}\ \text{By inversion} \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqsubseteq pc}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqsubseteq \ell_i}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.4}, b : T_{3.3}, c : T_{2.6} \vdash b : T_{3.4}}$$

P3:

$$\frac{P4 \qquad P5}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.4}, b : T_{3.3}, c : T_{2.6} \vdash c := b : T_{2.7}}\ \text{CG-assign}$$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.4}, b : T_{3.3} \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}\ a : T_{2.5}}\ \text{CG-unlabel} \qquad P3}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.4}, b : T_{3.3} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b) : T_{2.8}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.4} \vdash e_{c2} : T_{3.2}}\ \text{IH2} \qquad P2}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.4} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b))) : T_{2.8}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

P0:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash e_{c1} : T_{2.3}}\ \text{IH1} \qquad P1}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b))) : T_{2.8}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

P0.1:

$$\dfrac{P0}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)))) : T_{2.9}}\ \text{CG-toLabeled}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{P0.1}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)))), d.\mathsf{ret}()) : T_{1.1}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

13. FC-FI:

$$\dfrac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_c}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \Lambda e : (\forall \alpha_g.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\perp} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_c))))}\ \text{FC-FI}$$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|(\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau))^{\perp}|\!)_{\alpha'}$
$T_{1.1} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'\ (\!|\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau)|\!)_{\alpha'}$
$T_{1.2} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'\ \forall \alpha.\forall \alpha_i, \gamma_i.(\alpha' \sqcup \gamma_i \sqsubseteq \alpha_i \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha_i}$
$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha_i}$
$T_{2.1} = (\alpha' \sqcup \gamma_i \sqsubseteq \alpha_i \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha_i}$
$T_{2.2} = \forall \alpha, \alpha_i, \gamma_i.(\alpha' \sqcup \gamma_i \sqsubseteq \alpha_i \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha_i}$
$T_{2.3} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'\ \forall \alpha, \alpha_i, \gamma_i.(\alpha' \sqcup \gamma_i \sqsubseteq \alpha_i \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha_i}$
Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha, \alpha_i, \gamma_i; \Psi, (\alpha' \sqcup \gamma_i \sqsubseteq \alpha_i \sqcap \ell_e); (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash e_c : T_2}\ \text{IH, Weakening}}{\Sigma, \alpha, \alpha_i, \gamma_i; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \nu(e_c) : T_{2.1}}\ \text{CG-CI}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_c)) : T_{2.2}}\ \text{CG-FI}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_c))) : T_{2.3}}\ \text{CG-label}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_c)))) : T_{1.2}}\ \text{CG-ret}$$

14. FC-FE:

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (\forall \alpha_g.(\ell_e,\tau))^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_c \qquad \mathrm{FV}(\ell') \subseteq \Sigma \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e[\ell'/\alpha] \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \searrow \ell}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e[] : \tau \rightsquigarrow \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a.b.b[][][]\bullet)))}\ \text{FC-FE}$$

$\beta' = \bigcup_{\beta_i \in \overline{\beta'}} \beta_i$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|\tau[\ell''/\alpha]|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|(\forall \alpha.(\ell_e,\tau))^{\ell}|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.1} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ (\!|\forall \alpha.(\ell_e,\tau)|\!)_{\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.2} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ \forall \alpha.\forall \alpha_i, \gamma_i.((\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')) \sqcup \gamma_i \sqsubseteq \alpha_i \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha_i}$

$T_{2.3} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ \forall \alpha.\forall \alpha_i, \gamma_i.((\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')) \sqcup \gamma_i \sqsubseteq \alpha_i \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha_i}$

$T_{2.4} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ (\gamma' \sqcup \ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma'))\ \forall \alpha.\forall \alpha_i, \gamma_i.((\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')) \sqcup \gamma_i \sqsubseteq \alpha_i \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha_i}$

$T_{2.5} = \forall \alpha.\forall \alpha_i, \gamma_i.((\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')) \sqcup \gamma_i \sqsubseteq \alpha_i \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha_i}$

$T_{2.6} = \forall \alpha_i, \gamma_i.((\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')) \sqcup \gamma_i \sqsubseteq \alpha_i \sqcap \ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha_i}[\ell''/\alpha]$

$T_{2.7} = \forall \gamma_i.((\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')) \sqcup \gamma_i \sqsubseteq (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell) \sqcap \ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)}[\ell''/\alpha]$

$T_{2.8} = ((\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')) \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell) \sqcap \ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)}[\ell''/\alpha]$

$T_{2.81} = ((\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')) \sqsubseteq (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell) \sqcap \ell_e[\ell''/\alpha]) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)}[\ell''/\alpha]$

$T_{2.9} = \mathbb{C}\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)}[\ell''/\alpha]$

$T_{2.10} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)}[\ell''/\alpha]$

$T_{2.11} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau[\ell''/\alpha]|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_{2.12} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}[\ell''/\alpha]|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_{2.13} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i[\ell''/\alpha] \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\mathsf{A}[\ell''/\alpha]|\!)_{\ell_i[\ell''/\alpha] \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)}$

$T_{2.14} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i[\ell''/\alpha] \sqcup \beta' \sqcup \gamma'\ (\!|\mathsf{A}[\ell''/\alpha]|\!)_{\ell_i[\ell''/\alpha] \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.15} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma')\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i[\ell''/\alpha] \sqcup \beta' \sqcup \gamma'\ (\!|\mathsf{A}[\ell''/\alpha]|\!)_{\ell_i[\ell''/\alpha] \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.16} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma')\ (\!|\mathsf{A}[\ell''/\alpha]^{\ell_i[\ell''/\alpha]}|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

P3:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\overline{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e[\ell''/\alpha])}\ \text{Given} \qquad \overline{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash (\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqsubseteq pc \sqsubseteq \ell_e[\ell''/\alpha])}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash ((\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')) \sqsubseteq \ell_e[\ell''/\alpha])}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash ((\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')) \sqsubseteq (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell) \sqcap \ell_e[\ell''/\alpha])}$$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\overline{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5} \vdash b : T_{2.5}}\ \text{CG-var}}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5} \vdash b[] : T_{2.6}}\ \text{CG-FE}}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5} \vdash b[][] : T_{2.7}}\ \text{CG-FE}}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5} \vdash b[][][] : T_{2.81}}\ \text{CG-FE} \qquad P3}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5} \vdash b[][][]\bullet : T_{2.9}}\ \text{CG-CE}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta}}, a : T_{2.3} \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}\ a : T_{2.4}}\ \text{CG-unlabel} \qquad P2}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta}}, a : T_{2.3} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a.b.b[][][]\bullet) : T_{2.10}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

P0:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta}} \vdash e_c : T_{2.2}}\ \text{IH} \qquad P1}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a.b.b[][][]\bullet)) : T_{2.10}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

P0.1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A}[\ell''/\alpha]^{\ell_i[\ell''/\alpha]} \searrow \ell}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_i[\ell''/\alpha]}\ \text{By inversion}$$

P0.2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{P0}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a.b.b[][][]\bullet)) : T_{2.11}}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a.b.b[][][]\bullet)) : T_{2.12}}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a.b.b[][][]\bullet)) : T_{2.13}}\ \text{Definition 2.86} \qquad P0.1}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a.b.b[][][]\bullet)) : T_{2.14}}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a.b.b[][][]\bullet))) : T_{2.15}}\ \text{Lemma 2.87}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{P0.2}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a.b.b[][][]\bullet))) : T_1}\ \text{Definition 2.86}$$

15. FC-CI:

$$\dfrac{\Sigma; \Psi, c; \Gamma \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_c}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \nu e : (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau))^{\perp} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_c))))}\ \text{FC-CI}$$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|(c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\perp}|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_{1.1} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'\ (\!|(c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_{1.2} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'\ \forall \alpha_i, \gamma_i.(c \wedge \alpha' \sqcup \gamma_i \sqsubseteq \alpha_i \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha_i}$

$T_{1.3} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \alpha'\ \forall \alpha_i, \gamma_i.(c \wedge \alpha' \sqcup \gamma_i \sqsubseteq \alpha_i \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha_i}$

$T_{1.4} = \forall \alpha_i, \gamma_i.(c \wedge \alpha' \sqcup \gamma_i \sqsubseteq \alpha_i \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha_i}$

$T_{1.5} = (c \wedge \alpha' \sqcup \gamma_i \sqsubseteq \alpha_i \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha_i}$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha_i}$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha_i, \gamma_i; \Psi, (c \wedge \alpha' \sqcup \gamma_i \sqsubseteq \alpha_i \sqcap \ell_e); (\!|\Gamma|\!) \vdash e_c : T_2}\ \text{IH, Weakening}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \nu(e_c) : T_{1.5}}\ \text{CG-CI}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_c)) : T_{1.4}}\ \text{CG-FI}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_c))) : T_{1.3}}\ \text{CG-label}}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_c)))) : T_{1.2}}\ \text{CG-ret}$$

16. FC-CE:

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau))^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_c \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash c \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e\bullet : \tau \rightsquigarrow \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a.b.b[][]\bullet)))}\ \text{FC-CE}$$

$\beta' = \bigcup_{\beta_i \in \overline{\beta'}} \beta_i$

$T_1 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha'}$

$T_2 = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ (\!|(c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^{\ell}|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.1} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ (\!|(c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)|\!)_{\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.2} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ \gamma'\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ \forall \alpha_i, \gamma_i.(c \wedge (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell) \sqcup \gamma_i \sqsubseteq \alpha_i \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\ell \sqcup \alpha_i}$

$T_{2.3} = \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ \forall \alpha_i, \gamma_i.(c \wedge (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell) \sqcup \gamma_i \sqsubseteq \alpha_i \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\ell \sqcup \alpha_i}$

$T_{2.4} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma'\ (\gamma' \sqcup \ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma'))\ \forall \alpha_i, \gamma_i.(c \wedge (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell) \sqcup \gamma_i \sqsubseteq \alpha_i \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\ell \sqcup \alpha_i}$

$T_{2.5} = \forall \alpha_i, \gamma_i.(c \wedge (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell) \sqcup \gamma_i \sqsubseteq \alpha_i \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\ell \sqcup \alpha_i}$

$T_{2.6} = \forall \gamma_i.(c \wedge (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell) \sqcup \gamma_i \sqsubseteq (\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma_i\ \gamma_i\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.7} = (c \wedge (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell) \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqsubseteq (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell) \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.71} = (c \wedge (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell) \sqsubseteq (\beta' \sqcup \gamma') \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.8} = \mathbb{C}\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.9} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.10} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ (\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)_{\ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.11} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\beta' \sqcup \gamma' \sqcup \ell)\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i \sqcup \ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i \sqcup \ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.12} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma')\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i \sqcup \ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i \sqcup \ell \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.13} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma')\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i \sqcup (\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

$T_{2.14} = \mathbb{C}\ (\gamma')\ (\gamma')\ (\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)_{(\beta' \sqcup \gamma')}$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5} \vdash b : T_{2.5}}\ \text{CG-var}}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5} \vdash b[] : T_{2.6}}\ \text{CG-FE}}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5} \vdash b[][] : T_{2.71}}\ \text{CG-FE}}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3}, b : T_{2.5} \vdash b[][]\bullet : T_{2.8}}\ \text{CG-CE}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3} \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}\ a : T_{2.4}}\ \text{CG-unlabel} \qquad P2}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}}, a : T_{2.3} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a.b.b[][]\bullet) : T_{2.9}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

P0:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash e_c : T_{2.2}}\ \text{IH} \qquad P1}{\Sigma;\Psi;(\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a.b.b[][]\bullet)) : T_{2.9}}\ \text{CG-bind}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{P0}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a.b.b[][]\bullet)) : T_{2.10}}\ \text{CG-bind}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a.b.b[][]\bullet)) : T_{2.11}}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a.b.b[][]\bullet))) : T_{2.12}}\ \text{Lemma 2.87}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a.b.b[][]\bullet))) : T_{2.13}}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a.b.b[][]\bullet))) : T_{2.14}}}{\Sigma; \Psi; (\!|\Gamma|\!)_{\vec{\beta'}} \vdash \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_c, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a.b.b[][]\bullet))) : T_1}$$

□

Lemma 2.89 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ CG: Subtyping preservation)**.** $\forall \Sigma, \Psi, \ell, \ell'.\ \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'$ *and the following holds:*

1. $\forall \tau, \tau'.$

   $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \implies [\![\tau]\!]_\ell <: [\![\tau']\!]_{\ell'}$

2. $\forall \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{A}'.$

   $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}' \implies \Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\mathsf{A}]\!]_\ell <: [\![\mathsf{A}']\!]_{\ell'}$

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on $\tau <: \tau$ and $\mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}$

Proof of statement (1)

Let $\tau = \mathsf{A}_1^{\ell_1}$ and $\tau' = \mathsf{A}_2^{\ell_2}$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathsf{A}_1^{\ell_1} <: \mathsf{A}_2^{\ell_2}}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A}_1 <: \mathsf{A}_2}\ \text{By inversion} \qquad P1}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash ([\![\mathsf{A}_1]\!]_{\ell \sqcup \ell_1}) <: ([\![\mathsf{A}_2]\!]_{\ell' \sqcup \ell_2})}\ \text{IH(2) on } \mathsf{A}_1 <: \mathsf{A}_2$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathsf{A}_1^{\ell_1} <: \mathsf{A}_2^{\ell_2}}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell_2}\ \text{By inversion} \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqcup \ell_1 \sqsubseteq \ell' \sqcup \ell_2}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{P1 \qquad P2}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell \sqcup \ell_1\ ([\![\mathsf{A}_1]\!]_{\ell \sqcup \ell_1}) <: \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell' \sqcup \ell_2\ ([\![\mathsf{A}_2]\!]_{\ell' \sqcup \ell_2})}\ \text{CGsub-labeled}$$
$$\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \left[\!\!\left[\mathsf{A}_1^{\ell_1}\right]\!\!\right]_\ell <: \left[\!\!\left[\mathsf{A}_2^{\ell_2}\right]\!\!\right]_{\ell'}$$

Proof of statement (2)

We proceed by cases on $\mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}$

1. FGsub-base:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{b} <: \mathsf{b}}\ \text{CG-refl}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\mathsf{b}]\!]_\ell <: [\![\mathsf{b}]\!]_{\ell'}}\ \text{Definition 2.86}$$

2. FGsub-ref:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ [\![\mathsf{A}]\!]_{\ell_i} <: \mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ [\![\mathsf{A}]\!]_{\ell_i}}\ \text{CG-refl}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \left[\!\left[\mathsf{ref}\ \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}\right]\!\right]_{\ell} <: \left[\!\left[\mathsf{ref}\ \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}\right]\!\right]_{\ell'}}\ \text{Definition 2.86}$$

3. FGsub-prod:

   P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1'}\ \text{By inversion}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_1]\!]_{\ell} <: [\![\tau_1']\!]_{\ell'}}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 <: \tau_1'$$

   P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}\ \text{By inversion}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_2]\!]_{\ell} <: [\![\tau_2']\!]_{\ell'}}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_2 <: \tau_2'$$

   Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P1 \qquad P2}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_1]\!]_{\ell} \times [\![\tau_2]\!]_{\ell} <: [\![\tau_1']\!]_{\ell} \times [\![\tau_2']\!]_{\ell'}}\ \text{CGsub-prod}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_1 \times \tau_2]\!]_{\ell} <: [\![\tau_1' \times \tau_2']\!]_{\ell'}}\ \text{Definition 2.86}$$

4. FGsub-sum:

   P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1'}\ \text{By inversion}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_1]\!]_{\ell} <: [\![\tau_1']\!]_{\ell'}}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 <: \tau_1'$$

   P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}\ \text{By inversion}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_2]\!]_{\ell} <: [\![\tau_2']\!]_{\ell'}}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_2 <: \tau_2'$$

   Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P1 \qquad P2}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_1]\!]_{\ell} + [\![\tau_2]\!]_{\ell} <: [\![\tau_1']\!]_{\ell} + [\![\tau_2']\!]_{\ell'}}\ \text{CGsub-prod}}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash [\![\tau_1 + \tau_2]\!]_{\ell} <: [\![\tau_1' + \tau_2']\!]_{\ell'}}\ \text{Definition 2.86}$$

5. FGsub-arrow:

   $T_1 = \forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma.(\ell \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow (\!|\tau_1|\!)_\beta \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\alpha$

   $T_{1.0} = \forall \beta, \gamma.(\ell \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow (\!|\tau_1|\!)_\beta \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\alpha$

   $T_{1.1} = \forall \gamma.(\ell \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow (\!|\tau_1|\!)_\beta \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\alpha$

$T_{1.2} = (\ell \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow (\!|\tau_1|\!)_\beta \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\alpha$

$T_{1.3} = (\!|\tau_1|\!)_\beta \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\alpha$

$c_1 = (\ell \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e)$

$T_2 = \forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma. (\ell' \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell'_e) \Rightarrow (\!|\tau'_1|\!)_\beta \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau'_2|\!)_\alpha$

$T_{2.0} = \forall \beta, \gamma. (\ell' \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell'_e) \Rightarrow (\!|\tau'_1|\!)_\beta \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau'_2|\!)_\alpha$

$T_{2.1} = \forall \gamma. (\ell' \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell'_e) \Rightarrow (\!|\tau'_1|\!)_\beta \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau'_2|\!)_\alpha$

$T_{2.2} = (\ell' \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell'_e) \Rightarrow (\!|\tau'_1|\!)_\beta \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau'_2|\!)_\alpha$

$T_{2.3} = (\!|\tau'_1|\!)_\beta \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau'_2|\!)_\alpha$

$c_2 = (\ell' \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell'_e)$

P3:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 <: \tau'_1 \xrightarrow{\ell'_e} \tau'_2}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma; \Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau'_2}\ \text{By inversion, Weakening}}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma; \Psi \vdash \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\alpha <: \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau'_2|\!)_\alpha}\ \text{IH(1) with } \ell = \ell' = \alpha\text{, CGsub-monad}$$

P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 <: \tau'_1 \xrightarrow{\ell'_e} \tau'_2}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma; \Psi \vdash \tau'_1 <: \tau_1}\ \text{By inversion, Weakening}}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma; \Psi \vdash [\![\tau'_1]\!]_\beta <: [\![\tau_1]\!]_\beta}\ \text{IH(1) with } \ell = \ell' = \beta$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{P2 \qquad P3}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash T_{1.3} <: T_{2.3}}\ \text{CGsub-arrow}$$

P0.1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'}\ \text{Given, Weakening}}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma; \Psi \vdash (\ell' \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha) \implies (\ell \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha)} \quad \dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma; \Psi \vdash \ell'_e \sqsubseteq \ell_e}\ \text{Given, Weakening}}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma; \Psi \vdash (\ell' \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \ell'_e) \implies (\ell \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \ell_e)}}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma; \Psi \vdash c_2 \implies c_1}$$

P0:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P0.1 \qquad \dfrac{P1}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma; \Psi \vdash T_{1.3} <: T_{2.3}}\ \text{CGsub-arrow}}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma; \Psi \vdash T_{1.2} <: T_{2.2}}\ \text{CGsub-constraint}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash T_1 <: T_2}\ \text{CGsub-forall}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{P0}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \left[\!\!\left[\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2\right]\!\!\right]_\ell <: \left[\!\!\left[\tau'_1 \xrightarrow{\ell'_e} \tau'_2\right]\!\!\right]_{\ell'}}\ \text{Definition 2.86}$$

6. FGsub-unit:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{unit} <: \mathsf{unit}}\ \text{CGsub-unit}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]_\ell <: [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]_{\ell'}}\ \text{Definition 2.86}$$

7. FGsub-forall:

   $T_1 = \forall \alpha, \alpha', \gamma.(\ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha' \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'}$

   $T_{1.0} = \forall \alpha', \gamma.(\ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha' \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'}$

   $T_{1.1} = \forall \gamma.(\ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha' \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'}$

   $T_{1.2} = (\ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha' \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'}$

   $T_{1.3} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'}$

   $c_1 = (\ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha' \sqcap \ell_e)$

   $T_2 = \forall \alpha, \alpha', \gamma.(\ell' \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha' \sqcap \ell'_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha'}$

   $T_{2.0} = \forall \alpha', \gamma.(\ell' \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha' \sqcap \ell'_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha'}$

   $T_{2.1} = \forall \gamma.(\ell' \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha' \sqcap \ell'_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha'}$

   $T_{2.2} = (\ell' \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha' \sqcap \ell'_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha'}$

   $T_{2.3} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha'}$

   $c_2 = (\ell' \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha' \sqcap \ell'_e)$

   P3:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha, \alpha', \gamma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2}\ \text{Given, Weakening}}{\Sigma, \alpha, \alpha', \gamma; \Psi \vdash (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} <: \tau_{2\alpha'}}\ \text{IH(1) with } \ell = \ell' = \alpha'}{\Sigma, \alpha, \alpha', \gamma; \Psi \vdash \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_{\alpha'} <: \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_{\alpha'}}$$

   P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha, \alpha', \gamma; \Psi \vdash (\ell'_e \sqsubseteq \ell_e)}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma, \alpha, \alpha', \gamma; \Psi \vdash (\ell' \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \ell'_e) \implies (\ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \ell_e)}$$

   P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{(\ell \sqsubseteq \ell')}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma, \alpha, \alpha', \gamma; \Psi \vdash (\ell' \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha') \implies (\ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha')}$$

   P0:

$$\dfrac{P1 \qquad P2}{\Sigma, \alpha, \alpha', \gamma; \Psi \vdash c_2 \implies c_1}$$

   Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{P0 \qquad P3}{\Sigma, \alpha, \alpha', \gamma; \Psi \vdash T_{1.2} <: T_{2.2}}\ \text{CGsub-constraint}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash T_1 <: T_2}\ \text{CGsub-forall}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash [\![\forall \alpha.\tau_1]\!]_\ell <: [\![\forall \alpha.\tau_2]\!]_{\ell'}}\ \text{Definition 2.86}$$

8. FGsub-constraint:

$T_1 = \forall \alpha, \gamma.(c_1 \wedge \ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_\alpha$

$T_{1.0} = \forall \gamma.(c_1 \wedge \ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_\alpha$

$T_{1.1} = (c_1 \wedge \ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_\alpha$

$T_{1.2} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_\alpha$

$C_1 = (c_1 \wedge \ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e)$

$T_2 = \forall \alpha, \gamma.(c_2 \wedge \ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell'_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\alpha$

$T_{2.0} = \forall \gamma.(c_2 \wedge \ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell'_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\alpha$

$T_{2.1} = (c_2 \wedge \ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell'_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\alpha$

$T_{2.2} = \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\alpha$

$C_2 = (c_2 \wedge \ell' \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell'_e)$

P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha, \gamma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2}\ \text{Given, Weakening}}{\Sigma, \alpha, \gamma; \Psi \vdash (\!|\tau_1|\!)_\alpha <: \tau_{2\alpha}}\ \text{IH(1) with } \ell = \ell' = \alpha}{\Sigma, \alpha, \gamma; \Psi \vdash \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_1|\!)_\alpha <: \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\alpha}$$

P0:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash c_2 \implies c_1}\ \text{Given}}{\Sigma, \alpha, \gamma; \Psi \vdash c_2 \wedge (\ell' \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell'_e) \implies c_1 \wedge (\ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e)}\ \text{Weakening, } \ell \sqsubseteq \ell',\ \ell'_e \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Sigma, \alpha, \gamma; \Psi \vdash C_2 \implies C_1}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{P0 \qquad P1}{\Sigma, \alpha, \gamma; \Psi \vdash T_{1.1} <: T_{2.1}}\ \text{CGsub-constraint}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash T_1 <: T_2}\ \text{CGsub-forall}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \left[\!\!\left[c_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_1 \right]\!\!\right]_\ell <: \left[\!\!\left[c_2 \overset{\ell'_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_2 \right]\!\!\right]_{\ell'}}\ \text{Definition 2.86}$$

□

Lemma 2.90 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ CG: Preservation of well-formedness)**.** *Forall* Σ, Ψ *and* ℓ *s.t* $FV(\ell) \in \Sigma$ *the following hold:*

1. $\forall \tau.\ \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau\ WF \implies \Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\!|\tau|\!)_\ell\ WF$
2. $\forall \mathsf{A}.\ \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A}\ WF \implies \Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_\ell\ WF$

Proof. Proof by simulataneous induction on the WF relation of FG

$$\dfrac{\text{Proof of statement (1)}}{\text{Let } \tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'}}$$

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathrm{FV}(\ell') \in \Sigma}\ \text{By inversion}}{\mathrm{FV}(\ell' \sqcup \ell) \in \Sigma}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell' \sqcup \ell}\ WF}\ \text{IH(2) on } \mathsf{A}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell' \sqcup \ell\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell' \sqcup \ell}\ WF}\ \text{CG-wff-labeled}$$

Proof of statement (2)

We proceed by case analyzing the last rule of given WF judgment.

1. FG-wff-base:

$$\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{b}\ WF}\ \text{CG-wff-base}$$

2. FG-wff-unit:

$$\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{unit}\ WF}\ \text{CG-wff-unit}$$

3. FG-wff-arrow:

   P1:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma; \Psi, (\ell \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \vdash (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\alpha\ WF}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_2}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma; \Psi, (\ell \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \vdash \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\alpha\ WF}\ \text{CG-wff-monad}$$

   P0:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma; \Psi, (\ell \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \vdash (\!|\tau_1|\!)_\beta\ WF}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 \qquad P1}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma; \Psi, (\ell \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \vdash ((\!|\tau_1|\!)_\beta \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\alpha)\ WF}\ \text{CG-wff-arrow}$$

   Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{P0}{\Sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma; \Psi \vdash ((\ell \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow (\!|\tau_1|\!)_\beta \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\alpha)\ WF}\ \text{CG-wff-constraint}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma.(\ell \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow (\!|\tau_1|\!)_\beta \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\alpha)\ WF}\ \text{CG-wff-forall}$$

4. FG-wff-prod:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\!|\tau_1|\!)_\ell\ WF}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\ell\ WF}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\!|\tau_1|\!)_\ell \times (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\ell\ WF}\ \text{CG-wff-prod}$$

5. FG-wff-sum:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\!|\tau_1|\!)_\ell\ WF}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 \qquad \dfrac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\ell\ WF}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau_2}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\!|\tau_1|\!)_\ell + (\!|\tau_2|\!)_\ell\ WF}\ \text{CG-wff-prod}$$

6. FG-wff-ref:
   Let $\tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell'}$

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{A}) = \emptyset}\ \text{By inversion} \qquad \dfrac{}{\mathrm{FV}(\ell') = \emptyset}\ \text{By inversion}}{\mathrm{FV}((\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell'}) = \emptyset}\ \text{Lemma 2.91}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{ref}\ \ell'\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell'}\ WF}\ \text{CG-wff-ref}$$

7. FG-wff-forall:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha, \alpha', \gamma; \Psi, (\ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha' \sqcap \ell_e) \vdash (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha'}\ WF}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau}{\Sigma, \alpha, \alpha', \gamma; \Psi, (\ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha' \sqcap \ell_e) \vdash \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha'}\ WF}\ \text{CG-wff-monad}}{\Sigma, \alpha, \alpha', \gamma; \Psi \vdash (\ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha' \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha'}\ WF}\ \text{CG-wff-constraint}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\forall \alpha, \alpha', \gamma. (\ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha' \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha'})\ WF}\ \text{CG-wff-forall}$$

8. FG-wff-constraint:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\Sigma, \alpha, \gamma; \Psi, (c \wedge \ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \vdash (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha}\ WF}\ \text{IH(1) on } \tau}{\Sigma, \alpha, \gamma; \Psi, (c \wedge \ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \vdash \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha}\ WF}\ \text{CG-wff-monad}}{\Sigma, \alpha, \gamma; \Psi \vdash (c \wedge \ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha}\ WF}\ \text{CG-wff-constraint}}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash (\forall \alpha, \gamma. (c \wedge \ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ (\!|\tau|\!)_{\alpha})\ WF}\ \text{CG-wff-forall}$$

□

Lemma 2.91 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ CG: Free variable lemma)**.** $\forall \Sigma, \ell.\ FV(\ell) \in \Sigma$*, the following hold*

1. $\forall \tau.\ FV((\!|\tau|\!)_\ell) \subseteq FV(\tau) \cup FV(\ell)$
2. $\forall \mathsf{A}.\ FV((\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_\ell) \subseteq FV(\mathsf{A}) \cup FV(\ell)$

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on τ and A

Proof for (1)

Let $\tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$

$$\begin{array}{lll} & \mathrm{FV}((\!|\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}|\!)) & \\ = & \mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{Labeled}\ \ell_i \sqcup \ell\ (\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i \sqcup \ell}) & \text{Definition 2.86} \\ = & \mathrm{FV}(\ell_i) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell) \cup \mathrm{FV}((\!|\mathsf{A}|\!)_{\ell_i \sqcup \ell}) & \\ \subseteq & \mathrm{FV}(\ell_i) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{A}) & \text{IH(2) on } \mathsf{A} \\ = & \mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell) & \end{array}$$

Proof for (2)

1. $\mathsf{A} = \mathsf{b}$:

$$\begin{array}{lll} & \mathrm{FV}((\!|\mathsf{b}|\!)_\ell) & \\ = & \mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{b}) & \text{Definition 2.86} \\ \subseteq & \mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{b}) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell) & \end{array}$$

2. $\mathsf{A} = \mathsf{unit}$:

$$\begin{array}{lll} & \mathrm{FV}((\!|\mathsf{unit}|\!)_\ell) & \\ = & \mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{unit}) & \text{Definition 2.86} \\ \subseteq & \mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{unit}) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell) & \end{array}$$

3. $\mathsf{A} = \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2$:

$$\begin{array}{lll}
& \mathrm{FV}(\llparenthesis \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rrparenthesis_\ell) & \\
= & \mathrm{FV}(\forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma.(\ell \sqcup \beta \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \llparenthesis \tau_1 \rrparenthesis_\beta \to \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ \llparenthesis \tau_2 \rrparenthesis_\alpha) & \text{Definition 2.86} \\
= & \mathrm{FV}(\ell) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\llparenthesis \tau_1 \rrparenthesis_\beta) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell_e) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\llparenthesis \tau_2 \rrparenthesis_\alpha) & \\
\subseteq & \mathrm{FV}(\tau_1) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell_e) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\tau_2) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell) & \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 \text{ and } \tau_2 \\
= & \mathrm{FV}(\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell) &
\end{array}$$

4. $\mathsf{A} = \tau_1 \times \tau_2$:

$$\begin{array}{lll}
& \mathrm{FV}(\llparenthesis \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rrparenthesis_\ell) & \\
= & \mathrm{FV}(\llparenthesis \tau_1 \rrparenthesis_\ell \times \llparenthesis \tau_2 \rrparenthesis_\ell) & \text{Definition 2.86} \\
= & \mathrm{FV}(\llparenthesis \tau_1 \rrparenthesis_\ell) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\llparenthesis \tau_2 \rrparenthesis_\ell) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell) & \\
\subseteq & \mathrm{FV}(\tau_1) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\tau_2) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell) & \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 \text{ and } \tau_2 \\
= & \mathrm{FV}(\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell) &
\end{array}$$

5. $\mathsf{A} = \tau_1 + \tau_2$:

$$\begin{array}{lll}
& \mathrm{FV}(\llparenthesis \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rrparenthesis_\ell) & \\
= & \mathrm{FV}(\llparenthesis \tau_1 \rrparenthesis_\ell + \llparenthesis \tau_2 \rrparenthesis_\ell) & \text{Definition 2.86} \\
= & \mathrm{FV}(\llparenthesis \tau_1 \rrparenthesis_\ell) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\llparenthesis \tau_2 \rrparenthesis_\ell) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell) & \\
\subseteq & \mathrm{FV}(\tau_1) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\tau_2) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell) & \text{IH(1) on } \tau_1 \text{ and } \tau_2 \\
= & \mathrm{FV}(\tau_1 + \tau_2) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell) &
\end{array}$$

6. $\mathsf{A} = \mathsf{ref}\ \tau_i$:

Let $\tau_i = \mathsf{A}_i^{\ell_i}$

$$\begin{array}{lll}
& \mathrm{FV}(\llparenthesis \mathsf{ref}\ \tau_i \rrparenthesis_\ell) & \\
= & \mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{ref}\ \ell_i\ \llparenthesis \mathsf{A}_i \rrparenthesis) & \text{Definition 2.86} \\
= & \mathrm{FV}(\ell_i) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\llparenthesis \mathsf{A}_i \rrparenthesis) & \\
\subseteq & \mathrm{FV}(\ell_i) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{A}_i) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell) & \text{IH(2) on } \mathsf{A}_i \\
= & \mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{ref}\ \mathsf{A}_i^{\ell_i}) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell) & \\
= & \mathrm{FV}(\mathsf{ref}\ \tau_i) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell) &
\end{array}$$

7. $\mathsf{A} = \forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau_i)$:

$$\begin{array}{lll}
& \mathrm{FV}(\llparenthesis \forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau_i) \rrparenthesis) & \\
= & \mathrm{FV}(\forall \alpha, \alpha', \gamma.(\ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha' \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ \llparenthesis \tau_i \rrparenthesis_{\alpha'}) & \text{Definition 2.86} \\
= & \mathrm{FV}(\ell) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell_e) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\llparenthesis \tau_i \rrparenthesis) & \\
\subseteq & \mathrm{FV}(\ell) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell_e) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\tau_i) & \text{IH(1) on } \tau_i \\
= & \mathrm{FV}(\ell) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau_i)) &
\end{array}$$

8. $\mathsf{A} = c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_i$:

$$\begin{array}{lll}
& \mathrm{FV}(\llparenthesis c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_i \rrparenthesis) & \\
= & \mathrm{FV}(\forall \alpha, \gamma.(c \wedge \ell \sqcup \gamma \sqsubseteq \alpha \sqcap \ell_e) \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\ \gamma\ \gamma\ \llparenthesis \tau \rrparenthesis_\alpha) & \text{Definition 2.86} \\
= & \mathrm{FV}(\ell_e) \cup \mathrm{FV}(c) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\llparenthesis \tau_i \rrparenthesis) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell) & \\
\subseteq & \mathrm{FV}(\ell_e) \cup \mathrm{FV}(c) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\tau_i) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell) & \text{IH(1) on } \tau_i \\
= & \mathrm{FV}(c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_i) \cup \mathrm{FV}(\ell) &
\end{array}$$

□

2.5.3 Logical relation for FG to CG translation

Definition 2.92 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ CG: ${}^s\theta_2$ extends ${}^s\theta_1$)**.** ${}^s\theta_1 \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta_2 \triangleq$
$\forall a \in {}^s\theta_1.{}^s\theta_1(a) = \tau \implies {}^s\theta_2(a) = \tau$

Definition 2.93 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ CG: $\hat{\beta}_2$ extends $\hat{\beta}_1$)**.** $\hat{\beta}_1 \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_2 \triangleq$
$\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}_1.(a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}_2$

Definition 2.94 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ CG: Unary value relation)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\lfloor \mathsf{b} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv, {}^tv) \mid {}^sv \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!] \wedge {}^tv \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!] \wedge {}^sv = {}^tv\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv, {}^tv) \mid {}^sv \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!] \wedge {}^tv \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2), ({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2)) \mid \\
 & & ({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge ({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, \mathsf{inl}\ {}^sv, \mathsf{inl}\ {}^tv) \mid ({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}\} \cup \\
 & & \{({}^s\theta, m, \mathsf{inr}\ {}^sv, \mathsf{inr}\ {}^tv) \mid ({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}\} \\
\lfloor \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, \lambda x.e_s, \Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e_t))) \mid \\
 & & \forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^sv, {}^tv, j < m, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.({}^s\theta', j, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \implies \\
 & & ({}^s\theta', j, e_s[{}^sv/x], e_t[{}^tv/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}\} \\
\lfloor \forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, \Lambda e_s, \Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_t))) \mid \\
 & & \forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, j < m, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.({}^s\theta', j, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}\} \\
\lfloor c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, \nu e_s, \Lambda(\nu(e_t))) \mid \\
 & & \mathcal{L} \models c \implies \forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, j < m, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.({}^s\theta', j, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{ref}\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, a_s, a_t) \mid {}^s\theta(a_s) = \tau \wedge ({}^sa, {}^ta) \in \hat{\beta}\} \\
\lfloor \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv, \mathsf{Lb}({}^tv)) \mid ({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}\}
\end{array}
$$

Definition 2.95 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ CG: Unary expression relation)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, n, e_s, e_t) \mid \\
 & & \forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.(H_s, e_s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^sv) \implies \\
 & & \exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, e_t) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \\
 & & \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}\}
\end{array}
$$

Definition 2.96 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ CG: Unary heap well formedness)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta & \triangleq & dom({}^s\theta) \subseteq dom(H_s) \wedge \\
 & & \hat{\beta} \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta) \times dom(H_t)) \wedge \\
 & & \forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n - 1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a_1) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}
\end{array}
$$

Definition 2.97 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ CG: Label substitution)**.** $\sigma : Lvar \mapsto Label$

Definition 2.98 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ CG: Value substitution to values)**.** $\delta^s : Var \mapsto Val$, $\delta^t : Var \mapsto Val$

Definition 2.99 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ CG: Unary interpretation of Γ)**.**

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} & \triangleq & \{({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \mid dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^s) \wedge dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^t) \wedge \\
 & & \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s(x), \delta^t(x)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}\}
\end{array}
$$

2.5.4 Soundness proof for FG to CG translation

Lemma 2.100 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ CG: Monotonicity)**.** $\forall {}^s\theta, {}^s\theta', n, {}^sv, {}^tv, n', \beta, \beta'.$

1. $\forall \mathsf{A}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n \implies ({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
2. $\forall \tau.\ ({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n \implies ({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on A and τ

Proof of statement (1)

We case analyze A in the last step

1. Case b:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{b} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{b} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   Since $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{b} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from Definition 2.94 we know that ${}^sv \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!] \wedge {}^tv \in [\![\mathsf{b}]\!]$ and ${}^sv = {}^tv$

   Therefore from Definition 2.94 we get the desired

2. Case unit:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   Since $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from Definition 2.94 we know that ${}^sv \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!] \wedge {}^tv \in [\![\mathsf{unit}]\!]$

   Therefore from Definition 2.94 we get the desired

3. Case $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   From Definition 2.94 we know that ${}^sv = ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2)$ and ${}^tv = ({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2)$.

   We also know that $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ and $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

IH1: $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (From Statement (2))

IH2: $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (From Statement (2))

Therefore from Definition 2.94, IH1 and IH2 we get
$({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

4. Case $\tau_1 + \tau_2$:

   Given:
   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:
   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   From Definition 2.94 two cases arise

   (a) ${}^s v = \mathsf{inl}({}^s v')$ and ${}^t v = \mathsf{inl}({}^t v')$:
   IH: $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v', {}^t v') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (From Statement (2))
   Therefore from Definition 2.94 and IH we get
   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 + \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   (b) ${}^s v = \mathsf{inr}({}^s v')$ and ${}^t v = \mathsf{inr}({}^t v')$:
   Symmetric reasosning as in the previous case

5. Case $\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2$:

   Given:
   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:
   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   From Definition 2.94 we know that
   ${}^s v$ is of the form $\lambda x.e_s$ (for some e_s) and ${}^t v$ is of the form $\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e_t))$ (for some e_t) s.t
   $({}^s\theta', j, e_s[{}^s v/x], e_t[{}^t v/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ (A0)

   Similarly from Definition 2.94 we are required to prove
   $\forall {}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2, k < n', \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.({}^s\theta'', k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''} \implies$
   $({}^s\theta'', k, e_s[{}^s v_2/x], e_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''}$

   This means we are given some
   ${}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2, k < n', \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''$ s.t $({}^s\theta'', k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$
   and we are required to prove
   $({}^s\theta'', k, e_s[{}^s v_2/x], e_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''}$

Instantiating (A0) with ${}^s\theta'', {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2, k, \hat{\beta}''$ since
${}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta$, $k < n' < n$ and $\hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''$ therefore we get
$({}^s\theta'', k, e_s[{}^sv_2/x], e_t[{}^tv_2/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''}$

6. Case $\forall\alpha.\tau$:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \forall\alpha.(\ell_e, \tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \forall\alpha.(\ell_e, \tau) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   From Definition 2.94 we know that ${}^sv = \Lambda e'_s$ and ${}^tv = \Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_t))$ s.t

   $\forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, j < n, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'_1.({}^s\theta', j, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ (F0)

   Similarly from Definition 2.94 we are required to prove

   $\forall {}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', k < n', \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.({}^s\theta'', k, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''}$

   This means we are given ${}^s\theta''_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', k < n', \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''$
   and we are required to prove
   $({}^s\theta'', k, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''}$

   Instantiating (F0) with ${}^s\theta''_1, k, \hat{\beta}''$ since ${}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta$, $k < n' < n$ and $\hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''$ therefore we get
   $({}^s\theta'', k, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''}$

7. Case $c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau$:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   From Definition 2.94 we know that ${}^sv = \nu\ (e'_s)$ and ${}^tv = \Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_t))$. And

   $\mathcal{L} \models c \implies \forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, j < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.({}^s\theta', j, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (C0)

   Similarly from Definition 2.94 we are required to prove

   $\mathcal{L} \models c \implies \forall {}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', k < n', \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''.({}^s\theta', k, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''}$

   This means we are given $\mathcal{L} \models c, {}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta', k < n', \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''$
   and we are required to prove
   $({}^s\theta', k, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''}$

Since $\mathcal{L} \models c$ and instantiating (C0) with ${}^s\theta_1'', k, \hat{\beta}''$ since ${}^s\theta'' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta$, $k < n' < n$ and $\hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''$ therefore we get

$({}^s\theta', k, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''}$

8. Case $\mathsf{ref}\ \tau$:

   Given:

   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{ref}\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   To prove:

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{ref}\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   From Definition 2.94 we know that ${}^sv = a_s$ and ${}^tv = a_t$. We also know that ${}^s\theta(a_s) = \tau \wedge (a_s, a_t) \in \hat{\beta}$

   From Definition 2.94, Definition 2.92 and Definition 2.93 we get

   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{ref}\ \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   Proof of Statement (2)
   Let $\tau = \mathsf{A}^{\ell''}$:

   Given:
   $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A}^{\ell''} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \wedge n' < n$

   From Definition 2.94 we know that
   $\exists {}^tv_i.{}^tv = \mathsf{Lb}({}^tv_i)$ and $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv_i) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   To prove:
   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A}^{\ell''} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   This means from Definition 2.94 we need to prove
   $({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv_i) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

   IH: $({}^s\theta', n', {}^sv, {}^tv_i) \in \lfloor \mathsf{A} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (From Statement (1))

   Therefore we get the desired directly from IH.

□

Lemma 2.101 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ CG: Unary monotonicity for Γ)**.** $\forall {}^s\theta, {}^s\theta', \delta, \Gamma, n, n', \hat{\beta}, \hat{\beta}'.$
$({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge n' < n \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}' \implies ({}^s\theta', n', \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Proof. Given: $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge n' < n \wedge {}^s\theta \sqsubseteq {}^s\theta' \wedge \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$
To prove: $({}^s\theta', n', \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

From Definition 2.99 it is given that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^s) \wedge dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^t) \wedge \forall x_i \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s(x_i), \delta^t(x_i)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x_i) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

And again from Definition 2.99 we are required to prove that
$dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^s) \wedge dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^t) \wedge \forall x_i \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta', n', \delta^s(x_i), \delta^t(x_i)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x_i) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

- $dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^s) \wedge dom(\Gamma) \subseteq dom(\delta^t)$:

  Given

- $\forall x_i \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta', n', \delta^s(x_i), \delta^t(x_i)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x_i) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:

  Since we know that $\forall x_i \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s(x_i), \delta^t(x_i)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x_i) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (given)

  Therefore from Lemma 2.100 we get

  $\forall x_i \in dom(\Gamma).({}^s\theta', n', \delta^s(x_i), \delta^t(x_i)) \in \lfloor \Gamma(x_i) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

□

Lemma 2.102 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ CG: Unary monotonicity for H)**.** $\forall {}^s\theta, H_s, H_t, n, n', \hat{\beta}.$
$(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge n' < n \implies (n', H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

Proof. Given: $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge n' < n$

To prove: $(n', H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$

From Definition 2.96 it is given that
$dom({}^s\theta) \subseteq dom(H_S) \wedge \hat{\beta} \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta) \times dom(H_t)) \wedge \forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n-1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

And again from Definition 2.96 we are required to prove that
$dom({}^s\theta) \subseteq dom(H_S) \wedge \hat{\beta} \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta) \times dom(H_t)) \wedge \forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n'-1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

- $dom({}^s\theta) \subseteq dom(H_S)$:

  Given

- $\hat{\beta} \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta) \times dom(H_t))$:

  Given

- $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n'-1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$:

  Since we know that $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n-1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (given)

  Therefore from Lemma 2.100 we get

  $\forall (a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}.({}^s\theta, n'-1, H_s(a_1), H_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta(a) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

□

Lemma 2.103 (Coercion lemma)**.** $\forall H, e, v.$
$(H, e) \Downarrow_-^f (H', \mathtt{Lb}\, v) \implies$
$(H, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}\ e) \Downarrow_-^f (H', \mathtt{Lb}\, v)$

Proof. Given: $(H, e) \Downarrow_-^f (H', \mathtt{Lb}\, v)$

To prove: $(H, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}\ e) \Downarrow_-^f (H', \mathtt{Lb}\, v)$

From Definition of `coerce_taint`and cg-app it suffices to prove that
$(H, \mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y)))) \Downarrow_-^f (H', \mathtt{Lb}\, v)$

From cg-tolabeled it suffices to prove that
$(H, \mathsf{bind}(e, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y))) \Downarrow^f_- (H', v)$

From cg-bind it suffices to prove that

1. $(H, e) \Downarrow^f_- (H'_1, v_1)$:

   We are given that $(H, e) \Downarrow^f_- (H', v)$ therefore we have $H'_1 = H'$ and $v'_1 = \mathsf{Lb}\, v$

2. $(H'_1, \mathsf{unlabel}(y)[v_1/y]) \Downarrow^f_- (H', v)$:

   It sufffices to prove that

   $(H', \mathsf{unlabel}(\mathsf{Lb}\, v)) \Downarrow^f_- (H', v)$:

   We get this directly from cg-unlabel

□

Theorem 2.104 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ CG: Fundamental theorem)**.** $\forall \Sigma, \Psi, \Gamma, \tau, e_s, e_t, pc, \mathcal{L}, \delta^s, \delta^t, \sigma, {}^s\theta, n, \hat{\beta}.$
$\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_s : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t \wedge$
$\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V$
$\implies$
$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_E$

Proof. Proof by induction on the $\rightsquigarrow$ relation

1. FC-var:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash_{pc} x : \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{ret}\ x}\ \text{FC-var}$$

   Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\Gamma \cup \{x \mapsto \tau\})\ \sigma \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V$

   To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, x\ \delta^s, \mathsf{ret}(x)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_E$

   From Definition 2.95 it suffices to prove that

   $\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(H_s, x\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v) \implies$
   $\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{ret}(x)\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n - i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge$
   $({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}'}_V$

   This means given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $(H_s, x\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v)$

   From fg-val we know that $i = 0$, ${}^s v = x\ \delta^s$. Also from cg-ret we know that ${}^t v = x\ \delta^t$ and $H'_t = H_t$

   And we are required to prove

   $$\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}.(n, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}'}_V \qquad \text{(F-V0)}$$

   We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}$

(a) $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$: Given

(b) $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$:

Since we are given $({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\Gamma \cup \{x \mapsto \tau\})\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$, therefore from Definition 2.99 we get $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

2. FC-lam:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_{\ell_e} e_s : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \lambda x.e_s : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e_t))))}\ \text{FC-lam}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, (\lambda x.e_s)\ \delta^s, \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e_t))))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

From Definition 2.95 it suffices to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(H_s, (\lambda x.e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v) \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e_t))))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge$
$\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $(H_s, (\lambda x.e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v)$

From fg-val we know that ${}^s v = (\lambda x.e_s)\ \delta^s$, $H_s' = H_s$ and $i = 0$. Also from cg-ret, cg-label and cg-FI we know that $H_t' = H_t$ and ${}^t v = (\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e_t))))\ \delta^t$

It suffices to prove that

$\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}$

(a) $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$: Given

(b) $({}^s\theta, n, \lambda x.e_s\ \delta^s, (\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e_t))))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$:
From Definition 2.94 it suffices to prove that
$({}^s\theta, n, \lambda x.e_s\ \delta^s, (\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e_t)))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Again from Definition 2.94 it suffices to prove that
$\forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^s v_d, {}^t v_d, j < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.({}^s\theta', j, {}^s v_d, {}^t v_d) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'} \implies$
$({}^s\theta', j, e_s[{}^s v_d/x]\ \delta^s, e_t[{}^t v_d/x]\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This further means that given ${}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^s v_d, {}^t v_d, j < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$ s.t $({}^s\theta', j, {}^s v_d, {}^t v_d) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

And we a re required to prove
$({}^s\theta', j, e_s[{}^s v_d/x]\ \delta^s, e_t[{}^t v_d/x]\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-L0)

Since we are given $({}^s\theta', j, {}^s v_d, {}^t v_d) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$, therefore from Definition 2.99 and Lemma 2.101 we have
$({}^s\theta', j, \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^s v_d\}, \delta^t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^t v_d\}) \in \lfloor (\Gamma \cup \{x \mapsto \tau_1\}) \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$.
Therefore from IH we get
$({}^s\theta', j, e_s \ \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^s v_d\}, e_t \ \delta^t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^t v_d\}) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

We get (F-L0) directly from IH

3. FC-app:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{s1} : (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_{t1} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{s2} : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_{t2} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell \sqcup pc \sqsubseteq \ell_e \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{s1} \ e_{s2} : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c[][][]\bullet)\ b))))}\ \text{FC-app}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi \ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove:
$({}^s\theta, n, (e_{s1} \ e_{s2}) \ \delta^s, \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c[][][]\bullet)\ b)))) \ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau \ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.95 it suffices to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(H_s, (e_{s1} \ e_{s2}) \ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v) \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c[][][]\bullet)\ b)))) \ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge$
$\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This further means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$ and given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $(H_s, (e_{s1} \ e_{s2}) \ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v)$

And we need to prove
$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c[][][]\bullet)\ b)))) \ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge$
$\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-A0)

IH1:
$({}^s\theta, n, e_{s1} \ \delta^s, e_{t1} \ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell} \ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we have

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^s v_1.(H_{s1}, e_{s1}) \Downarrow_j (H_{s1}', {}^s v_1) \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_{t1}) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', {}^t v_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}_1' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n - j, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1' \wedge$
$({}^s\theta_1', n - j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)^{\ell} \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

We instantiate with H_s, H_t. And since we know that $(H_s, (e_{s1} \ e_{s2}) \ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v)$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $(H_{s1}, e_{s1}) \Downarrow_j (H_{s1}', {}^s v_1)$.

This means we have

$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_{t1}) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n - j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\to} \tau_2)^\ell \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ (F-A1.0)

Since we know that $({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\to} \tau_2)^\ell \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ therefore from Definition 2.94 we know that $\exists {}^t v_i.{}^t v_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i)$ s.t

$({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\to} \tau_2) \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ (F-A1.1)

From Definition 2.94 we know that ${}^s v_1 = \lambda x.e'_s$ and ${}^t v_i = \Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e'_t))$ s.t
$\forall {}^s\theta''_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_1, {}^s v', {}^t v', l < (n-j), \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}''_1.$
$({}^s\theta''_1, l, {}^s v', {}^t v') \in \lfloor \tau_1 \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''_1} \implies ({}^s\theta''_1, l, e'_s[{}^s v'/x], e'_t[{}^t v'/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}''_1}$ (F-A1)

IH2:

$({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, e_{s2} \ \delta^s, e_{t2} \ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we have

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n-j, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall k < n-j, {}^s v_2.(H_{s2}, e_{s2} \ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s2}, {}^s v_2) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t2}) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2 \ \delta^t) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_1, \hat{\beta}'_2 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'_1.(n-j-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_2, n - j - k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$

We instantiate with $H'_{s1}, H'_{t1},$. And since we know that $(H_s, (e_{s1} \ e_{s2}) \ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v)$ therefore $\exists k < i - j < n - j$ s.t $(H'_{s1}, e_{s2} \ \delta^s) \Downarrow_k (H'_{s2}, {}^s v_2)$.

This means we have

$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t2}) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_1, \hat{\beta}'_2 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'_1.(n-j-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_2, n - j - k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau_1 \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$ (F-A2)

We instantiate (F-A1) with θ''_1 as θ'_2, ${}^s v'$ as ${}^s v_2$, ${}^t v'$ as ${}^t v_2$, l as $n-j-k$ and $\hat{\beta}''_1$ as $\hat{\beta}'_2$. Therefore we get

$({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-k, e'_s[{}^s v_2/x], e'_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$

From Definition 2.95 we have

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n-j-k, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2 \wedge \forall a < n-j-k, {}^s v.(H_s, e'_s[{}^s v_2/x]) \Downarrow_i (H'_{s3}, {}^s v_3) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t3}, {}^t v_3.(H_t, e'_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t3}, {}^t v_3) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_3 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_2, \hat{\beta}'_3 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'_2.$
$(n-j-k-a, H'_{s3}, H'_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_3}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_3 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_3, n-j-k-a, {}^s v_3, {}^t v_3) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_3}$

Instantiating with H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}. since we know that $(H_s, (e_{s1} \ e_{s2}) \ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v)$ therefore $\exists a < i - j - k < n - j - k$ s.t $(H'_{s2}, e'_s[{}^s v/x] \ \delta^s) \Downarrow_a (H'_{s3}, {}^s v_3)$

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t3}, {}^t v_3.(H_t, e'_t[{}^t v_2/x]) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t3}, {}^t v_3) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_3 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_2, \hat{\beta}'_3 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'_2.$
$(n-j-k-a, H'_{s3}, H'_{t3}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_3}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_3 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_3, n-j-k-a, {}^s v_3, {}^t v_3) \in \lfloor \tau_2 \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_3}$ (F-A3)

Let $\tau_2\ \sigma = \mathsf{A}_2^{\ell_i}$, since $\tau_2\ \sigma \searrow \ell\ \sigma$ therefore $\ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_i$ and

$({}^s\theta'_3, n-j-k-a, {}^s v_3, {}^t v_3) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_3}$

Therefore from Definition 2.94 we know that

$({}^s\theta'_3, n-j-k-a, {}^s v_3, \mathsf{Lb}\,{}^t v_{3i}) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_3}$ (F-A3.1)

In order to prove (F-A0) we choose H'_t as H'_{t3} and ${}^t v$ as $\mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_{3i})$. We need to prove:

(a) $(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c[][][]\bullet)\ b))))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t3}, \mathsf{Lb}\,{}^t v_{3i})$:

From Lemma 2.103 it suffices to prove that
$(H_t, (\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c[][][]\bullet)\ b))))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t3}, \mathsf{Lb}\,{}^t v_{3i})$

From cg-bind it further suffices to show that

- $(H_t, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1)$:
  We get this directly from (F-A1.0)
- $(H'_{t1}, \mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c[][][]\bullet)\ b))[{}^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t3}, \mathsf{Lb}\,{}^t v_{3i})$:
  From cg-bind it suffices to prove that
  - $(H'_{t1}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2)$:
    We get this directly from (F-A2)
  - $(H'_{t2}, \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.(c[][][]\bullet)\ b)[{}^t v_1/a][{}^t v_2/b]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t3}, \mathsf{Lb}\,{}^t v_{3i})$:
    From cg-bind again it suffices to prove
    * $(H'_{t2}, (\mathsf{unlabel}\ a)[{}^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t31}, {}^t v_{t2})$:
      Since from (F-A1.1) we know that $\exists {}^t v_i.{}^t v_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i)$

      Therefore from cg-unlabel and (F-A1) we know that $H'_{t31} = H'_{t2}$ and ${}^t v_{t2} = {}^t v_i = \Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e'_t))$

    * $((c[][][]\bullet\ b)[{}^t v_2/b][{}^t v_{t2}/c]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow {}^t v_{t21}$:
      It suffices to prove that
      $(((\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e'_t)))[][][]\bullet\ {}^t v_2)\ \delta^t) \Downarrow {}^t v_{t21}$

      From cg-FE it suffices to prove that
      $(((\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e'_t)))[][]\bullet\ {}^t v_2)\ \delta^t) \Downarrow {}^t v_{t21}$

      Again from cg-FE appleid two times it suffices to prove that
      $((\nu(\lambda x.e'_t)\bullet\ {}^t v_2)\ \delta^t) \Downarrow {}^t v_{t21}$

      From cg-CE it suffices to prove that
      $(((\lambda x.e'_t)\ {}^t v_2)\ \delta^t) \Downarrow {}^t v_{t21}$

      From cg-app we know that
      ${}^t v_{t21} = e'_t[{}^t v_2/x]\ \delta^t$
    * $(H'_{t2}, {}^t v_{21}) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t3}, \mathsf{Lb}\,{}^t v_{3i})$:
      We get this from (F-A3) and (F-A3.1)

(b) $\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:

We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta'_3$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}'_3$. From fg-app we know that $i = j+k+a+1$, ${}^s v = {}^s v_3$ and $H'_s = H'_{s3}$. Also from the termination proof (previous point) we know that $H'_t = H'_{t3}$ and ${}^t v = \mathsf{Lb}\ ({}^t v_3)$

We get $(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'$ from (F-A3) and Lemma 2.102

Since ${}^t v = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_3)$ therefore from Definition 2.94 it suffices to prove that
$({}^s\theta'_3, n-j-k-a-1, {}^s v_3, {}^t v_3) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_3}$

We get this directly from (F-A3) and Lemma 2.100

4. FC-prod:

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{s1} : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_{t1} \qquad \Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{s2} : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_{t2}}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} (e_{s1}, e_{s2}) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a,b))))}\ \text{prod}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, (e_{s1}, e_{s2})\ \delta^s, (\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a,b))))) \ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v_1, {}^s v_2.(H_s, (e_{s1}, e_{s2})) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, ({}^s v_1, {}^s v_2)) \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, (\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a,b))))) \ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, ({}^s v_1, {}^s v_2), ({}^t v_1, {}^t v_2)) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n, {}^s v_1, {}^s v_2$ s.t $(H_s, (e_{s1}, e_{s2})) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, ({}^s v_1, {}^s v_2))$

And we need to prove
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, (\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a,b))))) \ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, ({}^s v_1, {}^s v_2), {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-P0)

IH1:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_{s1}\ \delta^s, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we need to prove

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^s v_1.(H_{s1}, e_{s1}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s1}, {}^s v_1) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_{t1}) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(H_s, (e_{s1}, e_{s2})) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, ({}^s v_1, {}^s v_2))$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $(H_{s1}, e_{s1}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s1}, {}^s v_1)$

Therefore we have
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_{t1}) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1)) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ (F-P1)

IH2:

$({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, e_{s2}\ \delta^s, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we need to prove

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1' \wedge \forall k < n-j, {}^sv_1.(H_{s2}, e_{s2}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H_{s2}', {}^sv_1) \implies$
$\exists H_{t2}', {}^tv_1.(H_{t2}, e_{t2}) \Downarrow^f (H_{t2}', {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta_2' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_1', \hat{\beta}_2' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}_1'.$
$(n-j-k, H_{s2}', H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_2'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_2' \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n-j-k, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$

Instantiating with H_{s1}', H_{t1}' and since we know that $(H_s, (e_{s1}, e_{s2})) \Downarrow_i (H_s', ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2))$ therefore $\exists k < i-j < n-j$ s.t $(H_{s2}, e_{s2}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_k (H_{s2}', {}^sv_2)$

Therefore we have

$\exists H_{t2}', {}^tv_1.(H_{t2}, e_{t2}) \Downarrow^f (H_{t2}', {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta_2' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_1', \hat{\beta}_2' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}_1'.$
$(n-j-k, H_{s2}', H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_2'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_2' \wedge ({}^s\theta_2', n-j-k, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor \tau_2\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$ (F-P2)

In order to prove (F-P0) we choose H_t as H_{t2}' and ${}^tv$ as $\mathsf{Lb}({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2)$

(a) $(H_t, (\mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a,b))))))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t2}', \mathsf{Lb}({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2))$:
From cg-bind it suffices to prove that

- $(H_t, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{tb1}', {}^tv_{tb1})$:
  From (F-P1) we know that $H_{tb1}' = H_{t1}'$ and ${}^tv_{tb1} = {}^tv_1$
- $(H_{t1}', \mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a,b)))[{}^tv_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t2}', \mathsf{Lb}({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2))$:
  From cg-bind it suffices to prove that
  - $(H_t, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{tb2}', {}^tv_{tb2})$:
    From (F-P2) we know that $H_{tb2}' = H_{t2}'$ and ${}^tv_{tb2} = {}^tv_2$
  - $(H_{t2}', \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(a,b))[{}^tv_1/a][{}^tv_2/b]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t2}', \mathsf{Lb}({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2))$:
    From cg-ret, (F-P1) and (F-P2)

(b) $\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2), {}^tv) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^\perp\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta_2'$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}_2'$ and since from fg-prod $i = j+k+1$ and $H_s' = H_{s2}'$. Therefore from (F-P2) and Lemma 2.102 we get

$(n-i, H_s', H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'$

In order to prove $({}^s\theta', n-i, ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2), {}^tv) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^\perp\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
From Definition 2.94 it suffices to prove

$\exists {}^tv_i.{}^tv = \mathsf{Lb}({}^tv_i) \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2), {}^tv_i) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$

Since ${}^tv = \mathsf{Lb}({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2)$ therefore we get the desired from (F-P1), (F-P2), Definition 2.94 and Lemma 2.100

5. FC-fst:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_s : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^\ell \rightsquigarrow e_t \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{fst}(e_s) : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))))}\ \text{fst}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{fst}(e_s)\ \delta^s, \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b))))))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.(H_s, \mathsf{fst}(e_s)) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^sv) \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))))) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge$
$\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\gamma, \hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n, {}^sv$ s.t $(H_s, \mathsf{fst}(e_s)) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^sv)$

We need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))))) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge$
$\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-F0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^\ell\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we have

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv_1.(H_{s1}, e_s) \Downarrow_j (H_{s1}', {}^sv_1) \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}_1' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1' \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^\ell\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(H_s, \mathsf{fst}(e_s)) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^sv)$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $(H_s, e_s) \Downarrow_j (H_{s1}', {}^sv_1)$

This means we have

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}_1' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1' \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^\ell\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (F-F1)

Since we know that $({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)^\ell\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ therefore from Definition 2.94 we know that ${}^tv_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^tv_i)$ s.t

$({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_i) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (F-F1.1)

From Definition 2.94 we know that ${}^sv_1 = ({}^sv_{i1}, {}^sv_{i2})$ and ${}^tv_i = ({}^tv_{i1}, {}^tv_{i2})$ s.t

$({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^sv_{i1}, {}^tv_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (F-F1.2)

Let $\tau_1\ \sigma = \mathsf{A}_1^{\ell_i}$, since $\tau_1\ \sigma \searrow \ell\ \sigma$ therefore $\ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_i$ and

Since $({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^sv_{i1}, {}^tv_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Therefore from Definition 2.94 we know that

$({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^sv_{i1}, \mathsf{Lb}{}^tv_{i11}) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (F-F1.3)

In order to prove (F-F0) we choose H_t' as H_{t1}' and ${}^tv$ as $\mathsf{Lb}{}^tv_{i11}$ as we need to prove

(a) $(H_t, \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))))) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{i11})$:

From Lemma 2.103 it suffices to prove that
$(H_t, (\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))))) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{i11})$
From cg-bind it suffices to prove that

- $(H_t, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1)$:
  We get this from (F-F1)
- $(H'_{t1}, \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b)))[{}^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{i11})$:
  Again from cg-bind it suffices to prove that
  - $(H'_{t1}, \mathsf{unlabel}\ (a)[{}^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t21}, {}^t v_{t21})$:
    Since ${}^t v_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_{i1}, {}^t v_{i2})$ from (F-F1.1) and (F-F1.2) therefore we get the desired from cg-unlabel

    So, $H_{t21} = H'_{t1}$ and ${}^t v_{t21} = ({}^t v_{i1}, {}^t v_{i2})$
  - $(H'_{t1}, \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{fst}(b))[({}^t v_{i1}, {}^t v_{i2})/b]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{i11})$:
    We get this from cg-fst, cg-ret and (F-F1.2) and (F-F1.3)

(b) $\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta'_1$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}'_1$. And from fg-fst we know that $i = j+1$ and $H'_s = H'_{s1}$ therefore from (F-F1) and Lemma 2.102 we get

$(n-i, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1$

Since from fg-fst we know that ${}^s v = {}^s v_{i1}$ therefore from (F-F1.2) and Lemma 2.100 we get

$({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v_{i1}, {}^t v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$

6. FC-snd:

   Symmetric reasoning as in the FC-fst case

7. FC-inl:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{inl}(e_s) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^\perp \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a)))}\ \text{inl}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{inl}(e_s)\ \delta^s, \mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a)))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^\perp\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we have

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(H_s, \mathsf{inl}(e_s)) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v) \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a)))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means that we are given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\gamma, \hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $(H_s, \mathsf{inl}(e_s)) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v)$

And we need to prove

$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a)))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^\perp\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-IL0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we need to prove

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^s v_1.(H_{s1}, e_s\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s1}, {}^s v_1) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(H_s, \mathsf{inl}(e_s)) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v)$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $(H_s, e_s\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s1}, {}^s v_1)$

Therefore we have
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1.(H_t, e_{t1}) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1)) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ (F-IL1)

In order to prove (F-IL0) we choose H'_t as H'_{t1} and ${}^t v$ as $(\mathsf{Lb}\ \mathsf{inl}({}^t v_1))$ and we need to prove:

(a) $(H'_{t1}, \mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a)))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, (\mathsf{Lb}\ \mathsf{inl}({}^t v_1)))$:
From cg-bind it suffices to prove that
   i. $(H'_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t11}, {}^t v_{t11})$:
   From (F-IL1) we know that $H'_{t11} = H'_{t1}$ and ${}^t v_{t11} = {}^t v_1$
   ii. $(H'_{t1}, \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lbinl}(a))[{}^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, (\mathsf{Lb}\ \mathsf{inl}({}^t v_1)))$:
   We get this from cg-ret, (F-IL1)

(b) $\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^\perp\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta'_1$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}'_1$. Since from fg-inl we know that $i = j+1$ and $H'_s = H'_{s1}$ therefore from (F-IL1) and Lemma 2.102 we get

$(n-i, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1$

Now we need to prove $({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)^\perp\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
Since ${}^s v = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^s v_1$ and ${}^t v = \mathsf{Lb}(\mathsf{inl}({}^t v_1))$ therefore from Definition 2.94 it suffices to prove that
$({}^s\theta', n-i, \mathsf{inl}\ {}^s v_1, \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Since from (F-IL1) we know that $({}^s\theta', n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
Therefore from Lemma 2.100 and Definition 2.94 we get
$({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

8. FC-inr:

Symmetric reasoning as in the FC-inl case

9. FC-case:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c}\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_s : (\tau_1+\tau_2)^\ell \rightsquigarrow e_t \\ \Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma, x:\tau_1 \vdash_{pc\sqcup\ell} e_{s1} : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{t1} \qquad \Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma, x:\tau_1 \vdash_{pc\sqcup\ell} e_{s2} : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{t2} \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell\end{array}}{\begin{array}{c}\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2}) : \tau \rightsquigarrow \\ \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2}))))\end{array}}\ \text{case}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove:

$({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2})\ \delta^s, \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2}))))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.(H_s, \mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^sv) \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2}))))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge$

$\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means we are given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\gamma,\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n, {}^sv$ s.t $(H_s, \mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^sv)$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2}))))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge$

$\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-C0)

IH1:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\tau_1+\tau_2)^\ell\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we have

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^sv_1.(H_{s1}, e_s) \Downarrow_j (H_{s1}', {}^sv_1) \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}_1' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1' \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(H_s, \mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^sv)$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $(H_{s1}, e_s) \Downarrow_j (H_{s1}', {}^sv_1)$

Therefore we have

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}_1' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1' \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1+\tau_2)^\ell\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (F-C1)

Since from (F-C1) we have $({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor (\tau_1+\tau_2)^\ell\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ therefore from Definition 2.94 we know that

$\exists {}^t v_i.{}^t v_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i) \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (F-C1.1)

2 cases arise

(a) ${}^s v_1 = \mathsf{inl}({}^s v_{i1})$ and ${}^t v_i = \mathsf{inl}({}^t v_{i1})$:

Also from Lemma 2.101 and Definition 2.99 we know that
$({}^s\theta_1', n-j, \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^s v_1\}, \delta^t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^t v_{i1}\}) \in \lfloor (\Gamma, \{x \mapsto {}^s v_1\})\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$
IH2:
$({}^s\theta_1', n-j, e_{s1}\ \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^s v_1\}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^t v_{i1}\}) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we have
$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1' \wedge \forall k < n-j, {}^s v_2.(H_{s2}, e_{s1}\ \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^s v_1\}) \Downarrow_j (H_{s2}', {}^s v_2) \implies$
$\exists H_{t2}', {}^t v_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^t v_{i1}\}) \Downarrow^f (H_{t2}', {}^t v_2) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta_2' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_1', \hat{\beta}_2' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}_1'.$
$(n-j-k, H_{s2}', H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_2'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_2' \wedge ({}^s\theta_2', n-j-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$

Instantiating with H_{s1}', H_{t1}' and since we know that $(H_s, \mathsf{case}(e_s, x.e_{s1}, y.e_{s2})\ \delta^s \cup \{x \mapsto {}^s v_1\}) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v)$ therefore $\exists k < i-j < n-j$ s.t $(H_{s1}', e_{s1}) \Downarrow_k (H_{s2}', {}^s v_2)$
Therefore we have
$\exists H_{t2}', {}^t v_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t \cup \{x \mapsto {}^t v_1\}) \Downarrow^f (H_{t2}', {}^t v_2) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta_2' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta_1', \hat{\beta}_2' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}_1'.$
$(n-j-k, H_{s2}', H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_2'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_2' \wedge ({}^s\theta_2', n-j-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$ (F-C2)

Let $\tau\ \sigma = \mathsf{A}_2^{\ell_i}$, since $\tau\ \sigma \searrow \ell\ \sigma$ therefore $\ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_i$ and
$({}^s\theta_2', n-j-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$
Therefore from Definition 2.94 we know that
$({}^s\theta_2', n-j-k, {}^s v_2, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i}) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$ (F-C2.1)

In order to prove (F-C0) we choose H_t' as H_{t2}' and ${}^t v$ as $\mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i}$
And we need to prove:

i. $(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2}))))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t2}', \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i})$:

From Lemma 2.103 it suffices to prove that
$(H_t, (\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2}))))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t2}', \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i})$
From cg-bind it suffices to prove that

- $(H_t, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t11}', {}^t v_{t11})$:
  From (F-C1) we know that $H_{t11}' = H_{t1}'$ and ${}^t v_{t11} = {}^t v_1$
- $(H_{t1}', \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2}))[{}^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i})$:
  From cg-bind it suffices to prove that
  - $(H_{t1}', (\mathsf{unlabel}\ a)[{}^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t21}', {}^t v_{t21})$:
    Since from (F-C1.1) we know that ${}^t v_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i)$ therefore from cg-unlabel we know that
    $H_{t21}' = H_{t1}'$ and ${}^t v_{t21} = {}^t v_i$
  - $(\mathsf{case}(b, x.e_{t1}, y.e_{t2})[{}^t v_i/b]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow {}^t v_{t22}$:
    Since we know that in this case ${}^t v_i = \mathsf{inl}({}^t v_{i1})$
    Therefore from cg-case we know that ${}^t v_{t22} = e_{t1}[{}^t v_{i1}/x]\ \delta^t$

– $(H'_{t1}, e_{t1}[{}^t v_{i1}/x]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow (H'_{t2}, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i})$:
We get this from (F-C2) and (F-C2.1)

ii. $\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta'_2$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}'_2$. Since from fg-case we know that $i = j+k+1$ and $H'_s = H'_{s2}$ therefore from (F-C2) and Lemma 2.102 we get
$(n-i, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2$

Now we need to prove $({}^s\theta'_2, n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$
Since ${}^s v = {}^s v_2$ and ${}^t v = {}^t v_2$ and since from (F-C2) we know that
$({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$
Therefore from Lemma 2.100 and Definition 2.94 we get
$({}^s\theta'_2, n-i, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$

(b) ${}^s v_1 = \mathsf{inr}({}^s v_{i1})$ and ${}^t v_1 = \mathsf{inr}({}^t v_{i1})$:
Symmetric reasoning as in the previous case

10. FC-FI:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{\ell_e} e_s : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \Lambda e_s : (\forall \alpha_g.(\ell_e, \tau))^\perp \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_t))))}\ \text{FI}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \Lambda e_s\ \delta^s, \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_t))))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(H_s, \Lambda e_s\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v) \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_t))))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\forall \alpha_g.(\ell_e, \tau))^\perp\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\gamma, \hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $(H_s, \Lambda e_s\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v)$

And we need to prove
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_t))))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\forall \alpha_g.(\ell_e, \tau))^\perp\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$
From fg-val we know that ${}^s v = (\Lambda e_s)\ \delta^s$, $H'_s = H_s$ and $i = 0$. Also from cg-ret we know that $H'_t = H_t$ and ${}^t v = (\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_t))))\ \delta^t$

It suffices to prove that

$\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\forall \alpha_g.(\ell_e, \tau))^\perp\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}$

(a) $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$: Given

(b) $({}^s\theta, n, \Lambda e_s\ \delta^s, (\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_t))))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\forall\alpha_g.(\ell_e,\tau))^\perp\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat\beta}$:
From Definition 2.94 it suffices to prove that
$({}^s\theta, n, \Lambda e_s\ \delta^s, (\Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_t)))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\forall\alpha_g.(\ell_e,\tau))\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat\beta}$

Again from Definition 2.94 it suffices to prove that
$\forall {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, j < n, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}, \hat\beta \sqsubseteq \hat\beta_1'.({}^s\theta_1', j, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau[\ell'/\alpha_g]\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat\beta_1'}$

This further means that given ${}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, j < n, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}, \hat\beta \sqsubseteq \hat\beta_1'$
And we need to prove
$({}^s\theta_1', j, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau[\ell'/\alpha_g]\rfloor_E^{\hat\beta_1'}$ (F-FI0)

IH: $({}^s\theta', j, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma \cup \{\alpha_g \mapsto \ell'\}\rfloor_E^{\hat\beta_1'}$

We get (F-FI0) directly from IH

11. FC-FE:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c}\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_s : (\forall\alpha_g.(\ell_e,\tau))^\ell \rightsquigarrow e_t \\ \mathrm{FV}(\ell') \subseteq \Sigma \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e[\ell'/\alpha] \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau[\ell'/\alpha] \searrow \ell\end{array}}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_s[] : \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.b[][][]\bullet)))}\ \text{FE}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor\Gamma\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat\beta}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, e_s[]\ \delta^s, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.b[][][]\bullet)))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat\beta}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat\beta}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.(H_s, e_s[]) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^sv) \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.b[][][]\bullet)))) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat\beta' \sqsupseteq \hat\beta.$
$(n-i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat\beta'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat\beta'}$

This means given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat\beta}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n, {}^sv$ s.t $(H_s, e_s[]) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^sv)$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.b[][][]\bullet)))) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat\beta' \sqsupseteq \hat\beta.$
$(n-i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat\beta'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor\tau\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat\beta'}$ (F-FE0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor(\forall\alpha_g.(\ell_e,\tau))^\ell\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat\beta}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we have

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat\beta}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^sv_1.(H_{s1}, e_s\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H_{s1}', {}^sv_1) \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat\beta_1' \sqsupseteq \hat\beta.$
$(n-j, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat\beta_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1' \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor(\forall\alpha_g.(\ell_e,\tau))^\ell\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat\beta_1'}$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(H_s, e_s[]) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v)$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $(H_s, e_s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s1}, {}^s v_1)$

This means we have

$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1.(H_{t1}, e_t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^t v_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$

$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\forall \alpha_g.(\ell_e, \tau))^\ell \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-FE1)

Since from (F-FE1) we have $({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_1) \in \lfloor (\forall \alpha_g.(\ell_e, \tau))^\ell \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ therefore from Definition 2.94 we know that

$\exists {}^t v_i.{}^t v_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i) \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor (\forall \alpha_g.(\ell_e, \tau)) \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-FE1.1)

Therefore from Definition 2.94 we have

${}^s v_1 = \Lambda e'_s$ and ${}^t v_i = \Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\nu e'_t$

$\forall {}^s\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_1, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}, k < n-j, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'_1.({}^s\theta'_2, k, e'_s, e'_t) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell''/\alpha_g] \ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ (F-FE1.2)

We instantiate with ${}^s\theta'_1, \ell', n-j-1, \hat{\beta}'$ we get $({}^s\theta'_1, n-j-1, e'_s, e'_t) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha_g] \ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

From Definition 2.95 we have

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge \forall k < (n-j-1), {}^s v_2.(H_{s2}, e'_s) \Downarrow_k (H'_{s2}, {}^s v_2) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2.(H_{t2}, e'_t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_1, \hat{\beta}'' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'.$

$(n-j-1-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-1-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha_g] \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

Instantiating with H'_{s1}, H'_{t1} and since we know that $(H_s, e_s[]) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v)$ and from fg-FE we know that $i = j+k+1 < n$ therefore we know that $k < n-j-1$ s.t $(H_{s2}, e'_s) \Downarrow_k (H'_{s2}, {}^s v_2)$. Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2.(H'_{t1}, e'_t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^t v_2) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_1, \hat{\beta}'' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'.$

$(n-j-1-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-1-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha_g] \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-FE1.3)

Let $\tau[\ell'/\alpha] \ \sigma = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$, since $\tau[\ell'/\alpha] \ \sigma \searrow \ell \ \sigma$ therefore $\ell \ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_i$ and

$({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-1-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha_g] \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

Therefore from Definition 2.94 we know that

$({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-1-k, {}^s v_2, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i}) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha_g] \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-FE1.4)

In order to prove (F-FE0) we choose H'_t as H'_{t2} and ${}^t v$ as $\mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i}$. We need to prove

(a) $(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.b[][][]\bullet)))) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i})$:

From Lemma 2.103 it suffices to prove that
$(H_t, (\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.b[][][]\bullet)))) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i})$
From cg-bind it suffices to prove that

- $(H_t, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t11}, {}^t v_{t11})$:
  From (F-FE1) we know that $H'_{t11} = H'_{t1}$ and ${}^t v_{t11} = {}^t v_1$
- $(H'_{t1}, \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.b[][][]\bullet)[{}^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i})$:
  Again from cg-bind it suffices to prove that

- $(H'_{t1}, (\mathsf{unlabel}\ a)[{}^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t12}, {}^t v_{t12})$:
  From (F-FE1.1) we know that ${}^t v_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i)$
  Therefore from cg-unlabel we have $H'_{t12} = H'_{t1}$ and ${}^t v_{t12} = {}^t v_i$
- $(b[][][]\bullet)[{}^t v_i/b]\ \delta^t \Downarrow {}^t v_{t13}$:
  From (F-FE1.2) we know that ${}^s v_1 = \Lambda e'_s$ and ${}^t v_i = \Lambda\Lambda\Lambda\nu e'_t$

  Therefore from cg-FE and cg-CE we know that ${}^t v_{t13} = e'_t$
- $(H'_{t1}, e'_t \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i})$
  From (F-FE1.3) and (F-FE1.4) we get the desired.

(b) $\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha_g]\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta'_2$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}''$. From fg-FE we know that $i = j + k + 1$, ${}^s v = {}^s v'_2$, ${}^t v = {}^t v'_2$, $H'_s = H'_{s2}$ and $H'_t = H'_{t2}$.

Therefore from (F-FE1.3) we get the $(n-i, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2$

To prove: $({}^s\theta'_2, n-i, {}^s v'_2, {}^t v'_2) \in \lfloor \tau[\ell'/\alpha_g]\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

We get this directly from (F-FE1.3)

12. FC-CI:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi, c; \Gamma \vdash_{\ell_e} e : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_c}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \nu e : (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^\perp \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_c))))}\ \text{CI}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \nu e\ \delta^s, \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_c))))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(H_s, \nu e_s\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v) \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_c))))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^\perp\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\gamma, \hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n$, ${}^s v$ s.t $(H_s, \nu e_s\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v)$

And we need to prove

$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_c))))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^\perp\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

From fg-val we know that ${}^s v = (\nu e_s)\ \delta^s$, $H'_s = H_s$ and $i = 0$. Also from cg-ret we know that $H'_t = H_t$ and ${}^t v = (\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_c))))\ \delta^t$

It suffices to prove that

$\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor (c \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau)^\perp\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}$

(a) $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$: Given

(b) $({}^s\theta, n, \nu e_s\ \delta^s, (\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_c))))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (c\ \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow}\ \tau)^{\perp}\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$:
From Definition 2.94 it suffices to prove that
$({}^s\theta, n, \Lambda e_s\ \delta^s, (\mathsf{Lb}(\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_c))))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (c\ \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow}\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Again from Definition 2.94 it suffices to prove that
$\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma \implies \forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, j < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'.({}^s\theta', j, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This further means that given $\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma$ and ${}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, j < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'$
And we need to prove
$({}^s\theta', j, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-CI0)

IH: $({}^s\theta', j, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

We get (F-CI0) directly from IH

13. FC-CE:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_s : (c\ \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow}\ \tau))^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_t \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash c \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash pc \sqcup \ell \sqsubseteq \ell_e \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow \ell}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_s\bullet : \tau \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a.b.b[][]\bullet)))}\ \text{CE}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, e_s \bullet\ \delta^s, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.b[][]\bullet)))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(H_s, e_s[]) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v) \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.b[][]\bullet)))) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\gamma, \hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $(H_s, e_s[]) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v)$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^t v.(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.b[][]\bullet)))) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-CE0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (c\ \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow}\ \tau)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we have

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^sv_1.(H_{s1}, e_s\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s1}, {}^sv_1) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor (c\ \overset{\ell_\varepsilon}{\Rightarrow}\ \tau)^\ell\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(H_s, e_s[]) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^sv)$ therefore $\exists j < i < n$ s.t $(H_s, e_s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s1}, {}^sv_1)$

This means we have
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor (c\ \overset{\ell_\varepsilon}{\Rightarrow}\ \tau)^\ell\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-CE1)

Since from (F-CE1) we have $({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor (c\ \overset{\ell_\varepsilon}{\Rightarrow}\ \tau)^\ell\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ therefore from Definition 2.94 we know that
$\exists {}^tv_i.{}^tv_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^tv_i) \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_i) \in \lfloor (c\ \overset{\ell_\varepsilon}{\Rightarrow}\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-CE1.1)

Therefore from Definition 2.94 we have
${}^sv_1 = \Lambda e'_s$ and ${}^tv_i = \Lambda\Lambda\nu e'_t$
$\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma \implies \forall {}^s\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_1, k < n-j, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'_1.({}^s\theta'_2, k, e'_s, e'_t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ (F-CE1.2)

Since we know that $\mathcal{L} \models c\ \sigma$, we instantiate with ${}^s\theta'_1, n-j-1, \hat{\beta}'$ to get
$({}^s\theta'_1, n-j-1, e'_s, e'_t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$

From Definition 2.95 we have
$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge \forall k < (n-j-1), {}^sv_2.(H_{s2}, e'_s) \Downarrow_k (H'_{s2}, {}^sv_2) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^tv_2.(H_{t2}, e'_t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^tv_2) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_1, \hat{\beta}'' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(n-j-1-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-1-k, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

Instantiating with H'_{s1}, H'_{t1} and since we know that $(H_s, e_s[]) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^sv)$ and since from fg-CE we know that $i = j+k+1 < n$ therefore we know that $k < n-j-1$ s.t $(H_{s2}, e'_s) \Downarrow_k (H'_{s2}, {}^sv_2)$. Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^tv_2.(H'_{t1}, e'_t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^tv_2) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_1, \hat{\beta}'' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(n-j-1-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-1-k, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-CE1.3)

Let $\tau\ \sigma = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$, since $\tau\ \sigma \searrow \ell\ \sigma$ therefore $\ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_i$ and
$({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-1-k, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$
Therefore from Definition 2.94 we know that
$({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-1-k, {}^sv_2, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i}) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (F-CE1.4)

In order to prove (F-CE0) we choose H'_t as H'_{t2} and ${}^tv$ as $\mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i}$. We need to prove

(a) $(H_t, \texttt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.b[][]\bullet)))) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i})$:

From Lemma 2.103 it suffices to prove that
$(H_t, (\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.b[][]\bullet)))) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i})$
From cg-bind it suffices to prove that

- $(H_t, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t11}, {}^t v_{t11})$:
  From (F-CE1) we know that $H'_{t11} = H'_{t1}$ and ${}^t v_{t11} = {}^t v_1$
- $(H'_{t1}, \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.b[][]\bullet)[{}^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i})$:
  Again from cg-bind it suffices to prove that
  - $(H'_{t1}, (\mathsf{unlabel}\ a)[{}^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t12}, {}^t v_{t12})$:
    From (F-CE1.1) we know that ${}^t v_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i)$
    Therefore from cg-unlabel we have $H'_{t12} = H'_{t1}$ and ${}^t v_{t12} = {}^t v_i$
  - $(b[][]\bullet)[{}^t v_i/b]\ \delta^t \Downarrow {}^t v_{t13}$:
    From (F-CE1.2) we know that ${}^s v_1 = \Lambda e'_s$ and ${}^t v_i = \Lambda\Lambda\nu e'_t$

    Therefore from cg-FE and cg-CE we know that ${}^t v_{t13} = e'_t$
  - $(H'_{t1}, e'_t \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{2i})$
    We get the desired from From (F-CE1.3) and (F-CE1.4)

(b) $\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta'_2$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}''$. From fg-CE we know that $i = j + k + 1$, ${}^s v = {}^s v'_2$, ${}^t v = {}^t v'_2$, $H'_s = H'_{s2}$ and $H'_t = H'_{t2}$.

Therefore from (F-CE1.3) we get the $(n-i, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2$

To prove: $({}^s\theta'_2, n-i, {}^s v'_2, {}^t v'_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

From (F-CE1.3) we know that $({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-1-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

14. FC-ref:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_s : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_t \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow pc}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} \mathsf{new}\ (e_s) : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^\perp \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}b)))}\ \text{ref}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, \mathsf{new}\ (e_s)\ \delta^s, \mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}\ b))\ \delta^t)\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^\perp\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we have

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(H_s, \mathsf{new}\ (e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v) \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}\ b)))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^\perp\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\gamma, \hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n, {}^s v$ s.t $(H_s, \mathsf{new}\ (e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v)$.

And we are required to prove

$\exists H'_t, {}^t v.(H_t, \mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}\ b)))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^\perp\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-R0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we have

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^sv_1.(H_{s1}, e_s\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s1}, {}^sv_1) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(H_s, \mathsf{new}\ (e_s)\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^sv)$ therefore we know that $\exists j < n$ s.t $(H_s, e_s\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s1}, {}^sv_1)$.

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1.(H_t, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ (F-R1)

In order to prove (F-R0) we choose H'_t as $H'_1 \cup \{a_t \mapsto {}^tv_1\}$, ${}^tv = \mathsf{Lb}(a_t)$, ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta'_1 \cup \{a_s \mapsto \tau\ \sigma\}$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}'_1 \cup \{(a_s, a_t)\}$

And we need to prove:

(a) $(H_t, \mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}\ b)))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^tv)$:
From cg-bind it suffices to prove that

- $(H_t, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t11}, {}^tv_{t1})$:
  From (F-R1) we know that $H'_{t11} = H'_{t1}$ and ${}^tv_{t1} = {}^tv_1$
- $(H'_1, \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{new}\ (a), b.\mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}\ b))[{}^tv_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^tv_{t2})$:
  From cg-bind it suffices to prove that
  i. $(H'_1, \mathsf{new}\ (a)[{}^tv_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^tv_{t2})$:
  From cg-new we know that $H'_{t2} = H'_{t1} \cup \{a_t \mapsto {}^tv_1\}$ and ${}^tv_{t2} = a_t$
  ii. $(H'_1 \cup \{a_t \mapsto {}^tv_1\}, \mathsf{ret}(\mathsf{Lb}\ b))[{}^tv_1/a][a_t/b]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^tv_t)$:
  From cg-ret we know that $H'_t = H'_{t1} \cup \{a_t \mapsto {}^tv_1\}$ and ${}^tv_t = \mathsf{Lb}(a_t)$

(b) $\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^\perp\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:

From (F-R1) we know that $(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1$ and since $H'_s = H'_{s1} \cup \{a_s \mapsto {}^sv_1\}$, $H'_t = H'_{t1} \cup \{a_t \mapsto {}^tv_1\}$, ${}^s\theta' = {}^s\theta'_1 \cup \{a_s \mapsto \tau\ \sigma\}$

Therefore from Definition 2.96 and Lemma 2.102 we get $(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'$

To prove: $({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^\perp\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Since we know that ${}^sv = a_s$ and ${}^tv = \mathsf{Lb}\ a_t$ therefore we need to prove

$({}^s\theta', n-i, a_s, \mathsf{Lb}(a_t)) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^\perp\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

From Definition 2.94 it suffices to prove that
$({}^s\theta', n-i, a_s, a_t) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Again from Definition 2.94 it suffices to prove that
${}^s\theta'(a_s) = \tau\ \sigma \wedge (a_s, a_t) \in \hat{\beta}'$
We get this by construction

15. FC-deref:

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_s : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_t \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau' \searrow \ell}{\Sigma;\Psi;\Gamma \vdash_{pc} !e_s : \tau' \rightsquigarrow \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b)))}\ \text{deref}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove: $({}^s\theta, n, !e\ \delta^s, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b)))\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we need to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.(H_s, !e_s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^sv) \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b)))) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means that we are given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\gamma, \hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n, {}^sv$ s.t $(H_s, !e_s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^sv)$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b)))) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^tv) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-DR0)

IH:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_s\ \delta^s, e_t\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we need to prove

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^sv_1.(H_{s1}, e_s) \Downarrow_j (H_{s1}', {}^sv_1) \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}_1' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1' \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(H_s, !e_s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^sv)$ therefore $\exists j < n$ s.t $(H_{s1}, e_s) \Downarrow_j (H_{s1}', {}^sv)$

Therefore we have

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}_1' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1' \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (F-DR1)

From (F-DR1) we have $({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell}\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

From Definition 2.94 we have

$\exists {}^tv_i.{}^tv_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^tv_i) \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_i) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (F-DR1.1)

From Definition 2.94 we know that ${}^sv_1 = a_s$ and ${}^tv_i = a_t$

${}^s\theta_1'(a_s) = \tau \wedge (a_s, a_t) \in \hat{\beta}_1'$ (F-DR1.2)

Let $\tau'\ \sigma = \mathsf{A}^{\ell_i}$, since $\tau'\ \sigma \searrow \ell\ \sigma$ therefore $\ell\ \sigma \sqsubseteq \ell_i$ and

Let $v_g = H_t(a_t)$ therefore from Definition 1.76 we have

$({}^s\theta, n-1, H_s(a_s), \mathsf{Lb}\, v_{gi}) \in \lfloor \tau' \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (F-DR1.3)

In order to prove (F-DR0) we choose H_t' as H_{t1}' and ${}^t v$ as $H_{t1}'(a_t) = v_g = \mathsf{Lb}\, v_{gi}$

(a) $(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b)))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', \mathsf{Lb}\, v_{gi})$:

From Lemma 2.103 it suffices to prove that
$(H_t, \mathtt{coerce\_taint}(\mathsf{bind}(e_t, a.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b)))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', \mathsf{Lb}\, v_{gi})$
From cg-bind it suffices to prove

i. $(H_t, e_t\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t11}', {}^t v_{t1})$:
From (F-DR1) we know that $H_{t11}' = H_{t1}'$ and ${}^t v_{t1} = {}^t v_1$

ii. $(H_{t1}', \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, b.!b)[{}^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t12}', {}^t v_{t2})$:
From cg-bind it suffices to prove that

A. $(H_{t1}', (\mathsf{unlabel}\ a)[{}^t v_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t21}', {}^t v_{t21})$:
From (F-DR1.1) we know that ${}^t v_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i)$
Therefore from cg-unlabel we know that $H_{t21}' = H_{t1}'$ and ${}^t v_{t21} = {}^t v_i$

B. $(H_{t1}', (!b)[{}^t v_1/a][{}^t v_i/b]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_t', \mathsf{Lb}\, v_{gi})$:
Since from (F-DR1.2) we know that ${}^t v_i = a_t$ therefore from cg-deref we know that $H_t' = H_{t1}'$ and ${}^t v = H_{t1}'(a_t) = v_g = \mathsf{Lb}\, v_{gi}$

(b) $\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta_1'$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}_1'$

Therefore from (F-DR1) we get $(n-j, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1'$ and snce $i = j+1$ therefore from Lemma 2.102 we get $(n-i, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1'$

Since from (F-DR1.2) we know that $(a_s, a_t) \in \hat{\beta}_1'$ and ${}^s\theta_1'(a_s) = \tau$. Also from (F-DR1) we have $(n-j, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1'$. Therefore from Definition 2.95 we have $(n-j-1, H_{s1}'(a_s), H_{t1}'(a_t)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta_1'(a_s) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

Since $i = j+1$, ${}^s\theta_1'(a_s) = \tau\ \sigma$, $H_{s1}'(a_s) = {}^s v$ and $H_{t1}'(a_t) = {}^t v$
Therefore we get
$({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Finally from Lemma 2.105 we get
$({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

16. FC-assign:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{s1} : (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^{\ell} \rightsquigarrow e_{t1} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{s2} : \tau \rightsquigarrow e_{t2} \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau \searrow (pc \sqcup \ell)}{\Sigma; \Psi; \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e_{s1} := e_{s2} : \mathsf{unit} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)))), d.\mathsf{ret}())}\ \text{assign}$$

Also given is: $\mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \wedge ({}^s\theta, n, \delta^s, \delta^t) \in \lfloor \Gamma\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

To prove:

$({}^s\theta, n, (e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s, \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)))), d.\mathsf{ret}())\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit}\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we are required to prove

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.(H_s, (e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^sv) \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)))), d.\mathsf{ret}())\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f$
$(H_t', {}^tv) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

This means that given some H_s, H_t s.t $(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\gamma, \hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $i < n, {}^sv$ s.t $(H_s, (e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^sv)$

And we need to prove

$\exists H_t', {}^tv.(H_t, \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)))), d.\mathsf{ret}())\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f$
$(H_t', {}^tv) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (F-AN0)

IH1:

$({}^s\theta, n, e_{s1}\ \delta^s, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\tau)^\ell\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we are required to prove

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\gamma, \hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^sv_1.(H_{s1}, e_{s1}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H_{s1}', {}^sv_1) \implies$
$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}_1' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n - j, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1' \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n - j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^\ell\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

Instantiating with H_s, H_t and since we know that $(H_s, (e_{s1} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^sv)$ therefore $\exists j < n$ s.t $(H_{s1}, e_{s1}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_j (H_{s1}', {}^sv_1)$

Therefore we have

$\exists H_{t1}', {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H_{t1}', {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}_1' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n - j, H_{s1}', H_{t1}') \overset{\hat{\beta}_1'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta_1' \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n - j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^\ell\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (F-AN1)

Since from (F-AN1) we know that $({}^s\theta_1', n - j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)^\ell\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ therefore from Definition 2.94 we have

$\exists {}^tv_i.{}^tv_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^tv_i) \wedge ({}^s\theta_1', n - j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_i) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (F-AN1.1)

From Definition 2.94 this further means that

${}^s\theta_1'(a_s) = \tau \wedge (a_s, a_t) \in \hat{\beta}_1'$ where ${}^sv_1 = a_s$ and ${}^tv_1 = a_t$ (F-AN1.2)

IH2:

$({}^s\theta_1', n - j, e_{s2}\ \delta^s, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we are required to prove

$\forall H_{s2}, H_{t2}.(n, H_{s2}, H_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge \forall k < n-j, {}^sv_2.(H_{s2}, e_{s2}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_k (H'_{s2}, {}^sv_2) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^tv_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^tv_2) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_1, \hat{\beta}'_2 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'_1.$
$(n-j-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-k, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$

Instantiating with H'_{s1}, H'_{t1} and since we know that $(H_s, (e_{s2} := e_{s2})\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^sv)$ therefore $\exists k < n-j$ s.t $(H_{s2}, e_{s2}\ \delta^s) \Downarrow_k (H'_{s2}, {}^sv_2)$

Therefore we have

$\exists H'_{t2}, {}^tv_2.(H_{t2}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t2}, {}^tv_2) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta'_1, \hat{\beta}'_2 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'_1.$
$(n-j-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-k, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_2} \wedge$
(F-AN2)

In order to prove (F-AN0) we choose H'_t as $H'_{t2}[a_t \mapsto {}^sv_2]$, ${}^tv$ as ()

We need to prove

(a) $(H_t, \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)))), d.\mathsf{ret}())\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^tv)$:
From cg-bind it suffices to prove that
- $(H_t, (\mathsf{toLabeled}(\mathsf{bind}(e_{c1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{c2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)))), d.\mathsf{ret}())\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_T, {}^tv_T)$:

From cg-toLabeled it suffices to prove that
$(H_t, \mathsf{bind}(e_{t1}, a.\mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)))\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_T, {}^tv_{Ti})$
where ${}^tv_T = \mathsf{Lb}^t v_{Ti}$
From cg-bind it further suffices to prove that:

- $(H_t, e_{t1}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t11}, {}^tv_{t11})$:
  From (F-AN1) we know that $H'_{t11} = H'_{t1}$ and ${}^tv_{t11} = {}^tv_1$
- $(H'_{t1}, \mathsf{bind}(e_{t2}, b.\mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b))[{}^tv_1/a]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t12}, {}^tv_{t12})$:
  From cg-bind it suffices to prove
  - $(H'_{t1}, e_{t2}\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t13}, {}^tv_{t13})$:
    From (F-AN2) we know that $H'_{t13} = H'_{t2}$ and ${}^tv_{t13} = {}^tv_2$
  - $(H'_{t1}, \mathsf{bind}(\mathsf{unlabel}\ a, c.c := b)[{}^tv_1/a][{}^tv_2/b]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^tv)$:
    From cg-bind it suffices to prove that
    * $(H'_{t1}, \mathsf{unlabel}\ a[{}^tv_1/a][{}^tv_2/b]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t21}, {}^tv_{t21})$:
      From (F-AN1.1) we know that
      ${}^tv_1 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^tv_i) \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_i) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$
      Therefore from cg-unlabel we know that $H'_{t21} = H'_{t1}$ and ${}^tv_{t21} = {}^tv_i = a_t$
    * $(H'_{t1}, (c := b)[{}^tv_1/a][{}^tv_2/b][{}^tv_i/c]\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_T, {}^tv_{Ti})$:
      From cg-assign we know that $H'_T = H'_{t1}[a_t \mapsto {}^tv_2]$ and ${}^tv_{Ti} = ()$

Since ${}^tv_{t12} = {}^tv_{Ti} = ()$ therefore ${}^tv_T = \mathsf{Lb}()$
- $(H'_T, \mathsf{ret}()[{}^tv_T/d])\ \delta^t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, ())$:
From cg-ret and cg-val

(b) $\exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$:
We choose ${}^s\theta'$ as ${}^s\theta'_2$ and $\hat{\beta}'$ as $\hat{\beta}'_2$

In order to prove $(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2$ it suffices to prove

- $dom({}^s\theta'_2) \subseteq dom(H'_s)$:

  Since from (F-AN2) we know that $(n-j-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2$ therefore from Definition 2.96 we get $dom({}^s\theta'_2) \subseteq dom(H'_s)$
- $\hat{\beta}'_2 \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta'_2) \times dom(H'_t))$:

  Since from (F-AN2) we know that $(n-j-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2$ therefore from Definition 2.96 we get
  $\hat{\beta}'_2 \subseteq (dom({}^s\theta'_2) \times dom(H'_t))$
- $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}'_2.({}^s\theta'_2, n-i-1, H'_s(a_1), H'_t(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta'_2(a_1) \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V$:
  $\forall(a_1, a_2) \in \hat{\beta}'_2$.
  - $a_1 = a_s$ and $a_1 = a_t$:
    Since from (F-AN2) we know that $({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-k, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}'_2}_V$

    Also from (F-AN1.2) and Definition 2.92 we know that ${}^s\theta'_2(a_1) = \tau\ \sigma$
    Therefore from Lemma 2.100 we get
    $({}^s\theta'_2, n-i-1, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}'_2}_V$
  - $a_1 \neq a_s$ and $a_1 \neq a_t$:

    From (F-AN2) since we know that $(n-j-k, H'_{s2}, H'_{t2}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_2}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_2$ therefore from Definition 2.96 we get
    $({}^s\theta'_2, n-j-k-1, H'_{s2}(a_1), H'_{t2}(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta'_2(a_1)\ \sigma \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}'_2}_V$

    Since $i = j+k+1$ therefore from Lemma 2.100 we get
    $({}^s\theta'_2, n-i-1, H'_{s2}(a_1), H'_{t2}(a_2)) \in \lfloor {}^s\theta'_2(a_1)\ \sigma \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}'_2}_V$
  - $a_1 = a_s$ and $a_1 \neq a_t$:
    This case cannot arise
  - $a_1 \neq a_s$ and $a_1 = a_t$:
    This case cannot arise

  And in order to prove $({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}'}_V$
  Since we know that ${}^s v = ()$ and ${}^t v = ()$ therefore from Definition 2.94 we get $({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^s v, {}^t v) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}'}_V$

□

Lemma 2.105 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ CG: Semantic Subtyping lemma)**.** *The following holds:*
$\forall\Sigma, \Psi, \sigma, \mathcal{L}, \hat{\beta}$.

1. $\forall \mathsf{A}, \mathsf{A}'$.

   (a) $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}' \wedge \mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \implies \lfloor (\mathsf{A}\ \sigma) \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V \subseteq \lfloor (\mathsf{A}'\ \sigma) \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V$

2. $\forall \tau, \tau'$.

   (a) $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \wedge \mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \implies \lfloor (\tau\ \sigma) \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V \subseteq \lfloor (\tau'\ \sigma) \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_V$

   (b) $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \wedge \mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma \implies \lfloor (\tau\ \sigma) \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_E \subseteq \lfloor (\tau'\ \sigma) \rfloor^{\hat{\beta}}_E$

Proof. Proof by simultaneous induction on $\mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}'$ and $\tau <: \tau'$
Proof of statement 1(a)
We analyse the different cases of $\mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}'$ in the last step:

1. FGsub-arrow:

   Given:
   $$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_1' <: \tau_1 \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2' \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \ell_e' \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2'}\ \text{FGsub-arrow}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor((\tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   IH1: $\lfloor(\tau_1'\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_1\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement 2(a))

   It suffices to prove: $\forall({}^s\theta, m, \lambda x.e_s, \Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e_t))) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$.
   $({}^s\theta, m, \lambda x.e_s, \Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e_t))) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   This means that given some ${}^s\theta, m$ and $\lambda x.e_s, \Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e_t))$ s.t
   $({}^s\theta, m, \lambda x.e_s, \Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e_t))) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\ell_e} \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
   Therefore from Definition 2.94 we are given:
   $\forall {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1, j < m, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1'.({}^s\theta_1', j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_1'} \implies$
   $({}^s\theta_1', j, e_s[{}^sv_1/x]\ \delta^s, e_t[{}^tv_1/x]\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (S-L0)

   And it suffices to prove: $({}^s\theta, m, \lambda x.e_s, \Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(\lambda x.e_t))) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' \xrightarrow{\ell_e'} \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   Again from Definition 2.94, it suffices to prove:
   $\forall {}^s\theta_2' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2, k < m, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_2'.({}^s\theta_2', k, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_2'} \implies$
   $({}^s\theta_2', k, e_s[{}^sv_2/x]\ \delta^s, e_t[{}^tv_2/x]\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$ (S-L1)

   This means that given ${}^s\theta_2' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2, k < m, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_2'$ s.t $({}^s\theta_2', k, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$
   And we need to prove
   $({}^s\theta_2', k, e_s[{}^sv_2/x]\ \delta^s, e_t[{}^tv_2/x]\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$ (S-L2)

   Instantiating (S-L0) with ${}^s\theta_2', {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2, k, \hat{\beta}_2'$. Since we have $({}^s\theta_2', k, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$ therefore from IH1 we also have
   $({}^s\theta_2', k, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$

   Therefore we get
   $({}^s\theta_2', k, e_s[{}^sv_2/x]\ \delta^s, e_t[{}^tv_2/x]\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$

   IH2: $\lfloor(\tau_2\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_2'\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement 2(b))

   Finally using IH2 we get
   $({}^s\theta_2', k, e_s[{}^sv_2/x]\ \delta^s, e_t[{}^tv_2/x]\ \delta^t) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$

2. FGsub-prod:

   Given:

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'}\ \text{FGsub-prod}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor((\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor((\tau_1' \times \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   IH1: $\lfloor(\tau_1\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_1'\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement 2(a))

   IH2: $\lfloor(\tau_2\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_2'\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement 2(a))

   It suffices to prove:

   $\forall({}^s\theta, m, ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2), ({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2)) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.\quad ({}^s\theta, m, ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2), ({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2)) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' \times \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   This means that given some ${}^s\theta, n$ and ${}^sv_1, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_1, {}^tv_2$ s.t

   $({}^s\theta, m, ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2), ({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2)) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 \times \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   Therefore from Definition 2.94 we are given:

   $({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge ({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (S-P0)

   And it suffices to prove: $({}^s\theta, m, ({}^sv_1, {}^sv_2), ({}^tv_1, {}^tv_2)) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' \times \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   Again from Definition 2.94, it suffices to prove:

   $({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \wedge ({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (S-P1)

   Since from (S-P0) we know that $({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ therefore from IH1 we have $({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor\tau_1'\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   Similarly since we have $({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ from (S-P0) therefore from IH2 we have $({}^s\theta, m, {}^sv_2, {}^tv_2) \in \lfloor\tau_2'\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

3. FGsub-sum:

   Given:

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_1' \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}\ \text{FGsub-sum}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor((\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor((\tau_1' + \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   IH1: $\lfloor(\tau_1\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_1'\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement 2(a))

   IH2: $\lfloor(\tau_2\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_2'\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (Statement 2(a))

   It suffices to prove: $\forall({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' + \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   This means that given: $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor((\tau_1 + \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   And it suffices to prove: $({}^s\theta, n, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor((\tau_1' + \tau_2')\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   2 cases arise

(a) ${}^s v = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^s v_i$ and ${}^t v = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^t v_i$:
From Definition 2.94 we are given:
$({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v_i, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor \tau_1\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (S-S0)

And we are required to prove that:
$({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v_i, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor \tau_1'\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
From (S-S0) and IH1 get this

(b) ${}^s v = \mathsf{inr}\ {}^s v_i$ and ${}^t v = \mathsf{inr}\ {}^t v_i$:
Symmetric reasoning as in the previous case

4. FGsub-forall:
Given:
$$\frac{\Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2 \qquad \Sigma, \alpha; \Psi \vdash \ell_e' \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau_1) <: \forall \alpha.(\ell_e', \tau_2)}\ \text{FGsub-forall}$$

To prove: $\lfloor ((\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau_1))\ \sigma) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor (\forall \alpha.(\ell_e', \tau_2))\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

It suffices to prove:
$\forall ({}^s\theta, n, \Lambda e_s, \Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_t))) \in \lfloor ((\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau_1))\ \sigma) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, \Lambda e_s, \Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_t))) \in \lfloor ((\forall \alpha.(\ell_e', \tau_2))\ \sigma) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means that given $({}^s\theta, n, \Lambda e_s, \Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_t))) \in \lfloor ((\forall \alpha.(\ell_e, \tau_1))\ \sigma) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Therefore from Definition 2.94 we have:
$\forall {}^s\theta_1' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, j < n, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_1'.({}^s\theta_1', j, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau_1[\ell'/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_1'}$ (S-F0)

And we need to prove
$({}^s\theta, n, \Lambda e_s, \Lambda\Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_t))) \in \lfloor ((\forall \alpha.(\ell_e', \tau_2))\ \sigma) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Again from Definition 2.94 it means we need to prove
$\forall {}^s\theta_2' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, k < n, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_2'.({}^s\theta_2', k, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau_2[\ell''/\alpha]\ \sigma \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$

This means that given ${}^s\theta_2' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, k < n, \ell'' \in \mathcal{L}, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}_2'$
And we need to prove
$({}^s\theta_2', k, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau_2[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$ (S-F1)

Instantiating (S-F0) with ${}^s\theta_2', k, \ell'', \hat{\beta}_2'$ and we get
$({}^s\theta_2', k, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor \tau_1[\ell''/\alpha] \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$

IH: $\lfloor (\tau_1\ \sigma \cup \{\alpha \mapsto \ell''\}) \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_2'} \subseteq \lfloor (\tau_2\ \sigma \cup \{\alpha \mapsto \ell''\}) \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}_2'}$ (Statement 2(b))

Therefore from IH we get the desired

5. FGsub-constraint:

   Given:

$$\frac{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash c_2 \implies c_1 \qquad \Sigma;\Psi, c_2 \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2 \qquad \Sigma;\Psi \vdash \ell'_e \sqsubseteq \ell_e}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash c_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_1 <: c_2 \overset{\ell'_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_2}\ \text{FGsub-constraint}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor((c_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_1)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor((c_2 \overset{\ell'_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_2))\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   It suffices to prove:

   $\forall({}^s\theta, n, \nu e_s, \Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_t))) \in \lfloor((c_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_1)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, \nu e_s, \Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_t))) \in \lfloor((c_2 \overset{\ell'_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   This means that given: $({}^s\theta, n, \nu e_s, \Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_t))) \in \lfloor((c_1 \overset{\ell_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_1)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
   Therefore from Definition 2.94 we are given:

   $\mathcal{L} \models c_1\ \sigma \implies \forall {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, j < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'_1.({}^s\theta'_1, j, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ (S-C0)

   And it suffices to prove:

   $({}^s\theta, n, \nu e_s, \Lambda\Lambda(\nu(e_t))) \in \lfloor((c_1 \overset{\ell'_e}{\Rightarrow} \tau_2)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
   Again from Definition 2.94 it means that we need to prove:

   $\mathcal{L} \models c_2\ \sigma \implies \forall {}^s\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, k < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'_2.({}^s\theta'_2, k, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$

   This means that given that $\mathcal{L} \models c_2\ \sigma$ and ${}^s\theta'_2 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, k < n, \hat{\beta} \sqsubseteq \hat{\beta}'_2$
   And we need to prove

   $({}^s\theta'_2, k, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$ (S-C1)

   Instantiating (S-C0) with ${}^s\theta'_2, k, \hat{\beta}'_2$ we get $({}^s\theta'_2, k, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor\tau_1\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$

   IH: $\lfloor(\tau_1\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'_2} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau_2\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$ (Statement 2(b))

   Finally from IH we get $({}^s\theta'_2, k, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor\tau_2\ \sigma\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'_2}$

6. FGsub-ref:

   Given:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma;\Psi \vdash \mathsf{ref}\ \tau <: \mathsf{ref}\ \tau}\ \text{FGsub-ref}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   It suffices to prove: $\forall({}^s\theta, n, a_s, a_t) \in \lfloor((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, a_s, a_t) \in \lfloor((\mathsf{ref}\ \tau)\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
   We get this directly from Definition 2.94

7. FGsub-base:

   Given:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{b} <: \mathsf{b}} \text{ FGsub-base}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor((\mathsf{b})\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor((\mathsf{b})\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   Directly from Definition 2.94

8. FGsub-unit:

   Given:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{unit} <: \mathsf{unit}} \text{ FGsub-unit}$$

   To prove: $\lfloor((\mathsf{unit})\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor((\mathsf{unit})\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

   Directly from Definition 2.94

Proof of statement 2(a)
Given:

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \ell' \sqsubseteq \ell'' \qquad \Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A} <: \mathsf{A}'}{\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \mathsf{A}^{\ell'} <: \mathsf{A}'^{\ell''}} \text{ FGsub-label}$$

To prove: $\lfloor((\mathsf{A}^{\ell'})\ \sigma)\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor((\mathsf{A}'^{\ell''}))\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.94 we need to prove
$\forall({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i)) \in \lfloor\mathsf{A}^{\ell'}\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}.({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i)) \in \lfloor\mathsf{A}'^{\ell''}\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means that given $({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i)) \in \lfloor\mathsf{A}^{\ell'}\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$
From Definition 2.94 it further means that we are given
$({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor\mathsf{A}\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$ (S-LB0)

And we need to prove
$({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_i)) \in \lfloor\mathsf{A}'^{\ell''}\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Again from Definition 2.94 it suffices to prove that
$({}^s\theta, n, {}^s v, {}^t v_i) \in \lfloor\mathsf{A}'\ \sigma\rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}}$

Since $\ell' \sqsubseteq \ell''$ and $\mathsf{A}' <: \mathsf{A}''$ therefore from IH (Statement 1(a)) and (S-LB0) we get the desired

Proof of statement 2(b)
Given: $\Sigma; \Psi \vdash \tau <: \tau' \wedge \mathcal{L} \models \Psi\ \sigma$
To prove: $\lfloor(\tau\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}} \subseteq \lfloor(\tau'\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$
This means we need to prove that
$\forall({}^s\theta, n, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor(\tau\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}.\ ({}^s\theta, n, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor(\tau'\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means given $({}^s\theta, n, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor(\tau\ \sigma)\rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

This means from Definition 2.95 we have

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall i < n, {}^sv.(H_s, e_s) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^sv) \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^tv.(H_t, e_t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^tv) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n-i, {}^sv, {}^tv) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (S-E0)

And it suffices to prove that $({}^s\theta, n, e_s, e_t) \in \lfloor (\tau'\ \sigma) \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}}$

Again from Definition 2.95 it means we need to prove

$\forall H_{s1}, H_{t1}.(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta \wedge \forall j < n, {}^sv_1.(H_{s1}, e_s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s1}, {}^sv_1) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$

This means that given some H_{s1}, H_{t1} s.t $(n, H_{s1}, H_{t1}) \overset{\ell_2, \hat{\beta}}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta$. Also given some $j < n, {}^sv_1$ s.t $(H_{s1}, e_s) \Downarrow_j (H'_{s1}, {}^sv_1)$

And we need to prove

$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$ (S-E1)

Instantiating (S-E0) with H_{s1}, H_{t1} and with $j, {}^sv_1$. Then we get

$\exists H'_t, {}^tv.(H_t, e_t) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^tv) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$

Since we have $\tau <: \tau'$. Therefore from IH (Statement 2(a)) we get

$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1.(H_{t1}, e_t) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^tv_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta'_1 \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'_1 \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}.$
$(n-j, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}'_1}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta'_1 \wedge ({}^s\theta'_1, n-j, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \tau'\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'_1}$

□

Theorem 2.106 (FG $\rightsquigarrow$ CG: Deriving FG NI via compilation)**.** $\forall e_s, {}^sv_1, {}^sv_2, n_1, n_2, H'_{s1}, H'_{s2}, pc.$
Let $\mathsf{bool} = (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})$
$\emptyset, \emptyset, x : \mathsf{bool}^\top \vdash_{pc} e_s : \mathsf{bool}^\bot \wedge$
$\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash_{pc} {}^sv_1 : \mathsf{bool}^\top \wedge \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash_{pc} {}^sv_2 : \mathsf{bool}^\top \wedge$
$(\emptyset, e_s[{}^sv_1/x]) \Downarrow_{n_1} (H'_{s1}, {}^sv'_1) \wedge$
$(\emptyset, e_s[{}^sv_2/x]) \Downarrow_{n_2} (H'_{s2}, {}^sv'_2) \wedge$
$\implies$
${}^sv'_1 = {}^sv'_2$

Proof. From the FG to CG translation we know that $\exists e_t$ s.t
$\emptyset, \emptyset, x : \mathsf{bool}^\top \vdash e_s : \mathsf{bool}^\bot \rightsquigarrow e_t$
Similarly we also know that $\exists {}^tv_1, {}^tv_2$ s.t
$\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash {}^sv_1 : \mathsf{bool}^\top \rightsquigarrow {}^tv_1$ and $\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash {}^sv_2 : \mathsf{bool}^\top \rightsquigarrow {}^tv_2$ (NI-0)

From type preservation theorem (choosing $\alpha = \gamma = \overline{\beta} = \bot$) we know that
$\emptyset, \emptyset, x : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \vdash e_t : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool}$
$\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash {}^tv_1 : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool}$
$\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash {}^tv_2 : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool}$ (NI-1)

Since we have $\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash {}^sv_1 : \mathsf{bool}^\top \rightsquigarrow {}^tv_1$
And since ${}^sv_1$ and ${}^tv_1$ are closed terms (from given and NI-1)
Therefore from Theorem 2.104 we have (we choose $n > n_1$ and $n > n_2$)
$(\emptyset, n, {}^sv_1, {}^tv_1) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\top \rfloor_E^\emptyset$ (NI-2)

Therefore from Definition 2.95 we have

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\emptyset}{\triangleright} \emptyset \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(H_s, {}^s v_1) \Downarrow_i (H'_s, {}^s v) \implies$
$\exists H'_t, {}^t v_{11}.(H_t, {}^t v_1) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v_{11}) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq \emptyset, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \emptyset.$
$(n - i, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v_{11}) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\top \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Instantiating with $\emptyset, \emptyset$ and from fg-val we know that $H'_s = H_s = \emptyset$, ${}^s v = {}^s v_1$. Therefore we have

$\exists H'_t, {}^t v_{11}.(H_t, {}^t v_1) \Downarrow^f (H'_t, {}^t v_{11}) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq \emptyset, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \emptyset.$
$(n, H'_s, H'_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_{11}) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\top \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (NI-2.1)

From Definition 2.94 we know that
${}^t v_{11} = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_{i11}) \wedge ({}^s\theta', n, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_{i11}) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit}) \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Again from Definition 2.94 we know that
Either a) ${}^s v_1 = \mathsf{inl}()$ and ${}^t v_{i11} = \mathsf{inl}()$ or b)${}^s v_1 = \mathsf{inr}()$ and ${}^t v_{i11} = \mathsf{inr}()$
But in either case we have that $\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash {}^t v_{i11} : (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})$ (NI-2.2)

As a result we have $\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash {}^t v_{11} : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})$ (NI-2.3)
We give it typing derivation

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash {}^t v_{i11} : (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})}\ (\text{NI-2.2})}{\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_{i11}) : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})}$$

From Definition 2.99 and (NI-2.1) we know that
$(\emptyset, n, (x \mapsto {}^s v_1), (x \mapsto {}^t v_{11})) \in \lfloor x \mapsto \mathsf{bool}^\top \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Therefore we can apply Theorem 2.104 to get
$(\emptyset, n, e_s[{}^s v_1/x], e_t[{}^t v_{11}/x]) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\perp \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (NI-2.4)

From Definition 2.95 we get

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} \emptyset \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v''_1.(H_s, e_s[{}^s v_1/x]) \Downarrow_i (H'_{s1}, {}^s v''_1) \implies$
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v''_1.(H_t, e_t[{}^t v_{11}/x]) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^t v''_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq \emptyset, \hat{\beta}'' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(n - i, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^s v''_1, {}^t v''_1) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\perp \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

Instantiating with $\emptyset, \emptyset, n_1, {}^s v'_1$ we get
$\exists H'_{t1}, {}^t v''_1.(H_t, e_t[{}^t v_{11}/x]) \Downarrow^f (H'_{t1}, {}^t v''_1) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(n - n_1, H'_{s1}, H'_{t1}) \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v'_1, {}^t v''_1) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\perp \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (NI-2.5)

Since we have $({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v'_1, {}^t v''_1) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\perp \ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ therefore from Definition 2.94 we have
$\exists {}^t v_{i1}.{}^t v'' = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_{i1}) \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v'_1, {}^t v_{i1}) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$
Since $({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v'_1, {}^t v_{i1}) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit}) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ therefore from Definition 2.94 two cases arise

- ${}^s v'_1 = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^s v_{i11}$ and ${}^t v_{i1} = \mathsf{inl}{}^t v_{i11}$:

  From Definition 2.94 we have
  $({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v_{i11}, {}^t v_{i11}) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$
  which means we have ${}^s v_{i11} = {}^t v_{i11}$

- ${}^s v_1' = \mathsf{inr}\ {}^s v_{i11}$ and ${}^t v_{i1} = \mathsf{inr}{}^t v_{i11}$:

  Symmetric reasoning as in the previous case

So no matter which case arise we have ${}^s v_1' = {}^t v_{i1}$

Similarly with other substitution we have $(\emptyset, n, {}^s v_2, {}^t v_2) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\top \rfloor_E^\emptyset$ (NI-3)

Therefore from Definition 2.95 we have

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\emptyset}{\triangleright} \emptyset \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v.(H_s, {}^s v_2) \Downarrow_i (H_s', {}^s v) \implies$
$\exists H_t', {}^t v_{22}.(H_t, {}^t v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^t v_{22}) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq \emptyset, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \emptyset.$
$(n - i, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^s v, {}^t v_{22}) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\top\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Instantiating with $\emptyset, \emptyset$ and from fg-val we know that $H_s' = H_s = \emptyset$, ${}^s v = {}^s v_1$. Therefore we have

$\exists H_t', {}^t v_{22}.(H_t, {}^t v_2) \Downarrow^f (H_t', {}^t v_{22}) \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq \emptyset, \hat{\beta}' \sqsupseteq \emptyset.$
$(n, H_s', H_t') \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_{22}) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\top\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (NI-3.1)

From Definition 2.94 we know that
${}^t v_2 = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_{i22}) \wedge ({}^s\theta', n, {}^s v_1, {}^t v_{i22}) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Again from Definition 2.94 we know that
Either a) ${}^s v_2 = \mathsf{inl}()$ and ${}^t v_{i22} = \mathsf{inl}()$ or b)${}^s v_2 = \mathsf{inr}()$ and ${}^t v_{i22} = \mathsf{inr}()$
But in either case we have that $\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash {}^t v_{i22} : (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})$ (NI-3.2)

As a result we have $\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash {}^t v_{22} : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})$ (NI-3.3)
We give it typing derivation

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash {}^t v_{i22} : (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})}\ (\text{NI-3.2})}{\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_{i22}) : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit})}$$

From Definition 2.99 and (NI-3.1) we know that
$(\emptyset, n, (x \mapsto {}^s v_2), (x \mapsto {}^t v_{22})) \in \lfloor x \mapsto \mathsf{bool}^\top \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}'}$

Therefore we can apply Theorem 2.104 to get
$(\emptyset, n, e_s[{}^s v_2/x], e_t[{}^t v_{22}/x]) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\perp \rfloor_E^{\hat{\beta}'}$ (NI-3.4)

From Definition 2.95 we get

$\forall H_s, H_t.(n, H_s, H_t) \overset{\hat{\beta}'}{\triangleright} \emptyset \wedge \forall i < n, {}^s v_2''.(H_s, e_s[{}^s v_2/x]) \Downarrow_i (H_{s2}', {}^s v_2'') \implies$
$\exists H_{t2}', {}^t v_2''.(H_t, e_t[{}^t v_{22}/x]) \Downarrow^f (H_{t2}', {}^t v_2'') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq \emptyset, \hat{\beta}'' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(n - i, H_{s2}', H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - i, {}^s v_2'', {}^t v_2'') \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\perp\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

Instantiating with $\emptyset, \emptyset, n_2, {}^s v_2'$ we get
$\exists H_{t2}', {}^t v_2''.(H_t, e_t[{}^t v_{22}/x]) \Downarrow^f (H_{t2}', {}^t v_2'') \wedge \exists {}^s\theta' \sqsupseteq {}^s\theta, \hat{\beta}'' \sqsupseteq \hat{\beta}'.$
$(n - n_1, H_s', H_{t2}') \overset{\hat{\beta}''}{\triangleright} {}^s\theta' \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - n_1, {}^s v_2', {}^t v_2'') \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\perp\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ (NI-3.5)

Since we have $({}^s\theta', n - n_2, {}^s v_2', {}^t v_2'') \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}^\perp\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ therefore from Definition 2.94 we have
$\exists {}^t v_{i2}.{}^t v_2'' = \mathsf{Lb}({}^t v_{i2}) \wedge ({}^s\theta', n - n_2, {}^s v_2', {}^t v_{i2}) \in \lfloor \mathsf{bool}\ \sigma \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$
Since $({}^s\theta', n - n_2, {}^s v_2', {}^t v_{i2}) \in \lfloor (\mathsf{unit} + \mathsf{unit}) \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$ therefore from Definition 2.94 two cases arise

- ${}^s v_2' = \mathsf{inl}\ {}^s v_{i22}$ and ${}^t v_{i2} = \mathsf{inl}\, {}^t v_{i22}$:

  From Definition 2.94 we have

  $({}^s\theta', n - n_2, {}^s v_{i22}, {}^t v_{i22}) \in \lfloor \mathsf{unit} \rfloor_V^{\hat{\beta}''}$

  which means we have ${}^s v_{i22} = {}^t v_{i22}$

- ${}^s v_1' = \mathsf{inr}\ {}^s v_{i22}$ and ${}^t v_{i2} = \mathsf{inr}\, {}^t v_{i22}$:

  Symmetric reasoning as in the previous case

So no matter which case arise we have ${}^s v_2' = {}^t v_{i2}$

We know that $\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash {}^t v_{11} : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool}$ (NI-2.3)

Also we have $\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \vdash {}^t v_{22} : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool}$ (NI-3.3)

Let $e_T = \mathsf{bind}(e_t, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y))$
We show that $\emptyset, \emptyset, x : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \vdash e_T : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool}$ by giving a typing derivation P2:

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\emptyset, \emptyset, x : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool}, y : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \vdash y : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool}}\ \text{CG-var}}{\emptyset, \emptyset, x : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool}, y : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool} \vdash \mathsf{unlabel}(y) : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool}}\ \text{CG-unlabel}$$

P1:

$$\dfrac{}{\emptyset, \emptyset, x : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \vdash e_t : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{Labeled}\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool}}\ \text{From (NI-1)}$$

Main derivation:

$$\dfrac{P1 \qquad P2}{\emptyset, \emptyset, x : \mathsf{Labeled}\ \top\ \mathsf{bool} \vdash \mathsf{bind}(e_t, y.\mathsf{unlabel}(y)) : \mathbb{C}\ \bot\ \bot\ \mathsf{bool}}$$

Say $e_t[{}^t v_{11}/x]$ reduces in n_{t1} steps in (NI-2.5) and $e_t[{}^t v_{22}/x]$ reduces in n_{t2} steps in (NI-3.5)
We instantiate Theorem 2.57 with $e_T, {}^t v_{11}, {}^t v_{22}, {}^t v_{i1}, {}^t v_{i2}, n_{t1} + 2, n_{t2} + 2, H_{t1}', H_{t2}'$ and from (NI-2.5) and (NI-3.5) we have ${}^t v_{i1} = {}^t v_{i2}$ and thus ${}^s v_1' = {}^s v_2'$

□